

PBF November 2025 Project Progress Report



**PEACEBUILDING
FUND**



PROJECT OVERVIEW

Thank you for taking the time to complete the PBF Progress report. For projects with more than one recipient, please consult among co-recipients prior to filling out the form to ensure collaboration on the responses. If you have any questions or require technical assistance in filling out the form, please send an email to gabriel.velasteguiimoya@un.org

Click Next below to start

» Report Submission

Type of report *

- ☐ Semi-annual
- ☒ Annual
- ☐ Final
- ☐ Other

Date of submission of report *

2025-11-15

Name and title of person submitting the report *

Patricia Porras, Bougainville Programme Coordinator

E-mail of person submitting the report *

patricia.porras@undp.org

Name and title of person who approved the report *

Anthony Cameron, Peace and Development Advisor

Have all fund recipients for this project contributed to the report? *

- ☒ Yes
☐ No

Did PBF Secretariat or RCO focal point review the report? *

You should normally ensure that the PBF Secretariat or the PBF focal point have an opportunity to review.

- ☒ Yes
☐ No
☐ Not Applicable

» Project Information and Geographical Scope

Is this a cross-border project? *

- ☐ Yes ☒ No

Please select the geographical region in which the project is implemented

- ☒ Asia and the Pacific ☐ Central & Southern Africa ☐ East Africa
☐ Europe and Central Asia ☐ Global ☐ Latin America and the Caribbean
☐ Middle East and North Africa ☐ West Africa

Country of project implementation *

- ☐ Fiji ☐ Kiribati ☐ Marshall Islands
☐ Myanmar ☒ Papua New Guinea ☐ Philippines
☐ Solomon Islands ☐ Sri Lanka ☐ Tuvalu
☐ Other, Specify

Other, please specify *

Project Title *

- ☒ 00134252: Sustaining Peace in Bougainville – Post Referendum Support
☐ 00140559: Empower Her-PNG Peace Initiative
☐ Other, Specify

Write the 8 digit MPTFO number and Project Title exactly as it appears in the Project Document *

EXAMPLE: 00118938: Community-based prevention of violence and social cohesion using innovation for young people in displaced and host communities

Please select the geographical region(s) in which the project is implemented *

If the project you are looking for does not appear in the following question, please make sure that you have selected the correct regions. A limited number of cross border projects span multiple geographic regions. For example, a cross border project between Niger and Chad spans both West Africa and Central & Southern Africa

- | | | |
|---|--|--|
| <input type="checkbox"/> Asia and the Pacific | <input type="checkbox"/> Central & Southern Africa | <input type="checkbox"/> East Africa |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Europe and Central Asia | <input type="checkbox"/> Global | <input type="checkbox"/> Latin America and the Caribbean |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Middle East and North Africa | <input type="checkbox"/> West Africa | |

Please select the title of the project for which you are submitting the report *

Write the 8 digit MPTFO numbers and Project Title exactly as it appears in the Project Document *

EXAMPLE: 00129699/700: Supporting Cross-Border Cooperation for Increased Community Resilience and Social Cohesion in The Gambia and Senegal

Please select the countries where this project is being implemented *

Other, Please specify *

Project Start Date (Date of first transfer) *

2023-01-15

Project End Date *

2025-12-21

Has this project received an extension? *

- ☐ YES, Cost Extension
- ☐ YES, No Cost Extension
- ☐ YES, Both Cost and No Cost Extensions
- ☒ NO, No Extensions

Will this project be requesting an extension? *

- ☐ YES, Cost Extension
- ☒ YES, No Cost Extension
- ☐ YES, Both Cost and No Cost Extensions
- ☐ NO, No Extensions

Will this project be submitting a Fund Transfer Request (FTR) in the next six months? *

- ☐ Yes
- ☒ No

If so, around which month do you expect to submit the request? *

- | | | |
|-------------------------------|--------------------------------|---------------------------------|
| <input type="radio"/> January | <input type="radio"/> February | <input type="radio"/> March |
| <input type="radio"/> April | <input type="radio"/> May | <input type="radio"/> June |
| <input type="radio"/> July | <input type="radio"/> August | <input type="radio"/> September |
| <input type="radio"/> October | <input type="radio"/> November | <input type="radio"/> December |

Is the current project end date within 6 months? *

- ☒ Yes
☐ No

Is funding disbursed either into a national or regional trust fund? *

- ☒ Yes
☐ No

If yes, please select which *

- ☒ National Trust Fund
☐ Regional Trust Fund

Recipients

Is the convening agency a UN agency or a non UN entity? *

- ☒ UN entity
☐ Non-UN Entity

Please select the convening agency recipient *

- ☒ UNDP: United Nations Development Programme ☐ IOM: International Organization for Migration
☐ UNICEF: United Nations Children's Fund
☐ OHCHR: Office of the United Nations High Commissioner for Human Rights
☐ UNWOMEN: United Nations Entity for Gender Equality and the Empowerment of Women
☐ UNHCR: United Nations High Commissioner for Refugees ☐ UNFPA: United Nations Population Fund
☐ FAO: Food and Agriculture Organization ☐ WFP: World Food Programme
☐ UNHABITAT: United Nations Human Settlements Programme
☐ UNESCO: United Nations Educational, Scientific and Cultural Organization
☐ UNEP: United Nations Environment Programme ☐ ILO: International Labour Organization
☐ WHO: World Health Organization ☐ PAHO/WHO
☐ UNCDF: United Nations Capital Development Fund ☐ UNODC: United Nations Office on Drugs and Crime
☐ UNOPS: United Nations Office for Project Services
☐ UNIDO: United Nations Industrial Development Organization ☐ ITC: International Trade Centre
☐ UNDPO ☐ Other, Specify

Other, Please specify *

Are there other recipients for this project? *

- ☐ No other recipients
☒ Yes, other UN recipients only
☐ Yes, other non-UN recipients only
☐ Yes, both UN and non-UN recipients

Please select other UN recipients

Select all that apply

- ☐ UNDP: United Nations Development Programme ☐ IOM: International Organization for Migration
- ☐ UNICEF: United Nations Children's Fund
- ☐ OHCHR: Office of the United Nations High Commissioner for Human Rights
- ☒ UNWOMEN: United Nations Entity for Gender Equality and the Empowerment of Women
- ☐ UNHCR: United Nations High Commissioner for Refugees ☒ UNFPA: United Nations Population Fund
- ☐ FAO: Food and Agriculture Organization ☐ WFP: World Food Programme
- ☐ UNHABITAT: United Nations Human Settlements Programme
- ☐ UNESCO: United Nations Educational, Scientific and Cultural Organization
- ☐ UNEP: United Nations Environment Programme ☐ ILO: International Labour Organization
- ☐ WHO: World Health Organization ☐ PAHO/WHO
- ☐ UNCDF: United Nations Capital Development Fund ☐ UNODC: United Nations Office on Drugs and Crime
- ☐ UNOPS: United Nations Office for Project Services
- ☐ UNIDO: United Nations Industrial Development Organization ☐ ITC: International Trade Centre
- ☐ UN Department of Peace Operations ☐ Other, Specify

Other, Please specify

Please select other non-UN recipients *

- | | | |
|--|--|---|
| <input type="checkbox"/> ACTED | <input type="checkbox"/> Action Aid UK | <input type="checkbox"/> AAITG (ActionAid the Gambia) |
| <input type="checkbox"/> AEDE | <input type="checkbox"/> African Centre for the Constructive Resolution of Disputes (ACCORD) | |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Agence de Coopération et de Recherche pour le Développement (ACORD) | | |
| <input type="checkbox"/> American Friends Service Committee (AFSC) | <input type="checkbox"/> Avocats Sans Frontières | |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Avocats Sans Frontières Belgium | <input type="checkbox"/> Avocats sans frontières Canada | <input type="checkbox"/> Ayuda en Accion |
| <input type="checkbox"/> BIRN - Balkan Investigative Reporting Network | <input type="checkbox"/> BIOM -Youth Ecological Movemen | |
| <input type="checkbox"/> CARE International UK | <input type="checkbox"/> Centre d'étude et de coopération internationale (CECI) - BF | |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Christian Aid Ireland | <input type="checkbox"/> COIPRODEN | <input type="checkbox"/> Concern Worldwide |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Conexion Guatemala | <input type="checkbox"/> COOPI - Cooperazione Internazionale | <input type="checkbox"/> CORD Burundi |
| <input type="checkbox"/> CORDAID | <input type="checkbox"/> Corporacion Sisma Mujer | <input type="checkbox"/> CRS - Catholic Relief Services |
| <input type="checkbox"/> DanChurchAid | <input type="checkbox"/> Danish Refugee Council | <input type="checkbox"/> EQUITAS |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Fund for Congolese Women | <input type="checkbox"/> Fundacion Estudios Superior (FESU) | <input type="checkbox"/> Fundación Mi Sangre (FMS) |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Fundación Nacional para el Desarrollo de Honduras (FUNADEH) | <input type="checkbox"/> Fundación para la Libertad de Prensa (FLIP) | |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Geneva Centre for Security Sector Governance (DCAF) | <input type="checkbox"/> HELVETAS Swiss Intercooperation | |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Humanity & Inclusion (HI) | <input type="checkbox"/> ICTJ (International Center for Transitional Justice) | |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Instituto Holandes para Democracia Multipartidaria (NIMD) | <input type="checkbox"/> Integrity Watch | |
| <input type="checkbox"/> International Alert | <input type="checkbox"/> International Rescue Committee | <input type="checkbox"/> Interpeace |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Kvinna till Kvinna Foundation | <input type="checkbox"/> Life and Peace Institute (LPI) | |
| <input type="checkbox"/> MDG-EISA - Institut Electoral pour une Démocratie Durable en Afrique (EISA), bureau de Madagascar | | |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Mercy Corps | <input type="checkbox"/> MLAL - ProgettoMondo | <input type="checkbox"/> MSIS-TATAO |
| <input type="checkbox"/> NIMD (Netherlands Institute for Multiparty Democracy) | <input type="checkbox"/> Nonviolent Peaceforce | |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Norwegian Refugee Council (NRC) | <input type="checkbox"/> Nile Sustainable Development Organization - NSDO | |
| <input type="checkbox"/> OCNH-Organisation des Citoyens pour une Nouvelle Haïti | <input type="checkbox"/> OIKOS | |
| <input type="checkbox"/> ONG Adkoul - ONG Adkoul | <input type="checkbox"/> ONG AZHAR | <input type="checkbox"/> OXFAM |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Peace Direct | <input type="checkbox"/> Plan International | <input type="checkbox"/> PNG UN Country Fund |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Red de Instituciones por los Derechos de la Niñez | <input type="checkbox"/> ROI - Roza Otunbayeva Initiati | |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Saferworld | <input type="checkbox"/> Sampan'Asa Momba ny Fampandrosoana (SAF/FJKM) | |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Save the Children | <input type="checkbox"/> Search for Common Ground (SFCG) | |
| <input type="checkbox"/> SIHA (Strategic Initiative for Women in the Horn of Africa) | <input type="checkbox"/> SismaMujer | |
| <input type="checkbox"/> SOS Sahel Sudan | <input type="checkbox"/> Stichting Impunity Watch | <input type="checkbox"/> Tearfund |
| <input type="checkbox"/> The Carter Center, Inc. | <input type="checkbox"/> Trocaire | <input type="checkbox"/> War Child |
| <input type="checkbox"/> War Childhood Museum (WCM) | <input type="checkbox"/> World Vision International | <input type="checkbox"/> World Vision Myanmar |
| <input type="checkbox"/> ZOA | <input type="checkbox"/> blank_placeholder | <input type="checkbox"/> Other, Please specify |

Other, Please specify *

Implementing Partners

To how many implementing partners has the project transferred money **since the project's start ?**

4

To how many implementing partners has the project transferred money **during this calendar period ?**

*(for June reports: January-June;
for November reports: January-December (anticipated);
for final reports: full project duration)*

1

Please list all of the project's implementing partners and the amounts (in USD) transferred to each, both since the project's start, and specifically during this calendar period

Please select the type of organisation which best describes the type of implementing partner *

- ☐ National youth CSO
☐ National women's CSO
☐ Other National CSO
☐ Subnational youth CSO
☒ Subnational women's CSO
☐ Other subnational CSO
☐ Regional CSO
☐ Regional Organisation
☐ International NGO
☐ Governmental entity
☐ National women's and youth CSO
☐ Subnational women's and youth CSO
☐ Other

Other, Please specify

What is the name of the Implementing Partner *

Nazareth Centre For Rehabilitation

What is the planned total amount (in USD) for the **overall duration of the project** to be disbursed to this implementing partner? *

Please use a dot (.) as decimal separator, instead of a comma (,)

22000

What is the total amount (in USD) disbursed to the implementing partner **since the project's start?** *

Please use a dot (.) as decimal separator, instead of a comma (,)

22000

What is the total amount (in USD) disbursed to the implementing partner **during this calendar period?** *

Please use a dot (.) as decimal separator, instead of a comma (,)

22000

Briefly describe the main activities carried out by the Implementing Partner during this calendar period *

Please limit your response to 1500 characters

Community Dialogues in 13 districts

Please list all of the project's implementing partners and the amounts (in USD) transferred to each, both since the project's start, and specifically during this calendar period

Please select the type of organisation which best describes the type of implementing partner *

- ☐ National youth CSO
☐ National women's CSO
☐ Other National CSO
☐ Subnational youth CSO
☐ Subnational women's CSO
☐ Other subnational CSO
☐ Regional CSO
☐ Regional Organisation
☐ International NGO
☐ Governmental entity
☐ National women's and youth CSO
☐ Subnational women's and youth CSO
☒ Other

Other, Please specify

Media

What is the name of the Implementing Partner *

AROB Media

What is the planned total amount (in USD) for the **overall duration of the project** to be disbursed to this implementing partner? *

Please use a dot (.) as decimal separator, instead of a comma (,)

41000

What is the total amount (in USD) disbursed to the implementing partner **since the project's start?** *

Please use a dot (.) as decimal separator, instead of a comma (,)

41000

What is the total amount (in USD) disbursed to the implementing partner **during this calendar period?** *

Please use a dot (.) as decimal separator, instead of a comma (,)

41000

Briefly describe the main activities carried out by the Implementing Partner during this calendar period *

Please limit your response to 1500 characters

Support messaging on peacebuilding and key issues of importance for communities (including displacement affected communities) through existing and new mechanisms.

Please list all of the project's implementing partners and the amounts (in USD) transferred to each, both since the project's start, and specifically during this calendar period

Please select the type of organisation which best describes the type of implementing partner *

- ☐ National youth CSO
☐ National women's CSO
☐ Other National CSO
☐ Subnational youth CSO
☒ Subnational women's CSO
☐ Other subnational CSO
☐ Regional CSO
☐ Regional Organisation
☐ International NGO
☐ Governmental entity
☐ National women's and youth CSO
☐ Subnational women's and youth CSO
☐ Other

Other, Please specify

What is the name of the Implementing Partner *

Bougainville Women's Federation

What is the planned total amount (in USD) for the **overall duration of the project** to be disbursed to this implementing partner? *

Please use a dot (.) as decimal separator, instead of a comma (,)

30000

What is the total amount (in USD) disbursed to the implementing partner **since the project's start?** *

Please use a dot (.) as decimal separator, instead of a comma (,)

30000

What is the total amount (in USD) disbursed to the implementing partner **during this calendar period?** *

Please use a dot (.) as decimal separator, instead of a comma (,)

30000

Briefly describe the main activities carried out by the Implementing Partner during this calendar period *

Please limit your response to 1500 characters

Building the understanding and capacities of women and young people to understand and engage in the post-Referendum processes

Please list all of the project's implementing partners and the amounts (in USD) transferred to each, both since the project's start, and specifically during this calendar period

Please select the type of organisation which best describes the type of implementing partner *

- ☐ National youth CSO
☐ National women's CSO
☐ Other National CSO
☐ Subnational youth CSO
☐ Subnational women's CSO
☐ Other subnational CSO
☐ Regional CSO
☐ Regional Organisation
☒ International NGO
☐ Governmental entity
☐ National women's and youth CSO
☐ Subnational women's and youth CSO
☐ Other

Other, Please specify

What is the name of the Implementing Partner *

Peace and Conflict Studies of Institute of Australia

What is the planned total amount (in USD) for the **overall duration of the project** to be disbursed to this implementing partner? *

Please use a dot (.) as decimal separator, instead of a comma (,)

60000

What is the total amount (in USD) disbursed to the implementing partner **since the project's start?** *

Please use a dot (.) as decimal separator, instead of a comma (,)

60118

What is the total amount (in USD) disbursed to the implementing partner **during this calendar period?** *

Please use a dot (.) as decimal separator, instead of a comma (,)

0

Briefly describe the main activities carried out by the Implementing Partner during this calendar period *

Please limit your response to 1500 characters

N/A

Financial Reporting

» Delivery by Recipient

Please enter the total amounts in full US dollars allocated to each recipient organization

Please enter the original budget amount, amount transferred to date and estimated expenditure by recipient.

Please make sure you enter the correct amount. All values should be entered in **US Dollars**

For cross-border projects, group the amounts by agency, even if different country offices are involved. You will have the opportunity to share a more detailed budget in the next section.

Recipients	Total Project Budget (in full US \$) <i>Please enter the total budget as is in the project document in US Dollars</i>	Transfers to date (in full US \$) <i>Please enter the total amount transferred to each recipient to date in US Dollars</i>	Expenditure to date (in full US \$) <i>Please enter the approximate amount spent to date in US dollars</i>	Implementati on rate as a percentage of total budget (calculated automatically)
UNDP: United Nations Development Programme	1835001	1284501	1232691	67.18%
				%
UNWOMEN: United Nations Entity for Gender Equality and the Empowerment of Women	800000	560001	560001	70%

TOTAL	3335001	2334502	2230507	66.8 8%
--------------	----------------	----------------	----------------	--------------------------

The approximate implementation rate as percentage of total project budget based on the values entered in the above matrix is **66.88%**. Can you confirm that this is correct? *

☒ Correct ☐ Incorrect

If it is incorrect, please enter the approximate implementation rate as a % *

» Gender-responsive Budgeting

Indicate what **percentage (%)** of the budget contributes to gender equality or women's empowerment (GEWE) as per the project document? *

47.2

The dollar amount of the budget contributing to Gender Equality and Women's Empowerment (GEWE) based on percentage entered above and total project budget is **US \$ 1574120.47**. Can you confirm that this is correct? *

☒ Correct ☐ Incorrect

If it is incorrect, please enter the *budget amount* allocated to GEWE in US Dollars *

Amount expended to date on efforts contributing to gender equality or women's empowerment is **US \$ 1052799.3**. Is this correct? *

☒ Correct ☐ Incorrect

If it is incorrect, please enter the *expenditure to date* on GEWE in US dollars *

ATTACH PROJECT EXCEL BUDGET SHOWING CURRENT APPROXIMATE EXPENDITURE. *

The templates for the budget are available [here](#)

PNG Sustaining Peace in Bougainville_Final-17_0_34.xlsx



Project Markers

Please select the Gender Marker Associated with this project *

- ☐ Score 1 for projects that contribute in some way to gender equality, but not significantly (less than 30% of the total budget for GEWE)
- ☒ Score 2 for projects that have gender equality as a significant objective and allocate between 30 and 79% of the total project budget to GEWE
- ☐ Score 3 for projects that have gender equality as a principal objective and allocate at least 80% of the total project budget to Gender Equality and Women's Empowerment (GEWE)

Please select the Risk Marker Associated with this project *

- ☒ Risk marker 0 = low risk to achieving outcomes
- ☐ Risk marker 1 = medium risk to achieving outcomes
- ☐ Risk marker 2 = high risk to achieving outcomes

Please select the PBF Focus Area associated with this project *

- ☐ (1.1) Security Sector Reform
- ☐ (1.2) Rule of Law
- ☐ (1.3) Demobilisation, Disarmament and Reintegration
- ☒ (1.4) Political Dialogue
- ☐ (2.1) National reconciliation
- ☐ (2.2) Democratic Governance
- ☐ (2.3) Conflict prevention/management
- ☐ (3.1) Employment
- ☐ (3.2) Equitable access to social services
- ☐ (4.1) Strengthening of essential national state capacity
- ☐ (4.2) Extension of state authority/Local Administration
- ☐ (4.3) Governance of peacebuilding resources (including PBF Secretariats)

Is the project part of one or more PBF priority windows? *

Select all that apply

- ☐ Gender promotion initiative
- ☐ Youth promotion initiative
- ☐ Transition from UN or regional peacekeeping or special political missions
- ☐ Cross-border or regional project
- ☒ None

Steering Committee and Government engagement

Does the project have an active steering committee/ project board? *

- ☒ Yes
☐ No

If yes, please indicate how many times the Project Steering Committee has met over the last 6 months?

Please limit your response to 3000 characters

28th October 2024

Please provide a brief description of any engagement that the project has had with the government over the last 6 months. Please indicate what level of government the project has been engaging with. *

Please limit your response to 3000 characters

The project worked closely with the Autonomous Bougainville Government (ABG)—including the Department of Independence Mission Implementation (DIMI), Media Bureau, and Department of Community Development—to coordinate and implement the Sustaining Peace in Bougainville (SPB) project. Strong partnerships with DIMI Secretary Ms. Stephanie Elijah and Community Development Secretary Mr. Medley Koito have flourished, with Koito attending the UN's 50th Anniversary in Port Moresby (Oct 2025).

Under UNFPA and Nazareth Centre for Rehabilitation leadership, 26 participatory community dialogues were completed across all 13 districts, engaging 692 participants—344 (49.7%) women and 19 (2.7%) persons with disabilities. These dialogues focused on ABG's six "independence readiness" benchmarks: Law and Order; Governance; Social Services; Human Resource Development; Economic Development; and Health and Standards of Living. Endorsed by Parliamentary Motion, benchmarks require constituencies to define locally appropriate criteria.

Dialogues, supported by Community Government Chairs, enabled communities to assess progress, identify challenges, and set priorities. Sessions integrated gender equality, disability inclusion, and power dynamics, producing practical recommendations: stronger leadership, better education and justice access, and improved support for survivors of violence. Participants' understanding of benchmarks rose from 2.84 to 4.15 (Likert scale), showing significant awareness gains.

Findings gained political traction. With DIMI endorsement, UNFPA presented results to the Bougainville House of Representatives (Oct 2025), generating strong interest. A high-level dinner convened Women Members of the House, Women Department Secretaries, and partners (23 participants—15 women, 8 men, including UNDP and APLJ-DFAT), reaffirming commitments to peace, gender equality, youth empowerment, and inclusive development.

The project also collaborated with ABG's Department of Commerce, Trade, Industry, and Economic Development on the Bougainville Economic Development Plan 2025–2035, which includes SEZs and MSME incubation hubs. UN Women ensured gender and disability inclusion in alignment with local economic priorities.

To mark International Women's Day, the project partnered with ABG to promote women's economic empowerment and supported Human Rights Defenders initiatives to strengthen governance frameworks. A Women's Leadership Training engaged participants from North, Central, and South Bougainville preparing for ABG elections, co-facilitated by DIMI and UN agencies.

The project enabled ABG Technical Services officials' participation in Port Moresby meetings on transfer of powers and coordinated a Peacebuilding Fund mission to Buka, facilitating dialogue with ABG secretaries and partners. It also supported mediation during Moderators' consultations between PNG and Bougainville, contributing to the signing of the Melanesian Agreement. Engagement with both governments ensured alignment with the ongoing Moderation process.

PART I: OVERALL PROJECT PROGRESS

NOTES FOR COMPLETING THE REPORT:

- Avoid acronyms and UN jargon, use general /common language.
- Report on what has been achieved in the reporting period, not what the project aims to do.
- Be as concrete as possible. Avoid theoretical, vague or conceptual discourse.
- Ensure the analysis and project progress assessment is gender and age sensitive.

Please rate the implementation status of the following preliminary/preparatory activities

Contracting of partners *

- ☐ Not Started
 ☐ Initiated
 ☐ Partially Completed
 ☒ Completed
 ☐ Not Applicable

Staff Recruitment *

- ☐ Not Started
 ☐ Initiated
 ☐ Partially Completed
 ☒ Completed
 ☐ Not Applicable

Collection of baselines *

- ☐ Not Started
 ☐ Initiated
 ☐ Partially Completed
 ☒ Completed
 ☐ Not Applicable

Identification of beneficiaries *

- ☐ Not Started
 ☐ Initiated
 ☐ Partially Completed
 ☒ Completed
 ☐ Not Applicable

Provide any additional descriptive information relating to the status of the project, including whether preliminary/preparatory activities have been completed (i.e. contracting of partners, staff recruitment, etc.)

Please limit your response to 3000 characters

The PBF/Bougainville Programme Coordinator assumed her role in January and has since strengthened coordination among the three participating UN agencies. Bi-weekly meetings were established to share updates and plan activities, and a major milestone was the consolidation of individual agency work plans into a unified Annual Work Plan. These regular meetings have reinforced inter-agency collaboration and commitment to advancing the peace-development-humanitarian nexus.

Recruitment for the IPSA-10 position began in April but was delayed due to the late transfer of the final tranche of project funds. The process was finalized in November 2025, and the role will enhance operational capacity and support delivery of key initiatives.

Stakeholder engagement included bilateral meetings with ABG department heads, directors, and civil society organizations such as Bougainville Women's Federation (BWF) and Bougainville Youth Federation (BYF). These engagements have been critical for sharing progress and strengthening collaboration. NCFR was supported to build capacity in data collection and analysis using Kobo Toolbox, enabling facilitators to capture real-time data from 26 community dialogues now featured on the Bougainville Voices dashboard.

A draft work plan with BWF awaits finalization due to delayed tranche disbursement, though BWF remains active in trainings. Similarly, a draft UN-to-UN agreement with IOM for the Displacement Tracking Matrix will proceed now that the second tranche has been released. Activities under ABG Community Development's signed work plan were completed in 2024, with payments pending. OHCHR partnership on "Education for Peace" resulted in three Human Rights Defender trainings across Bougainville.

Through these coordinated efforts, outreach has reached all 26 constituencies across 13 districts, engaging BWF, women MPs, and Human Rights Defenders, with a focus on conflict-affected areas such as Konnou, Bana, and Tonu in South Bougainville. In collaboration with the Department of Commerce, Trade and Economic Development, UN Women distributed 57 forms in these hotspots—Konnou (20), Bana (20), Tonu (17)—to help women and youth groups register cooperatives that will generate livelihoods and strengthen local peace.

These achievements mark significant progress toward inclusive, community-driven peacebuilding and reinforce partnerships between UN agencies, ABG, and civil society to advance Bougainville's post-referendum roadmap.

Describe overall progress under each Outcome made during the reporting period (*for June reports: January-June; for November reports: January-December (anticipated); for final reports: full project duration*). Do not list individual activities. If the project is starting to make/has made a difference at the outcome level, provide specific evidence for the progress (quantitative and qualitative) and explain how it impacts the broader political and peacebuilding context.

Is the project on track for the timely completion of outputs as indicated in the workplan?

☐ Yes

☒ No

If no, please provide an explanation

Please limit your response to 6000 characters

Activities related to outcome 1 have seen limited progress with the ongoing moderation process between the government of Papua New Guinea (PNG) and the Autonomous Bougainville Region taking longer than expected, during this reporting period. The political agenda is closely linked to this process, and hastening negotiations would be counterproductive, so the Project has concentrated on supporting it in a complementary way. Outcome 2 is close to completion with just two activities remaining: Activity 2.1.6 and Activity 2.1.8. Targets for Activity 2.1.2 and Activity 2.1.3 are in progress and will be achieved by early 2026. The no-cost extension will enable full project delivery. Additionally, outcome 3 has not progressed during this period due to a lack of funding due to cash-flow issues, as the second tranche has already been allocated to the agreement with the implementing partner. As a result, the project has focused on monitoring the work completed last year and providing technical support to the Autonomous Bougainville Government (ABG) using in-house resources.

.

Project progress summary

Please limit your response to 6000 characters

Outcome 1

Progress under Outcome 1 has been limited due to the ongoing moderation process between the Government of Papua New Guinea and the Autonomous Bougainville Government (ABG). While the formal Joint Supervisory Body (JSB) meeting and Bougainville elections are pending, preparatory efforts have laid important groundwork.

The project supported the RCO and DPPA in providing technical assistance for mediation and consultations addressing outstanding post-referendum issues. Transfer of powers remains a sensitive topic, with concerns that lack of visible progress could signal limited readiness for independence.

No progress was made on convening formal dialogue fora or recruiting short-term technical experts, as these were not identified as immediate needs. However, bilateral meetings were held with key stakeholders, including the Clerk of the Bougainville House of Representatives, BWF, and the Departments of Community Development and Community Government, helping align perspectives and ensure inclusive participation.

One session was conducted for women contesting elections on the post-referendum process, including the Enga Road Map and Era Kone Covenant. The project also maintained active communication with Members of Parliament and the Bipartisan Parliamentary Committee for Bougainville Affairs, which has been inactive since 2017. Plans are underway to provide technical and logistical support to reactivate this committee.

Targeted capacity-building initiatives continued for women MPs and leaders, equipping them to engage meaningfully in post-referendum processes and future political mechanisms.

Outcome 2

Activity 2.1.2: Media training strengthened communication capacity among partners and journalists, including three Bougainville Women's Federation (BWF) members who contested elections. Training enhanced skills in press release writing and virtual engagement, promoting balanced media discourse.

- 3–4 June 2025: Training for 19 communication officers (14F, 5M).

- 5–6 June 2025: Training for 6 journalists (5F, 1M) from NBC, Post-Courier, ABG Media, and New Dawn FM.

UNFPA also supported Nazareth Centre for Rehabilitation (NCFR) to hire a media officer to improve social media, videography, and liaison with journalists.

Activity 2.1.3: UNFPA trained BWF staff on participatory monitoring via Kobo Toolbox for the Bougainville Voices Dashboard, ensuring women's inputs inform ABG planning. A high-level dinner (23 participants: 15F, 8M) with Women MPs, Department Secretaries, and partners reaffirmed commitments to peace, gender equality, and youth engagement.

Activity 2.1.4: ABG Community Development completed youth workshops on peacebuilding and mental health (67 participants). Payments were delayed due to tranche disbursement but will be processed.

Activity 2.1.5: UNFPA held a Training of Trainers (26–29 May 2025) for seven facilitators (5F, 2M) from NCFR and BWF. Two teams rolled out 26 community dialogues across 13 districts, reaching 692 participants (344 women; 19 persons with disabilities). Dialogues explored six independence benchmarks, gender, and inclusion, raising understanding scores from 2.8–3.0 to 4.03–4.27. Communities emphasized family stability as key to readiness. Results were presented to the new ABG House in October 2025 and received enthusiastically. A manual and detailed report are being developed.

Average ratings (Before → After):

- Law & Order: 2.80 → 4.11

- Governance: 2.68 → 4.03

- Social Services: 2.74 → 4.08

- Economic Development: 2.96 → 4.21

- Human Resource Development: 2.85 → 4.22

- Health & Standards of Living: 3.00 → 4.27

Activity 2.1.6: Plans for a closing showcase—likely a Football for Peace tournament—are underway, pending tranche disbursement.

Activity 2.1.7: Human Rights Defender trainings in Buka, Arawa, and Buin reached 65 participants, now serving as community focal points.

Activity 2.1.8: UNFPA and IOM will finalize an agreement to implement the Displacement Tracking Matrix following tranche release.

By combining participatory approaches with real-time data capture, the project created a replicable model that bridges community priorities and government decision-making, advancing inclusive peacebuilding across Bougainville.

Outcome 3

Progress under Outcome 3 was limited due to cash-flow constraints; however, the project actively engaged with government counterparts. Technical support was provided to the ABG Community Development Department for International Women's Day celebrations (7–8 March 2025) in Buka, which gathered over 500 participants, including

women leaders, civil society, human rights defenders, government officials, and development partners. Awareness sessions focused on sustainable peace, women's economic empowerment, and the Women, Peace and Security (WPS) agenda, while enhancing the role of 9 Community Government Chairladies as peacebuilding implementers. The event strengthened networking among women's groups, government agencies, business associations, and faith-based organizations.

The project also supported the Bougainville Economic Development Plan (BEDP) 2025–2035, emphasizing governance reform, local economic development, and women's economic empowerment through women-led businesses and youth entrepreneurship. The BEDP integrates conflict-sensitive approaches, environmental sustainability, and disaster resilience, with a focus on women and girls.

Additionally, awareness sessions during the WPS Summit (7–8 May) produced recommendations for inclusive governance and resilience. The project worked with ABG to operationalize priorities from the Local Economic Development Assessment Survey in hotspot areas. In collaboration with the Department of Commerce, Trade and Economic Development and UN Women, 57 forms were distributed in Konnou (20), Bana (20), and Tonu (17) to help women and youth groups register cooperatives for livelihoods and peacebuilding.

Indicate any additional analysis on how Gender Equality and Women's Empowerment and/or Youth Inclusion and Responsiveness has been ensured by the project to date

Please limit your response to 3000 characters

GEWE and Youth Inclusion has been ensured across project outputs through three strategies, a) working with organizations that specifically target women and youth, b) ensuring that methodologies use a participatory approach rooted in feminist and intersectional principles, and c) specifically targeting women and youth, for example through the WPS Summit and through the community dialogues where criteria for participation include 50% women and 50% youth of mixed gender.

Additionally, the project has promoted Gender Equality by providing technical assistance to the Department of Commerce, Trade, Industry and Economic Development to develop a gender-responsive governance and economic development framework.

Is the project 1+ year in implementation?

☒ Yes

☐ No

FOR PROJECTS 1+ YEAR IN IMPLEMENTATION ONLY:

Is the project demonstrating outcome-level peacebuilding results?

Outcome-level peacebuilding results entail results achieved at the societal or structural level, including changed attitudes, behaviours or institutions.

☒ Yes

☐ No

If yes, please provide concrete examples of such peacebuilding results

Please limit your response to 6000 characters

Outcome 1: In terms of broader peacebuilding efforts, the project has contributed meaningfully to ongoing consultations between the National Government and the Autonomous Bougainville Government. These dialogues have supported decision-making processes related to the implementation of the Bougainville Peace Agreement (BPA) and post-referendum arrangements. As a result of these sustained engagements, public hearings led by the bipartisan committee are currently underway across the country.

Through Outcome 2: Structural change is already underway: ABG seeks to institutionalize the community-dialogue methodology used by UNFPA and NCFR into its annual planning and budgeting cycle. Institutionalising this approach within ABG systems will create sustainable financing pathways for NCFR and other local partners, reducing reliance on short-term project funds and supporting long-term peace dividends. It will also facilitate the prioritization of community level priorities in Government planning processes. High-level discussions between Department Secretaries (Community Government, Finance and DIMI) and UN partners are already in progress, with the first concrete step being the Secretaries' facilitation of the Bougainville Voices Regional Workshops (24–28 November 2025).

In these workshops, UNFPA and NCFR will present the detailed results of the community dialogues after which the Departmental Secretary's will guide Members of Parliament and Community Government Chairpersons to access, cost and allocate ABG budgets directly against priorities identified through the Bougainville Voices dashboard, creating a consistent and repeatable link between community evidence and government decision-making.

The goal of the regional workshops is to secure a tangible commitment from all 44 constituencies to allocate PGK 1 million each for 2026 (PGK 44 million / ~USD 10 million) to address priority actions arising from the dialogues. Embedding these processes within government practice will strengthen accountability, improve community-government feedback, and support sustained peace and development in Bougainville.

Partnerships with Secretary Stephanie Elijah (DIMI) and Secretary Medley Koito (Community Development) have been particularly strong, yielding joint efforts on independence dialogues at the policy level, media training at the societal level, and support for community dialogues at the local level. Individual relationships among UN focal points have further consolidated government backing for SPB.

Partnerships with Secretary Stephanie Elijah (DIMI) and Secretary Medley Koito (Community Development) have been particularly strong, yielding joint efforts on independence dialogues at the policy level, media training at the societal level, and support for community dialogues at the local level. Individual relationships among UN focal points have further consolidated government backing for SPB.

If yes, please provide sources or references (including links) as evidence of peacebuilding results, or submit them as additional attachments.

Evidence may be quantitative or qualitative but needs to demonstrate progress against outcome indicators in the project results framework. Sources may include project surveys (such as perception surveys), monitoring reports, government documents, or other knowledge products that have been developed by the project.

<https://abg.gov.pg/index.php?/news/read/third-joint-moderation-consultation-meeting-underway-in-burnham-new-zealand> <https://pmnec.gov.pg/bougainville-independent-moderator-sir-jerry-mateparae/>
<https://www.abc.net.au/pacific/programs/wantok/boug-burnham-talks/105402892>

File attachment

[Click here to upload file. \(< 10MB\)](#)

PART II: PROJECT RESULTS FRAMEWORK

How many OUTCOMES does this project have

1

2

3

4

5

more than 5.

Please write out the project outcomes as they are in the project results framework found in the project document

Outcome 1:

: Continued political dialogue facilitated between the two Governments and the two Parliaments, ensuring decisions on BPA implementation and post-Referendum are progressed.

Outcome 2:

Continued dialogue and awareness raising on the BPA and post-Referendum issues, ensuring that both the population in and outside of Bougainville is well informed and feels included in the process.

Outcome 3:

Peace-supporting businesses are established in collaboration with local governments to address spoilers of the Bougainville Peace Agreement

Outcome 4:

Outcome 5:

Outcome 6:

Outcome 7:

Outcome 8:

Additional Outcomes

If the project has more than 8 outcomes, please enumerate the remaining outcomes here

INDICATOR BASED PERFORMANCE ASSESSMENT

Using the Project Results Framework as per the approved project document or any amendments, provide an update on the achievement of all **outcome** and **output** indicators in the table below.

Where it has not been possible to collect data on indicators, state this and provide any explanation.

Provide gender and age disaggregated data. (500 characters max per entry)

» **Outcome 1: : Continued political dialogue facilitated between the two Governments and the two Parliaments, ensuring decisions on BPA implementation and post-Referendum are progressed.**

O C 1	Outcome Indicator s	Indicator Baseline	End of Project Indicator Target	Indicator progress for reporting period	Indicator progress since project's start	Reasons for Variance/ Delay (if any)
1. 1	Number of joint communiques on BPA or the post-referendum process issued by the two governments	0	3	3	3	The joint communications on BPA took place due to the moderated consultation
1. 2	Actual transfer of powers delegated from PNG to ABG as per the agreements signed by both parties	On-going delegation of powers	2	0	3	<p>Buka General Hospital: There is a 2025 MOA that transfers management and related health powers from NDoH to ABG.</p> <p>Building and transport: MoU was signed in 2025.</p> <p>Other agreements in 2025 confirmed transfer-of-powers instruments in investment promotion/business registration (IPA-ABG MoU) and forestry (PNGFA-ABG MOA), and political signals that further sectoral powers (e.g. fisheries, revenue) are being negotiated.</p>

1. 3	Level of partnership between the National Parliament and BHOR on post-referendum arrangements reflected in joint meetings and work of the National Parliament Bougainville Committee	Parliamentary Partnerships Agreement signed by NP and BHOR	NP and BHOR officials meet at least twice a year to discuss post-referendum issues	0	0	Post Melanesian Agreements discussion ongoing
1. 4						
1. 5						

How many outputs does outcome 1 have?

1

2

345more than 5.

Please list all outputs for outcome 1

Output 1.1

Joint Supervisory Body meets regularly and makes joint agreements on post-referendum processes with resolutions implemented jointly by the two parliaments and their Governments, as required.

Output 1.2

Members of Parliament in both PNG and Bougainville capacitated to make informed decisions in relation to post-referendum processes and documentation

Output 1.3

Output 1.4

Output 1.5

Other Outputs

If Outcome 1 has more than 5 outputs, please enumerate the remaining outputs here

For each output, and using the, project results framework, provide an update on the progress made against all output indicators

» Output 1.1: Joint Supervisory Body meets regularly and makes joint agreements on post-referendum processes with resolutions implemented jointly by the two parliaments and their Governments, as required.

1. 1	Output Indicators <i>Describe the indicator</i>	Indicator Baseline <i>State the baseline value of the indicator</i>	End of Project Indicator Target <i>State the target value of the indicator at the end of the project</i>	Indicator progress for reporting period <i>State the current value of the indicator for the reporting period</i>	Indicator progress since project's start <i>State the current cumulative value of the indicator since the start of the project</i>	Reasons for Variance/ Delay (if any) <i>Explain why the indicator is off track or has changed, where relevant</i>
1. 1. 1	Frequency of JSB meetings and joint resolutions on post-referendum arrangements	0	2	0	3	JSB meeting will take place in November 28th .
1. 1. 2	Number of women leaders participating in major meetings (JSB, BHOR, National Parliament) to discuss post-referendum issues	0	1	4	9	2 women leaders in ABG Departments, 1 in NP and 2 in BHOR
1. 1. 3						
1. 1. 4						
1. 1. 5						

» Output 1.2: Members of Parliament in both PNG and Bougainville capacitated to make informed decisions in relation to post-referendum processes and documentation

1. 2	Output Indicators <i>Describe the indicator</i>	Indicator Baseline <i>State the baseline value of the indicator</i>	End of Project Indicator Target <i>State the target value of the indicator at the end of the project</i>	Indicator progress for reporting period <i>State the current value of the indicator for the reporting period</i>	Indicator progress since project's start <i>State the current cumulative value of the indicator since the start of the project</i>	Reasons for Variance/ Delay (if any) <i>Explain why the indicator is off track or has changed, where relevant</i>
1. 2. 1	Senior parliamentary leaders agree on activities for implementation under Parliamentary Partnership Agreement signed by National Parliament and BHOR in 2018	0	2 statements issued	0	1	Moderation process has captured the political agenda this year
1. 2. 2	Frequency of meetings with National MPs and/or the relevant Bougainville Parliamentary Committee to discuss post-referendum ratification processes	0	1 committee within national parliament and 2 committees in BHOR	0	1	the Bipartisan Parliamentary Committee for Bougainville Affairs has conducted consultation across Bougainville
1. 2. 3						
1. 2. 4						
1. 2. 5						

» Output 1.3:

1. 3	Output Indicators <i>Describe the indicator</i>	Indicator Baseline <i>State the baseline value of the indicator</i>	End of Project Indicator Target <i>State the target value of the indicator at the end of the project</i>	Indicator progress for reporting period <i>State the current value of the indicator for the reporting period</i>	Indicator progress since project's start <i>State the current cumulative value of the indicator since the start of the project</i>	Reasons for Variance/ Delay (if any) <i>Explain why the indicator is off track or has changed, where relevant</i>
1. 3. 1						
1. 3. 2						
1. 3. 3						
1. 3. 4						
1. 3. 5						

» Output 1.4:

1. 4	Output Indicators <i>Describe the indicator</i>	Indicator Baseline <i>State the baseline value of the indicator</i>	End of Project Indicator Target <i>State the target value of the indicator at the end of the project</i>	Indicator progress for reporting period <i>State the current value of the indicator for the reporting period</i>	Indicator progress since project's start <i>State the current cumulative value of the indicator since the start of the project</i>	Reasons for Variance/ Delay (if any) <i>Explain why the indicator is off track or has changed, where relevant</i>
1. 4. 1						
1. 4. 2						
1. 4. 3						
1. 4. 4						
1. 4. 5						

» Output 1.5:

1. 5	Output Indicators <i>Describe the indicator</i>	Indicator Baseline <i>State the baseline value of the indicator</i>	End of Project Indicator Target <i>State the target value of the indicator at the end of the project</i>	Indicator progress for reporting period <i>State the current value of the indicator for the reporting period</i>	Indicator progress since project's start <i>State the current cumulative value of the indicator since the start of the project</i>	Reasons for Variance/ Delay (if any) <i>Explain why the indicator is off track or has changed, where relevant</i>
1. 5. 1						
1. 5. 2						
1. 5. 3						
1. 5. 4						
1. 5. 5						

» **Outcome 2: Continued dialogue and awareness raising on the BPA and post-Referendum issues, ensuring that both the population in and outside of Bougainville is well informed and feels included in the process.**

O C 2	Outcome Indicator s	Indicator Baseline	End of Project Indicator Target	Indicator progress for reporting period	Indicator progress since project's start	Reasons for Variance/ Delay (if any)
2. 1	Increase in the portion of population across AROB and PNG (disaggregated) with a sufficient understanding of post-referendum process and critical issues	TBC	25% increased (45% women and Youth)	0	0	Community Dialogues results will be disseminated in December
2. 2	Incorporation of community feedback into the high-level decision-making arrangements	0	2 input/feedback			The Bougainville Voices dashboard is being created which will provide recommendations to the Government. Feedback will be received in December during the socialization of the Voices of Bougainville across Bougainville.
2. 3						
2. 4						
2. 5						

How many outputs does outcome 2 have?

1

 2 3 4 5 more than 5.

Please list all outputs for outcome 2

Output 2.1

Participatory community-led dialogues about the BPA and a peaceful future for Bougainville are facilitated throughout the region and Papua New Guinea.

Output 2.2

Output 2.3

Output 2.4

Output 2.5

Other Outputs

If Outcome 2 has more than 5 outputs, please enumerate the remaining outputs here

For each output, and using the, project results framework, provide an update on the progress made against all output indicators

» **Output 2.1: Participatory community-led dialogues about the BPA and a peaceful future for Bougainville are facilitated throughout the region and Papua New Guinea.**

2. 1	Output Indicators <i>Describe the indicator</i>	Indicator Baseline <i>State the baseline value of the indicator</i>	End of Project Indicator Target <i>State the target value of the indicator at the end of the project</i>	Indicator progress for reporting period <i>State the current value of the indicator for the reporting period</i>	Indicator progress since project's start <i>State the current cummulative value of the indicator since the start of the project</i>	Reasons for Variance/ Delay (if any) <i>Explain why the indicator is off track or has changed, where relevant</i>

2.	Number of people across AROB and PNG reached through media campaigns on the post referendum process. Breakdown by region, gender and age.	0	5 media stories	0	3	from 3–4 June 2025, 19 communication officers and focal points (14 female; 5 male) completed a media-training workshop, where they honed skills in drafting press releases, producing human-interest stories, gathering community testimonials, and leveraging social media for advocacy and visibility. Under the Sustaining Peace in Bougainville (UDB81) project, Activity 2.1.2, from 5–6 June 2025, 6 journalists (5 female; 1 male) from NBC, Post-Courier, ABG Media and New Dawn FM explored the media's role in peacebuilding during post-referendum transitions, learning ethical reporting techniques, conflict-sensitive storytelling, and a survivor-centred approach to GBV and SARV coverage. Three media stories were also published about this training. One PBSO newsletter story will be featured in
1.			25 Journalists	0	25	
1						

						December, 6 human interest stories are being written for publication on UNFPA's website and 2 media stories will be pitched to media
2. 1. 2	Number of people participating in awareness-raising and dialogues held across the 3 regions of AROB on key political issues, disaggregated by sex and age.	0	500 people – 50% women and 50% youth	692	692 49.7% women 38.4% youth under 34 years old	Completed
2. 1. 3	Number people engaged in post-referendum awareness sessions staged outside of Bougainville, disaggregated by sex and age	0	50	0	0	Due to sensitivities with the independence discussion, dialogues outside of Bougainville have not been conducted.
2. 1. 4	Number of human rights defenders trained to voice issues at community and through the different forums.	0	80	45 participants in Buka, 25 women and 20 men. Estimated 80% of the participants were youth. 19 human rights defenders (11 female; 8 male) in Arawa 26 human rights defenders (11 female; 15 male) in Buin	88	
2. 1. 5						

» Output 2.2:

2. 2	Output Indicators <i>Describe the indicator</i>	Indicator Baseline <i>State the baseline value of the indicator</i>	End of Project Indicator Target <i>State the target value of the indicator at the end of the project</i>	Indicator progress for reporting period <i>State the current value of the indicator for the reporting period</i>	Indicator progress since project's start <i>State the current cumulative value of the indicator since the start of the project</i>	Reasons for Variance/ Delay (if any) <i>Explain why the indicator is off track or has changed, where relevant</i>
2. 2. 1						
2. 2. 2						
2. 2. 3						
2. 2. 4						
2. 2. 5						

» Output 2.3:

2. 3	Output Indicators <i>Describe the indicator</i>	Indicator Baseline <i>State the baseline value of the indicator</i>	End of Project Indicator Target <i>State the target value of the indicator at the end of the project</i>	Indicator progress for reporting period <i>State the current value of the indicator for the reporting period</i>	Indicator progress since project's start <i>State the current cumulative value of the indicator since the start of the project</i>	Reasons for Variance/ Delay (if any) <i>Explain why the indicator is off track or has changed, where relevant</i>
2. 3. 1						
2. 3. 2						
2. 3. 3						
2. 3. 4						
2. 3. 5						

» Output 2.4:

2. 4	Output Indicators <i>Describe the indicator</i>	Indicator Baseline <i>State the baseline value of the indicator</i>	End of Project Indicator Target <i>State the target value of the indicator at the end of the project</i>	Indicator progress for reporting period <i>State the current value of the indicator for the reporting period</i>	Indicator progress since project's start <i>State the current cumulative value of the indicator since the start of the project</i>	Reasons for Variance/ Delay (if any) <i>Explain why the indicator is off track or has changed, where relevant</i>
2. 4. 1						
2. 4. 2						
2. 4. 3						
2. 4. 4						
2. 4. 5						

» Output 2.5:

2. 5	Output Indicators <i>Describe the indicator</i>	Indicator Baseline <i>State the baseline value of the indicator</i>	End of Project Indicator Target <i>State the target value of the indicator at the end of the project</i>	Indicator progress for reporting period <i>State the current value of the indicator for the reporting period</i>	Indicator progress since project's start <i>State the current cumulative value of the indicator since the start of the project</i>	Reasons for Variance/ Delay (if any) <i>Explain why the indicator is off track or has changed, where relevant</i>
2. 5. 1						
2. 5. 2						
2. 5. 3						
2. 5. 4						
2. 5. 5						

» **Outcome 3: Peace-supporting businesses are established in collaboration with local governments to address spoilers of the Bougainville Peace Agreement**

O C 3	Outcome Indicator s	Indicator Baseline	End of Project Indicator Target	Indicator progress for reporting period	Indicator progress since project's start	Reasons for Variance/ Delay (if any)
3. 1	ABG and Community Governments effectively pilot community-based economic livelihood activities capable of scaling up.	Limited engagement between ABG and Community Governments in target locations.	ABG and Community Government representatives from target locations meet at least 9 times to agree on and review LED strategies in target locations.	1	1	To be measured at the end of the project
3. 2	Community Governments in pilot communities demonstrate an increased understanding of conflict-sensitive planning and resourcing for sustainable development.	22 Community Government reps (8 Chairladies, 3 youths, 9 former combatants and 2 Community Government Managers) from pilot areas trained on conflict-sensitive planning	70% of Community Government representatives in 3 pilot communities demonstrate an increased understanding of conflict-sensitive planning and resourcing for sustainable development.	0	0	To be measured at the end of the project
3. 3						
3. 4						
3. 5						

How many outputs does outcome 3 have?

1

2

3

4

5

more than 5.

Please list all outputs for outcome 3

Output 3.1

Engaging conflict-prone communities in inclusive, local economic development supports the continued implementation of an inclusive Bougainville Peace Agreement.

Output 3.2

Strengthen the capacity of Community Governments to promote social cohesion and local economic development

Output 3.3

Output 3.4

Output 3.5

Other Outputs

If Outcome 3 has more than 5 outputs, please enumerate the remaining outputs here

For each output, and using the, project results framework, provide an update on the progress made against all output indicators

» **Output 3.1: Engaging conflict-prone communities in inclusive, local economic development supports the continued implementation of an inclusive Bougainville Peace Agreement.**

3. 1	Output Indicators <i>Describe the indicator</i>	Indicator Baseline <i>State the baseline value of the indicator</i>	End of Project Indicator Target <i>State the target value of the indicator at the end of the project</i>	Indicator progress for reporting period <i>State the current value of the indicator for the reporting period</i>	Indicator progress since project's start <i>State the current cumulative value of the indicator since the start of the project</i>	Reasons for Variance/ Delay (if any) <i>Explain why the indicator is off track or has changed, where relevant</i>
3.1.1	Joint conflict and market assessments in pilot communities are used by the ABG, Community Government and Community stakeholders to co-design LED strategies.	Limited economic analysis completed on how to most effectively drive resilient LED activities that can promote social cohesion.	3 conflict-sensitive, gender-responsive LED strategies are developed in collaboration with the ABG.	1	1	LED Assessment conducted on 23 February to the 4th of March 2023. Lack of funding
3.1.2	LED strategies are used to identify and incubate peace-supporting businesses in three target locations	No peace-supporting businesses in the target locations based on conflict-sensitive, gender-responsive LED strategies.	3 peace-supporting businesses identified and incubated with a specific focus on engaging women, youth and veterans in target locations.	N/A		Action Plan/Implementation developed for Konnou, Tonu and Bana. NCFR and PDI to implement Action Plan
3.1.3						
3.1.4						

3. 1. 5 						
----------------------------	--	--	--	--	--	--

» **Output 3.2: Strengthen the capacity of Community Governments to promote social cohesion and local economic development**

3. 2	Output Indicators <i>Describe the indicator</i>	Indicator Baseline <i>State the baseline value of the indicator</i>	End of Project Indicator Target <i>State the target value of the indicator at the end of the project</i>	Indicator progress for reporting period <i>State the current value of the indicator for the reporting period</i>	Indicator progress since project's start <i>State the current cumulative value of the indicator since the start of the project</i>	Reasons for Variance/ Delay (if any) <i>Explain why the indicator is off track or has changed, where relevant</i>
3. 2. 1	Community Governments in pilot communities actively engaging in the dissemination of information related to the post-referendum process	CGs elected in late 2021 and local representatives sworn in in early 2022, thus have had limited involvement in post-referendum activities.	70% of CG officials in 3 pilot communities actively sharing accurate information on the post-referendum process.	N/A	0	Messages/Information being developed in collaboration with ABG Media Bureau
3. 2. 2	Community Governments have an ability to initiate and support organic business growth initiatives like local cooperatives and partnerships necessary to advance economic needs at the CG level.	CGs elected in late 2021 and local representatives sworn in in early 2022, thus have had limited understanding of how to connect LED to broader ABG economic development policy and processes.	70% of Community Government representatives in target locations demonstrate an increased understanding of the role of regulatory frameworks, processes and facilities in connecting LED to broader ABG processes. Output indicator	N/A	0	To be implemented in 2025 in partnership with Nazareth Centre for Rehabilitation (NCFR) and Peace Dividend Initiative (PDI) but due to lack of funding it will adjust it and implemented in 2026 (subject to no cost extension)
3. 2. 3						
3. 2. 4						

3. 2. 5						
---------------	--	--	--	--	--	--

» Output 3.3:

3. 3	Output Indicators <i>Describe the indicator</i>	Indicator Baseline <i>State the baseline value of the indicator</i>	End of Project Indicator Target <i>State the target value of the indicator at the end of the project</i>	Indicator progress for reporting period <i>State the current value of the indicator for the reporting period</i>	Indicator progress since project's start <i>State the current cumulative value of the indicator since the start of the project</i>	Reasons for Variance/ Delay (if any) <i>Explain why the indicator is off track or has changed, where relevant</i>
3. 3. 1						
3. 3. 2						
3. 3. 3						
3. 3. 4						
3. 3. 5						

» Output 3.4:

3. 4	Output Indicators <i>Describe the indicator</i>	Indicator Baseline <i>State the baseline value of the indicator</i>	End of Project Indicator Target <i>State the target value of the indicator at the end of the project</i>	Indicator progress for reporting period <i>State the current value of the indicator for the reporting period</i>	Indicator progress since project's start <i>State the current cumulative value of the indicator since the start of the project</i>	Reasons for Variance/ Delay (if any) <i>Explain why the indicator is off track or has changed, where relevant</i>
3. 4. 1						
3. 4. 2						
3. 4. 3						
3. 4. 4						
3. 4. 5						

» Output 3.5:

3. 5	Output Indicators <i>Describe the indicator</i>	Indicator Baseline <i>State the baseline value of the indicator</i>	End of Project Indicator Target <i>State the target value of the indicator at the end of the project</i>	Indicator progress for reporting period <i>State the current value of the indicator for the reporting period</i>	Indicator progress since project's start <i>State the current cumulative value of the indicator since the start of the project</i>	Reasons for Variance/ Delay (if any) <i>Explain why the indicator is off track or has changed, where relevant</i>
3. 5. 1						
3. 5. 2						
3. 5. 3						
3. 5. 4						
3. 5. 5						

» Outcome 4:

O C 4	Outcome Indicator s	Indicator Baseline	End of Project Indicator Target	Indicator progress for reporting period	Indicator progress since project's start	Reasons for Variance/ Delay (if any)
4. 1						
4. 2						
4. 3						
4. 4						
4. 5						
How many outputs does outcome 4 have? <div>12345more than 5.</div>						
Please list all outputs for outcome 4						
Output 4.1						
Output 4.2						
Output 4.3						
Output 4.4						

Output 4.5

Other Outputs

If Outcome 4 has more than 5 outputs, please enumerate the remaining outputs here

For each output, and using the, project results framework, provide an update on the progress made against all output indicators

» Output 4.1:

4. 1	Output Indicators <i>Describe the indicator</i>	Indicator Baseline <i>State the baseline value of the indicator</i>	End of Project Indicator Target <i>State the target value of the indicator at the end of the project</i>	Indicator progress for reporting period <i>State the current value of the indicator for the reporting period</i>	Indicator progress since project's start <i>State the current cumulative value of the indicator since the start of the project</i>	Reasons for Variance/ Delay (if any) <i>Explain why the indicator is off track or has changed, where relevant</i>
4. 1. 1						
4. 1. 2						
4. 1. 3						
4. 1. 4						
4. 1. 5						

» Output 4.2:

4. 2	Output Indicators <i>Describe the indicator</i>	Indicator Baseline <i>State the baseline value of the indicator</i>	End of Project Indicator Target <i>State the target value of the indicator at the end of the project</i>	Indicator progress for reporting period <i>State the current value of the indicator for the reporting period</i>	Indicator progress since project's start <i>State the current cumulative value of the indicator since the start of the project</i>	Reasons for Variance/ Delay (if any) <i>Explain why the indicator is off track or has changed, where relevant</i>
4. 2. 1						
4. 2. 2						
4. 2. 3						
4. 2. 4						
4. 2. 5						

» Output 4.3:

4. 3	Output Indicators <i>Describe the indicator</i>	Indicator Baseline <i>State the baseline value of the indicator</i>	End of Project Indicator Target <i>State the target value of the indicator at the end of the project</i>	Indicator progress for reporting period <i>State the current value of the indicator for the reporting period</i>	Indicator progress since project's start <i>State the current cumulative value of the indicator since the start of the project</i>	Reasons for Variance/ Delay (if any) <i>Explain why the indicator is off track or has changed, where relevant</i>
4. 3. 1						
4. 3. 2						
4. 3. 3						
4. 3. 4						
4. 3. 5						

» Output 4.4:

4. 4	Output Indicators <i>Describe the indicator</i>	Indicator Baseline <i>State the baseline value of the indicator</i>	End of Project Indicator Target <i>State the target value of the indicator at the end of the project</i>	Indicator progress for reporting period <i>State the current value of the indicator for the reporting period</i>	Indicator progress since project's start <i>State the current cumulative value of the indicator since the start of the project</i>	Reasons for Variance/ Delay (if any) <i>Explain why the indicator is off track or has changed, where relevant</i>
4. 4. 1						
4. 4. 2						
4. 4. 3						
4. 4. 4						
4. 4. 5						

» Output 4.5:

4. 5	Output Indicators <i>Describe the indicator</i>	Indicator Baseline <i>State the baseline value of the indicator</i>	End of Project Indicator Target <i>State the target value of the indicator at the end of the project</i>	Indicator progress for reporting period <i>State the current value of the indicator for the reporting period</i>	Indicator progress since project's start <i>State the current cumulative value of the indicator since the start of the project</i>	Reasons for Variance/ Delay (if any) <i>Explain why the indicator is off track or has changed, where relevant</i>
4. 5. 1						
4. 5. 2						
4. 5. 3						
4. 5. 4						
4. 5. 5						
<div>If the project has more than 4 outcomes, use this space to describe progress on progress on indicators for the remaining outcomes</div>						

PART III: Cross-Cutting Issues

Is the project planning any significant events in the next six months? (eg. national dialogues, youth congresses, film screenings, etc.)

If yes, please state how many, and for each, provide the approximate date of the event and a brief description, including its key objectives, target audience and location (if known)

Events	Event Description	Tentative Date	Location	Target Audience	Event Objectives (900 characters)
Event 1	Regional Workshop on the results of the Bougainville Voice Community Dialogues for MPs.	December 8-12	Buin, Arawa, Buka	MPs, Community Government Chairpersons and Youth Leaders	
Event 2	JSB meeting	November 28	Port Moresby	Members of ABG and Gov PNG	
Event 3					
Event 4					

Human Impact

This section is about the human impact of the project. Please state key stakeholders (including but not limited to: Civil Society Organizations, Beneficiaries, etc.) of the project, and for each, please briefly describe:

- i. The challenges/problem they faced prior to the project implementation
 - ii. The impact of the project in their lives
 - iii. Provide, where possible, a quote or testimonial from a representative of each stakeholder group
- This is an optional question. You may leave it unanswered if not relevant*

Human Impact	Type of stakeholder	What has been the impact of the project on their lives?	Provide, where possible, a quote or testimonial from the stakeholder

1	Human rights defenders	<p>In the reporting period, the PBF “Sustaining Peace on Bougainville” Project made significant strides in supporting Human Rights Defenders (HRDs) across the region, enhancing their capacity, visibility, and protection in a complex post-referendum environment.</p> <p>The project strengthened grassroots peace networks, with a focus on equipping HRDs—many of them women, youth, and church leaders—with tools to document and mediate human rights issues. In conflict-prone areas like Tonu, Bana, and Konnou, HRDs received training in conflict sensitivity, human rights frameworks, and trauma-informed approaches, enabling them to address local grievances, land disputes, and gender-based violence in non-violent, rights-respecting ways.</p> <p>HRDs also benefited from the project’s inclusive governance approach, which promoted community dialogue and participatory decision-making. Through provincial consultations and peace summits, defenders engaged in shaping the Women, Peace and Security roadmap, integrating human rights protection into Bougainville’s evolving political and legal landscape.</p> <p>Crucially, the project elevated the profile of HRDs through public advocacy and storytelling, empowering defenders to safely share experiences of violence, injustice, and reconciliation. This visibility fostered stronger community trust and protective networks. Several HRDs emerged as local peacebuilders and mediators, resolving disputes once handled through retribution or silence.</p> <p>At a systemic level, UN agencies worked with ABG institutions to recognize the</p>	<p>Video https://www.facebook.com/share/v/16wpYXNxEM/</p>
---	------------------------	---	---

		<p>role of HRDs in advancing inclusive peace. This contributed to a safer environment for their work and increased collaboration between civil society and local government.</p> <p>In sum, the project's impact on HRDs between in the reporting period in 2025 was multifaceted: building their capacity, amplifying their voices, and increasing protections, allowing them to play a vital role in Bougainville's path toward sustainable, rights-based peace.</p>	
--	--	--	--

2	Women peace mediators	<p>Between Jan -June 2025, the project has successfully:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Amplified women's voices in Bougainville's peace and governance arenas. • Equipped women with mediation and leadership skills, enabling them to resolve conflicts in families and communities. • Granted access to economic and digital tools, empowering entrepreneurial and climate-resilient pursuits. • Sparked mindset change, steering individuals from trauma and hostility to reconciliation and peace. <p>Enhanced Peacebuilding & Leadership Capacity</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Training grassroots mediators: Dozens of Bougainvillean women received training in conflict resolution, advocacy, and human rights. For example, Elizabeth, after being trained by UN Women and Melanesian Institute, became a peace mediator in her village—resolving land disputes and earning community respect • Women-led dialogues & summit: Over 200 women attended the first ever Bougainville Women's Peace and Security Summit (7–8 May 2025 in Arawa), where topics included gender-based violence and climate-induced food insecurity. This event strengthened women's roles in governance and planning Inclusive Governance & Advocacy • Conflict-analysis tools: 22 women in Tonu, Bana, and Konnou received training in conflict sensitivity, social mapping, and financial literacy—enabling them to actively participate in post-referendum decision-making and peace processes • Roadmap for Women, Peace & Security: UN Women collaborated with PNG's Department of Community Development to create the first national roadmap for Women, Peace, and Security—laying foundations for provincial 	<p>"It's the tears of a woman that broker peace!:" Women leaders unite to build peace in Bougainville</p> <p>https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=9OxWEUuzD8E&ab_channel=UNDPinPapuaNewGuinea</p>	
---	-----------------------	--	--	--

		<p>dialogues in Hela and Southern Highlands</p> <p>Community Mediation & Emotional Healing</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none">• Shifts in attitudes and behaviours: Participants like Merceline shared that following training, they moved from cycles of violence and revenge toward forgiveness and reconciliation. Similarly, Benedicta in Buka began using soccer gatherings to address domestic violence—reporting increased harmony in families and safer community environments. <p>Empowerment, Economic & Digital Inclusion</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none">• Innovation hubs & economic development: As part of a broader post-referendum strategy, UNDP launched innovation hubs in Buka, Arawa, and Buin (though implementation is ongoing) that target women—with digital literacy, entrepreneurship training, mentorship, and business startup support.• Cybersecurity & small business skills: At the May 2025 summit, women entrepreneurs were trained in online banking, social media use, and cybersecurity—helping them launch climate-resilient businesses	
.....

3	<p>Community Dialogues Participants</p> <p>Community Government Representatives</p>	<p>Sharon Tanis, Chairlady – Ioro Community Government</p> <p>From my observation, this approach is great because it is community focused and gives the opportunity for the community to participate. Many a times, it is only us the people in higher positions that are brought to Arawa to attend these workshops. I appreciate the team for this community-based approach, and I would like for it to be replicated in other communities too. This approach provides a platform for communities to share their experiences on how they are progressing the six benchmarks and also be informed on how the government is progressing. I thank you for coming to my community because in this community, there are many issues and this dialogue educates us to reflect on how we have been going individually, at the family and community level. This information came to us at the right time.</p> <p>Andrew Pascol – Baubake Community Government Ward Clerk, Youth Leader and NCfR Peacebuilder.</p> <p>From my observation, there is a big need for this type of community dialogues. I would like to say that we acquired new information from this meeting. The ratings that were conducted from the start and end of the dialogue enabled us to assess our level of understanding on the six benchmarks. So, from this dialogue, I observed that the content is community focused and suited to our level of understanding. It is the first to host such a program in this community. Because the importance of this dialogue is that it focused on the six benchmarks where the government is unable to bring this down to our community.</p>	
---	---	---	--

Benjamin Osiro - Motuna
Huyono Community
Government – Siwai District
Veteren Chairman
Today I came to meet with UN
and NCFR to run this workshop
and I am so thrilled for our
youths. For so many years we
have never received anything
like this, where the youths
received today. And I am so
happy! The dialogue is a great
educational concept where the
youths are informed on
governance to prepare them as
leaders of tomorrow in
Bougainville.

4

In addition to the stakeholder specific impact described above, please use this space to describe any additional human impact that the project has had.

Please limit your response to 4000 characters.

You can also upload upto 3 files in various formats (picture files, powerpoint, pdf, video, etc.) to illustrate the human impact of the project

OPTIONAL

File 1

OPTIONAL

Click here to upload file. (< 10MB)

File 2

OPTIONAL

Click here to upload file. (< 10MB)

File 3

OPTIONAL

Click here to upload file. (< 10MB)

You can also add upto 3 links to online resources which illustrate the human impact of the project

OPTIONAL

Link 1

OPTIONAL

Link 2
OPTIONAL

Link 3
OPTIONAL

Please tick the applicable change based on above narrative.

How we worked: *

Please select up to 3.

- ☒ Enhanced digitization
- ☒ Innovative ways of working
- ☒ Mobilized additional resources
- ☐ Improved or initiated policy frameworks
- ☒ Strengthened capacities
- ☒ Partnered with with local/grassroots Civil Society Organizations
- ☐ Expanding coalitions & galvanizing political will
- ☐ Strengthened partnerships with IFIs
- ☒ Strengthened partnerships with UN Agencies

Please explain one of the selected options

Please limit your response to 3000 characters.

The project has developed digital tools for the community dialogues, such as the Community Dashboard, has trained peace mediators to use free software and offline tools such Kobo tool

Please explain one of the selected options

Please limit your response to 3000 characters.

The project was able to mobilize additional support to hold a moderation process to resolve the outstanding matters between the government of Papua New Guinea and Autonomous Bougainville Government.

Please explain one of the selected options

Please limit your response to 3000 characters.

The project has strengthened cooperation and collaboration across agencies, increasing knowledge of what other agencies are doing, finding entry points for maximizing resources, and ensuring complementary efforts to achieve more impactful results. This has also increased UN visibility on the ground, and increased cooperation with other agencies such OHCHR, and IOM.

Who are we working with *

- ☐ Strengthened partnerships with IFIs
- ☒ Strengthened partnerships between UN Agencies
- ☒ Partnered with local civil society organizations
- ☐ Partnered with local academia
- ☒ Partnered with sub-national entities
- ☐ Partnered with national entities
- ☐ Partnered with local volunteers

Please explain

Please limit your response to 3000 characters

The project has partnered with AROB media, and Nazareth Centre for rehabilitation

Leave No one Behind

Select all beneficiaries targeted with the PBF resources as evidenced by the narrative **Mandatory*

- ☐ Unemployed persons
- ☐ Minorities (e.g. race, ethnicity, linguistic, religion, etc.)
- ☐ Indigenous communities
- ☐ Persons with Disabilities
- ☐ Persons affected by violence (including GBV)
- ☒ Women
- ☒ Youth
- ☐ Children
- ☐ Minorities related to sexual orientation and/or gender identity and expression
- ☐ People living in and around border areas
- ☐ Persons affected by natural disasters
- ☒ Persons affected by armed conflicts
- ☐ Internally displaced persons, refugees or migrants

PART IV: Monitoring, Evaluation and Compliance

» Monitoring

Please list key monitoring activities undertaken in the reporting period

Please limit your response to 3000 characters.

Monthly meetings and field visits to key stakeholders and partners.

Do outcome indicators have baselines?

If only some of the outcome indicators have baselines, select 'yes'

☒ Yes

☐ No

If yes, please provide a brief description. If not, explain why not and when they will be available.

Please limit your response to 3000 characters.

Outcome 1: Enhanced inclusive, accountable and conflict-sensitive governance in Bougainville Baseline (2023): • Limited community understanding of the Bougainville Peace Agreement and post-referendum process. • Weak local-level government engagement mechanisms. • Few platforms for civic dialogue on autonomy or independence. **Outcome Indicators:** • % of community members (disaggregated by gender, age) reporting increased knowledge of peace and governance processes. • Number of inclusive public consultations/dialogues held on autonomy and post-referendum planning. • Number of ABG policy or planning documents influenced by community input (including from women, youth, and civil society). **Outcome 2: Strengthened capacities for conflict prevention, mediation and reconciliation Baseline (2023):** • Customary mechanisms for mediation are under strain due to political uncertainty and generational conflict. • Community-level disputes often escalate into violence, especially in rural areas. • Few formal capacities exist for early warning or conflict mapping. **Outcome Indicators:** • Number of local mediators trained and deployed (with % of women mediators). • % of reported community-level disputes resolved through non-violent means post-intervention. • Number of functional conflict early warning and response mechanisms in place (e.g. community peace committees, mapping tools). • % reduction in reported incidents of land/resource conflict in project-targeted areas. **Outcome 3: Increased participation and leadership of women and youth in peacebuilding Baseline (2023):** • Women's voices largely excluded from political and customary leadership roles. • Youth engagement in peacebuilding was sporadic and informal. • Few structured leadership training programs for women/youth in Bougainville. **Outcome Indicators:** • % increase in women and youth participants in peacebuilding forums, summits, and consultations. • Number of women and youth trained in leadership, negotiation, and human rights. • Number of initiatives or platforms led by women/youth for peace and development. • % of targeted women and youth who report increased agency and confidence to engage in public decision-making. **Cross-cutting Indicators (Human Rights & GBV Prevention) Baseline (2023):** • Human rights defenders operated with minimal protection or recognition. • Limited services available for GBV survivors in conflict-prone areas. **Outcome Indicators:** • Number of human rights defenders trained and supported. • % of survivors accessing GBV support services in project areas. • Number of communities with functioning referral pathways for GBV response. • Qualitative improvements in community attitudes toward women's rights and gender equality (based on focus group feedback).

Elaborate on what sources of evidence have been used to report on indicators (and are available upon request)

Please limit your response to 3000 characters.

Pre/post intervention surveys (baseline and endline assessments) • Focus group discussions and key informant interviews • Project activity and training reports • Community scorecards and feedback tools • Administrative and institutional data from ABG, UN agencies, and civil society partners

Has the project launched outcome level data collection initiatives? e.g. perception surveys

Perception survey is a formal collection of information from a randomly selected sample of respondents through their responses to standardized questions. See PBF Guidance Note for more information [link](#)

☒ Yes

☐ No

Please provide a brief description

Please limit your response to 3000 characters.

Perception surveys have been conducted as part of the Community Dialogues

*

Has the project used or established community feedback mechanisms?

*

Community feedback mechanism, or community-based monitoring, is an organized system for communities of participants to monitor the local effects and impact of an intervention. Ideally, this system empowers the community to express whether their expectations are being met and to provide suggestions to decision-makers for possible (re)focusing. See PBF Guidance Note for more information. [link](#)

☒ Yes

☐ No

Please provide a brief description

Please limit your response to 3000 characters.

Yes, through participatory community dialogues, the project has been able to generate rich community level data on community understanding of the six benchmarks for independence readiness, as well main challenges, good practices and priority actions for each benchmark. Also known as a social weather vane or community listening post, this methodology indicates the direction of public sentiment around the six benchmark and independence readiness. It supports accountability, builds social cohesion, empowers marginalized groups, especially women and youth, who were the main targets for these dialogues and ensures that the communities are engaged in peace processes.

*

» Evaluation

Is the project on track to conduct its evaluation?

*

☐ Yes

☒ No

☐ Not Applicable

Evaluation budget (in USD) included in the project budget:

*

Response required

70000

If project will end in next six months, is your upcoming evaluation on track?

☒ Yes

☐ No

☐ Not Applicable

Please describe the preparations

Please limit your response to 3000 characters.

Project Evaluation ToRs were developed

Contact
information

Name

Organization

Job title

Email

Please mention the focal person responsible for sharing the final evaluation report with the PBF:	Patricia Porras	UNDP	Bougainville Programme Coordinator	patricia.porras@undp.org
---	-----------------	------	------------------------------------	--------------------------

» Catalytic Effect

Catalytic Effect (financial): Has the project mobilized additional non-PBF financial resources since the project's start? * <input checked="" type="radio"/> Yes <input type="radio"/> No	
How many funders has the project received additional non-PBF funding from since the project started ? * 4	
If yes, please indicate name of all funding agencies and respective amounts of additional non-PBF funding support that has been leveraged by the project since it started , as well as specifically during this reporting period <i>Please enter each funding agent and their contributions separately</i>	
Name of Funder * UK	
Amount mobilized since project's start (USD) * <i>Please use a dot (.) as decimal separator, instead of a comma (,)</i> 121506.68	
Amount mobilized during reporting period (USD) * <i>Please use a dot (.) as decimal separator, instead of a comma (,)</i> 121506.68	
If yes, please indicate name of all funding agencies and respective amounts of additional non-PBF funding support that has been leveraged by the project since it started , as well as specifically during this reporting period <i>Please enter each funding agent and their contributions separately</i>	
Name of Funder * Government of Australia	

Amount mobilized since project's start (USD) <i>Please use a dot (.) as decimal separator, instead of a comma (,)</i> 311526.48	*
Amount mobilized during reporting period (USD) <i>Please use a dot (.) as decimal separator, instead of a comma (,)</i> 311526.48	*
3	
If yes, please indicate name of all funding agencies and respective amounts of additional non-PBF funding support that has been leveraged by the project since it started , as well as specifically during this reporting period <i>Please enter each funding agent and their contributions separately</i>	
Name of Funder Government of New Zealand	*
Amount mobilized since project's start (USD) <i>Please use a dot (.) as decimal separator, instead of a comma (,)</i> 280741.16	*
Amount mobilized during reporting period (USD) <i>Please use a dot (.) as decimal separator, instead of a comma (,)</i> 280741.16	*
4	
If yes, please indicate name of all funding agencies and respective amounts of additional non-PBF funding support that has been leveraged by the project since it started , as well as specifically during this reporting period <i>Please enter each funding agent and their contributions separately</i>	
Name of Funder The European Union	*
Amount mobilized since project's start (USD) <i>Please use a dot (.) as decimal separator, instead of a comma (,)</i> 104440.00	*
Amount mobilized during reporting period (USD) <i>Please use a dot (.) as decimal separator, instead of a comma (,)</i> 104440.00	*

Catalytic Effect (non-financial): Has the project enabled or created a larger or longer-term peacebuilding change to occur, in addition to the direct project changes? Please refer to PBF Catalytic Effect Guidelines for more information. *

☒ Yes

☐ No

If yes, please select the relevant option below: *

☐ Some catalytic effect

☒ Significant catalytic effect

If relevant, please describe how the project has had a (non-financial) catalytic effect, i.e. removed barriers to unblock stalled political, institutional or other peacebuilding processes at different levels in a country, and/or created the conditions to establish new processes to do so *

Please limit your response to 3000 characters.

The project has contributed to building the momentum for the moderated process between the two governments, supporting the external Moderator to meet stakeholders engaged in the peacebuilding process and post referendum work.

Sustainability

Please describe any steps that have been taken to ensure the sustainability of peacebuilding gains, including any mechanisms, platforms, networks and socio-economic initiatives supported, beyond the duration of the project *

Please limit your response to 3000 characters.

To ensure sustainability of peacebuilding gains beyond the project's duration, several mechanisms and initiatives have been established. The Bougainville Voices Dashboard, powered by Kobo Toolbox, enables ongoing participatory monitoring and data-driven decision-making by ABG and communities. Community Dialogues have produced a replicable manual to institutionalize inclusive approaches at local levels. Networks of Human Rights Defenders (65 trained focal points) and strengthened Bougainville Women's Federation capacities ensure continued advocacy for gender equality and peace. Socio-economic initiatives include support for women-led cooperatives and youth entrepreneurship through the Bougainville Economic Development Plan (2025–2035), embedding conflict-sensitive and resilience strategies. These platforms and partnerships create lasting structures for inclusive governance, local economic development, and community-driven peacebuilding.

Are there any other issues concerning project implementation that you want to share, including any capacity needs of the recipient organizations?

Please limit your response to 3000 characters.

Local capacities and ongoing volatile context force project to take agile management approach

Monitoring and Oversight Activities

Please describe any key event related to monitoring and oversight. Please click next if no activities have yet taken place.

Events include Steering Committee meetings, Monitoring visits, Third party monitoring, Community based monitoring, any data collection, Perception or other survey findings, evaluation reports, audit or investigations.

Monitoring and oversight activities	Name of the Event	Summary	Key Findings

Event 1	Community Dialogues	Key findings and recommendation on the perception of the post referendum work.	Ongoing
Event 2			
Event 3			
Event 4			
Event 5			
Event 6			
Event 7			
Event 8			

Final Steps

- Please save a PDF copy of the form by clicking on the *Printer* icon on the top right corner of the page.
- A dialogue box will appear: Please select the A4 size and portrait orientation.
- Click "prepare" and save the document as a PDF.
- (If on first attempt, the generated page is not readable, close the pop up page and go back to the first page of the online form using the "Return to Beginning" option and try to print the PDF version from there)
- After printing the PDF version, please submit the report in the last page of the form. You can use the "Go to End" button in the bottom right corner.
- In compliance with our reporting requirements, **please upload the PDF version of the report as well as your financial report in excel format to the MPTF-O Gateway.**

If you encounter any difficulty in filling the form or generating the print-out for MPTFO Gateway, please contact Gabriel Velastegui gabriel.velasteguimoya@un.org

Thank You. You have finished the report. Please Click on the SUBMIT button below. When the report is submitted, a confirmation note will appear on a yellow banner on top of the page. This can take a few seconds.