

PBF November 2025 Project Progress Report



**PEACEBUILDING
FUND**  

PROJECT OVERVIEW

Thank you for taking the time to complete the PBF Progress report. For projects with more than one recipient, please consult among co-recipients prior to filling out the form to ensure collaboration on the responses. If you have any questions or require technical assistance in filling out the form, please send an email to gabriel.velasteguimoya@un.org

Click Next below to start

» Report Submission

Type of report *

- ☐ Semi-annual
- ☒ Annual
- ☐ Final
- ☐ Other

Date of submission of report *

2025-11-12

Name and title of person submitting the report *

Michael Ambayo Programme Coordinator

E-mail of person submitting the report *

mambayo@unfpa.org

Name and title of person who approved the report *

Joy Michael GBV & Gender Specialist

Have all fund recipients for this project contributed to the report? *

☒ Yes

☐ No

Did PBF Secretariat or RCO focal point review the report? *

You should normally ensure that the PBF Secretariat or the PBF focal point have an opportunity to review.

☒ Yes

☐ No

☐ Not Applicable

» Project Information and Geographical Scope

Is this a cross-border project? *

☐ Yes ☒ No

Please select the geographical region in which the project is implemented

- | | | |
|--|---|---|
| <input type="radio"/> Asia and the Pacific | <input type="radio"/> Central & Southern Africa | <input type="radio"/> East Africa |
| <input type="radio"/> Europe and Central Asia | <input type="radio"/> Global | <input type="radio"/> Latin America and the Caribbean |
| <input type="radio"/> Middle East and North Africa | <input checked="" type="radio"/> West Africa | |

Country of project implementation *

- | | | |
|---|------------------------------------|-------------------------------------|
| <input type="radio"/> Benin | <input type="radio"/> Burkina Faso | <input type="radio"/> Cote D'Ivoire |
| <input checked="" type="radio"/> Gambia | <input type="radio"/> Ghana | <input type="radio"/> Guinea |
| <input type="radio"/> Guinea-Bissau | <input type="radio"/> Liberia | <input type="radio"/> Mali |
| <input type="radio"/> Mauritania | <input type="radio"/> Niger | <input type="radio"/> Nigeria |
| <input type="radio"/> Senegal | <input type="radio"/> Sierra Leone | <input type="radio"/> Togo |
| <input type="radio"/> Other, Specify | | |

Other, please specify *

Project Title *

- ☐ 00130106: Promoting peace and social cohesion through provision of mental health services and psychosocial well-being of SGBV survivors in The Gambia
- ☐ 00120496: Strengthening Community Access to Justice, Community Policing and Effective SGBV Response
- ☐ 00119440: Strengthening community coping mechanisms against risks of climate induced conflicts and to minimize gender related vulnerabilities and tensions in The Gambia
- ☐ 00119603: Strengthening inclusive citizen engagement for more accountable governance in The Gambia
- ☐ 00129530: Support to the implementation and monitoring of the Truth, Reconciliation and Reparations Commission (TRRC) recommendations in The Gambia
- ☐ 00124863: Young women and men as stakeholders in ensuring peaceful democratic processes and advocates for the prevention of violence and hate speech
- ☐ 00134234: Support to a PBF Secretariat in The Gambia to enhance coordination and peacebuilding impact
- ☐ 00134329: Strengthening the National Infrastructure for Peace to Promote Social Cohesion in The Gambia
- ☐ 00140056: Empowering Gambian youth to voice their demands to duty bearers on issues of governance reconciliation and prevention
- ☒ 00140137: Strengthening Civil Society Organizations to promote gender equality and women's empowerment through implementation of the Action Plan on UNSCR 1325 and the TRRC White Paper Recommendations
- ☐ 00140643: Empowering Communities in The Gambia to prevent mitigate and resolve land related conflicts exacerbated by climate change
- ☐ 00140771: Empowering Marginalized Young People for Inclusion in Civic Space and Local Infrastructures for Peace
- ☐ 00141024: Sustaining The Gambia's peaceful transition by supporting implementation of the Security Sector Reform Strategy
- ☐ Other, Specify

Write the 8 digit MPTFO number and Project Title exactly as it appears in the Project Document *

EXAMPLE: 00118938: Community-based prevention of violence and social cohesion using innovation for young people in displaced and host communities

Please select the geographical region(s) in which the project is implemented *

If the project you are looking for does not appear in the following question, please make sure that you have selected the correct regions. A limited number of cross border projects span multiple geographic regions. For example, a cross border project between Niger and Chad spans both West Africa and Central & Southern Africa

- | | | |
|---|--|--|
| <input type="checkbox"/> Asia and the Pacific | <input type="checkbox"/> Central & Southern Africa | <input type="checkbox"/> East Africa |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Europe and Central Asia | <input type="checkbox"/> Global | <input type="checkbox"/> Latin America and the Caribbean |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Middle East and North Africa | <input type="checkbox"/> West Africa | |

Please select the title of the project for which you are submitting the report *

Write the 8 digit MPTFO numbers and Project Title exactly as it appears in the Project Document *

EXAMPLE: 00129699/700: Supporting Cross-Border Cooperation for Increased Community Resilience and Social Cohesion in The Gambia and Senegal

Please select the countries where this project is being implemented *

Other, Please specify *

Project Start Date (Date of first transfer) *

2023-04-10

Project End Date *

2026-03-30

Has this project received an extension? *

- ☐ YES, Cost Extension
- ☐ YES, No Cost Extension
- ☐ YES, Both Cost and No Cost Extensions
- ☒ NO, No Extensions

Will this project be requesting an extension? *

- ☐ YES, Cost Extension
- ☐ YES, No Cost Extension
- ☐ YES, Both Cost and No Cost Extensions
- ☒ NO, No Extensions

Will this project be submitting a Fund Transfer Request (FTR) in the next six months? *

- ☐ Yes
- ☒ No

If so, around which month do you expect to submit the request? *

- | | | |
|-------------------------------|--------------------------------|---------------------------------|
| <input type="radio"/> January | <input type="radio"/> February | <input type="radio"/> March |
| <input type="radio"/> April | <input type="radio"/> May | <input type="radio"/> June |
| <input type="radio"/> July | <input type="radio"/> August | <input type="radio"/> September |
| <input type="radio"/> October | <input type="radio"/> November | <input type="radio"/> December |

Is the current project end date within 6 months? *

- ☒ Yes
- ☐ No

Is funding disbursed either into a national or regional trust fund? *

- ☒ Yes
- ☐ No

If yes, please select which *

- ☐ National Trust Fund
- ☒ Regional Trust Fund

Recipients

Is the convening agency a UN agency or a non UN entity? *

- ☒ UN entity
- ☐ Non-UN Entity

Please select the convening agency recipient *

- ☐ UNDP: United Nations Development Programme ☐ IOM: International Organization for Migration
- ☐ UNICEF: United Nations Children's Fund
- ☐ OHCHR: Office of the United Nations High Commissioner for Human Rights
- ☐ UNWOMEN: United Nations Entity for Gender Equality and the Empowerment of Women
- ☐ UNHCR: United Nations High Commissioner for Refugees ☒ UNFPA: United Nations Population Fund
- ☐ FAO: Food and Agriculture Organization ☐ WFP: World Food Programme
- ☐ UNHABITAT: United Nations Human Settlements Programme
- ☐ UNESCO: United Nations Educational, Scientific and Cultural Organization
- ☐ UNEP: United Nations Environment Programme ☐ ILO: International Labour Organization
- ☐ WHO: World Health Organization ☐ PAHO/WHO
- ☐ UNCDF: United Nations Capital Development Fund ☐ UNODC: United Nations Office on Drugs and Crime
- ☐ UNOPS: United Nations Office for Project Services
- ☐ UNIDO: United Nations Industrial Development Organization ☐ ITC: International Trade Centre
- ☐ UNDPO ☐ Other, Specify

Other, Please specify *

Are there other recipients for this project? *

- ☒ No other recipients
- ☐ Yes, other UN recipients only
- ☐ Yes, other non-UN recipients only
- ☐ Yes, both UN and non-UN recipients

Please select other UN recipients

Select all that apply

- ☐ UNDP: United Nations Development Programme
- ☐ IOM: International Organization for Migration
- ☐ UNICEF: United Nations Children's Fund
- ☐ OHCHR: Office of the United Nations High Commissioner for Human Rights
- ☐ UNWOMEN: United Nations Entity for Gender Equality and the Empowerment of Women
- ☐ UNHCR: United Nations High Commissioner for Refugees
- ☐ UNFPA: United Nations Population Fund
- ☐ FAO: Food and Agriculture Organization
- ☐ WFP: World Food Programme
- ☐ UNHABITAT: United Nations Human Settlements Programme
- ☐ UNESCO: United Nations Educational, Scientific and Cultural Organization
- ☐ UNEP: United Nations Environment Programme
- ☐ ILO: International Labour Organization
- ☐ WHO: World Health Organization
- ☐ PAHO/WHO
- ☐ UNCDF: United Nations Capital Development Fund
- ☐ UNODC: United Nations Office on Drugs and Crime
- ☐ UNOPS: United Nations Office for Project Services
- ☐ UNIDO: United Nations Industrial Development Organization
- ☐ ITC: International Trade Centre
- ☐ UN Department of Peace Operations
- ☐ Other, Specify

Other, Please specify

Please select other non-UN recipients

- | | | |
|--|--|---|
| <input type="checkbox"/> ACTED | <input type="checkbox"/> Action Aid UK | <input type="checkbox"/> AAITG (ActionAid the Gambia) |
| <input type="checkbox"/> AEDE | <input type="checkbox"/> African Centre for the Constructive Resolution of Disputes (ACCORD) | |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Agence de Coopération et de Recherche pour le Développement (ACORD) | | |
| <input type="checkbox"/> American Friends Service Committee (AFSC) | <input type="checkbox"/> Avocats Sans Frontières | |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Avocats Sans Frontières Belgium | <input type="checkbox"/> Avocats sans frontières Canada | <input type="checkbox"/> Ayuda en Accion |
| <input type="checkbox"/> BIRN - Balkan Investigative Reporting Network | <input type="checkbox"/> BIOM -Youth Ecological Movemen | |
| <input type="checkbox"/> CARE International UK | <input type="checkbox"/> Centre d'étude et de coopération internationale (CECI) - BF | |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Christian Aid Ireland | <input type="checkbox"/> COIPRODEN | <input type="checkbox"/> Concern Worldwide |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Conexion Guatemala | <input type="checkbox"/> COOPI - Cooperazione Internazionale | <input type="checkbox"/> CORD Burundi |
| <input type="checkbox"/> CORDAID | <input type="checkbox"/> Corporacion Sisma Mujer | <input type="checkbox"/> CRS - Catholic Relief Services |
| <input type="checkbox"/> DanChurchAid | <input type="checkbox"/> Danish Refugee Council | <input type="checkbox"/> EQUITAS |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Fund for Congolese Women | <input type="checkbox"/> Fundacion Estudios Superior (FESU) | <input type="checkbox"/> Fundación Mi Sangre (FMS) |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Fundación Nacional para el Desarrollo de Honduras (FUNADEH) | <input type="checkbox"/> Fundación para la Libertad de Prensa (FLIP) | |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Geneva Centre for Security Sector Governance (DCAF) | <input type="checkbox"/> HELVETAS Swiss Intercooperation | |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Humanity & Inclusion (HI) | <input type="checkbox"/> ICTJ (International Center for Transitional Justice) | |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Instituto Holandes para Democracia Multipartidaria (NIMD) | <input type="checkbox"/> Integrity Watch | |
| <input type="checkbox"/> International Alert | <input type="checkbox"/> International Rescue Committee | <input type="checkbox"/> Interpeace |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Kvinna till Kvinna Foundation | <input type="checkbox"/> Life and Peace Institute (LPI) | |
| <input type="checkbox"/> MDG-EISA - Institut Electoral pour une Démocratie Durable en Afrique (EISA), bureau de Madagascar | | |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Mercy Corps | <input type="checkbox"/> MLAL - ProgettoMondo | <input type="checkbox"/> MSIS-TATAO |
| <input type="checkbox"/> NIMD (Netherlands Institute for Multiparty Democracy) | <input type="checkbox"/> Nonviolent Peaceforce | |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Norwegian Refugee Council (NRC) | <input type="checkbox"/> Nile Sustainable Development Organization - NSDO | |
| <input type="checkbox"/> OCNH-Organisation des Citoyens pour une Nouvelle Haïti | <input type="checkbox"/> OIKOS | |
| <input type="checkbox"/> ONG Adkoul - ONG Adkoul | <input type="checkbox"/> ONG AZHAR | <input type="checkbox"/> OXFAM |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Peace Direct | <input type="checkbox"/> Plan International | <input type="checkbox"/> PNG UN Country Fund |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Red de Instituciones por los Derechos de la Niñez | <input type="checkbox"/> ROI - Roza Otunbayeva Initiati | |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Saferworld | <input type="checkbox"/> Sampan'Asa Momba ny Fampandrosoana (SAF/FJKM) | |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Save the Children | <input type="checkbox"/> Search for Common Ground (SFCG) | |
| <input type="checkbox"/> SIHA (Strategic Initiative for Women in the Horn of Africa) | <input type="checkbox"/> SismaMujer | |
| <input type="checkbox"/> SOS Sahel Sudan | <input type="checkbox"/> Stichting Impunity Watch | <input type="checkbox"/> Tearfund |
| <input type="checkbox"/> The Carter Center, Inc. | <input type="checkbox"/> Trocaire | <input type="checkbox"/> War Child |
| <input type="checkbox"/> War Childhood Museum (WCM) | <input type="checkbox"/> World Vision International | <input type="checkbox"/> World Vision Myanmar |
| <input type="checkbox"/> ZOA | <input type="checkbox"/> blank_placeholder | <input type="checkbox"/> Other, Please specify |

Other, Please specify

Implementing Partners

To how many implementing partners has the project transferred money **since the project's start** ?

5

To how many implementing partners has the project transferred money **during this calendar period** ?

*(for June reports: January-June;
for November reports: January-December (anticipated);
for final reports: full project duration)*

2

Please list all of the project's implementing partners and the amounts (in USD) transferred to each, both since the project's start, and specifically during this calendar period

Please select the type of organisation which best describes the type of implementing partner *

- ☐ National youth CSO
- ☐ National women's CSO
- ☒ Other National CSO
- ☐ Subnational youth CSO
- ☐ Subnational women's CSO
- ☐ Other subnational CSO
- ☐ Regional CSO
- ☐ Regional Organisation
- ☐ International NGO
- ☐ Governmental entity
- ☐ National women's and youth CSO
- ☐ Subnational women's and youth CSO
- ☐ Other

Other, Please specify

Umbrella National CSO for women, youth and PDW

What is the name of the Implementing Partner *

The Association of Non-Governmental Organization

What is the planned total amount (in USD) for the **overall duration of the project** to be disbursed to this implementing partner? *

Please use a dot (.) as decimal separator, instead of a comma (,)

344315.97

What is the total amount (in USD) disbursed to the implementing partner **since the project's start?** *

Please use a dot (.) as decimal separator, instead of a comma (,)

273783.1

What is the total amount (in USD) disbursed to the implementing partner **during this calendar period** *

Please use a dot (.) as decimal separator, instead of a comma (,)

70532.87

Briefly describe the main activities carried out by the Implementing Partner during this calendar period *

Please limit your response to 1500 characters

The Association of Non Governmental Organizations: Conducted a conference with CSOs on the theme "Strengthening Partnership for Sustainable Development: Empowering Civil Society to Address Poverty and Build a Unified Future".

Conducted CSOs' strategic board meeting.

2

Please list all of the project's implementing partners and the amounts (in USD) transferred to each, both since the project's start, and specifically during this calendar period

Please select the type of organisation which best describes the type of implementing partner *

- ☐ National youth CSO
- ☐ National women's CSO
- ☐ Other National CSO
- ☐ Subnational youth CSO
- ☐ Subnational women's CSO
- ☐ Other subnational CSO
- ☐ Regional CSO
- ☐ Regional Organisation
- ☐ International NGO
- ☒ Governmental entity
- ☐ National women's and youth CSO
- ☐ Subnational women's and youth CSO
- ☐ Other

Other, Please specify

What is the name of the Implementing Partner *

Ministry of Gender Children and Social Welfare

What is the planned total amount (in USD) for the **overall duration of the project** to be disbursed to this implementing partner? *

Please use a dot (.) as decimal separator, instead of a comma (,)

12472

What is the total amount (in USD) disbursed to the implementing partner **since the project's start**? *

Please use a dot (.) as decimal separator, instead of a comma (,)

12472

What is the total amount (in USD) disbursed to the implementing partner **during this calendar period**? *

Please use a dot (.) as decimal separator, instead of a comma (,)

0

Briefly describe the main activities carried out by the Implementing Partner during this calendar period. *

Please limit your response to 1500 characters

Jointly implemented initiatives with the above organizations. Supported International Women's Day event and FGM zero tolerance day.

3

Please list all of the project's implementing partners and the amounts (in USD) transferred to each, both since the project's start, and specifically during this calendar period

Please select the type of organisation which best describes the type of implementing partner *

- ☐ National youth CSO
- ☐ National women's CSO
- ☐ Other National CSO
- ☐ Subnational youth CSO
- ☐ Subnational women's CSO
- ☐ Other subnational CSO
- ☐ Regional CSO
- ☐ Regional Organisation
- ☒ International NGO
- ☐ Governmental entity
- ☐ National women's and youth CSO
- ☐ Subnational women's and youth CSO
- ☐ Other

Other, Please specify

What is the name of the Implementing Partner

ActionAid International the Gambia

What is the planned total amount (in USD) for the **overall duration of the project** to be disbursed to this implementing partner?

Please use a dot (.) as decimal separator, instead of a comma (,)

284214

What is the total amount (in USD) disbursed to the implementing partner **since the project's start?**

Please use a dot (.) as decimal separator, instead of a comma (,)

284214

What is the total amount (in USD) disbursed to the implementing partner **during this calendar period?**

Please use a dot (.) as decimal separator, instead of a comma (,)

0

Briefly describe the main activities carried out by the Implementing Partner during this calendar period

Please limit your response to 1500 characters

Conducted training on human rights based approach targeting members of 22 CSOs.

Trained CSO board members on governance and board formation.

Provided financial grants to four CSOs under its portfolio.

Please list all of the project's implementing partners and the amounts (in USD) transferred to each, both since the project's start, and specifically during this calendar period

Please select the type of organisation which best describes the type of implementing partner *

- ☐ National youth CSO
- ☐ National women's CSO
- ☐ Other National CSO
- ☐ Subnational youth CSO
- ☐ Subnational women's CSO
- ☐ Other subnational CSO
- ☐ Regional CSO
- ☐ Regional Organisation
- ☒ International NGO
- ☐ Governmental entity
- ☐ National women's and youth CSO
- ☐ Subnational women's and youth CSO
- ☐ Other

Other, Please specify

What is the name of the Implementing Partner *

Child fund International the Gambia

What is the planned total amount (in USD) for the **overall duration of the project** to be disbursed to this implementing partner? *

Please use a dot (.) as decimal separator, instead of a comma (,)

265441.06

What is the total amount (in USD) disbursed to the implementing partner **since the project's start**? *

Please use a dot (.) as decimal separator, instead of a comma (,)

265441.06

What is the total amount (in USD) disbursed to the implementing partner **during this calendar period?** *

Please use a dot (.) as decimal separator, instead of a comma (,)

0

Briefly describe the main activities carried out by the Implementing Partner during this calendar period *

Please limit your response to 1500 characters

Supported quality data collection and statistics on current data gaps on GEWE to promote advocacy and track progress.

Strengthened coordination with the Ministry of Gender, security units and reviewed existing tools and training modules on SGBV.

Developed database of donors and CSOs working on peace building.

5

Please list all of the project's implementing partners and the amounts (in USD) transferred to each, both since the project's start, and specifically during this calendar period

Please select the type of organisation which best describes the type of implementing partner *

- ☐ National youth CSO
- ☐ National women's CSO
- ☐ Other National CSO
- ☐ Subnational youth CSO
- ☐ Subnational women's CSO
- ☐ Other subnational CSO
- ☐ Regional CSO
- ☐ Regional Organisation
- ☒ International NGO
- ☐ Governmental entity
- ☐ National women's and youth CSO
- ☐ Subnational women's and youth CSO
- ☐ Other

Other, Please specify

What is the name of the Implementing Partner *

ActionAid International the Gambia

What is the planned total amount (in USD) for the **overall duration of the project** to be disbursed to this implementing partner? *

Please use a dot (.) as decimal separator, instead of a comma (,)

284214

What is the total amount (in USD) disbursed to the implementing partner **since the project's start**? *

Please use a dot (.) as decimal separator, instead of a comma (,)

284214

What is the total amount (in USD) disbursed to the implementing partner **during this calendar period**? *

Please use a dot (.) as decimal separator, instead of a comma (,)

0

Briefly describe the main activities carried out by the Implementing Partner during this calendar period? *

Please limit your response to 1500 characters

Conducted training on human rights based approach targeting members of 22 CSOs.

Trained CSO board members on governance and board formation.

Provided financial grants to four CSOs under its portfolio.

Financial Reporting

» Delivery by Recipient

Please enter the total amounts in full US dollars allocated to each recipient organization

Please enter the original budget amount, amount transferred to date and estimated expenditure by recipient.

*Please make sure you enter the correct amount. All values should be entered in **US Dollars***

For cross-border projects, group the amounts by agency, even if different country offices are involved. You will have the opportunity to share a more detailed budget in the next section.

Recipients	Total Project Budget (in full US \$) <i>Please enter the total budget as is in the project document in US Dollars</i>	Transfers to date (in full US \$) <i>Please enter the total amount transferred to each recipient to date in US Dollars</i>	Expenditure to date (in full US \$) <i>Please enter the approximate amount spent to date in US dollars</i>	Implementation rate as a percentage of total budget (calculated automatically)

UNFPA: United Nations Population Fund	*	*	*	64.98%
2500000		2500000	1624433.51	
	*	*	*	%
	*	*	*	%
	*	*	*	%
	*	*	*	%
	*	*	*	%
	*	*	*	%
	*	*	*	%
	*	*	*	%
	*	*	*	%
	*	*	*	%
	*	*	*	%

	*	*	*	%
	*	*	*	%
	*	*	*	%
	*	*	*	%
TOTAL	2500000	2500000	1624433.51	64.98%

The approximate implementation rate as percentage of total project budget based on the values entered in the above matrix is **64.98%**. Can you confirm that this is correct? *

☐ Correct ☒ Incorrect

If it is incorrect, please enter the approximate implementation rate as a % *

70

» Gender-responsive Budgeting

Indicate what **percentage (%)** of the budget contributes to gender equality or women's empowerment (GEWE) as per the project document? *

99.9

The dollar amount of the budget contributing to Gender Equality and Women's Empowerment (GEWE) based on percentage entered above and total project budget is **US \$ 2497500**. Can you confirm that this is correct? *

☒ Correct ☐ Incorrect

If it is incorrect, please enter the *budget amount* allocated to GEWE in US Dollars *

2500000

Amount expended to date on efforts contributing to gender equality or women's empowerment is **US \$ 1622809.08**. Is this correct? *

☐ Correct ☒ Incorrect

If it is incorrect, please enter the *expenditure to date* on GEWE in US dollars *

1624433.51

ATTACH PROJECT EXCEL BUDGET SHOWING CURRENT APPROXIMATE EXPENDITURE. *

The templates for the budget are available [here](#)

PBF_GPI-Project Budget__Expenditure Budget_Nov_2025-12_55_14.xlsx



Project Markers

Please select the Gender Marker Associated with this project *

- ☐ Score 1 for projects that contribute in some way to gender equality, but not significantly (less than 30% of the total budget for GEWE)
- ☐ Score 2 for projects that have gender equality as a significant objective and allocate between 30 and 79% of the total project budget to GEWE
- ☒ Score 3 for projects that have gender equality as a principal objective and allocate at least 80% of the total project budget to Gender Equality and Women's Empowerment (GEWE)

Please select the Risk Marker Associated with this project *

- ☒ Risk marker 0 = low risk to achieving outcomes
- ☐ Risk marker 1 = medium risk to achieving outcomes
- ☐ Risk marker 2 = high risk to achieving outcomes

Please select the PBF Focus Area associated with this project *

- ☐ (1.1) Security Sector Reform
- ☐ (1.2) Rule of Law
- ☐ (1.3) Demobilisation, Disarmament and Reintegration
- ☐ (1.4) Political Dialogue
- ☐ (2.1) National reconciliation
- ☐ (2.2) Democratic Governance
- ☐ (2.3) Conflict prevention/management
- ☐ (3.1) Employment
- ☐ (3.2) Equitable access to social services
- ☒ (4.1) Strengthening of essential national state capacity
- ☐ (4.2) Extension of state authority/Local Administration
- ☐ (4.3) Governance of peacebuilding resources (including PBF Secretariats)

Is the project part of one or more PBF priority windows? *

Select all that apply

- ☒ Gender promotion initiative
- ☐ Youth promotion initiative
- ☐ Transition from UN or regional peacekeeping or special political missions
- ☐ Cross-border or regional project
- ☐ None

Steering Committee and Government engagement

Does the project have an active steering committee/ project board? *

- ☒ Yes
- ☐ No

If yes, please indicate how many times the Project Steering Committee has met over the last 6 months?

Please limit your response to 3000 characters

During the reporting period, the project steering committee met once to take stock of project's key results, deliberate on implementation strategy, review and approve the annual work plan 2025 and make recommendations on available opportunities for leveraging resources.

Please provide a brief description of any engagement that the project has had with the government over the last 6 months. Please indicate what level of government the project has been engaging with.

Please limit your response to 3000 characters

The Government of The Gambia plays a pivotal role in the implementation of the Gender Promotion Initiative (GPI) 2.0 project, primarily through the active involvement of the Ministry of Gender, Children and Social Welfare (MoGCSW). As chair of the Project Steering Committee (PSC), the Ministry provides strategic guidance, ensures alignment with national priorities and policies, and offers critical advisory support. It also reviews project progress, helps navigate regulatory frameworks, and facilitates access to essential infrastructure, reinforcing its leadership in gender-responsive development.

From the outset, the Ministry of Gender Children and Social Welfare has been central to shaping the project's direction and impact. Its leadership has ensured that GPI 2.0 remains focused on advancing gender equality, women's empowerment, and peacebuilding. During the second phase, particularly in the review and approval of grant proposals for the 20 civil society organizations (CSOs), the Ministry's impartial and technically sound approach significantly improved the quality and relevance of submissions. Constructive feedback and recommended revisions to the CSOs fostered a culture of learning and capacity development, enabling CSOs to better articulate their goals, align with national gender frameworks, and implement more effective interventions.

Beyond technical oversight, the Ministry's involvement has symbolized a strong and meaningful partnership between government and civil society. Its active engagement has reinforced the project's legitimacy and demonstrated a commitment to inclusive governance. By serving as a bridge between grassroots organizations and national institutions, the Ministry has ensured that the voices of women-led and women-focused CSOs are reflected in broader policy dialogues.

The Ministry has also contributed to institutional strengthening by supporting the development of governance frameworks, facilitating inter-agency coordination, and promoting accountability mechanisms. Its role in mobilizing political will and aligning the project with national strategies such as the National Gender Policy and international commitments like CEDAW and UNSCR 1325 has been vital to the project's credibility and long-term sustainability. In essence, the Ministry's leadership has extended far beyond administrative oversight. It has been a driving force behind the project's success, elevating implementation standards, deepening stakeholder collaboration, and positioning GPI 2.0 as a model for government-CSO partnership in promoting gender equality and peacebuilding in The Gambia.

PART I: OVERALL PROJECT PROGRESS

NOTES FOR COMPLETING THE REPORT:

- Avoid acronyms and UN jargon, use general /common language.
- Report on what has been achieved in the reporting period, not what the project aims to do.
- Be as concrete as possible. Avoid theoretical, vague or conceptual discourse.
- Ensure the analysis and project progress assessment is gender and age sensitive.

Please rate the implementation status of the following preliminary/preparatory activities

Contracting of partners



Not Started



Initiated



Partially Completed



Completed



Not Applicable

Staff Recruitment *

- ☐ Not Started
 ☐ Initiated
 ☐ Partially Completed
 ☒ Completed
 ☐ Not Applicable

Collection of baselines *

- ☐ Not Started
 ☐ Initiated
 ☐ Partially Completed
 ☒ Completed
 ☐ Not Applicable

Identification of beneficiaries *

- ☐ Not Started
 ☐ Initiated
 ☐ Partially Completed
 ☒ Completed
 ☐ Not Applicable

Provide any additional descriptive information relating to the status of the project, including whether preliminary/preparatory activities have been completed (i.e. contracting of partners, staff recruitment, etc.)

Please limit your response to 3000 characters

The Gender Promotion Initiative (GPI) 2.0 project is progressing steadily, with all key preliminary and preparatory activities successfully completed. With strong support from the Government of The Gambia particularly through the Ministry of Gender, Children and Social Welfare, the project laid a solid foundation in the three target regions: West Coast Region (WCR), Upper River Region (URR), and Lower River Region (LRR). The Ministry's leadership and coordination have been instrumental in ensuring a structured and strategic start.

A major early milestone was the identification and engagement of 23 women-led and women-focused civil society organizations (CSOs) through a competitive selection process. This was formalized through signed Memoranda of Understanding (MoUs) and detailed work plans, clarifying roles, responsibilities, and expected outcomes. The selected CSOs include 20 grassroots organizations and 3 umbrella bodies, chosen for their potential to advance gender equality and peacebuilding.

In November 2023, the project inducted its technical staff, initiating operational implementation. This was followed by capacity assessments using the Organization Capacity Assessment Tool (OCAT), which identified key institutional and technical gaps in program delivery, financial management, governance, and strategic planning.

To address these gaps, the project launched a comprehensive capacity-building program. By November 2024, 90% of the recommended trainings had been delivered, reaching over 500 participants across the three regions. These efforts have significantly strengthened the CSOs' operational and strategic capacities.

Institutional support complemented the training, including the provision of laptops, accounting software, and tailored financial management sessions. The project also supported the development of finance and audit policies to ensure transparency and accountability.

Each of the 20 CSOs received a grant of D500,000 to implement Gender Transformative interventions addressing human rights issues. These initiatives have focused on ending harmful practices, promoting women's leadership, and advocating for the rights of marginalized groups, especially girls and youth. Together, these foundational activities have positioned the CSOs to operate more effectively and sustainably. Strengthened capacities, improved coordination, and shared learning have laid the groundwork for a unified coalition advocating for gender equality and peacebuilding in The Gambia. The project's early achievements reflect a well-executed start and a promising route towards its long-term goals.

Describe overall progress under each Outcome made during the reporting period (*for June reports: January-June; for November reports: January-December (anticipated); for final reports: full project duration*). Do not list individual activities. If the project is starting to make/has made a difference at the outcome level, provide specific evidence for the progress (quantitative and qualitative) and explain how it impacts the broader political and peacebuilding context.

Is the project on track for the timely completion of outputs as indicated in the workplan? *

☒ Yes

☐ No

If no, please provide an explanation *

Please limit your response to 6000 characters

Project progress summary

Please limit your response to 6000 characters

The Gender Promotion Initiative (GPI) 2.0 project is firmly on track to achieve its planned outputs within the stipulated timeframe, as outlined in the approved work plan. From inception, the project adopted a strategic and inclusive approach to engage civil society organizations (CSOs) across West Coast Region (WCR), Upper River Region (URR), and Lower River Region (LRR). These initial engagements sensitized CSOs on the project's objectives, expected outputs, and long-term outcomes, particularly around gender equality, women's empowerment, and peacebuilding. Following these efforts, a formal call for applications was launched, attracting widespread interest from CSOs in the target regions. Through a rigorous and technically supported selection process, 23 CSOs were chosen from 43 applicants, 20 grassroots organizations and 3 umbrella CSOs all women-led and women-focused. This selection aligned with the project's commitment to promoting gender equality and amplifying women's voices in civic spaces. An initial organizational capacity assessment evaluated the selected CSOs in programmatic delivery, financial management, and governance. The assessment revealed significant gaps, especially in areas critical to advancing gender equality and peacebuilding. These gaps were categorized under three strategic pillars: (1) participation of women in leadership, (2) protection from harmful practices, and (3) prevention of human rights abuses targeting women and girls. To address these gaps, GPI 2.0 implemented a comprehensive capacity-building program tailored to each CSO. The trainings covered are; Gender Transformative Approaches, Human Rights-Based Programming, Monitoring and Evaluation, Resource Mobilization, Social Norms, Financial Management using QuickBooks, Governance, Project Management, Gender Mainstreaming, and Gender-Responsive Budgeting. These sessions aimed to build technical skills and foster institutional resilience and sustainability. In addition to training, the project supported the development of key organizational documents such as finance and procurement policies. Strategic planning processes were initiated for all CSOs, with most nearing completion. These plans will guide long-term institutional growth and programmatic effectiveness, ensuring sustainability beyond the project's lifespan. A midterm evaluation measured progress against the baseline assessment and showed marked improvements in organizational capacity. Many CSOs now demonstrate strengths in specific thematic areas, enhancing their ability to form coalitions and collaborate effectively. The experience of receiving second-round grants further strengthened their understanding of proposal development, project implementation, and community-based peacebuilding. These grants also enabled CSOs to advocate more effectively for marginalized groups, especially in gender equality and transformative social change. Institutional governance has also advanced under GPI 2.0. CSOs participated in governance workshops that led to the development and refinement of board policies and operational procedures. A key milestone was the 2025 Annual General Meeting (AGM) of The Association of Non-Governmental Organizations (TANGO), held in September under a newly reviewed constitution. This document now serves as a framework for governance, leadership elections, and organizational accountability, reinforcing TANGO's commitment to democratic principles and institutional effectiveness. The AGM, themed "Partnership for Sustainable Development," convened 164 participants; 54 women, 110 men, and 01 person with a disability to reflect on achievements, address challenges, and renew their commitment to national development and inclusive civil society engagement. Despite challenges such as donor fatigue, delays in finalizing the NGO Bill, logistical constraints in rural outreach, and low participation due to unpaid dues, the meeting strengthened coordination and accountability among CSOs. It emphasized transparency, regular engagement, and capacity-building, while highlighting the value of media and government partnerships in advocacy. Key recommendations included finalizing the NGO Bill, enhancing youth and disability inclusion, and sustaining institutional growth through ongoing training and support. In parallel, the Network Against Gender-Based Violence (NGBV) initiated a constitutional review in preparation for its upcoming AGM. This reflects its continued commitment to transparency, democratic leadership, and governance strengthening in line with GPI 2.0 goals. Together, these developments mark significant progress in institutional governance and reinforce CSOs' role in promoting inclusive development and peacebuilding in The Gambia. Another milestone has been the establishment of a centralized database for all CSOs operating in The Gambia. Though in its final stages, the database is a vital tool for enhancing coordination, collaboration, and information sharing. It strengthens the civic space by enabling data-driven decision-making, improving resource allocation, and fostering collective action in peacebuilding. It also lays the foundation for a robust Gender Equality and Women's Empowerment (GEWE) network, essential for sustaining GPI 2.0's gains. In summary, GPI 2.0 is progressing well and remains on track to complete its outputs. Through strategic engagement, targeted capacity building, institutional strengthening, and enhanced coordination, the project has laid a solid foundation for sustainable gender-responsive peacebuilding in The Gambia. Achievements to date reflect a well-executed strategy and a strong commitment to empowering civil society actors to lead transformative change in their communities.

Indicate any additional analysis on how Gender Equality and Women's Empowerment and/or Youth Inclusion and Responsiveness has been ensured by the project to date

Please limit your response to 3000 characters

Gender Equality and Women's Empowerment (GEWE) and Youth Inclusion and Responsiveness have been ensured by the project through several key mechanisms. Firstly, the project strategically selected 23 CSOs with a focus on women's issues, representing a direct commitment to empowering organizations working on GEWE. This focus is further underscored by the fact that a significant 99.9% of the project budget is allocated to contribute to gender equality or women's empowerment. Secondly, beyond general capacity building, the project provided targeted training in crucial GEWE-related areas such as the Gender Transformative Approach (GTA), which aimed to equip participants with skills for gender-responsive programming. The inclusion of topics like Gender Mainstreaming and Budgeting also ensured that CSOs can effectively integrate gender considerations into their organizational practices and project proposals. Thirdly, the active involvement of three umbrella CSOs in advocating against the repeal of the FGM Law demonstrates the project's support in amplifying the voices of civil society on critical women's rights issues, leading to tangible policy protection.

For Youth Inclusion and Responsiveness, the project deliberately engaged eight youth organizations as implementing partners, constituting 34.8% of the total CSOs. These youth-focused organizations are receiving tailored capacity building and benefitting from peer-mentorship to strengthen their ability to address women's issues, thereby ensuring youth play an active role in GEWE efforts. The project's overall strategies are also designed to support the greater participation, protection, and representation of women, girls, and youth in decision-making processes. Finally, the provision of financial grants of D500,000 to each of the 20 CSOs specifically to design and implement Gender Transformative interventions in their communities further empowers these organizations, including youth groups, to directly address gender inequality and women's empowerment on the ground.

Is the project 1+ year in implementation?

☒ Yes

☐ No

FOR PROJECTS 1+ YEAR IN IMPLEMENTATION ONLY:

Is the project demonstrating outcome-level peacebuilding results?

Outcome-level peacebuilding results entail results achieved at the societal or structural level, including changed attitudes, behaviours or institutions.

☒ Yes

☐ No

If yes, please provide concrete examples of such peacebuilding results

Please limit your response to 6000 characters

The Gender Promotion Initiative (GPI) 2.0 project is demonstrating clear outcome-level peacebuilding results through strategic engagement with the 23 civil society organizations (CSOs), community leaders, and institutional stakeholders. From its inception, the project has cultivated a skilled and vibrant civic space that contributes meaningfully to peacebuilding efforts across The Gambia. This is evident not only in the activities implemented but also in the sustainable structures and networks developed to promote gender equality, social cohesion, and human rights protection. Central to GPI 2.0 is its inclusive approach, ensuring that no one is left behind. The project prioritizes the participation of women, girls, boys, and persons with disabilities, recognizing that sustainable peace requires addressing the rights and needs of all groups. Through targeted interventions, GPI 2.0 has challenged harmful social norms and practices such as female genital mutilation (FGM), child marriage, and gender-based violence (GBV). These efforts are supported by advocacy and policy engagement aimed at shifting institutional attitudes and promoting systemic change.

A key outcome has been the increased awareness and mobilization around gender justice and human rights. GPI 2.0 has facilitated community dialogues, media campaigns, and policy consultations that have led to growing commitments from both community and policy leaders. These are reflected in public declarations, policy revisions, and resource allocations supporting gender equality and protection of marginalized groups. Media reforms initiated through GPI-supported advocacy have amplified the voices of survivors and activists, while government support has been secured for initiatives addressing harmful practices.

Partnerships with umbrella CSOs such as The Association of Non-Governmental Organisations (TANGO) and the Network Against Gender-Based Violence (NGBV) have further strengthened the project's peacebuilding impact. These organizations have coordinated joint actions, facilitated stakeholder engagement, and enhanced the capacity of grassroots actors. Regular stakeholder meetings have improved collaboration among service providers, law enforcement, and community leaders, resulting in more effective prevention and response mechanisms for GBV. Youth engagement has also been a cornerstone of the project's strategy. By empowering young people to challenge stereotypes, advocate for equality, and participate in decision-making, GPI 2.0 has fostered a new generation of peacebuilders. Youth-led initiatives have addressed issues such as civic participation, mental health, and social inclusion, contributing to a more resilient and cohesive society. A notable example of the project's impact was the civic space's response to the deaths of two baby girls due to FGM-related complications in June and August 2025. CSOs and activists collectively submitted a joint Press statement to government authorities and the public expressing concern over ongoing human rights violations. This action prompted a swift government response, including investigations and efforts to hold perpetrators accountable. The civic space continues to monitor the case, demonstrating its commitment to justice and collective advocacy.

These developments reflect a shift from isolated interventions to coordinated, strategic efforts that address root causes of inequality and conflict. The project's emphasis on collaboration and networking has created a platform for sustained dialogue, mutual accountability, and shared learning. This has enhanced the effectiveness of peacebuilding initiatives and contributed to the institutionalization of gender-sensitive policies and practices. GPI 2.0 has also supported capacity-building programs for CSOs, enabling them to implement evidence-based interventions and engage in policy advocacy. Trainings on gender analysis, conflict sensitivity, and monitoring and evaluation have equipped CSOs with tools to deliver impactful programs. These efforts have improved service delivery, strengthened community engagement, and increased visibility of gender issues in national discourse. The project's alignment with national and international frameworks such as the Action Plan on UN Security Council Resolution 1325 and recommendations of the Truth, Reconciliation and Reparations Commission (TRRC) underscores its strategic relevance. By supporting these frameworks, GPI 2.0 contributes to broader peacebuilding and transitional justice efforts in The Gambia.

In conclusion, GPI 2.0 is not only achieving outcome-level peacebuilding results but is also laying the foundation for long-term societal transformation. Through inclusive programming, strategic partnerships, and evidence-based advocacy, the project is catalyzing meaningful change in attitudes, policies, and practices strengthening civic space and empowering communities to sustain peace and promote gender equality.

If yes, please provide sources or references (including links) as evidence of peacebuilding results, or submit them as additional attachments.

Evidence may be quantitative or qualitative but needs to demonstrate progress against outcome indicators in the project results framework. Sources may include project surveys (such as perception surveys), monitoring reports, government documents, or other knowledge products that have been developed by the project.

yes

File attachment

[Click here to upload file. \(< 10MB\)](#)

PART II: PROJECT RESULTS FRAMEWORK

How many OUTCOMES does this project have *

1

2

3

4

5

more than 5.

Please write out the project outcomes as they are in the project results framework found in the project document

Outcome 1:

Strengthened Institutional Capacity of CSOs for implementation of the National Action Plan on UNSCR 1325 Recommendations.

Outcome 2:

Strengthened partnerships and improved coordination of peacebuilding/GEWE Stakeholders

Outcome 3:

Outcome 4:

Outcome 5:

Outcome 6:

Outcome 7:

Outcome 8:

*

Additional Outcomes

If the project has more than 8 outcomes, please enumerate the remaining outcomes here

*

INDICATOR BASED PERFORMANCE ASSESSMENT

Using the Project Results Framework as per the approved project document or any amendments, provide an update on the achievement of all **outcome** and **output** indicators in the table below.

- Where it has not been possible to collect data on indicators, state this and provide any explanation.
- Provide gender and age disaggregated data. (500 characters max per entry)

» **Outcome 1: Strengthened Institutional Capacity of CSOs for implementation of the National Action Plan on UNSCR 1325 Recommendations.**

O C 1	Outcome Indicator s	Indicator Baseline	End of Project Indicator Target	Indicator progress for reporting period	Indicator progress since project's start	Reasons for Variance/ Delay (if any)
1. 1	Indicator 1.a Percentage of the supported organizations have established processes for programme coordination, monitoring, and financial management for improved programmes.	0	80% of CSOs Engaged (both grassroot and umbrella organisation) meet the set criteria for next level of operations.	Will be reported in final evaluation in May 2026 In 2025, proposals from all 20 CSOs were reviewed and approved by the Project Steering Committee and funds are on the way to be disbursed.	The CSOs were provided with the grant of GMD 500,000 in 2024 to implement activities on girls/women empowerment. In 2025, proposals from all 20 CSOs were reviewed and approved by the Project Steering Committee and funds are on the way to be disbursed.	NA
1. 2	Indicator 1.1 Percentage of engaged CSOs (both grassroot and umbrella organization) that meet the set criteria for next level of operations	0	90	Will be reported in final evaluation in May 2026	Will be reported in final evaluation in May 2026	NA

1. 3	Indicator 1.2 Proportion of CSOs showing improved participation in conflict resolution and programme performance	0	23 Umbrella CSOs and CBOs	Will be reported after final evaluation of the project by March 2026.	Will be reported after final evaluation of the project by March 2026.	NA
1. 4	Indicator 1.3 Protections Mechanism for the rights and wellbeing of women and girls strengthened	Weak	Strong	Will be reported after final evaluation of the project by March 2026.	Will be reported after final evaluation of the project by March 2026.	NA
1. 5						

How many outputs does outcome 1 have?

1 2 **3** 4 5 more than 5.

Please list all outputs for outcome 1

Output 1.1

Women focused CSOs' identified, assessed, and targeted for improved capacity to implement gender related programmes and peacebuilding.

Output 1.2

CSO's capacity enhanced to participate in indigenous conflict prevention and resolution processes

Output 1.3

Enhanced protection mechanisms to guarantee the rights and wellbeing of women and girls.

Output 1.4

Output 1.5

Other Outputs

If Outcome 1 has more than 5 outputs, please enumerate the remaining outputs here

For each output, and using the, project results framework, provide an update on the progress made against all output indicators

» **Output 1.1: Women focused CSOs' identified, assessed, and targeted for improved capacity to implement gender related programmes and peacebuilding.**

1. 1	Output Indicators <i>Describe the indicator</i>	Indicator Baseline <i>State the baseline value of the indicator</i>	End of Project Indicator Target <i>State the target value of the indicator at the end of the project</i>	Indicator progress for reporting period <i>State the current value of the indicator for the reporting period</i>	Indicator progress since project's start <i>State the current cumulative value of the indicator since the start of the project</i>	Reasons for Variance/ Delay (if any) <i>Explain why the indicator is off track or has changed, where relevant</i>
1. 1. 1	Indicator 1.1.1 TOR for CSOs' selections Finalized and used	NO	YES	Completed	TORs for CSOs' selection finalized and used to onboard CSOs.	NA
1. 1. 2	Indicator 1.1.2 Number of CSOs and people that participated in the pre-selection's training conducted before the call for application	0	96	Completed	96 CSOs/CBOs and people (Basse- 39; Brikama- 24;Soma -33) actively participated in the 3 days pre selection training	NA
1. 1. 3	Indicator 1.1.3 Number of applications received from CSOs for both levels.	0	96	Completed	52 applications received. 63% Women led and Women focused; 29% Youth led and Youth focused; 8%others	Not all 96 CSOs submitted proposals.

1. 1. 4	Indicator 1.1.4. a. A need assessment report for umbrella organisations and CBOs with clear recommendations on capacity building produced	0	1	Completed	A need assessment conducted with identifying gaps and clear recommendations.	NA
1. 1. 5	b. Organizational development plans and implementation roadmap for both Umbrella and CBOs developed and in use	No	Yes	Completed	Organizational development plans and implementation roadmaps developed for umbrella and CBOs.	

» **Output 1.2: CSO's capacity enhanced to participate in indigenous conflict prevention and resolution processes**

1. 2	Output Indicators <i>Describe the indicator</i>	Indicator Baseline <i>State the baseline value of the indicator</i>	End of Project Indicator Target <i>State the target value of the indicator at the end of the project</i>	Indicator progress for reporting period <i>State the current value of the indicator for the reporting period</i>	Indicator progress since project's start <i>State the current cumulative value of the indicator since the start of the project</i>	Reasons for Variance/ Delay (if any) <i>Explain why the indicator is off track or has changed, where relevant</i>
1. 2. 1	Indicator 1.2.1 # of umbrella CSO and CBOs' staff trained on different thematic areas	0	23 CSOs and 65 staff trained	0	500 (women: 239; PWD:15) of 25 CSOs trained on different thematic areas.	The total reach isn't a single count and the same person from 25 CSOs received various training sessions.
1. 2. 2	Indicator 1.2.2 # of CSO's and focal persons reached through GBV/ gender orientation at both levels.	0	23 CSOs and staff	0	134 (women: 74; PWD:3) of 25 CSOs and focal persons reached through GBV/ gender orientation at both levels.	NA
1. 2. 3	Indicator 1.2.3 a) Number of organisations that received and implemented financial grants on peacebuilding initiatives b) % of grant projects effectively implemented had value for money and on time	0	a) 20 CSOs (Actual Grant recipients) b) 70% of grant effectively implemented had value for money and on time	0 b) will be reported after final evaluation by March 2026	a) 20 CSOs received grants of GMD 500,000. Each in 2024. b) will be reported after final evaluation by March 2026	NA

1. 2. 4	Indicator 1.2.4 a) Number of Umbrella CSOs capacitated to receive, disburse, and monitor small grants to CBO's	0	a) 3 Umbrella Organizations	a) Completed	a) 3 Umbrella CSOs capacitated to receive, disburse, and monitor small grants to 20 CBOs	NA
1. 2. 5	Indicator 1.2.4 b) Evidence of better programme monitoring, financial management and programme coordination from Umbrella CSOs	0	b) Better programme monitoring, financial management and programme coordination from Umbrella CSOs.	b) Umbrella CSOs are providing programme monitoring, financial management and coordination support to the CSOs.	b) Umbrella CSOs are providing programme monitoring, financial management and coordination support to the CSOs.	NA

» **Output 1.3: Enhanced protection mechanisms to guarantee the rights and wellbeing of women and girls.**

1. 3	Output Indicators <i>Describe the indicator</i>	Indicator Baseline <i>State the baseline value of the indicator</i>	End of Project Indicator Target <i>State the target value of the indicator at the end of the project</i>	Indicator progress for reporting period <i>State the current value of the indicator for the reporting period</i>	Indicator progress since project's start <i>State the current cumulative value of the indicator since the start of the project</i>	Reasons for Variance/ Delay (if any) <i>Explain why the indicator is off track or has changed, where relevant</i>
1. 3. 1	Indicator 1.3.1 Existence of Training modules for law Office, judicial officers, Police, Drug Law Enforcement Agency, and Immigration validated and finalized and used in targeted trainings	0	1 module with 5 themes validated and 50 law enforcement officers trained on them	The module has been developed and validated and 42 (25 women) law enforcement officers were trained.	The module has been developed and validated and 42 (25 women) law enforcement officers were trained.	NA
1. 3. 2	Indicator 1.3.2 Strengthened coordination at the Ministry of Gender with quarterly meeting of child welfare and gender units in the security agencies in areas of operations response to SGBV	0	3 annual meetings ,2 joint visit to facilities and follow ups made	A review meeting was conducted with all relevant stakeholders of SGBV and 30 representatives attended the meeting.	A review meeting was conducted with all relevant stakeholders of SGBV and 30 representatives attended the meeting.	NA

1. 3. 3	Indicator 1.3.3 # of centers supported to scale up GBV services to survivors	0	3	12 one-stop centres are supported with periodic meetings and regular monitoring of services provided to survivors.	12 one-stop centres are supported with periodic meetings and regular monitoring of services provided to survivors.	NA
1. 3. 4	Indicator 1.3.4 Number and type of grants awarded and implemented by CSOs and CBOs based on the call for proposal	0	20 CSOs and CBOs	CSOs mid-term assessment was completed for all the 20 CSOs and CBOs.	20 CSOs received grants of GMD 500,000 each. CSOs mid-term assessment completed .	NA
1. 3. 5	Indicator 1.3.5 Number of frontline staff (case workers, medical, psychosocial support, law enforcement, legal aid) trained and mobilised to improve the quality-of-service provision	25 Case Workers, 15 medical, 30 psychosocial , 00 police, 00 legal aid	40 frontline staff	38 frontline staff participated in GBV case conference workshop as a strategic platform to review and discuss complex GBV cases requiring multi-sectoral intervention.	38 frontline staff participated in GBV case conference workshop as a strategic platform to review and discuss complex GBV cases requiring multi-sectoral intervention.	

» Output 1.4:

1. 4	Output Indicators <i>Describe the indicator</i>	Indicator Baseline <i>State the baseline value of the indicator</i>	End of Project Indicator Target <i>State the target value of the indicator at the end of the project</i>	Indicator progress for reporting period <i>State the current value of the indicator for the reporting period</i>	Indicator progress since project's start <i>State the current cumulative value of the indicator since the start of the project</i>	Reasons for Variance/ Delay (if any) <i>Explain why the indicator is off track or has changed, where relevant</i>
1. 4. 1						
1. 4. 2						
1. 4. 3						
1. 4. 4						
1. 4. 5						

» Output 1.5:

1. 5	Output Indicators <i>Describe the indicator</i>	Indicator Baseline <i>State the baseline value of the indicator</i>	End of Project Indicator Target <i>State the target value of the indicator at the end of the project</i>	Indicator progress for reporting period <i>State the current value of the indicator for the reporting period</i>	Indicator progress since project's start <i>State the current cumulative value of the indicator since the start of the project</i>	Reasons for Variance/ Delay (if any) <i>Explain why the indicator is off track or has changed, where relevant</i>
1. 5. 1						
1. 5. 2						
1. 5. 3						
1. 5. 4						
1. 5. 5						

» **Outcome 2: Strengthened partnerships and improved coordination of peacebuilding/GEWE Stakeholders**

O C 2	Outcome Indicator s	Indicator Baseline	End of Project Indicator Target	Indicator progress for reporting period	Indicator progress since project's start	Reasons for Variance/ Delay (if any)
2. 1	Indicator 2.a Evidence of active advocacy, engagement, and partnership amongst CSOs in ensuring Gender equality and GBV elements of the TRRC white paper are fully implemented.	5 CSO	10 CSOs	CSOs are organizing and meeting to advocate against the current case on FGM at the supreme court, that challenges the ban on FGM in The Gambia..	20 CSOs led by TANGO are actively working in collaboration with FLAG, to advocate for the maintenance of the the FGM Law and advancing women's rights.	NA

2. 2	Indicator 2.1 Coordination mechanisms are in place in facilitating gender equality actions. Cooperation and coordination amongst stakeholder of peacebuilding and GEWE reinforced	Less cooperation and coordination	more cooperation and coordination with regular meeting/follow up	There is a coordination mechanism led by TANGO and NGBV in facilitating gender equality actions. As part of it, a AGM was organized by TANGO to Strengthen institutional governance and coordination among NGOs. 165 NGO representatives attended the AGM.	Umbrella CSOs are undertaking coordination meetings and sharing amongst stakeholders on peacebuilding and GEWE. There is a coordination mechanism led by TANGO and NGBV in facilitating gender equality actions. As part of it, an AGM was organized by TANGO to Strengthen institutional governance and coordination among NGOs. 165 NGO representatives attended the AGM.	NA
2. 3	Indicator 2.2 Availability and access to GEWE data strengthened to inform advocacy and policy	0	1	Donors and CSOs working on Peacebuilding, GBV and GEWE mapped, and databases in place.	Donors and CSOs working on Peacebuilding, GBV and GEWE mapped, and database in place.	NA
2. 4						
2. 5						

How many outputs does outcome 2 have?

1

2

3

4

5

more than 5.

Please list all outputs for outcome 2

Output 2.1

Strengthened cooperation of peacebuilding/GEWE stakeholders

Output 2.2

Enhanced data collection and use for more effective advocacy and impact

Output 2.3

Output 2.4

Output 2.5

Other Outputs

If Outcome 2 has more than 5 outputs, please enumerate the remaining outputs here

For each output, and using the, project results framework, provide an update on the progress made against all output indicators

» **Output 2.1: Strengthened cooperation of peacebuilding/GEWE stakeholders**

2. 1	Output Indicators <i>Describe the indicator</i>	Indicator Baseline <i>State the baseline value of the indicator</i>	End of Project Indicator Target <i>State the target value of the indicator at the end of the project</i>	Indicator progress for reporting period <i>State the current value of the indicator for the reporting period</i>	Indicator progress since project's start <i>State the current cumulative value of the indicator since the start of the project</i>	Reasons for Variance/ Delay (if any) <i>Explain why the indicator is off track or has changed, where relevant</i>
2. 1. 1	Indicator 2.1.1 Number of Collations or consortium empowered and capacitated to lead conversation and engagement on peacebuilding Gender equality and women and girl's empowerment.	0	4	A CSO coalition met four times till the reporting period to address FGM court case in Gambia with concrete action plans to prevent repeal of FGM law.	A CSO coalition met twice - first before FGM repeal and second after repeal was not passed by NAM in 2024. A CSO coalition met four times with the last in September to address FGM court case in Gambia with concrete action plans to prevent repeal of FGM law.	NA

2. 1. 2	Indicator 2.1.2 # of CSOs peer to peer linkage networks strengthened for sharing on GEWE peacebuilding processes.	0	3 peer to peer networks strengthened (3 umbrella and 20 CBOs)	4 peer to peer networks established for 22 CSOs under 2 umbrella organizations. The networks meet every quarter to discuss organizational development and GEWE issues.	4 peer to peer networks established for 22 CSOs under 2 umbrella organizations. The networks meet every quarter to discuss organizational development and GEWE issues.	NA
2. 1. 3						
2. 1. 4						
2. 1. 5						

» **Output 2.2: Enhanced data collection and use for more effective advocacy and impact**

2. 2	Output Indicators <i>Describe the indicator</i>	Indicator Baseline <i>State the baseline value of the indicator</i>	End of Project Indicator Target <i>State the target value of the indicator at the end of the project</i>	Indicator progress for reporting period <i>State the current value of the indicator for the reporting period</i>	Indicator progress since project's start <i>State the current cumulative value of the indicator since the start of the project</i>	Reasons for Variance/ Delay (if any) <i>Explain why the indicator is off track or has changed, where relevant</i>
2. 2. 1	Indicator 2.2.1 GEWE data gaps filled to track progress on gender equality and GBV	GEWE data gap exists	Reduced GEWE data gaps	Ongoing	Ongoing	NA
2. 2. 2	Indicator 2.2.2 A national e-portal and database for information sharing and alignment of intervention measures on peace initiatives in place.	0	1	A database has been developed for information sharing	A database has been developed for information sharing	NA
2. 2. 3	Indicator 2.2.3 Assessment report of the number of Gambian women in Government, NGO, and International Organizations validated and popularised	0	22	Completed	Assessment conducted on number of Gambian women in Government, NGO, and International Organizations to support advocacy on Gender Equality and Women Empowerment (GEWE).	NA

2. 2. 4	Indicator 2.2.4 Donors and CSOs working on Peacebuilding, GBV and GEWE mapped, and database developed.	No	Yes	Completed	Donors and CSOs working on Peacebuilding, GBV and GEWE mapped, and database in place.	NA
2. 2. 5						

» Output 2.3:

2. 3	Output Indicators <i>Describe the indicator</i>	Indicator Baseline <i>State the baseline value of the indicator</i>	End of Project Indicator Target <i>State the target value of the indicator at the end of the project</i>	Indicator progress for reporting period <i>State the current value of the indicator for the reporting period</i>	Indicator progress since project's start <i>State the current cumulative value of the indicator since the start of the project</i>	Reasons for Variance/ Delay (if any) <i>Explain why the indicator is off track or has changed, where relevant</i>
.....
2. 3. 1						
.....
2. 3. 2						
.....
2. 3. 3						
.....
2. 3. 4						
.....
2. 3. 5						
.....

» Output 2.4:

2. 4	Output Indicators <i>Describe the indicator</i>	Indicator Baseline <i>State the baseline value of the indicator</i>	End of Project Indicator Target <i>State the target value of the indicator at the end of the project</i>	Indicator progress for reporting period <i>State the current value of the indicator for the reporting period</i>	Indicator progress since project's start <i>State the current cumulative value of the indicator since the start of the project</i>	Reasons for Variance/ Delay (if any) <i>Explain why the indicator is off track or has changed, where relevant</i>
2. 4. 1						
2. 4. 2						
2. 4. 3						
2. 4. 4						
2. 4. 5						

» Output 2.5:

2.5	Output Indicators <i>Describe the indicator</i>	Indicator Baseline <i>State the baseline value of the indicator</i>	End of Project Indicator Target <i>State the target value of the indicator at the end of the project</i>	Indicator progress for reporting period <i>State the current value of the indicator for the reporting period</i>	Indicator progress since project's start <i>State the current cumulative value of the indicator since the start of the project</i>	Reasons for Variance/Delay (if any) <i>Explain why the indicator is off track or has changed, where relevant</i>
2.5.1						
2.5.2						
2.5.3						
2.5.4						
2.5.5						

» Outcome 3:

O C 3	Outcome Indicator s	Indicator Baseline	End of Project Indicator Target	Indicator progress for reporting period	Indicator progress since project's start	Reasons for Variance/ Delay (if any)
3. 1						
3. 2						
3. 3						
3. 4						
3. 5						
How many outputs does outcome 3 have? <div>12345more than 5.</div>						
Please list all outputs for outcome 3						
Output 3.1						
Output 3.2						

Output 3.3
Output 3.4
Output 3.5
Other Outputs <i>If Outcome 3 has more than 5 outputs, please enumerate the remaining outputs here</i>

For each output, and using the, project results framework, provide an update on the progress made against all output indicators

» Output 3.1:

3. 1	Output Indicators <i>Describe the indicator</i>	Indicator Baseline <i>State the baseline value of the indicator</i>	End of Project Indicator Target <i>State the target value of the indicator at the end of the project</i>	Indicator progress for reporting period <i>State the current value of the indicator for the reporting period</i>	Indicator progress since project's start <i>State the current cummulative value of the indicator since the start of the project</i>	Reasons for Variance/ Delay (if any) <i>Explain why the indicator is off track or has changed, where relevant</i>
3.1.1						
3.1.2						
3.1.3						
3.1.4						
3.1.5						

» Output 3.2:

3. 2	Output Indicators <i>Describe the indicator</i>	Indicator Baseline <i>State the baseline value of the indicator</i>	End of Project Indicator Target <i>State the target value of the indicator at the end of the project</i>	Indicator progress for reporting period <i>State the current value of the indicator for the reporting period</i>	Indicator progress since project's start <i>State the current cumulative value of the indicator since the start of the project</i>	Reasons for Variance/ Delay (if any) <i>Explain why the indicator is off track or has changed, where relevant</i>
3. 2. 1						
3. 2. 2						
3. 2. 3						
3. 2. 4						
3. 2. 5						

» Output 3.3:

3. 3	Output Indicators <i>Describe the indicator</i>	Indicator Baseline <i>State the baseline value of the indicator</i>	End of Project Indicator Target <i>State the target value of the indicator at the end of the project</i>	Indicator progress for reporting period <i>State the current value of the indicator for the reporting period</i>	Indicator progress since project's start <i>State the current cumulative value of the indicator since the start of the project</i>	Reasons for Variance/ Delay (if any) <i>Explain why the indicator is off track or has changed, where relevant</i>
3. 3. 1						
3. 3. 2						
3. 3. 3						
3. 3. 4						
3. 3. 5						

» Output 3.4:

3. 4	Output Indicators <i>Describe the indicator</i>	Indicator Baseline <i>State the baseline value of the indicator</i>	End of Project Indicator Target <i>State the target value of the indicator at the end of the project</i>	Indicator progress for reporting period <i>State the current value of the indicator for the reporting period</i>	Indicator progress since project's start <i>State the current cumulative value of the indicator since the start of the project</i>	Reasons for Variance/ Delay (if any) <i>Explain why the indicator is off track or has changed, where relevant</i>
.....
3. 4. 1						
.....
3. 4. 2						
.....
3. 4. 3						
.....
3. 4. 4						
.....
3. 4. 5						
.....

» Output 3.5:

3. 5	Output Indicators <i>Describe the indicator</i>	Indicator Baseline <i>State the baseline value of the indicator</i>	End of Project Indicator Target <i>State the target value of the indicator at the end of the project</i>	Indicator progress for reporting period <i>State the current value of the indicator for the reporting period</i>	Indicator progress since project's start <i>State the current cumulative value of the indicator since the start of the project</i>	Reasons for Variance/ Delay (if any) <i>Explain why the indicator is off track or has changed, where relevant</i>
.....
3. 5. 1						
.....
3. 5. 2						
.....
3. 5. 3						
.....
3. 5. 4						
.....
3. 5. 5						
.....

» Outcome 4:

O C 4	Outcome Indicator s	Indicator Baseline	End of Project Indicator Target	Indicator progress for reporting period	Indicator progress since project's start	Reasons for Variance/ Delay (if any)
4. 1						
4. 2						
4. 3						
4. 4						
4. 5						
How many outputs does outcome 4 have?						
1 2 3 4 5 more than 5.						
Please list all outputs for outcome 4						
Output 4.1						
Output 4.2						

Output 4.3

Output 4.4

Output 4.5

Other Outputs

If Outcome 4 has more than 5 outputs, please enumerate the remaining outputs here

For each output, and using the, project results framework, provide an update on the progress made against all output indicators

» Output 4.1:

4. 1	Output Indicators <i>Describe the indicator</i>	Indicator Baseline <i>State the baseline value of the indicator</i>	End of Project Indicator Target <i>State the target value of the indicator at the end of the project</i>	Indicator progress for reporting period <i>State the current value of the indicator for the reporting period</i>	Indicator progress since project's start <i>State the current cummulative value of the indicator since the start of the project</i>	Reasons for Variance/ Delay (if any) <i>Explain why the indicator is off track or has changed, where relevant</i>
4.1.1						
4.1.2						
4.1.3						
4.1.4						
4.1.5						

» Output 4.2:

4. 2	Output Indicators <i>Describe the indicator</i>	Indicator Baseline <i>State the baseline value of the indicator</i>	End of Project Indicator Target <i>State the target value of the indicator at the end of the project</i>	Indicator progress for reporting period <i>State the current value of the indicator for the reporting period</i>	Indicator progress since project's start <i>State the current cumulative value of the indicator since the start of the project</i>	Reasons for Variance/Delay (if any) <i>Explain why the indicator is off track or has changed, where relevant</i>
4. 2. 1						
4. 2. 2						
4. 2. 3						
4. 2. 4						
4. 2. 5						

» Output 4.3:

4. 3	Output Indicators <i>Describe the indicator</i>	Indicator Baseline <i>State the baseline value of the indicator</i>	End of Project Indicator Target <i>State the target value of the indicator at the end of the project</i>	Indicator progress for reporting period <i>State the current value of the indicator for the reporting period</i>	Indicator progress since project's start <i>State the current cumulative value of the indicator since the start of the project</i>	Reasons for Variance/Delay (if any) <i>Explain why the indicator is off track or has changed, where relevant</i>
.....
4. 3. 1						
.....
4. 3. 2						
.....
4. 3. 3						
.....
4. 3. 4						
.....
4. 3. 5						
.....

» Output 4.4:

4. 4	Output Indicators <i>Describe the indicator</i>	Indicator Baseline <i>State the baseline value of the indicator</i>	End of Project Indicator Target <i>State the target value of the indicator at the end of the project</i>	Indicator progress for reporting period <i>State the current value of the indicator for the reporting period</i>	Indicator progress since project's start <i>State the current cumulative value of the indicator since the start of the project</i>	Reasons for Variance/ Delay (if any) <i>Explain why the indicator is off track or has changed, where relevant</i>
4. 4. 1						
4. 4. 2						
4. 4. 3						
4. 4. 4						
4. 4. 5						

» Output 4.5:

4. 5	Output Indicators <i>Describe the indicator</i>	Indicator Baseline <i>State the baseline value of the indicator</i>	End of Project Indicator Target <i>State the target value of the indicator at the end of the project</i>	Indicator progress for reporting period <i>State the current value of the indicator for the reporting period</i>	Indicator progress since project's start <i>State the current cumulative value of the indicator since the start of the project</i>	Reasons for Variance/ Delay (if any) <i>Explain why the indicator is off track or has changed, where relevant</i>
4. 5. 1						
4. 5. 2						
4. 5. 3						
4. 5. 4						
4. 5. 5						

If the project has more than 4 outcomes, use this space to describe progress on progress on indicators for the remaining outcomes

PART III: Cross-Cutting Issues

Is the project planning any significant events in the next six months? (eg. national dialogues, youth congresses, film screenings, etc.)

If yes, please state how many, and for each, provide the approximate date of the event and a brief description, including its key objectives, target audience and location (if known)

Events	Event Description	Tentative Date	Location	Target Audience	Event Objectives (900 characters)
Event 1	3rd Civil Society Organizations-United Nations Dialogue on Peacebuilding and experience sharing.	10 to 11 December 2025	United Nations Geneva Office Premises in Switzerland	02 CSOs representative women led, and youth led.	Experience sharing on peace building among youth and women led CSOs in the GPI 2.0 Project.
Event 2					
Event 3					
Event 4					

Human Impact

This section is about the human impact of the project. Please state key stakeholders (including but not limited to: Civil Society Organizations, Beneficiaries, etc.) of the project, and for each, please briefly describe:

- The challenges/problem they faced prior to the project implementation
- The impact of the project in their lives
- Provide, where possible, a quote or testimonial from a representative of each stakeholder group

This is an optional question. You may leave it unanswered if not relevant

Human Impact	Type of stakeholder	What has been the impact of the project on their lives?	Provide, where possible, a quote or testimonial from the stakeholder
1	GPI 2.0 Project Implementing Partner (Tumana Agency for Development (TAD))	In the Upper River Region of The Gambia, Tumana Agency for Development (TAD) has long been a trusted grassroots partner for 41 communities. But until recently, the organization faced a future filled with uncertainty. In 2023, TAD closed its books with a deficit, and weak systems which made it difficult to convince donors to support. That changed when TAD became part of the Gender Promotion Initiative (GPI) 2.0 project. Through targeted capacity building in financial management, governance, gender Transformative approach, and resource mobilization, the project turned challenges into opportunities for growth.	“Before GPI 2.0, we had the commitment, but not the systems. Now, our structures are stronger, our accounts are balanced, and we have gained the trust of donors,” reflects The CEO of TAD, Muhamed Drammeh”

2	One community Member for Tumana Agency for Development (TAD)	<p>The impact is already visible. With new policies in place, covering staff recruitment and retention, financial management, and executive operations. TAD has secured fresh funding of GMD 497,650.00 from the Climate Change and Convergence Forum, IPAF/Samburu Women Trust, and FFT/FAO Phase 2. The African Development Bank has also supported the construction of a secure perimeter fence for the organization’s office, a visible symbol of growth and sustainability. Importantly, GPI 2.0 has strengthened TAD’s financial management and accountability to the communities it serves. TAD now ensures that beneficiaries actively participate to shape projects before resources are mobilized on their behalf. Signed MoUs with communities guarantee that the skills and tools gained from training are used to benefit everyone.</p>	<p>“Before, we only waited for support. Now, we discuss. We give our voices, and TAD carries them forward. It makes us feel part of the change.”</p>
---	--	--	--

3	<p>Raise The Young Foundation (GPI 2.0 Project CSO Partner)</p>	<p>The Organisation was awarded the Human Rights in Action Award –Local Champion 2025, recognizing its tireless commitment to advancing human rights, accountability, and youth empowerment in The Gambia. The Award was given by The African Network Against Extrajudicial Killings and Enforced Disappearances (ANEKED). While Raise The Young Foundation has undertaken numerous initiatives over the years, this award marks the first time its contribution has been recognized by a human rights organisation.</p> <p>Raise The Young Foundation (RTYF) is a volunteers-based organisation and in 2023 has no structure of direction. One thing the organisation had then was passionate youth leadership. The GPI 2.0 Project saw this and built on it with a series of capacity building through training and mentorship. Today RTYF is a strong force among Youth-led Advocacy Groups in The Gambia.</p>	<p>In the words of the the CEO and Founder, Yankuba Keita after receiving the award and placing a call across to the Team Lead of GPI 2.0 Project, he said:</p> <p>“Doc, this award has been made possible due to the support given to us by the GPI Project. You and your team members have helped us to approach our advocacy work in a strategic manner and have given meaning to our intervention. Obviously Raise The Young is not where we were in the last one year. Thank You”</p>
4			

In addition to the stakeholder specific impact described above, please use this space to describe any additional human impact that the project has had.

Please limit your response to 4000 characters.

The project has generated a wide range of human impacts across The Gambia, primarily focusing on empowering marginalized groups, raising awareness on critical issues, and fostering collaboration for social change. Through CSOs/CBOs, the project provided education on gender rights, leadership development, and peace and security which strengthened their capacity in peacebuilding and conflict resolution and created an enabling environment for collective action towards sustainable peace.

Prior to the implementation of GPI 2.0 Project, there was a limited space for CSO interaction and collective partnership intervention. Due to series of capacity building activities initiated by the project several of these CSOs do not only have the platform for such progressive interaction, a few of them now leverage on comparative advantages to jointly carry out community level interventions and also apply for grants as consortium. With this, it is believed that future partnership engagement among CSOs in The Gambia is guaranteed, which will benefit the advocacy initiatives in addressing the patriarchal and women subjugation in the country.

Furthermore, the project fostered community-level change by addressing harmful practices and promoting human rights. Advocacy campaigns led by CSOs resulted in commitments from local leaders to address gender disparities, and support networks were recommitted to actively challenging harmful practices. CSOs organized stakeholder dialogues on SGBV, bringing together educators, local authorities, and law enforcement to foster collaboration in prevention, protection, reporting, and management of SGBV. This resulted in the development of joint action plans and improved reporting mechanisms.

At a broader level, TANGO's role in strengthening Civil Society Organizations (CSOs) through capacity building, resource provision, and coordination has an indirect but significant human impact. By enhancing the effectiveness of CSOs, the project contributes to a more vibrant civic space and a stronger civil society capable of addressing the diverse needs of Gambian citizens, including marginalized populations. The construction of a CSO house in Basse aims to further enhance collaboration and expand civic space, providing a hub for local organizations to work together. In addition, the CSO/NGO Engagement Conference facilitated dialogue and aimed to strengthen partnerships for sustainable development, ultimately benefiting the communities served by these organizations

You can also upload upto 3 files in various formats (picture files, powerpoint, pdf, video, etc.) to illustrate the human impact of the project

OPTIONAL

File 1

OPTIONAL

Click here to upload file. (< 10MB)

File 2

OPTIONAL

Click here to upload file. (< 10MB)

File 3

OPTIONAL

Click here to upload file. (< 10MB)

You can also add upto 3 links to online resources which illustrate the human impact of the project

OPTIONAL

Link 1

OPTIONAL

Link 2

OPTIONAL

Link 3

OPTIONAL

Please tick the applicable change based on above narrative.

How we worked:

*

Please select up to 3.

- ☐ Enhanced digitization
- ☒ Innovative ways of working
- ☐ Mobilized additional resources
- ☐ Improved or initiated policy frameworks
- ☒ Strengthened capacities
- ☒ Partnered with with local/grassroots Civil Society Organizations
- ☒ Expanding coalitions & galvanizing political will
- ☐ Strengthened partnerships with IFIs
- ☐ Strengthened partnerships with UN Agencies

Please explain one of the selected options

Please limit your response to 3000 characters.

The project employed a range of innovative and inclusive strategies to enhance community impact and sustainability. Community-based and participatory approaches ensured local ownership, such as involving Village Development Committees in decision-making and consulting women and girls with disabilities to shape initiatives relevant to their needs. Gender-transformative methods included the active engagement of men and the use of intergenerational dialogue to challenge harmful norms like FGM and child marriage. The project drew from traditional mechanisms like the Kabilo Kacha for peacebuilding while aligning with national frameworks like the Women, Peace and Security Action Plan. Institutional coordination was strengthened through umbrella organizations, especially TANGO, which also spearheaded the construction of CSO houses to foster collaboration and decentralize civil society efforts. Capacity-building efforts were tailored using data from OCAT assessments, addressing specific gaps. Innovative use of media for advocacy and training promoted inclusivity, while collaborative frameworks and joint action plans were developed to tackle issues like SGBV. Lastly, peer learning and mentorship, including ongoing support through digital platforms, reinforced leadership and knowledge-sharing within communities.

Please explain one of the selected options

Please limit your response to 3000 characters.

The project prioritized strengthening the capacity of Civil Society Organizations (CSOs) in The Gambia through a comprehensive and tailored approach. It began with an assessment of 23 CSOs using the Organizational Capacity Assessment Tool (OCAT) to identify specific institutional and programmatic gaps. Based on the findings, customized training was delivered in key areas such as Gender Transformative Approaches, Human Rights Based Approaches, financial and procurement systems, and monitoring and evaluation. Practical support included the provision of laptops, accounting software, and mentorship to enhance financial reporting and governance. Each CSO was also guided in developing core financial and procurement policies. After capacity-building, the CSOs received grants to implement gender-focused interventions, applying their new skills in real-world settings. Sector-wide coordination and learning were further promoted through initiatives like the CSO/NGO Engagement Conference led by TANGO, which also strengthened its own governance structures. Ongoing monitoring continued to inform improvements in operational and financial practices across the organizations.

Recently, the GPI 2.0 Secretariat conducted Institutional Policy assessment for the 22 Implementing CSOs. Each of the 22 CSOs completed a form to indicate the Policies that are not available in their organisation, and they were supported to develop the policies. The four critical institutional Policies lacking are:

- Child Protection Policy
- Gender and Inclusion Policy
- Human Resource Policy
- Sexual Harassment, Exploitation and Abuse Policy

These organisations were supported to develop the relevant policies in order to strengthen their institutional capacity.

Furthermore, four organisations who indicated interest in having Strategic Plan were equally supported to develop their organisational Strategic Plans which ranged between 3-5years Strategic Plans.

Please explain one of the selected options

Please limit your response to 3000 characters.

The project is expanding coalitions and galvanizing political will through CSO capacity building by focusing on strengthening the institutional capacity of civil society organizations (CSOs). This capacity building is enabling CSOs to form stronger linkages and work collaboratively, coordinating their efforts and speaking with a unified voice. These empowered coalitions are then actively engaging with political actors and addressing national challenges. For example, a CSO coalition mobilized and engaged with National Assembly Members (NAMs) to advocate against the repeal of the FGM Law, providing information and gaining attention, which eventually prevented the repeal of the FGM law in The Gambia. Engagement with government entities like the Ministry of Gender, Children, and Social Welfare, including joint participation in the Project Steering Committee and securing land for CSO Houses, also demonstrates how the project fosters collaboration and integrates civic voices into national processes. By building stronger, more coordinated CSOs, the GPI 2.0 project is effectively creating a more influential and unified civic space capable of advocating for rights and impacting political outcomes.

Who are we working with *

- ☐ Strengthened partnerships with IFIs
- ☐ Strengthened partnerships between UN Agencies
- ☒ Partnered with local civil society organizations
- ☐ Partnered with local academia
- ☐ Partnered with sub-national entities
- ☐ Partnered with national entities
- ☐ Partnered with local volunteers

Please explain

Please limit your response to 3000 characters

The project fostered strong partnerships with grassroots CSOs across The Gambia through a comprehensive and inclusive approach. Following a broad sensitization campaign, 22 CSOs with active presence in the West Coast, Upper River, and Lower River Regions were selected from 98 initially engaged. These organizations underwent institutional and technical capacity assessments, leading to tailored training in gender equality, human rights, financial management, and reporting. To enhance operational capacity, each CSO received laptops with accounting software, hands-on mentorship, and support in developing governance policies. The project also provided each organization with direct grants of D500,000 to implement community-level Gender Transformative interventions. Coordinated through the umbrella organization TANGO, the initiative promoted sector-wide collaboration, notably through the CSO/NGO Engagement Conference and the establishment of a dedicated CSO house in Basse. This integrated model of capacity building, financial support, and structural coordination aimed to empower CSOs as key actors in promoting gender equality and sustainable development in The Gambia.

Leave No one Behind

Select all beneficiaries targeted with the PBF resources as evidenced by the narrative *

Mandatory

- ☐ Unemployed persons
- ☐ Minorities (e.g. race, ethnicity, linguistic, religion, etc.)
- ☒ Indigenous communities
- ☒ Persons with Disabilities
- ☒ Persons affected by violence (including GBV)
- ☒ Women
- ☒ Youth
- ☐ Children
- ☐ Minorities related to sexual orientation and/or gender identity and expression
- ☐ People living in and around border areas
- ☐ Persons affected by natural disasters
- ☐ Persons affected by armed conflicts
- ☐ Internally displaced persons, refugees or migrants

PART IV: Monitoring, Evaluation and Compliance

» Monitoring

Please list key monitoring activities undertaken in the reporting period *

Please limit your response to 3000 characters.

- **Engaged the PSC, Project Team, and Partners to develop and review the annual work plan and strategy of implementation.**
- **Supported the coordination of project meetings for Before and After-Action Reviews**
- **Organized Pre- and Post training assessment.**

Do outcome indicators have baselines? *

If only some of the outcome indicators have baselines, select 'yes'

- ☒ Yes
- ☐ No

If yes, please provide a brief description. If not, explain why not and when they will be available. *

Please limit your response to 3000 characters.

Baseline data were sourced from secondary information and the project has conducted capacity assessment of all CSOs to gauge their capacity gap and based on the assessment needs, capacity development plan was developed and being implemented.

Elaborate on what sources of evidence have been used to report on indicators (and are available upon request) *

Please limit your response to 3000 characters.

Back to Office Reports, Meeting minutes, partner activity reports, and CSOs' capacity assessment reports are all means of verification provided to support indicator reporting.

Has the project launched outcome level data collection initiatives? e.g. perception surveys *

Perception survey is a formal collection of information from a randomly selected sample of respondents through their responses to standardized questions. See PBF Guidance Note for more information [link](#)

☐ Yes

☒ No

Please provide a brief description *

Please limit your response to 3000 characters.

Has the project used or established community feedback mechanisms? *

Community feedback mechanism, or community-based monitoring, is an organized system for communities of participants to monitor the local effects and impact of an intervention. Ideally, this system empowers the community to express whether their expectations are being met and to provide suggestions to decision-makers for possible (re)focusing. See PBF Guidance Note for more information. [link](#)

☒ Yes

☐ No

Please provide a brief description *

Please limit your response to 3000 characters.

Do outcome indicators have baselines? Yes If yes, please provide a brief description (3000 characters): Baseline data were sourced from secondary information and the project has conducted capacity assessment of all CSOs to gauge their capacity gap and based on the assessment needs, capacity development plan was developed and being implemented. If not, explain why not and when they will be available (3000 characters): Elaborate on what sources of evidence have been used to report on indicators (and are available upon request) (3000 characters): Back to Office Reports, Meeting minutes, partner activity reports, and CSOs' capacity assessment reports are all means of verification provided to support indicator reporting. **Has the project launched outcome level data collection initiatives e.g. perception surveys? No** *Perception survey is a formal collection of information from a randomly selected sample of respondents through their responses to standardized questions. See PBF Guidance Note for more information. **If yes, please provide a brief description of the efforts (3000 characters):** Has the project used or established community feedback mechanisms? **Yes** *Community feedback mechanism, or community-based monitoring, is an organized system for communities of participants to monitor the local effects and impact of an intervention. Ideally, this system empowers the community to express whether their expectations are being met and to provide suggestions to decision-makers for possible (re)focusing. See PBF Guidance Note for more information. **If yes, please provide a brief description (3000 characters):** The GPI 2.0 project effectively utilized and established strong community feedback mechanisms to enhance collaboration and responsiveness. Women-led and focused Civil Society Organizations (CSOs) maintained a well-structured coordination system between umbrella CSOs and subcontracted partners, ensuring continuous monitoring and feedback integration into project activities. Additionally, peer-to-peer mentoring sessions were strategically planned among CSOs, strengthening their ability to address concerns raised through feedback. These sessions enhanced capacity-building efforts, ensuring that organizations could effectively respond to emerging issues. Quarterly reflection meetings served as a vital platform for umbrella CSOs to bring together all affiliated organizations. These meetings fostered knowledge-sharing, collaborative planning, and discussions on challenges, paving the way for a unified approach to achieving project goals. Furthermore, financial grants contributed to refining feedback processes, as subcontractors and umbrella CSOs continuously tracked group progress and provided technical support through training sessions and meetings. Lastly, the CSO coalition/network played a crucial role in improving overall communication and feedback mechanisms within the community, enabling smoother coordination and more effective responses to local needs. This integrated feedback structure ensured transparency, accountability, and adaptive learning throughout the GPI 2.0 project

» Evaluation

Is the project on track to conduct its evaluation?

*

Yes

No

Not Applicable

Evaluation budget (in USD) included in the project budget:

*

Response required

58000

If project will end in next six months, is your upcoming evaluation on track?

Yes

No

Not Applicable

Please describe the preparations

Please limit your response to 3000 characters.

Contact information	Name	Organization	Job title	Email
	Joy Michael	UNFPA	Gender and GBV Specialist	jmichael@unfpa.org

» Catalytic Effect

Catalytic Effect (financial): Has the project mobilized additional non-PBF financial resources since the project's start? *

☒ Yes

☐ No

How many funders has the project received additional non-PBF funding from **since the project started**? *

1

If yes, please indicate name of all funding agencies and respective amounts of additional non-PBF funding support that has been leveraged by the project **since it started**, as well as specifically **during this reporting period**

Please enter each funding agent and their contributions separately

Name of Funder *

Climate Change and Convergence Forum, IPAF/Samburu Women Trust, and FFT/FAO Phase 2

Amount mobilized since project's start (USD) *

Please use a dot (.) as decimal separator, instead of a comma (,)

6817.12

Amount mobilized during reporting period (USD) *

Please use a dot (.) as decimal separator, instead of a comma (,)

6817.12

Catalytic Effect (non-financial): Has the project enabled or created a larger or longer-term peacebuilding change to occur, in addition to the direct project changes? Please refer to PBF Catalytic Effect Guidelines for more information. *

☒ Yes

☐ No

If yes, please select the relevant option below: *

☒ Some catalytic effect

☐ Significant catalytic effect

If relevant, please describe how the project has had a (non-financial) catalytic effect, i.e. removed barriers to unblock stalled political, institutional or other peacebuilding processes at different levels in a country, and/or created the conditions to establish new processes to do so

Please limit your response to 3000 characters.

The project has strategically focused on building the long-term capacity of CSOs to implement sustainable, community-driven initiatives that promote peace and development. A core element of this approach involves fostering transformative shifts in attitudes and behaviors towards gender equality, enabling communities to challenge and dismantle harmful norms such as gender-based violence and discrimination. At the grassroots level, GPI 2.0 strengthens community-led peacebuilding by revitalizing traditional conflict resolution practices and integrating them with modern approaches, ensuring that interventions remain culturally rooted and sustainable. The ongoing construction of a CSO house serves as a crucial step in expanding civic space and fostering collaboration among diverse organizations, allowing them to work in unison. This physical and symbolic center of coordination enhances the collective capacity of CSOs to influence national development, while promoting greater cohesion, strategic alignment, and impact within the civil society sector as a whole.

Sustainability

Please describe any steps that have been taken to ensure the sustainability of peacebuilding gains, including any mechanisms, platforms, networks and socio-economic initiatives supported, beyond the duration of the project

Please limit your response to 3000 characters.

The project has taken comprehensive steps to ensure the sustainability of its peacebuilding gains through individual empowerment, community engagement, and institutional strengthening. By equipping women and youth with leadership and peacebuilding skills, the project fosters long-term advocacy and participation in community decision-making. Community-based initiatives have reinforced traditional conflict resolution methods and established collaborative platforms to address gender-based violence, creating durable mechanisms for social cohesion. At the structural level, the project has enhanced the capacity of 22 CSOs through targeted training and institutional support. The ongoing construction of CSO houses further underscores efforts to decentralize and strengthen civil society coordination, ensuring that peacebuilding efforts are sustained beyond the life of the project.

Are there any other issues concerning project implementation that you want to share, including any capacity needs of the recipient organizations?

Please limit your response to 3000 characters.

No

Monitoring and Oversight Activities

Please describe any key event related to monitoring and oversight. Please click next if no activities have yet taken place.

Events include Steering Committee meetings, Monitoring visits, Third party monitoring, Community based monitoring, any data collection, Perception or other survey findings, evaluation reports, audit or investigations.

Monitoring and oversight activities	Name of the Event	Summary	Key Findings
Event 1	CSOs activity monitoring	<p>Umbrella organizations conducted monitoring of the CSOs under their respective portfolio.</p> <p>The purpose of monitoring by umbrella CSOs is to assess the impact of capacity-building programs on governance, program, and financial management, while identifying strengths and gaps for future support and strategy.</p>	<p>Monitoring visits conducted by the Umbrella Organizations assessed the utilization of project grants. While commendable efforts were observed, several areas for improvement were identified, including gaps in QuickBooks usage, poor documentation, weak procurement procedures, and unauthorized reprogramming. Recommendations were made to enhance accountability and strengthen operational processes.</p>

Event 2	Joint Monitoring Visit	<p>UK Monitoring visit: The Team had a 4-day strategic engagement with the Government of the Gambia through the Ministry of Gender, the UN Agencies led by UNFPA, the Umbrella organisations, and other Civil Society actors who are involved in the PBF funded projects including the GPI 2.0 Project. A trip to Basses, URR was organized where they met with “Women in Politics” groups, CSOs and other community beneficiaries of the GPI 2.0 Project. It was also an opportunity to see the CSO House which is under construction but conducive to host the meeting which the delegates had with the Civil Society and the community. Another separate meeting was organized in the Kombo where the delegates interacted with other stakeholders including some Civil Society organisations. The trip was adjudged fruitful by the delegates because they were able to see things themselves and associate with what they have been reading in the several reports sent to them</p>	
---------	------------------------	--	--

Event 3			
Event 4			
Event 5			
Event 6			
Event 7			
Event 8			

Final Steps

- Please save a PDF copy of the form by clicking on the *Printer* icon on the top right corner of the page.
- A dialogue box will appear: Please select the A4 size and portrait orientation.
- Click "prepare" and save the document as a PDF.
- (If on first attempt, the generated page is not readable, close the pop up page and go back to the first page of the online form using the "Return to Beginning" option and try to print the PDF version from there)
- After printing the PDF version, please submit the report in the last page of the form. You can use the "Go to End" button in the bottom right corner.
- In compliance with our reporting requirements, **please upload the PDF version of the report as well as your financial report in excel format to the MPTF-O Gateway.**

If you encounter any difficulty in filling the form or generating the print-out for MPTFO Gateway, please contact Gabriel Velastegui gabriel.velasteguimoya@un.org

Thank You. You have finished the report. Please Click on the SUBMIT button below. When the report is submitted, a confirmation note will appear on a yellow banner on top of the page. This can take a few seconds.