PBF November 2025 Project Progress Report

PROJECT OVERVIEW

Thank you for taking the time to complete the PBF Progress report. For projects with more than one recipient, please consult among co-recipients prior to filling out the form to ensure collaboration on the responses. If you have any questions or require technical assistance in filling out the form, please send an email to gabriel.velasteguimoya@un.org

Click Next below to start

» Report Submission

Type of report *

- ( ) Semi-annual
- (●) Annual
- ( ) Final
- ( ) Other

Date of submission of report *

2025-11-17

Name and title of person submitting the report *

Kofi A. Ireland, Peace and Security Program Officer

E-mail of person submitting the report *

kofi.ireland@unwomen.org

Name and title of person who approved the report *

Yemi Falayajo, Deputy Country Representative, UN Women Liberia Country Office

Have all fund recipients for this project contributed to the report? *

○ Yes

○ No

Did PBF Secretariat or RCO focal point review the report? *

You should normally ensure that the PBF Secretariat or the PBF focal point have an opportunity to review.

● Yes

○ No

○ Not Applicable

» Project Information and Geographical Scope

Is this a cross-border project? *

○ Yes   ● No

Please select the geographical region in which the project is implemented

○ Asia and the Pacific   ○ Central & Southern Africa   ○ East Africa

○ Europe and Central Asia   ○ Global   ○ Latin America and the Caribean

○ Middle East and North Africa   ● West Africa

*

Country of project implementation

○ Benin   ○ Burkina Faso   ○ Cote D'Ivoire

○ Gambia   ○ Ghana   ○ Guinea

○ Guinea-Bissau   ● Liberia   ○ Mali

○ Mauritania   ○ Niger   ○ Nigeria

○ Senegal   ○ Sierra Leone   ○ Togo

○ Other, Specify

*

Other, please specify

*

Project Title

○ 00129168: Delivering Peace Dividends in Liberia: Consolidating National, Regional and Local Reconciliation and Social Cohesion Opportunities

○ 00113699: Support to LMPTF-PBF Joint Secretariat: UNDP

○ 00133452: Promoting Peaceful Electoral Environment and Community Security in Liberia

○ 00140121: Girls and Young Women Take Action for Peace

○ 00140293: Enhancing Social Cohesion through Rehabilitation and Empowerment of Marginalized Youth in Liberia

○ 00140354: Empowering citizens and communities to foster social accountability and transparency in governance and public service delivery.

● 00140355: Enhancing peace and social cohesion through the promotion of equitable access to and use of land for rural women in conflict-prone communities and concession areas

○ 00140582: Accelerating Digital Opportunities for Youth Civic Participation as a lever for Building and Sustaining Peace in Liberia

○ Other, Specify

*

Write the 8 digit MPTFO number and Project Title exactly as it appears in the Project Document

EXAMPLE: 00118938: Community-based prevention of violence and social cohesion using innovation for young people in displaced and host communities

Please select the geographical region(s) in which the project is implemented *

If the project you are looking for does not appear in the following question, please make sure that you have selected the correct regions. A limited number of cross border projects span multiple geographic regions. For example, a cross border project between Niger and Chad spans both West Africa and Central & Southern Africa

- ☐ Asia and the Pacific
- ☐ Central & Southern Africa
- ☐ East Africa
- ☐ Europe and Central Asia
- ☐ Global
- ☐ Latin America and the Caribean
- ☐ Middle East and North Africa
- ☐ West Africa

Please select the title of the project for which you are submitting the report *

Write the 8 digit MPTFO numbers and Project Title exactly as it appears in the Project Document *

EXAMPLE: 00129699/700: Supporting Cross-Border Cooperation for Increased Community Resilience and Social Cohesion in The Gambia and Senegal

Please select the countries where this project is being implemented *

Other, Please specify *

Project Start Date (Date of first transfer) *

2023-10-31

Project End Date *

2026-11-30

Has this project received an extension? *

- ○ YES, Cost Extension
- ○ YES, No Cost Extension
- ○ YES, Both Cost and No Cost Extensions
- ● NO, No Extensions

Will this project be requesting an extension? *

- ○ YES, Cost Extension
- ○ YES, No Cost Extension
- ○ YES, Both Cost and No Cost Extensions
- ● NO, No Extensions

Will this project be submitting a Fund Transfer Request (FTR) in the next six months? *

- ○ Yes
- ● No

If so, around which month do you expect to submit the request? *

- ◯ January
- ◯ February
- ◯ March
- ◯ April
- ◯ May
- ◯ June
- ◯ July
- ◯ August
- ◯ September
- ◯ October
- ◯ November
- ◯ December

Is the current project end date within 6 months? *

- ◯ Yes
- ⦿ No

Is funding disbursed either into a national or regional trust fund? *

- ⦿ Yes
- ◯ No

If yes, please select which *

- ⦿ National Trust Fund
- ◯ Regional Trust Fund

Recipients

Is the convening agency a UN agency or a non UN entity? *

- ● UN entity
- ○ Non-UN Entity

Please select the convening agency recipient *

- ○ UNDP: United Nations Development Programme   ○ IOM: International Organization for Migration
- ○ UNICEF: United Nations Children's Fund
- ○ OHCHR: Office of the United Nations High Commissioner for Human Rights
- ● UNWOMEN: United Nations Entity for Gender Equality and the Empowerment of Women
- ○ UNHCR: United Nations High Commissioner for Refugees   ○ UNFPA: United Nations Population Fund
- ○ FAO: Food and Agriculture Organization   ○ WFP: World Food Programme
- ○ UNHABITAT: United Nations Human Settlements Programme
- ○ UNESCO: United Nations Educational, Scientific and Cultural Organization
- ○ UNEP: United Nations Environment Programme   ○ ILO: International Labour Organization
- ○ WHO: World Health Organization   ○ PAHO/WHO
- ○ UNCDF: United Nations Capital Development Fund   ○ UNODC: United Nations Office on Drugs and Crime
- ○ UNOPS: United Nations Office for Project Services
- ○ UNIDO: United Nations Industrial Development Organization   ○ ITC: International Trade Centre
- ○ UNDPO   ○ Other, Specify

Other, Please specify *

Are there other recipients for this project? *

- ○ No other recipients
- ○ Yes, other UN recipients only
- ○ Yes, other non-UN recipients only
- ● Yes, both UN and non-UN recipients

*

Please select other UN recipients

Select all that apply

- [x] UNDP: United Nations Development Programme
- [ ] IOM: International Organization for Migration
- [ ] UNICEF: United Nations Children's Fund
- [ ] OHCHR: Office of the United Nations High Commissioner for Human Rights
- [ ] UNWOMEN: United Nations Entity for Gender Equality and the Empowerment of Women
- [ ] UNHCR: United Nations High Commissioner for Refugees
- [ ] UNFPA: United Nations Population Fund
- [ ] FAO: Food and Agriculture Organization
- [x] WFP: World Food Programme
- [ ] UNHABITAT: United Nations Human Settlements Programme
- [ ] UNESCO: United Nations Educational, Scientific and Cultural Organization
- [ ] UNEP: United Nations Environment Programme
- [ ] ILO: International Labour Organization
- [ ] WHO: World Health Organization
- [ ] PAHO/WHO
- [ ] UNCDF: United Nations Capital Development Fund
- [ ] UNODC: United Nations Office on Drugs and Crime
- [ ] UNOPS: United Nations Office for Project Services
- [ ] UNIDO: United Nations Industrial Development Organization
- [ ] ITC: International Trade Centre
- [ ] UN Department of Peace Operations
- [ ] Other, Specify

*

Other, Please specify

Please select other non-UN recipients *

- [ ] ACTED
- [ ] Action Aid UK
- [ ] AAITG (ActionAid the Gambia)
- [x] AEDE
- [ ] African Centre for the Constructive Resolution of Disputes (ACCORD)
- [ ] Agence de Coopération et de Recherche pour le Développement (ACORD)
- [ ] American Friends Service Committee (AFSC)
- [ ] Avocats Sans Frontières
- [ ] Avocats Sans Frontières Belgium
- [ ] Avocats sans frontières Canada
- [ ] Ayuda en Accion
- [ ] BIRN - Balkan Investigative Reporting Network
- [ ] BIOM -Youth Ecological Movemen
- [ ] CARE International UK
- [ ] Centre d'étude et de coopération internationale (CECI) - BF
- [ ] Christian Aid Ireland
- [ ] COIPRODEN
- [ ] Concern Worldwide
- [ ] Conexion Guatemala
- [ ] COOPI - Cooperazione Internazionale
- [ ] CORD Burundi
- [ ] CORDAID
- [ ] Corporacion Sisma Mujer
- [ ] CRS - Catholic Relief Services
- [ ] DanChurchAid
- [ ] Danish Refugee Council
- [ ] EQUITAS
- [ ] Fund for Congolese Women
- [ ] Fundacion Estudios Superior (FESU)
- [ ] Fundación Mi Sangre (FMS)
- [ ] Fundación Nacional para el Desarrollo de Honduras (FUNADEH)
- [ ] Fundación para la Libertad de Prensa (FLIP)
- [ ] Geneva Centre for Security Sector Governance (DCAF)
- [ ] HELVETAS Swiss Intercooperation
- [ ] Humanity & Inclusion (HI)
- [ ] ICTJ (International Center for Transitional Justice)
- [ ] Instituto Holandes para Democracia Multipartidaria (NIMD)
- [ ] Integrity Watch
- [ ] International Alert
- [ ] International Rescue Committee
- [ ] Interpeace
- [ ] Kvinna till Kvinna Foundation
- [ ] Life and Peace Institute (LPI)
- [ ] MDG-EISA - Institut Electoral pour une Démocratie Durable en Afrique (EISA), bureau de Madagascar
- [ ] Mercy Corps
- [ ] MLAL - ProgettoMondo
- [ ] MSIS-TATAO
- [ ] NIMD (Netherlands Institute for Multiparty Democracy)
- [ ] Nonviolent Peaceforce
- [ ] Norwegian Refugee Council (NRC)
- [ ] Nile Sustainable Development Organization - NSDO
- [ ] OCNH-Organisation des Citoyens pour une Nouvelle Haïti
- [ ] OIKOS
- [ ] ONG Adkoul - ONG Adkoul
- [ ] ONG AZHAR
- [ ] OXFAM
- [ ] Peace Direct
- [ ] Plan International
- [ ] PNG UN Country Fund
- [ ] Red de Instituciones por los Derechos de la Niñez
- [ ] ROI - Roza Otunbayeva Initiati
- [ ] Saferworld
- [ ] Sampan'Asa Momba ny Fampandrosoana (SAF/FJKM)
- [ ] Save the Children
- [ ] Search for Common Ground (SFCG)
- [ ] SIHA (Strategic Initiative for Women in the Horn of Africa)
- [ ] SismaMujer
- [ ] SOS Sahel Sudan
- [ ] Stichting Impunity Watch
- [ ] Tearfund
- [ ] The Carter Center, Inc.
- [ ] Trocaire
- [ ] War Child
- [ ] War Childhood Museum (WCM)
- [ ] World Vision International
- [ ] World Vision Myanmar
- [ ] ZOA
- [ ] blank_placeholder
- [ ] Other, Please specify

Implementing Partners

To how many implementing partners has the project transferred money **since the project's start** ?

4

To how many implementing partners has the project transferred money **during this calendar period** ?
(for June reports: January-June;
for November reports: January-December (anticipated);
for final reports: full project duration)

2

Please list all of the project's implementing partners and the amounts (in USD) transferred to each, both since the project's start, and specifically during this calendar period

Please select the type of organisation which best describes the type of implementing partner *

- ( ) National youth CSO
- (●) National women's CSO
- ( ) Other National CSO
- ( ) Subnational youth CSO
- ( ) Subnational women's CSO
- ( ) Other subnational CSO
- ( ) Regional CSO
- ( ) Regional Organisation
- ( ) International NGO
- ( ) Governmental entity
- ( ) National women's and youth CSO
- ( ) Subnational women's and youth CSO
- ( ) Other

Other, Please specify

What is the name of the Implementing Partner *

Foundation for Community Initiatives (FCI)

What is the planned total amount (in USD) for the **overall duration of the project** to be disbursed to this implementing partner? *

Please use a dot (.) as decimal separator, instead of a comma (,)

174990

What is the total amount (in USD) disbursed to the implementing partner **since the project's start**? *

Please use a dot (.) as decimal separator, instead of a comma (,)

174990

What is the total amount (in USD) disbursed to the implementing partner **during this calendar period**?

Please use a dot (.) as decimal separator, instead of a comma (,)

0

Briefly describe the main activities carried out by the Implementing Partner during this calendar period

Please limit your response to 1500 characters

The FCI is contracted to strengthen gender-sensitive semi-formal land dispute resolution bodies (County Peace Committees, Community Land Development and Management Committees, Peace Huts, Multi-stakeholder Platforms, etc.) to resolve disputes through ADR in a sustainable, gender- and youth-responsive manner.

2

Please list all of the project's implementing partners and the amounts (in USD) transferred to each, both since the project's start, and specifically during this calendar period

Please select the type of organisation which best describes the type of implementing partner

- ◯ National youth CSO
- ⦿ National women's CSO
- ◯ Other National CSO
- ◯ Subnational youth CSO
- ◯ Subnational women's CSO
- ◯ Other subnational CSO
- ◯ Regional CSO
- ◯ Regional Organisation
- ◯ International NGO
- ◯ Governmental entity
- ◯ National women's and youth CSO
- ◯ Subnational women's and youth CSO
- ◯ Other

Other, Please specify

What is the name of the Implementing Partner

Association of Female Lawyers of Liberia (AFELL)

What is the planned total amount (in USD) for the **overall duration of the project** to be disbursed to this implementing partner? *

Please use a dot (.) as decimal separator, instead of a comma (,)

90094.00

What is the total amount (in USD) disbursed to the implementing partner **since the project's start**? *

Please use a dot (.) as decimal separator, instead of a comma (,)

90094.00

What is the total amount (in USD) disbursed to the implementing partner **during this calendar period**? *

Please use a dot (.) as decimal separator, instead of a comma (,)

0

Briefly describe the main activities carried out by the Implementing Partner during this calendar period *

Please limit your response to 1500 characters

The AFELL is contracted to strengthen the capacity of workers' unions and networks, human rights advocates, and multi-stakeholder platforms (MSPs), especially of women, to negotiate for improved working conditions and equal employment opportunities for both women and men; and strengthen the capacities of concession-affected communities, especially women, to participate and contribute meaningfully to decision-making in the licensing and awarding of concession contracts.

3

Please list all of the project's implementing partners and the amounts (in USD) transferred to each, both since the project's start, and specifically during this calendar period

Please select the type of organisation which best describes the type of implementing partner *

- ( ) National youth CSO
- ( ) National women's CSO
- ( ) Other National CSO
- ( ) Subnational youth CSO
- (●) Subnational women's CSO
- ( ) Other subnational CSO
- ( ) Regional CSO
- ( ) Regional Organisation
- ( ) International NGO
- ( ) Governmental entity
- ( ) National women's and youth CSO
- ( ) Subnational women's and youth CSO
- ( ) Other

Other, Please specify

What is the name of the Implementing Partner *

Community Sustainable Development Organization (COSDO)

What is the planned total amount (in USD) for the **overall duration of the project** to be disbursed to this implementing partner? *

Please use a dot (.) as decimal separator, instead of a comma (,)

319907

What is the total amount (in USD) disbursed to the implementing partner **since the project's start**? *

Please use a dot (.) as decimal separator, instead of a comma (,)

214949.17

What is the total amount (in USD) disbursed to the implementing partner **during this calendar period**? *

Please use a dot (.) as decimal separator, instead of a comma (,)

214949.17

Briefly describe the main activities carried out by the Implementing Partner during this calendar period *

Please limit your response to 1500 characters

The CSO is contracted to support the implementation of livelihood activities in selected communities in Bomi, Gbarpolu, Grand Cape Mount, and Grand Gedeh counties.

Please list all of the project's implementing partners and the amounts (in USD) transferred to each, both since the project's start, and specifically during this calendar period

Please select the type of organisation which best describes the type of implementing partner *

- ( ) National youth CSO
- ( ) National women's CSO
- ( ) Other National CSO
- (●) Subnational youth CSO
- ( ) Subnational women's CSO
- ( ) Other subnational CSO
- ( ) Regional CSO
- ( ) Regional Organisation
- ( ) International NGO
- ( ) Governmental entity
- ( ) National women's and youth CSO
- ( ) Subnational women's and youth CSO
- ( ) Other

Other, Please specify

What is the name of the Implementing Partner *

Geo-Environmental Research Agency For Development (GERAD LIBERIA)

What is the planned total amount (in USD) for the **overall duration of the project** to be disbursed to this implementing partner? *

Please use a dot (.) as decimal separator, instead of a comma (,)

165668

What is the total amount (in USD) disbursed to the implementing partner **since the project's start**? *

Please use a dot (.) as decimal separator, instead of a comma (,)

155668

What is the total amount (in USD) disbursed to the implementing partner **during this calendar period**? *

Please use a dot (.) as decimal separator, instead of a comma (,)

155668

Briefly describe the main activities carried out by the Implementing Partner during this calendar period *

Please limit your response to 1500 characters

The CSO is contracted to support the implementation of livelihood activities in selected communities in Nimba County.

Financial Reporting

» Delivery by Recipient

Please enter the total amounts in full US dollars allocated to each recipient organization

Please enter the original budget amount, amount transferred to date and estimated expenditure by recipient.

*Please make sure you enter the correct amount. All values should be entered in **US Dollars***

For cross-border projects, group the amounts by agency, even if different country offices are involved. You will have the opportunity to share a more detailed budget in the next section.

Recipients	Total Project Budget (in full US $) Please enter the total budget as is in the project document in US Dollars	Transfers to date (in full US $) Please enter the total amount transferred to each recipient to date in US Dollars	Expenditure to date (in full US $) Please enter the approximate amount spent to date in US dollars	Implementation rate as a percentage of total budget (calculated automatically)

UNWOMEN: United Nations Entity for Gender Equality and the Empowerme nt of Women	* 1300000	* 1300000	* 986328.04	75.87%
	*	*	*	%
UNDP: United Nations Developmen t Programme	* 1000000	* 1000000	* 854148.35	85.41%
WFP: World Food Programme	* 1000000	* 1000000	* 637736.71	63.77%
	*	*	*	%
	*	*	*	%
	*	*	*	%
	*	*	*	%

	*	*	*	%
AEDE	* 200000	* 200000	* 124008.82	62%
	*	*	*	%
	*	*	*	%
	*	*	*	%
	*	*	*	%
	*	*	*	%
	*	*	*	%
TOTAL	**3500000**	**3500000**	**2602221.92**	**74.35%**

The approximate implementation rate as percentage of total project budget based on the values entered in the above matrix is **74.35%**. Can you confirm that this is correct? *

(●) Correct ( ) Incorrect

If it is incorrect, please enter the approximate implementation rate as a % *

» Gender-responsive Budgeting

Indicate what **percentage (%)** of the budget contributes to gender equality or women's empowerment (GEWE) as per the project document? *

59.77

The dollar amount of the budget contributing to Gender Equality and Women's Empowerment (GEWE) based on percentage entered above and total project budget is **US $ 2091950**. Can you confirm that this is correct? *

◉ Correct ○ Incorrect

If it is incorrect, please enter the *budget amount* allocated to GEWE in US Dollars *

Amount expended to date on efforts contributiong to gender equality or women's empowerment is **US $ 1555348.04**. Is this correct? *

◉ Correct ○ Incorrect

If it is incorrect, please enter the *expenditure to date* on GEWE in US dollars *

ATTACH PROJECT EXCEL BUDGET SHOWING CURRENT APPROXIMATE EXPENDITURE. *
The templates for the budget are available here

PBF Annual Financial Report November 2025-9_2_0.xls

Project Markers

Please select the Gender Marker Associated with this project *

○ Score 1 for projects that contribute in some way to gender equality, but not significantly (less than 30% of the total budget for GEWE)

○ Score 2 for projects that have gender equality as a significant objective and allocate between 30 and 79% of the total project budget to GEWE

◉ Score 3 for projects that have gender equality as a principal objective and allocate at least 80% of the total project budget to Gender Equality and Women's Empowerment (GEWE)

Please select the Risk Marker Associated with this project *

◉ Risk marker 0 = low risk to achieving outcomes

○ Risk marker 1 = medium risk to achieving outcomes

○ Risk marker 2 = high risk to achieving outcomes

Please select the PBF Focus Area associated with this project *

○ (1.1) Security Sector Reform

○ (1.2) Rule of Law

○ (1.3) Demobilisation, Disarmament and Reintegration

○ (1.4) Political Dialogue

○ (2.1) National reconciliation

○ (2.2) Democratic Governance

● (2.3) Conflict prevention/management

○ (3.1) Employment

○ (3.2) Equitable access to social services

○ (4.1) Strengthening of essential national state capacity

○ (4.2) Extension of state authority/Local Administration

○ (4.3) Governance of peacebuilding resources (including PBF Secretariats)

Is the project part of one or more PBF priority windows? *

Select all that apply

☑ Gender promotion initiative

☐ Youth promotion initiative

☐ Transition from UN or regional peacekeeping or special political missions

☐ Cross-border or regional project

☐ None

Steering Committee and Government engagement

Does the project have an active steering committee/ project board? *

● Yes

○ No

If yes, please indicate how many times the Project Steering Committee has met over the last 6 months?

Please limit your response to 3000 characters

one

Please provide a brief description of any engagement that the project has had with the government over the last 6 months. Please indicate what level of government the project has been engaging with. *

Please limit your response to 3000 characters

The project has engaged several relevant government actors, including the Liberia Land Authority, the National Bureau of Concessions (NBC), the Environmental Protection Agency (EPA), and the Ministry of Agriculture, through the Technical Working Group. These meetings facilitated the review and adoption of the joint annual work plan, the M&E plan, and the joint field missions plan. During these meetings, the implementation status, including challenges, was discussed and solutions devised. The Chairman of the Liberia Land Authority (LLA) chaired these meetings that included UN Women, UNDP, WFP, AEDE, NBC, EPA, and MIA.

PART I: OVERALL PROJECT PROGRESS

NOTES FOR COMPLETING THE REPORT:

- Avoid acronyms and UN jargon, use general /common language.
- Report on what has been achieved in the reporting period, not what the project aims to do.
- Be as concrete as possible. Avoid theoretical, vague or conceptual discourse.
- Ensure the analysis and project progress assessment is gender and age sensitive.

Please rate the implementation status of the following preliminary/preparatory activities

Contracting of partners *

- ( ) Not Started
- ( ) Initiated
- ( ) Partially Completed
- (●) Completed
- ( ) Not Applicable

Staff Recruitment *

- ( ) Not Started
- ( ) Initiated
- ( ) Partially Completed
- (●) Completed
- ( ) Not Applicable

Collection of baselines *

- ( ) Not Started
- ( ) Initiated
- ( ) Partially Completed
- (●) Completed
- ( ) Not Applicable

Identification of beneficiaries *

- ( ) Not Started
- ( ) Initiated
- ( ) Partially Completed
- (●) Completed
- ( ) Not Applicable

Provide any additional descriptive information relating to the status of the project, including whether preliminary/preparatory activities have been completed (i.e. contracting of partners, staff recruitment, etc.) *

Please limit your response to 3000 characters

The Project completed preliminary and preparatory activities, laying a strong foundation for implementation. Key milestones included the contracting of implementing partners and local NGOs to support community engagement and technical assistance, as well as the recruitment and training of core staff within the Liberia Land Authority (LLA) and project teams. Institutional structures were operationalized, with business plans and administrative procedures established in alignment with the Land Rights Act of 2018. At the community level, preparatory work such as self-identification, boundary harmonization, and governance training has been completed in thirty-two customary land-owning communities, ensuring readiness for formal land documentation. Gender-responsive measures were integrated throughout, promoting women's participation in decision-making and dispute resolution. With these foundational steps achieved, the project proceeded to advance toward full-scale implementation, including the issuance of communal land deeds and continued capacity building for sustainable land governance.

Describe overall progress under each Outcome made during the reporting period (*for June reports: January-June; for November reports: January-December (anticipated); for final reports: full project duration*). Do not list individual activities. If the project is starting to make/has made a difference at the outcome level, provide specific evidence for the progress (quantitative and qualitative) and explain how it impacts the broader political and peacebuilding context.

Is the project on track for the timely completion of outputs as indicated in the workplan? *

- ● Yes
- ○ No

If no, please provide an explanation *

Please limit your response to 6000 characters

Project progress summary

*

Please limit your response to 6000 characters

In 2025, Liberia's land governance sector recorded notable progress under the Liberia Land Authority (LLA) with support from this Project. Key reforms included the introduction of a standardized National Deed Form, new operational guidelines for validating tribal certificates, and interim measures for public land transactions. These changes aim to curb fraudulent practices, improve transparency, and formalize customary land rights. Additionally, over 23 communities received statutory deeds securing nearly one million acres, while alternative dispute resolution mechanisms were promoted to address conflicts involving women, youth, and marginalized groups.

The project continues to support semi-formal and informal dispute resolution structures to enhance their capacity to use alternative dispute resolution procedures and to actively engage women, ensuring their participation. In addition, communities' perception towards women's rights to land ownership has positively shifted, allowing women to fully participate in land governance and ensuring they inherit and own land.

About 4,000 students have increased their knowledge and understanding of land rights law and other land rights regulations and have rejuvenated their involvement in land discussions. This was done through a quizzing competition featuring key portions of the land rights law and other land rights regulations

An additional two community radios were engaged in Gbarpolu and Bomi counties and are now airing land rights messages in both English and the local vernaculars. This brings the number of community radios airing land rights messages in the western region of the country to five. Additionally, forty-six community women and youth were trained and are now disseminating messages on women's access and ownership of land, processes to legally acquire community land, the presence of ADR mechanisms to settle land disputes, and locations of local land governance structures. This has increased the awareness of women in the communities on their rights to land and how to make use of the ADR systems.

The project donated a Toyota Land Cruiser hardtop vehicle to the National Bureau of Concession (NBC) to strengthen its capacity to monitor and address potential triggers of land and concession conflicts. This vehicle will also enable field verification of complaints received from concession-affected communities through the call centers and facilitate timely engagement with stakeholders to resolve the issues.

Indicate any additional analysis on how Gender Equality and Women's Empowerment and/or Youth Inclusion and Responsiveness has been ensured by the project to date

*

Please limit your response to 3000 characters

Gender equality and women's empowerment, as well as youth inclusion, remain an integral part of the project's implementation. Through established land governance structures, women and youth in the project counties have strengthened their participation in land governance and ownership, ensuring that issues that affect them are given attention. For example, all established Community Land Development and Management Committees (CLMDCs) are gender balanced to the extent that leadership roles are equally distributed, with 50% held by women and 50% by men. This ensures that both genders share equal responsibility in decision-making regarding land matters. Meanwhile, youth engagement emerged as a cornerstone of the project's success. Young people aged 18 to 35 constitute approximately 53% of all individuals reached during implementation. Their active participation spanned multiple domains, including serving as community facilitators during town hall meetings and awareness sessions. This involvement not only empowered youth but also ensured intergenerational continuity in land governance and community development.

Furthermore, women, men, and youth are involved in alternative dispute resolution, livelihood interventions, and community mapping processes. The project is further empowering women's structures, such as the Peace Huts, as agents of change in their role in peacebuilding and conflict resolution. Such interventions targeting women-led community structures enhance the capacity of women to constructively engage in peacebuilding processes by also reducing financial constraints that have in the past impeded their participation.

Is the project 1+ year in implementation? *

(•) Yes

( ) No

FOR PROJECTS 1+ YEAR IN IMPLEMENTATION ONLY:

Is the project demonstrating outcome-level peacebuilding results? *

Outcome-level peacebuilding results entail results achieved at the societal or structural level, including changed attitudes, behaviours or institutions.

(•) Yes

( ) No

If yes, please provide concrete examples of such peacebuilding results *

Please limit your response to 6000 characters

In September 2025, 23 customary communities received legal titles to their land (land deeds) from the government of Liberia, supported by the project. This is a significant milestone that greatly contributes to the reduction in land disputes in the country. It addresses the long-standing issue of land ownership and boundary demarcation that were once potential triggers for violent conflicts. With the granting of these deeds, these customary communities now have the right to decide how to use their land so that it provides benefits for all.

Meanwhile, the project facilitated the establishment of 23 additional Community Land Development and Management Committees (CLDMCs) to manage the land on behalf of the communities. Each beneficiary community developed its own bylaws, tailored to local customs and legal frameworks, and officials were elected that reflect a 50/50 gender balance.

One of the most challenging aspects of the project was addressing land disputes in Grand Gedeh County, a region marked by the influx of Burkinabe migrants seeking agricultural opportunities. This migration triggered widespread land grabbing, resulting in violent conflicts, loss of life, and destruction of property. In response, the project prioritized dispute resolution in Grand Gedeh, successfully resolving sixteen critical land conflicts. These interventions restored stability and paved the way for peaceful coexistence. The resolution of these conflicts demonstrates the project's relevance in navigating complex socio-political landscapes and delivering tangible peace dividends. It also underscores the importance of inclusive dialogue, legal clarity, and community ownership in mitigating land-related tensions.

Alternative Dispute Resolution (ADR) mechanisms established under this project in Bomi, Gbarpolu, and Grand Cape Mount Counties have documented 87 land dispute cases (23 in Grand Cape Mount, 18 in Bomi, and 41 in Gbarpolu). Of these cases, 33 have been resolved through mediation, 42 are still being mediated, and 1 has been referred to local government authorities for intervention. In addition, an accumulative total of 183 (96F & 87M) members of the MSPs, CLDMC, and County Land Offices from thirteen (13) concession-affected communities in Nimba and Grand Gedeh Counties benefited from gender masculinity and ADR trainings. These training sessions focused on understanding ADR and its processes, including mediation and negotiation, and the referral pathway.

Meanwhile, 8 of the farmer groups that established VSLAs have begun to increase their incomes through the process. This has provided access to finance for the members of the groups to attain economic stability. The table below shows the income generated in Liberian dollars by the groups.

The once-strained relationship between affected community members in Sango-Zao and ArcelorMittal Liberia (AML) due to the loss of livelihood opportunities has improved since the commencement of the project in the area through the facilitation of regular meetings. During the reporting period, a consultative meeting was held in which the company encouraged the farmers' groups to establish cooperatives to facilitate direct purchase from the company and better link to market opportunities. The meeting was attended by the Ministry of Internal Affairs (MIA), the Environmental Protection Agency (EPA), the Ministry of Agriculture (MOA), GERAD-Liberia, community leadership, and ArcelorMittal Liberia.

If yes, please provide sources or references (including links) as evidence of peacebuilding results, or submit them as additional attachments.

Evidence may be quantitative or qualitative but needs to demonstrate progress against outcome indicators in the project results framework. Sources may include project surveys (such as perception surveys), monitoring reports, government documents, or other knowledge products that have been developed by the project.

File attachment	
Evidence-9_11_26.docx	⤓

PART II: PROJECT RESULTS FRAMEWORK

How many OUTCOMES does this project have *

      1   2   3   4   5   more than 5.

Please write out the project outcomes as they are in the project results framework found in the project document

Outcome 1: *

Authorities at national and local levels manage land allocation, registration and licensing processes that support women's participation in land governance in a more effective, transparent, and inclusive manner, reducing conflict.

Outcome 2: *

Concession-affected communities and concession companies benefit from improved relationships and peaceful co-existence by addressing problems and conflicts in concession areas such as lost livelihood opportunities for communities, displacement, and limited employment opportunities

Outcome 3: *

Outcome 4: *

Outcome 5: *

Outcome 6: *

Outcome 7: *

Outcome 8:

Additional Outcomes

If the project has more than 8 outcomes, please enumerate the remaining outcomes here

INDICATOR BASED PERFORMANCE ASSESSMENT

Using the Project Results Framework as per the approved project document or any amendments, provide an update on the achievement of all **outcome** and **output** indicators in the table below.

- Where it has not been possible to collect data on indicators, state this and provide any explanation.
- Provide gender and age disaggregated data. (500 characters max per entry)

» Outcome 1: Authorities at national and local levels manage land allocation, registration and licensing processes that support women's participation in land governance in a more effective, transparent, and inclusive manner, reducing conflict.

OC1	Outcome Indicators	Indicator Baseline	End of Project Indicator Target	Indicator progress for reporting period	Indicator progress since project's start	Reasons for Variance/ Delay (if any)
1.1	% of members of the communities (disaggregated by sex, age) coexist and express satisfaction with land allocation, registration, and leasing processes in an inclusive manner	50% (47% females and 53% males)	80% (55% females and 45% males between the ages of 20 – 70 yrs)	42% (32% females & 10% males)	63% (45% females & 18% males)	On track for achievement
1.2	Functioning gender and youth-sensitive land conflict resolution mechanisms in concession zones	20	60 (40 funded by PBF project)	23 (CLMDCs)	60 (all funded by this project)	Target achieved
1.3	Number of concession agreements in targeted counties negotiated/reviewed with communities' participation	0	3	1	1	On track for achievement
1.4						

1.5						

How many outputs does outcome 1 have?

      1   2   3   [4]   5   more than 5.

Please list all outputs for outcome 1

Output 1.1

Concession-affected communities including women have the capacity to participate and contribute meaningfully to decision-making in the licensing and awarding of concession contracts.

Output 1.2

Gender sensitive semi-formal land dispute resolution bodies (County Peace Committees, Community Land Development and Management Committees, peace huts, multi-stakeholder platforms) are strengthened to resolve disputes through the ADR, in a sustainable, gender and youth-responsive manner

Output 1.3

LLA, NBC and PBO have the capacity and procedures to undertake boundary harmonization and formalization of customary land at community level thereby creating equal access for community and cohesiveness

Output 1.4

LLA has enhanced capacity to fully implement its land communication strategy as per the implementation plan including decentralization of existing land information system.

Output 1.5

Other Outputs

If Outcome 1 has more than 5 outputs, please enumerate the remaining outputs here

For each output, and using the, project results framework, provide an update on the progress made against all output indicators

» Output 1.1: Concession-affected communities including women have the capacity to participate and contribute meaningfully to decision-making in the licensing and awarding of concession contracts.

1.1	Output Indicators *Describe the indicator*	Indicator Baseline *State the baseline value of the indicator*	End of Project Indicator Target *State the target value of the indicator at the end of the project*	Indicator progress for reporting period *State the current value of the indicator for the reporting period*	Indicator progress since project's start *State the current cummulative value of the indicator since the start of the project*	Reasons for Variance/ Delay (if any) *Explain why the indicator is off track or has changed, where relevant*
1.1.1	**Number of opportunities for community members to participate in concession negotiation processes.**	0	4	1	3	**On track for achievement.** **3 concession contracts are pending renegotiation**
1.1.2	**% of concession contracts with gender mainstreamed**	0	60%	20% (6% females & 2% males)	45%	**On track** **4 concessions established female workers' union**
1.1.3						
1.1.4						

1. 1. 5						
....	....	....	....	....	....	....

» Output 1.2: Gender sensitive semi-formal land dispute resolution bodies (County Peace Committees, Community Land Development and Management Committees, peace huts, multi-stakeholder platforms) are strengthened to resolve disputes through the ADR, in a sustainable, gender and youth-responsive manner

1.2	Output Indicators *Describe the indicator*	Indicator Baseline *State the baseline value of the indicator*	End of Project Indicator Target *State the target value of the indicator at the end of the project*	Indicator progress for reporting period *State the current value of the indicator for the reporting period*	Indicator progress since project's start *State the current cummulative value of the indicator since the start of the project*	Reasons for Variance/ Delay (if any) *Explain why the indicator is off track or has changed, where relevant*
1.2.1	**% of members from existing semi-formal land dispute resolution bodies with strengthened skills and knowledge on Gender mainstreaming and gender-responsive conflict prevention, and mediation disaggregated by sex and age**	**63%**	**80%**	**8% (6% females & 2% males)**	**80% (38% females & 42% males)**	**Target achieved**
1.2.2	**Existence of a database of cases, disaggregated by sex and age, resolved by semi-formal mechanisms in targeted counties**	**No**	**Yes**	**Yes**	**Yes**	**Target achieved** **A simple Excel database was established by FCI**

1. 2. 3	Number of cases resolved by semi-formal land dispute resolution bodies	10	50	33 cases in all project counties	75	Target surpass due to the effectiveness of the SDR mechanisms
1. 2. 4						
1. 2. 5						

» Output 1.3: LLA, NBC and PBO have the capacity and procedures to undertake boundary harmonization and formalization of customary land at community level thereby creating equal access for community and cohesiveness

1.3	Output Indicators *Describe the indicator*	Indicator Baseline *State the baseline value of the indicator*	End of Project Indicator Target *State the target value of the indicator at the end of the project*	Indicator progress for reporting period *State the current value of the indicator for the reporting period*	Indicator progress since project's start *State the current cummulative value of the indicator since the start of the project*	Reasons for Variance/ Delay (if any) *Explain why the indicator is off track or has changed, where relevant*
1.3.1	**Number of civil servants from LLA conducting boundary harmonization activities disaggregated by sex and age**	**80 (31 females and 49 males)**	**120 (60 women and 60 men)**	**22 (11 F, 11 M). These include surveyors**	**120 (60 women and 60 men)**	**Target achieved**
1.3.2	**Number of customary land boundaries identified by communities and demarcated**	**13**	**35**	**23**	**55**	**The target was surpassed, thanks to the enhanced collaboration with local communities.**
1.3.3	**Number of communities using land maps**	**0**	**8**	**23**	**38**	**The target was surpassed, thanks to the enhanced collaboration with local communities.**
1.3.4						

1. 3. 5						
....	....	....	....	....	....	....

» Output 1.4: LLA has enhanced capacity to fully implement its land communication strategy as per the implementation plan including decentralization of existing land information system.

1.4	Output Indicators *Describe the indicator*	Indicator Baseline *State the baseline value of the indicator*	End of Project Indicator Target *State the target value of the indicator at the end of the project*	Indicator progress for reporting period *State the current value of the indicator for the reporting period*	Indicator progress since project's start *State the current cummulative value of the indicator since the start of the project*	Reasons for Variance/ Delay (if any) *Explain why the indicator is off track or has changed, where relevant*
1.4.1	**Number of women and youth trained and disseminating information on community land rights disaggregated by age and sex**	**42**	**250**	**46 of ages 18-35 (17 females, 21 males)**	**250 of ages 18-35 (185 females & 65 males)**	**Target achieved, thanks to the huge interest of the young women and youth to participate in this activity**
1.4.2	**% of community members with information on the LRA**	**20%**	**50%**	**43%**	**50%**	**Target achieved**
1.4.3						
1.4.4						
1.4.5						

» Output 1.5:

1.5	Output Indicators *Describe the indicator*	Indicator Baseline *State the baseline value of the indicator*	End of Project Indicator Target *State the target value of the indicator at the end of the project*	Indicator progress for reporting period *State the current value of the indicator for the reporting period*	Indicator progress since project's start *State the current cummulative value of the indicator since the start of the project*	Reasons for Variance/ Delay (if any) *Explain why the indicator is off track or has changed, where relevant*
1.5.1						
1.5.2						
1.5.3						
1.5.4						
1.5.5						

» Outcome 2: Concession-affected communities and concession companies benefit from improved relationships and peaceful co-existence by addressing problems and conflicts in concession areas such as lost livelihood opportunities for communities, displacement, and limited employment opportunities

OC2	Outcome Indicators	Indicator Baseline	End of Project Indicator Target	Indicator progress for reporting period	Indicator progress since project's start	Reasons for Variance/ Delay (if any)
2.1	% of community members (disaggregated by sex, age) with improved perception of concessions' behavior towards communities	15%	50% (50% women and 50% men)	22%	43% believe concessions are delivering on their CSR.	On track
2.2	% of communities in targeted counties with improved livelihood opportunities because of the concessions' intervention	23%	70%	32%	63% - rice & vegetables harvesting & VSLAs	On track
2.3	Number of heightened conflicts between concession companies and communities in target counties	0	0	0	0	There has been no conflict reported
2.4						

2.5						

How many outputs does outcome 2 have?

      1   2   3   [4]   5   more than 5.

Please list all outputs for outcome 2

Output 2.1

Targeted women and youth, including cooperatives, have sustained livelihood opportunities provided in collaboration with concession companies through climate-smart agriculture activities

Output 2.2

Enhanced climate resilience of women and youth smallholder farmers in targeted communities through the implementation of low-carbon agriculture techniques and integrated ecosystem management (IEM) approaches

Output 2.3

Institutional capacity of LLA/EPA/NBC/SPRC is strengthened to effectively prevent conflicts driven by the depletion of livelihood opportunities and environmental hazards

Output 2.4

Strengthened capacity of workers' unions, Multi-stakeholders' platforms and human rights advocates, especially women, to negotiate for improved working conditions and equal employment opportunities for females

Output 2.5

Other Outputs

If Outcome 2 has more than 5 outputs, please enumerate the remaining outputs here

For each output, and using the, project results framework, provide an update on the progress made against all output indicators

» Output 2.1: Targeted women and youth, including cooperatives, have sustained livelihood opportunities provided in collaboration with concession companies through climate-smart agriculture activities

2.1	Output Indicators *Describe the indicator*	Indicator Baseline *State the baseline value of the indicator*	End of Project Indicator Target *State the target value of the indicator at the end of the project*	Indicator progress for reporting period *State the current value of the indicator for the reporting period*	Indicator progress since project's start *State the current cummulative value of the indicator since the start of the project*	Reasons for Variance/ Delay (if any) *Explain why the indicator is off track or has changed, where relevant*
2.1.1	**Number of smallholder farmers supported with training, inputs, equipment, and infrastructure**	**60**	**800 (500 women and 300 men)**	**200 (75 males and 125 females) received Climate Smart Agriculture training.**	**800 (534 females and 266 males) received Climate Smart Agriculture training.**	**Target achieved**
2.1.2	**Percentage of target beneficiaries (disaggregated by sex) expressing satisfaction with identifying and addressing livelihoods and environmental hazards' concerns through MSPs and CLDMCs as relevant**	**13%**	**80%**	**42% (8% females & 10% males)**	**72% (48% females & 24% males)**	**On track to achieve result**
2.1.3						

2. 1. 4	....	....	....	....	....	....
2. 1. 5	....	....	....	....	....	....

» Output 2.2: Enhanced climate resilience of women and youth smallholder farmers in targeted communities through the implementation of low-carbon agriculture techniques and integrated ecosystem management (IEM) approaches

2.2	Output Indicators *Describe the indicator*	Indicator Baseline *State the baseline value of the indicator*	End of Project Indicator Target *State the target value of the indicator at the end of the project*	Indicator progress for reporting period *State the current value of the indicator for the reporting period*	Indicator progress since project's start *State the current cummulative value of the indicator since the start of the project*	Reasons for Variance/ Delay (if any) *Explain why the indicator is off track or has changed, where relevant*
2.2.1	**Percentage of savings made by participants of financial inclusion initiatives promoted by the project**	**0%**	**60%**	**52.42%**	**52.42%**	**On track to achieve result**
2.2.2	**Percentage reduction in use of wood and fossil fuels in agricultural production (Estimated volume of GHG emissions avoided through smart land use and management)**	**12%**	**40%**	**28%**	**28%**	**On track to achieve result**
2.2.3	**Number of community people receiving livelihood support directly from concession companies disaggregated by sex and age**	**22**	**100 (60 women and 40 men)**	**21 (13 females & 8 males)**	**60 (41 females & 19 males)**	**On track to achieve result**

2. 2. 4	....	....	....	....	....	....
2. 2. 5	....	....	....	....	....	....

» Output 2.3: Institutional capacity of LLA/EPA/NBC/SPRC is strengthened to effectively prevent conflicts driven by the depletion of livelihood opportunities and environmental hazards

2.3	Output Indicators *Describe the indicator*	Indicator Baseline *State the baseline value of the indicator*	End of Project Indicator Target *State the target value of the indicator at the end of the project*	Indicator progress for reporting period *State the current value of the indicator for the reporting period*	Indicator progress since project's start *State the current cummulative value of the indicator since the start of the project*	Reasons for Variance/ Delay (if any) *Explain why the indicator is off track or has changed, where relevant*
2.3.1	**Staff members of LLA/EPA/NBC/SPRC trained to effectively prevent conflicts driven by the depletion of livelihood opportunities and environmental hazards disaggregated by sex and age**	**31 (12 F & 19 M)**	**65 (27 F & 38 M)**	**13 (5 F, 8M)**	**65 (27 F & 38 M)**	**Target achieved**
2.3.2	**Number of community members trained to determine water pollution and rejuvenate water sources disaggregated by sex and age**	**25 (7 F & 18 M)**	**50 (25 F & 25 M)**	**20 (9 females & 11 males)**	**50 (25 F & 25 M)**	**Target achieved**
2.3.3						

2. 3. 4	....	....	....	....	....	....
2. 3. 5	....	....	....	....	....	....

» Output 2.4: Strengthened capacity of workers' unions, Multi-stakeholders' platforms and human rights advocates, especially women, to negotiate for improved working conditions and equal employment opportunities for females

2.4	Output Indicators *Describe the indicator*	Indicator Baseline *State the baseline value of the indicator*	End of Project Indicator Target *State the target value of the indicator at the end of the project*	Indicator progress for reporting period *State the current value of the indicator for the reporting period*	Indicator progress since project's start *State the current cummulative value of the indicator since the start of the project*	Reasons for Variance/ Delay (if any) *Explain why the indicator is off track or has changed, where relevant*
2.4.1	**% of workers' unions and human rights advocates engaging concession companies through the MSPs**	**13%**	**85%**	**41%**	**77%**	**On track to achieve results**
2.4.2	**Number of concession companies with gender policies and/or procedures to address SEA and harassment in the workplace**	**0**	**3**	**1**	**1 completed and pending validation**	**On track**
2.4.3						
2.4.4						

2.4.5						

» Output 2.5:

2.5	Output Indicators *Describe the indicator*	Indicator Baseline *State the baseline value of the indicator*	End of Project Indicator Target *State the target value of the indicator at the end of the project*	Indicator progress for reporting period *State the current value of the indicator for the reporting period*	Indicator progress since project's start *State the current cummulative value of the indicator since the start of the project*	Reasons for Variance/ Delay (if any) *Explain why the indicator is off track or has changed, where relevant*
2.5.1						
2.5.2						
2.5.3						
2.5.4						
2.5.5						

» Outcome 3:

OC3	Outcome Indicators	Indicator Baseline	End of Project Indicator Target	Indicator progress for reporting period	Indicator progress since project's start	Reasons for Variance/ Delay (if any)
3.1						
3.2						
3.3						
3.4						
3.5						

How many outputs does outcome 3 have?

1   2   3   4   5   more than 5.

Please list all outputs for outcome 3

Output 3.1

Output 3.2

Output 3.3

Output 3.4

Output 3.5

Other Outputs

If Outcome 3 has more than 5 outputs, please enumerate the remaining outputs here

For each output, and using the, project results framework, provide an update on the progress made against all output indicators

....

» Output 3.1:

3.1	Output Indicators *Describe the indicator*	Indicator Baseline *State the baseline value of the indicator*	End of Project Indicator Target *State the target value of the indicator at the end of the project*	Indicator progress for reporting period *State the current value of the indicator for the reporting period*	Indicator progress since project's start *State the current cummulative value of the indicator since the start of the project*	Reasons for Variance/ Delay (if any) *Explain why the indicator is off track or has changed, where relevant*
3.1.1						
3.1.2						
3.1.3						
3.1.4						
3.1.5						

» Output 3.2:

3.2	Output Indicators *Describe the indicator*	Indicator Baseline *State the baseline value of the indicator*	End of Project Indicator Target *State the target value of the indicator at the end of the project*	Indicator progress for reporting period *State the current value of the indicator for the reporting period*	Indicator progress since project's start *State the current cummulative value of the indicator since the start of the project*	Reasons for Variance/ Delay (if any) *Explain why the indicator is off track or has changed, where relevant*
3.2.1						
3.2.2						
3.2.3						
3.2.4						
3.2.5						

» Output 3.3:

3.3	Output Indicators *Describe the indicator*	Indicator Baseline *State the baseline value of the indicator*	End of Project Indicator Target *State the target value of the indicator at the end of the project*	Indicator progress for reporting period *State the current value of the indicator for the reporting period*	Indicator progress since project's start *State the current cummulative value of the indicator since the start of the project*	Reasons for Variance/ Delay (if any) *Explain why the indicator is off track or has changed, where relevant*
3.3.1						
3.3.2						
3.3.3						
3.3.4						
3.3.5						

» Output 3.4:

3.4	Output Indicators *Describe the indicator*	Indicator Baseline *State the baseline value of the indicator*	End of Project Indicator Target *State the target value of the indicator at the end of the project*	Indicator progress for reporting period *State the current value of the indicator for the reporting period*	Indicator progress since project's start *State the current cummulative value of the indicator since the start of the project*	Reasons for Variance/ Delay (if any) *Explain why the indicator is off track or has changed, where relevant*
3. 4. 1						
3. 4. 2						
3. 4. 3						
3. 4. 4						
3. 4. 5						

» Output 3.5:

3.5	Output Indicators *Describe the indicator*	Indicator Baseline *State the baseline value of the indicator*	End of Project Indicator Target *State the target value of the indicator at the end of the project*	Indicator progress for reporting period *State the current value of the indicator for the reporting period*	Indicator progress since project's start *State the current cummulative value of the indicator since the start of the project*	Reasons for Variance/ Delay (if any) *Explain why the indicator is off track or has changed, where relevant*
3.5.1						
3.5.2						
3.5.3						
3.5.4						
3.5.5						

» Outcome 4:

OC4	Outcome Indicators	Indicator Baseline	End of Project Indicator Target	Indicator progress for reporting period	Indicator progress since project's start	Reasons for Variance/ Delay (if any)
4.1						
4.2						
4.3						
4.4						
4.5						

How many outputs does outcome 4 have?

     1   2   3   4   5   more than 5.

Please list all outputs for outcome 4

Output 4.1

Output 4.2

Output 4.3

Output 4.4

Output 4.5

Other Outputs

If Outcome 4 has more than 5 outputs, please enumerate the remaining outputs here

For each output, and using the, project results framework, provide an update on the progress made against all output indicators

....

» Output 4.1:

4.1	Output Indicators *Describe the indicator*	Indicator Baseline *State the baseline value of the indicator*	End of Project Indicator Target *State the target value of the indicator at the end of the project*	Indicator progress for reporting period *State the current value of the indicator for the reporting period*	Indicator progress since project's start *State the current cummulative value of the indicator since the start of the project*	Reasons for Variance/ Delay (if any) *Explain why the indicator is off track or has changed, where relevant*
4.1.1						
4.1.2						
4.1.3						
4.1.4						
4.1.5						

» Output 4.2:

4.2	Output Indicators *Describe the indicator*	Indicator Baseline *State the baseline value of the indicator*	End of Project Indicator Target *State the target value of the indicator at the end of the project*	Indicator progress for reporting period *State the current value of the indicator for the reporting period*	Indicator progress since project's start *State the current cummulative value of the indicator since the start of the project*	Reasons for Variance/ Delay (if any) *Explain why the indicator is off track or has changed, where relevant*
4.2.1						
4.2.2						
4.2.3						
4.2.4						
4.2.5						

» Output 4.3:

4.3	Output Indicators *Describe the indicator*	Indicator Baseline *State the baseline value of the indicator*	End of Project Indicator Target *State the target value of the indicator at the end of the project*	Indicator progress for reporting period *State the current value of the indicator for the reporting period*	Indicator progress since project's start *State the current cummulative value of the indicator since the start of the project*	Reasons for Variance/ Delay (if any) *Explain why the indicator is off track or has changed, where relevant*
4.3.1						
4.3.2						
4.3.3						
4.3.4						
4.3.5						

» Output 4.4:

4.4	Output Indicators *Describe the indicator*	Indicator Baseline *State the baseline value of the indicator*	End of Project Indicator Target *State the target value of the indicator at the end of the project*	Indicator progress for reporting period *State the current value of the indicator for the reporting period*	Indicator progress since project's start *State the current cummulative value of the indicator since the start of the project*	Reasons for Variance/ Delay (if any) *Explain why the indicator is off track or has changed, where relevant*
4.4.1						
4.4.2						
4.4.3						
4.4.4						
4.4.5						

» Output 4.5:

4.5	Output Indicators *Describe the indicator*	Indicator Baseline *State the baseline value of the indicator*	End of Project Indicator Target *State the target value of the indicator at the end of the project*	Indicator progress for reporting period *State the current value of the indicator for the reporting period*	Indicator progress since project's start *State the current cummulative value of the indicator since the start of the project*	Reasons for Variance/ Delay (if any) *Explain why the indicator is off track or has changed, where relevant*
4.5.1						
4.5.2						
4.5.3						
4.5.4						
4.5.5						

If the project has more than 4 outcomes, use this space to describe progress on progress on indicators for the remaining outcomes *

PART III: Cross-Cutting Issues

Is the project planning any significant events in the next six months? (eg. national dialogues, youth congresses, film screenings, etc.)
If yes, please state how many, and for each, provide the approximate date of the event and a brief description, including its key objectives, target audience and location (if known)

Events	Event Description	Tentative Date	Location	Target Audience	Event Objectives (900 characters)
Event 1	**Vehicle Handover Ceremony**	**November 2025**	**Monrovia**	**UN Resident Coordinator, PBF Secretariat, All implementing Agencies, the National Bureau of Concession**	**To hand over a vehicle to the NBC for the project implementation**
Event 2	**Capacity Building for CLDMC & Local Authorities**	**December 2025**	**Bomi**	**150 members of CLMDCs**	**To strengthen the capacity of CLDMCs by equipping them with comprehensive knowledge of the formalization steps, processes, and procedures outlined in the CSI guide; clarifying their roles in**
Event 3	**Joint field monitoring visits – Phase II**	**Jan. 2026**	**Gbarpolu, Bomi, Grand Cape Mount**	**Project beneficiaries, implementing partners**	**Ascertain the project's implementation level and ensure that it is in line with the project document as well as the country's development plan and strategic focus.**
Event 4	**National Dialogue with Concession Companies**	**February 2026**	**Monrovia**	**Approximately 200 persons**	**To obtain a commitment from concessions on their obligation to gender equality**

Human Impact

This section is about the human impact of the project. Please state key stakeholders (including but not limited to: Civil Society Organziations, Beneficiaries, etc.) of the project, and for each, please briefly describe:

i. The challenges/problem they faced prior to the project implemantation
ii. The impact of the project in their lives
iii. Provide, where possible, a quote or testimonial from a representative of each stakeholder group
This is an optional question. You may leave it unanswered if not relevant

Human Impact	Type of stakeholder	What has been the impact of the project on their lives?	Provide, where possible, a quote or testimonial from the stakeholder

1	Community Land Development and Management Committee	The Land Governance project has delivered substantial human impact by addressing historical injustices, empowering marginalized groups, and strengthening institutional frameworks. Civil society organizations have gained influence, community beneficiaries have secured their rights, and governance institutions have become more responsive and inclusive. These outcomes reflect a holistic approach to land reform that centers on human dignity, equity, and sustainable development.	"Before this project came to our community, we had no clear understanding of who owned what land. Disputes were common, and sometimes they turned violent. Women were not allowed to speak in land meetings, and young people were never invited. But since the project started, everything has changed. We went through the process of identifying ourselves as one land-owning community, and we now have our own land management committee where women and youth have equal seats. I was elected as a member of the Community Land Development and Management Committee, and I am proud to say that I represent the voices of women in our village. We now have legal deeds, and we feel protected. We can farm, build schools, and even resolve disputes peacefully. The Peace Hut women have been trained to help mediate conflicts, and they are respected now more than ever. This project has given us dignity and hope." — Wleetibo Dennis, Community Member, Grand Gedeh County

2	Gon Zodua Local Farmer Group	This is one of the farmers' groups from Grand Cape Mount County. The group is engaged in vegetables, cassava, and lowland rice production. They also have the VSLA established in their community.	"I'm a single mother who the project helped to stand on my feet. Since my husband died during the war, I have been struggling with my children, with no money to even buy food. They were put out of school, and we were facing hard time until this project helped us establish this farmer group. Since we started harvesting our crops and selling some, I have bad money to feed my family and put susu. The VSLA is also helping me financially. The training on how to do good farming is helping us get plenty vegetables from our farm. Another thing the project is doing for us as a group is that we are from different tribes, but we are working and eating together peacefully." - Ma Krubo Zayzay
3			
4			

In addition to the stakeholder specific impact described above, please use this space to describe any additional human impact that the project has had.

Please limit your response to 4000 characters.

In Grand Cape Mount County, in the western region of Liberia, women are similarly pleased with the project. Ms. Jenneh Massaquoi, when asked how this project impacted her and her community, responded, "In the past, women never owned land here, but today, women, men, and youth can sit together with the elders and decide issues on land ownership".

At the Bo Waterside Peace Hut (Grand Cape Mount County), Eliza Diop expressed her happiness with the project. "It is now clear that women have the right to participate in land discussions, and that the customary land will be used by our children when we die tomorrow, now customary land is not for sale anymore".

You can also upload upto 3 files in various formats (picture files, powerpoint, pdf, video, etc.) to illustrate the human impact of the project
OPTIONAL

File 1
OPTIONAL

Click here to upload file. (< 10MB)

File 2
OPTIONAL

Click here to upload file. (< 10MB)

File 3
OPTIONAL

Click here to upload file. (< 10MB)

You can also add upto 3 links to online resources which illustrate the human impact of the project
OPTIONAL

Link 1
OPTIONAL

Link 2
OPTIONAL

Link 3
OPTIONAL

Please tick the applicable change based on above narrative.

How we worked: *

Please select up to 3.

- ☑ Enhanced digitization
- ☐ Innovative ways of working
- ☐ Mobilized additional resources
- ☐ Improved or initiated policy frameworks
- ☐ Strengthened capacities
- ☑ Partnered with with local/grassroots Civil Society Organizations
- ☐ Expanding coalitions & galvanizing political will
- ☐ Strengthened partnerships with IFIs
- ☑ Strengthened partnerships with UN Agencies

Please explain one of the selected options

Please limit your response to 3000 characters.

The call Center at the NBC has been reactivated. This center relies on digital equipment such as phones, recorders, and others to function properly. The use of digital equipment is aiding the process of monitoring and tracking potential conflict triggers.

Please explain one of the selected options

Please limit your response to 3000 characters.

The project has partnered with grassroots organizations, including by providing funding to CSOs and CBOs, with particular emphasis on women-led organizations, to implement specific aspects of the intervention. For example, the Agency for Economic Development and Empowerment (AEDE) received direct funding from the PBF under this project and is responsible for co-implementing output 1.4 by supporting the LLA in the implementation of its communication strategy. In addition, the Association of Female Lawyers of Liberia (AFELL) received funds and is leading the advocacy for communities, with emphasis on women's rights and inclusion in decision-making processes, including concession negotiations. Moreover, the Foundation for Community Initiatives (FCI) is partnering with UN Women to implement Output 1.2 and Output 1.4. The project has provided funding to the Community Sustainable Development Organization (COSDO) and Geo-Environmental Research Agency for Development (GERAD LIBERIA) to support the implementation of livelihood activities.

Please explain one of the selected options

Please limit your response to 3000 characters.

Coordination and collaboration have been significantly enhanced through the Project Management Team (PMT), which includes the implementing UN agencies. For instance, the PMT conducts monthly project coordination meetings to ensure inclusive consultation and effective coordination among all members.

Who are we working with   *

- [ ] Strengthened partnerships with IFIs
- [ ] Strengthened partnerships between UN Agencies
- [x] Partnered with local civil society organizations
- [ ] Partnered with local academia
- [ ] Partnered with sub-national entities
- [ ] Partnered with national entities
- [x] Partnered with local volunteers

Please explain

Please limit your response to 3000 characters

The project has partnered with grassroots organizations, including by providing funding to CSOs and CBOs, with particular emphasis on women-led organizations, to implement specific aspects of the intervention. For example, the Agency for Economic Development and Empowerment received direct funding from the PBF under this project and is responsible for co-implementing output 1.4 by supporting the LLA in the implementation of its communication strategy. In addition, the Association of Female Lawyers of Liberia (AFELL) received funds and is leading the advocacy for communities, with emphasis on women's rights and inclusion in decision-making processes, including concession negotiations. Moreover, the Foundation for Community Initiatives (FCI) is partnering with UN Women to implement Output 1.2 and Output 1.4. The project has provided funding to the Community Sustainable Development Organization (COSDO) and Geo-Environmental Research Agency for Development (GERAD LIBERIA) to support the implementation of livelihood activities.

Community-level engagement has also been a central component of the project's strategy. Awareness-raising campaigns on environmental degradation and deforestation were conducted with the EPA taking the lead and active participation of community representatives and local stakeholders. These campaigns aimed to build grassroots support for sustainable land use practices and to encourage community ownership of environmental protection efforts. The awareness campaign is also aired on Radio Good Shepherd, Nimba.

Leave No one Behind

Select all beneficiaries targeted with the PBF resources as evidenced by the narrative *

Mandatory

- ☑ Unemployed persons
- ☐ Minorities (e.g. race, ethnicity, linguistic, religion, etc.)
- ☑ Indigenous communities
- ☑ Persons with Disabilities
- ☑ Persons affected by violence (including GBV)
- ☑ Women
- ☑ Youth
- ☐ Children
- ☐ Minorities related to sexual orientation and/or gender identity and expression
- ☐ People living in and around border areas
- ☐ Persons affected by natural disasters
- ☑ Persons affected by armed conflicts
- ☑ Internally displaced persons, refugees or migrants

PART IV: Monitoring, Evaluation and Compliance

» Monitoring

Please list key monitoring activities undertaken in the reporting period *

Please limit your response to 3000 characters.

One joint monitoring visit of implementing agencies and government partners (UN Women, UNDP, WFP, AEDE, LLA, EPA, NBC) was conducted during the reporting period. This is in addition to separate monitoring visits conducted by individual implementing agencies regularly to ensure project quality delivery. These include field visits, stakeholder consultations, and data collection on key indicators such as the number of communities completing Customary Land Formalization (CLF), gender representation in governance structures, youth engagement levels, and dispute resolution outcomes. Real-time monitoring tools, including digital mapping and community feedback mechanisms, are used to capture both quantitative and qualitative data, allowing for adaptive management and timely course correction.

Compliance mechanisms are embedded to ensure adherence to legal, ethical, and operational standards. This includes alignment with Liberia's land laws, UN safeguard policies, and donor reporting requirements. Financial audits, procurement reviews, and risk assessments are conducted to maintain integrity and prevent misuse of resources. The project also promotes social compliance by upholding principles of gender equity, youth inclusion, and community consent in all activities

Do outcome indicators have baselines? *

If only some of the outcome indicators have baselines, select 'yes'

- ● Yes
- ○ No

If yes, please provide a brief description. If not, explain why not and when they will be available. *

Please limit your response to 3000 characters.

A baseline study was conducted from the inception of the project. This study identified appropriate and correct baselines for the outcome indicators that were not known during the project proposal drafting.

Elaborate on what sources of evidence have been used to report on indicators (and are available upon request) *

Please limit your response to 3000 characters.

The project has utilized reports from partners (CSOs, Government agencies, and local communities), field assessment reports, implementation reports, M&E reports, and local newspapers reports to report on the indicators.

Has the project launched outcome level data collection initiatives? e.g. perception surveys *

Perception survey is a formal collection of information from a randomly selected sample of respondents through their responses to standardized questions. See PBF Guidance Note for more information *link*

- ○ Yes
- ● No

Please provide a brief description *

Please limit your response to 3000 characters.

Has the project used or established community feedback mechanisms? *

Community feedback mechanism, or community-based monitoring, is an organized system for communities of participants to monitor the local effects and impact of an intervention. Ideally, this system empowers the community to express whether their expectations are being met and to provide suggestions to decision-makers for possible (re)focusing. See PBF Guidance Note for more information. link

- (●) Yes
- ( ) No

Please provide a brief description *

Please limit your response to 3000 characters.

The call center at the National Bureau of Concession (NBC) has been reactivated and properly equipped and is now receiving feedback and complaints from local communities on allegations of companies violating their concession agreements, as well as non-adherence to their commitments to communities. The center has strengthened the National Bureau of Concession's (NBC) ability to respond to potential conflict situations promptly, thus gradually shifting public confidence in the government's ability to address community-concession grievances.

» Evaluation

Is the project on track to conduct its evaluation? *

- ( ) Yes
- (●) No
- ( ) Not Applicable

Evaluation budget (in USD) included in the project budget: *

Response required

60000

If project will end in next six months, is your upcoming evaluation on track?

- ( ) Yes
- ( ) No
- (●) Not Applicable

Please describe the preparations

Please limit your response to 3000 characters.

Contact information	Name	Organization	Job title	Email

Please mention the focal person responsible for sharing the final evaluation report with the PBF:	Kofi Ireland	UN Women	Program Officer	kofi.ireland@unwomen.org
....	....	....	....	....

» Catalytic Effect

Catalytic Effect (financial): Has the project mobilized additional non-PBF financial resources since the project's start? *

○ Yes

◉ No

How many funders has the project received additional non-PBF funding from **since the project started**? *

Catalytic Effect (non-financial): Has the project enabled or created a larger or longer-term peacebuilding change to occur, in addition to the direct project changes? Please refer to PBF Catalytic Effect Guidelines for more information. *

◉ Yes

○ No

If yes, please select the relevant option below: *

○ Some catalytic effect

◉ Significant catalytic effect

If relevant, please describe how the project has had a (non-financial) catalytic effect, i.e. removed barriers to unblock stalled political, institutional or other peacebuilding processes at different levels in a country, and/or created the conditions to establish new processes to do so *

Please limit your response to 3000 characters.

Use of ADR promotes amicable resolution of conflicts between community members, thus mitigating backlash and animosity that would in the past occurred from rulings in court cases.

Negotiations with concession companies have enabled some communities to get their promised benefits as committed by the companies. This has reduced demonstrations and violent conflicts, thus promoting peace.

Boundary harmonization processes have allowed communities to understand the scope of their land, thus mitigating boundary conflicts within communities.

Sustainability

Please describe any steps that have been taken to ensure the sustainability of peacebuilding gains, including any mechanisms, platforms, networks and socio-economic initiatives supported, beyond the duration of the project *

Please limit your response to 3000 characters.

The LLA and other relevant Government agencies have leveraged the support from this project to decentralize services and establish their presence in the four counties. They have also adopted the use of community structures such as the Community Land Development Management Committees, Peace Huts, MSPs, etc. in their operations as a means of assuring communities take ownership of the processes. The government agencies have also ensured that the appropriate tools are developed and their staff trained, while the offices were fully equipped. With the presence of these staff and community structures, the LLA is confident that the peacebuilding efforts of this project will not only be sustained but will be replicated to other counties.

Farmers working in groups to form cooperatives, even beyond the life of the project, are central to ensuring long-term sustainability. The distribution of agricultural equipment empowers farmers to produce, process, and access markets promptly, ultimately increasing their income over time.
To scale up this sustainability approach, the project aims to establish a farmers' network that fosters a sustainable market system and supports the adoption of climate-smart agriculture practices through consistent extension services.

Farmers working in groups to form cooperatives, even beyond the life of the project, are central to ensuring long-term sustainability. The distribution of agricultural equipment empowers farmers to produce, process, and access markets promptly, ultimately increasing their income over time.
To scale up this sustainability approach, the project aims to establish a farmers' network that fosters a sustainable market system and supports the adoption of climate-smart agriculture practices through consistent extension services.

The project will facilitate linkages between farmers and cooperatives that provide services under the Home-Grown School Feeding Programme of WFP, enabling rural farmers to access direct markets and produce throughout the year.

Recognizing that access to finance remains a major challenge for smallholder farmers, the project tailored intervention through Village Savings and Loan Associations (VSLAs) will continue to serve as a vital resource. VSLAs empower farmers to mobilize funds, save, and manage their finances at the community level, enhancing their resilience and ability to invest in their livelihoods.

Are there any other issues concerning project implementation that you want to share, including any capacity needs of the recipient organizations?

Please limit your response to 3000 characters.

No

Monitoring and Oversight Activities

Please describe any key event related to monitoring and oversight. Please click next if no activities have yet taken place.
Events include Steering Committee meetings, Monitoring visits, Third party monitoring, Community based monitoring, any data collection, Perception or other survey findings, evaluation reports, audit or investigations.

Monitoring and oversight activities	Name of the Event	Summary	Key Findings
Event 1	Joint Monitoring visit with UN Women, WFP, UNDP, and LLA, EPA, MOA, AEDE, conducted in Nimba and Grand Gedeh Counties.	The joint monitoring mission aimed to assess the progress of the ongoing PBF project, which requires at least four joint monitoring visits by implementing agencies.	- The issue of the influx of migrants in towns and villages in Grand Gedeh and Nimba is causing serious tensions that could erupt into full-scale violence if not addressed urgently. - The lack of regular monitoring and assessment is negatively impacting implementation, with some implementing partners deviating from the agreed-upon activities. - The project sites cannot be easily identified due to the lack of visibility signs. - Tensions in communities over boundaries and land are very high in Grand Gedeh County and could explode if not addressed.
Event 2			
Event 3			
Event 4			

Event 5			
Event 6			
Event 7			
Event 8			

Final Steps

- Please save a PDF copy of the form by clicking on the *Printer* icon on the top right corner of the page.
- A dialogue box will appear: Please select the A4 size and portrait orientation.
- Click "prepare" and save the document as a PDF.
- (If on first attempt, the generated page is not readable, close the pop up page and go back to the first page of the online form using the "Return to Beginning" option and try to print the PDF version from there)
- After printing the PDF version, please submit the report in the last page of the form. You can use the "Go to End" button in the bottom right corner.
- In compliance with our reporting requirements, **please upload the PDF version of the report as well as your *financial report in excel format* to the MPTF-O Gateway.**

If you encounter any difficulty in filling the form or generating the print-out for MPTFO Gateway, please contact Gabriel Velastegui gabriel.velasteguimoya@un.org

Thank You. You have finished the report. Please Click on the SUBMIT button below. When the report is submitted, a confirmation note will appear on a yellow banner on top of the page. This can take a few seconds.