PBF November 2025 Project Progress Report

PROJECT OVERVIEW

Thank you for taking the time to complete the PBF Progress report. For projects with more than one recipient, please consult among co-recipients prior to filling out the form to ensure collaboration on the responses. If you have any questions or require technical assistance in filling out the form, please send an email to gabriel.velasteguimoya@un.org

Click Next below to start

» Report Submission

Type of report *

- ( ) Semi-annual
- (●) Annual
- ( ) Final
- ( ) Other

Date of submission of report *

2025-11-18

Name and title of person submitting the report *

Fabienne Coomans, Programme and Analysis Officer, PBF Secretariat South Sudan

E-mail of person submitting the report *

fabienne.coomans@un.org

Name and title of person who approved the report *

Brenda Engola, Coordination Specialist, PBF Secretariat South Sudan

Have all fund recipients for this project contributed to the report? *

- ⦿ Yes
- ◯ No

Did PBF Secretariat or RCO focal point review the report? *

You should normally ensure that the PBF Secretariat or the PBF focal point have an opportunity to review.

- ⦿ Yes
- ◯ No
- ◯ Not Applicable

» Project Information and Geographical Scope

Is this a cross-border project? *

- ◯ Yes ⦿ No

Please select the geographical region in which the project is implemented

○ Asia and the Pacific   ○ Central & Southern Africa   ● East Africa

○ Europe and Central Asia   ○ Global   ○ Latin America and the Caribean

○ Middle East and North Africa   ○ West Africa

Country of project implementation *

○ Ethiopia   ○ Kenya   ○ Madagascar

○ Mozambique   ○ Somalia   ● South Sudan

○ Sudan   ○ Other, Specify

Other, please specify *

Project Title *

○ 00130006: Building peace through promoting inclusive and participatory transitional justice processes and mechanisms in South Sudan

○ 00130571: Challenging harmful and patriarchal gender norms for better mental health and peace and security, amongst women and girls and communities in the Wunlit Triangle

○ 00129661: Community Action for Peaceful Resolution of Housing, Land and Property (HLP) Disputes and Conflicts

○ 00113057: Enhancing Women's Access to Land to Consolidate Peace in South Sudan

○ 00120688: Gender mainstreaming in Security Sector Reform

◉ 00129659: Secretariat support to the PBF portfolio in South Sudan

○ 00129660: Support to a people-driven and gender responsive permanent constitution making process in South Sudan

○ 00118940: Youth Action for Reduced Violence and Enhanced Social Cohesion in Wau, South Sudan

○ 00134340: Youth Leading Peace: Establishing participatory and inclusive local and national mechanisms for implementation of Youth Peace and Security Agenda in South Sudan

○ 00140011: Women's Leadership and Political Participation During South Sudan's Transitional Period

○ 00140047: Local Solutions to Build Climate Resilience and Advance Peace and Stability in Bor Pibor and Malakal

○ 00140050: Resourcing change: inclusive peacebuilding from the ground up

○ 00140762: Women in South Sudan's Security Sector: A Path to Inclusive and Transformative Leadership

○ 00140802: Community Violence Reduction Partnerships with White Nile and Sobat River Communities to Advance Socioeconomic Cohesion and Peaceful Uses of the White Nile and Sobat River

○ 00140803: Inclusive Governance for Peace: Promoting young women's meaningful political participation in South Sudan

○ 00140924: Pastoralist youth's civic engagement for equitable safer inclusive & united South Sudan

○ 00141165: Towards Durable Solution in Greater Malakal Upper Nile State South Sudan

○ Other, Specify

Write the 8 digit MPTFO number and Project Title exactly as it appears in the Project Document *

EXAMPLE: 00118938: Community-based prevention of violence and social cohesion using innovation for young people in displaced and host communities

Please select the geographical region(s) in which the project is implemented *

If the project you are looking for does not appear in the following question, please make sure that you have selected the correct regions. A limited number of cross border projects span multiple geographic regions. For example, a cross border project between Niger and Chad spans both West Africa and Central & Southern Africa

- [ ] Asia and the Pacific
- [ ] Central & Southern Africa
- [ ] East Africa
- [ ] Europe and Central Asia
- [ ] Global
- [ ] Latin America and the Caribean
- [ ] Middle East and North Africa
- [ ] West Africa

Please select the title of the project for which you are submitting the report *

Write the 8 digit MPTFO numbers and Project Title exactly as it appears in the Project Document *

EXAMPLE: 00129699/700: Supporting Cross-Border Cooperation for Increased Community Resilience and Social Cohesion in The Gambia and Senegal

Please select the countries where this project is being implemented *

Other, Please specify *

Project Start Date (Date of first transfer) *

2021-12-13

Project End Date *

2026-06-12

Has this project received an extension? *

- (●) YES, Cost Extension
- ( ) YES, No Cost Extension
- ( ) YES, Both Cost and No Cost Extensions
- ( ) NO, No Extensions

Will this project be requesting an extension? *

- ◉ YES, Cost Extension
- ○ YES, No Cost Extension
- ○ YES, Both Cost and No Cost Extensions
- ○ NO, No Extensions

Will this project be submitting a Fund Transfer Request (FTR) in the next six months? *

- ◉ Yes
- ○ No

If so, around which month do you expect to submit the request? *

○ January	○ February	◉ March
○ April	○ May	○ June
○ July	○ August	○ September
○ October	○ November	○ December

Is the current project end date within 6 months? *

- ◉ Yes
- ○ No

Is funding disbursed either into a national or regional trust fund? *

- ○ Yes
- ◉ No

If yes, please select which *

- ○ National Trust Fund
- ○ Regional Trust Fund

Recipients

Is the convening agency a UN agency or a non UN entity? *

- ● UN entity
- ○ Non-UN Entity

Please select the convening agency recipient *

- ○ UNDP: United Nations Development Programme
- ○ IOM: International Organization for Migration
- ○ UNICEF: United Nations Children's Fund
- ○ OHCHR: Office of the United Nations High Commissioner for Human Rights
- ○ UNWOMEN: United Nations Entity for Gender Equality and the Empowerment of Women
- ○ UNHCR: United Nations High Commissioner for Refugees
- ○ UNFPA: United Nations Population Fund
- ○ FAO: Food and Agriculture Organization
- ○ WFP: World Food Programme
- ○ UNHABITAT: United Nations Human Settlements Programme
- ○ UNESCO: United Nations Educational, Scientific and Cultural Organization
- ○ UNEP: United Nations Environment Programme
- ○ ILO: International Labour Organization
- ○ WHO: World Health Organization
- ○ PAHO/WHO
- ○ UNCDF: United Nations Capital Development Fund
- ○ UNODC: United Nations Office on Drugs and Crime
- ○ UNOPS: United Nations Office for Project Services
- ○ UNIDO: United Nations Industrial Development Organization
- ○ ITC: International Trade Centre
- ○ UNDPO
- ● Other, Specify

Other, Please specify *

Are there other recipients for this project? *

- ● No other recipients
- ○ Yes, other UN recipients only
- ○ Yes, other non-UN recipients only
- ○ Yes, both UN and non-UN recipients

Please select other UN recipients *

Select all that apply

- [ ] UNDP: United Nations Development Programme
- [ ] IOM: International Organization for Migration
- [ ] UNICEF: United Nations Children's Fund
- [ ] OHCHR: Office of the United Nations High Commissioner for Human Rights
- [ ] UNWOMEN: United Nations Entity for Gender Equality and the Empowerment of Women
- [ ] UNHCR: United Nations High Commissioner for Refugees
- [ ] UNFPA: United Nations Population Fund
- [ ] FAO: Food and Agriculture Organization
- [ ] WFP: World Food Programme
- [ ] UNHABITAT: United Nations Human Settlements Programme
- [ ] UNESCO: United Nations Educational, Scientific and Cultural Organization
- [ ] UNEP: United Nations Environment Programme
- [ ] ILO: International Labour Organization
- [ ] WHO: World Health Organization
- [ ] PAHO/WHO
- [ ] UNCDF: United Nations Capital Development Fund
- [ ] UNODC: United Nations Office on Drugs and Crime
- [ ] UNOPS: United Nations Office for Project Services
- [ ] UNIDO: United Nations Industrial Development Organization
- [ ] ITC: International Trade Centre
- [ ] UN Department of Peace Operations
- [ ] Other, Specify

Other, Please specify *

....

Please select other non-UN recipients *

- [ ] ACTED
- [ ] Action Aid UK
- [ ] AAITG (ActionAid the Gambia)
- [ ] AEDE
- [ ] African Centre for the Constructive Resolution of Disputes (ACCORD)
- [ ] Agence de Coopération et de Recherche pour le Développement (ACORD)
- [ ] American Friends Service Committee (AFSC)
- [ ] Avocats Sans Frontières
- [ ] Avocats Sans Frontières Belgium
- [ ] Avocats sans frontières Canada
- [ ] Ayuda en Accion
- [ ] BIRN - Balkan Investigative Reporting Network
- [ ] BIOM -Youth Ecological Movemen
- [ ] CARE International UK
- [ ] Centre d'étude et de coopération internationale (CECI) - BF
- [ ] Christian Aid Ireland
- [ ] COIPRODEN
- [ ] Concern Worldwide
- [ ] Conexion Guatemala
- [ ] COOPI - Cooperazione Internazionale
- [ ] CORD Burundi
- [ ] CORDAID
- [ ] Corporacion Sisma Mujer
- [ ] CRS - Catholic Relief Services
- [ ] DanChurchAid
- [ ] Danish Refugee Council
- [ ] EQUITAS
- [ ] Fund for Congolese Women
- [ ] Fundacion Estudios Superior (FESU)
- [ ] Fundación Mi Sangre (FMS)
- [ ] Fundación Nacional para el Desarrollo de Honduras (FUNADEH)
- [ ] Fundación para la Libertad de Prensa (FLIP)
- [ ] Geneva Centre for Security Sector Governance (DCAF)
- [ ] HELVETAS Swiss Intercooperation
- [ ] Humanity & Inclusion (HI)
- [ ] ICTJ (International Center for Transitional Justice)
- [ ] Instituto Holandes para Democracia Multipartidaria (NIMD)
- [ ] Integrity Watch
- [ ] International Alert
- [ ] International Rescue Committee
- [ ] Interpeace
- [ ] Kvinna till Kvinna Foundation
- [ ] Life and Peace Institute (LPI)
- [ ] MDG-EISA - Institut Electoral pour une Démocratie Durable en Afrique (EISA), bureau de Madagascar
- [ ] Mercy Corps
- [ ] MLAL - ProgettoMondo
- [ ] MSIS-TATAO
- [ ] NIMD (Netherlands Institute for Multiparty Democracy)
- [ ] Nonviolent Peaceforce
- [ ] Norwegian Refugee Council (NRC)
- [ ] Nile Sustainable Development Organization - NSDO
- [ ] OCNH-Organisation des Citoyens pour une Nouvelle Haïti
- [ ] OIKOS
- [ ] ONG Adkoul - ONG Adkoul
- [ ] ONG AZHAR
- [ ] OXFAM
- [ ] Peace Direct
- [ ] Plan International
- [ ] PNG UN Country Fund
- [ ] Red de Instituciones por los Derechos de la Niñez
- [ ] ROI - Roza Otunbayeva Initiati
- [ ] Saferworld
- [ ] Sampan'Asa Momba ny Fampandrosoana (SAF/FJKM)
- [ ] Save the Children
- [ ] Search for Common Ground (SFCG)
- [ ] SIHA (Strategic Initiative for Women in the Horn of Africa)
- [ ] SismaMujer
- [ ] SOS Sahel Sudan
- [ ] Stichting Impunity Watch
- [ ] Tearfund
- [ ] The Carter Center, Inc.
- [ ] Trocaire
- [ ] War Child
- [ ] War Childhood Museum (WCM)
- [ ] World Vision International
- [ ] World Vision Myanmar
- [ ] ZOA
- [ ] blank_placeholder

Other, Please specify *

Implementing Partners

To how many implementing partners has the project transferred money **since the project's start** ?

0

To how many implementing partners has the project transferred money **during this calendar period** ?

(for June reports: January-June;
for November reports: January-December (anticipated);
for final reports: full project duration)

0

Financial Reporting

» Delivery by Recipient

Please enter the total amounts in full US dollars allocated to each recipient organization
Please enter the original budget amount, amount transferred to date and estimated expenditure by recipient.

*Please make sure you enter the correct amount. All values should be entered in **US Dollars***

For cross-border projects, group the amounts by agency, even if different country offices are involved. You will have the opportunity to share a more detailed budget in the next section.

Recipients	Total Project Budget (in full US $) Please enter the total budget as is in the project document in US Dollars	Transfers to date (in full US $) Please enter the total amount transferred to each recipient to date in US Dollars	Expenditure to date (in full US $) Please enter the approximate amount spent to date in US dollars	Implementation rate as a percentage of total budget (calculated automatically)

Other, Specify	* 3686498.26	* 2916761.96	* 1904765.04	51.67%
	*	*	*	%
	*	*	*	%
	*	*	*	%
	*	*	*	%
	*	*	*	%
	*	*	*	%
	*	*	*	%
	*	*	*	%
	*	*	*	%
	*	*	*	%

	*	*	* %
	*	*	* %
	*	*	* %
	*	*	* %
	*	*	* %
TOTAL	3686498.26	2916761.96	1904765.04 **51.67%**

The approximate implementation rate as percentage of total project budget based on the values entered in the above matrix is **51.67%**. Can you confirm that this is correct? *

🔘 Correct ⚪ Incorrect

If it is incorrect, please enter the approximate implementation rate as a % *

» Gender-responsive Budgeting

Indicate what **percentage (%)** of the budget contributes to gender equality or women's empowerment (GEWE) as per the project document? *

32.42

The dollar amount of the budget contributing to Gender Equality and Women's Empowerment (GEWE) based on percentage entered above and total project budget is **US $ 1195162.74**. Can you confirm that this is correct? *

○ Correct　　◉ Incorrect

If it is incorrect, please enter the *budget amount* allocated to GEWE in US Dollars *

1194692.42

Amount expended to date on efforts contributiong to gender equality or women's empowerment is **US $ 617524.83**. Is this correct? *

○ Correct　　◉ Incorrect

If it is incorrect, please enter the *expenditure to date* on GEWE in US dollars *

551599.82

ATTACH PROJECT EXCEL BUDGET SHOWING CURRENT APPROXIMATE EXPENDITURE. *
The templates for the budget are available [here](#)

PBF SSD D-5 Secretariat_Cost Extension_Annex D_Annual Report 2025-13_52_37.xlsx

Project Markers

Please select the Gender Marker Associated with this project *

○ Score 1 for projects that contribute in some way to gender equality, but not significantly (less than 30% of the total budget for GEWE)

● Score 2 for projects that have gender equality as a significant objective and allocate between 30 and 79% of the total project budget to GEWE

○ Score 3 for projects that have gender equality as a principal objective and allocate at least 80% of the total project budget to Gender Equality and Women's Empowerment (GEWE)

Please select the Risk Marker Associated with this project *

○ Risk marker 0 = low risk to achieving outcomes

● Risk marker 1 = medium risk to achieving outcomes

○ Risk marker 2 = high risk to achieving outcomes

Please select the PBF Focus Area associated with this project *

- ○ (1.1) Security Sector Reform
- ○ (1.2) Rule of Law
- ○ (1.3) Demobilisation, Disarmament and Reintegration
- ○ (1.4) Political Dialogue
- ○ (2.1) National reconciliation
- ○ (2.2) Democratic Governance
- ○ (2.3) Conflict prevention/management
- ○ (3.1) Employment
- ○ (3.2) Equitable access to social services
- ○ (4.1) Strengthening of essential national state capacity
- ○ (4.2) Extension of state authority/Local Administration
- ● (4.3) Governance of peacebuilding resources (including PBF Secretariats)

Is the project part of one or more PBF priority windows? *
Select all that apply

- ☐ Gender promotion initiative
- ☐ Youth promotion initiative
- ☐ Transition from UN or regional peacekeeping or special political missions
- ☐ Cross-border or regional project
- ☑ None

Steering Committee and Government engagement

Does the project have an active steering committee/ project board? *

◉ Yes

◯ No

If yes, please indicate how many times the Project Steering Committee has met over the last 6 months?

Please limit your response to 3000 characters

The Project Steering Committee last convened in its full composition in February 2025. Due to changes in South Sudan's political context, it was not possible to convene a meeting with all stakeholders present simultaneously. As a mitigation measure, the project engaged the individual constituencies of the Steering Committee (Ministry of Peacebuilding, contributing partners, and CSO members) through a total of seven separate meetings held at different points throughout the reporting period. The meetings focused on unpacking the changes in the peacebuilding landscape, reviewing the conflict sensitivity of the existing portfolio and discussing forward-looking opportunities.

Please provide a brief description of any engagement that the project has had with the government over the last 6 months. Please indicate what level of government the project has been engaging with. *

Please limit your response to 3000 characters

The PBF Secretariat maintained strong working relations with the Ministry of Peacebuilding throughout the reporting period. The Ministry co-chaired the Joint Steering Committee meeting in February 2025 and provided strategic and technical input on project extensions for three PBF projects, the alignment of the 2025 pipeline with the evolving context, and the identification of one new project for anticipated 2026 funding through assessed contributions. As the peacebuilding context began significantly shifting in mid-2025, the project continued to hold regular meetings with the Ministry at the technical level. The Undersecretary was engaged multiple times to review the four pipeline project documents and contributed twice to the portfolio review process conducted by external partners. Ministry staff also participated in project design workshops, reinforcing national ownership during project design.

In parallel, the Secretariat and the Ministry collaboratively strengthened the joint monitoring approach for PBF projects. The enhanced framework, aimed at improving quality oversight, conflict sensitivity and accountability, was rolled out across three field locations and three Juba-based monitoring exercises. Throughout the period, the project conducted these joint missions with technical staff from the Ministry, assessing progress, reviewing evidence of impact and verifying accountability. This contributed to stronger oversight as well as increased institutional M&E capacity within the Ministry.

Beyond collaboration with the Ministry of Peacebuilding, the Secretariat (supported by the Head of the Resident Coordinator's Office) engaged the Ministries of Foreign Affairs and Cabinet Affairs in preparation for a Peacebuilding Commission meeting initially anticipated for early 2025. Further discussions were held with the Ministry of Housing, Land and Public Utilities, the Local Government Board, the selection committee for the Commission for Truth, Reconciliation and Healing, the National Constitutional Review Commission, State-level Ministries of Peacebuilding and County Commissioners. These engagements focused on monitoring impact, capturing lessons learned and shaping forward-looking priorities across national and subnational levels.

PART I: OVERALL PROJECT PROGRESS

NOTES FOR COMPLETING THE REPORT:

- Avoid acronyms and UN jargon, use general /common language.
- Report on what has been achieved in the reporting period, not what the project aims to do.
- Be as concrete as possible. Avoid theoretical, vague or conceptual discourse.
- Ensure the analysis and project progress assessment is gender and age sensitive.

Please rate the implementation status of the following preliminary/preparatory activities

Contracting of partners *

- ○ Not Started
- ○ Completed

- ○ Initiated
- ● Not Applicable

- ○ Partially Completed

Staff Recruitment *

- ○ Not Started
- ● Completed

- ○ Initiated
- ○ Not Applicable

- ○ Partially Completed

Collection of baselines *

- ○ Not Started
- ○ Completed

- ○ Initiated
- ● Not Applicable

- ○ Partially Completed

Identification of beneficiaries *

- ○ Not Started
- ● Completed

- ○ Initiated
- ○ Not Applicable

- ○ Partially Completed

Provide any additional descriptive information relating to the status of the project, including whether preliminary/preparatory activities have been completed (i.e. contracting of partners, staff recruitment, etc.) *

Please limit your response to 3000 characters

During the reporting period, the PBF Secretariat finalized the recruitment of a Programme Management and Analysis Officer, who was successfully onboarded. Recruitment of a Monitoring, Evaluation and Communications Analyst, however, faced initial delays due to internal UNDP processes and was ultimately unsuccessful, as no suitable candidate was identified. This contributed to delays in advancing the project's M&E commitments since October 2024. The Coordination Specialist and the Programme and Analysis Officer are currently supporting M&E activities to advance M&E commitments regarding portfolio oversight. The project engaged a national consultant Monitoring and Evaluation Officer in April 2025 to strengthen M&E functions within the Ministry of Peacebuilding and to support joint portfolio monitoring and oversight. The consultant played a key role in refining the Secretariat's monitoring approach, including the development of a more robust joint monitoring framework with the Ministry, which was rolled out in July 2025. In terms of communications capacity, the International United Nations Volunteer assigned to the Secretariat completed the six-month deployment. Following the conclusion of the IUNV assignment, the Secretariat received one month of additional communications support through a short-term deployment of a communications specialist from DCO, ensuring continuity of core communications priorities. Other Secretariat positions (including the PBF Coordination Specialist, Finance and Administrative Associate, and Driver) remained fully staffed. Consistent with project design, no local partner contracts were issued during the reporting period, as the project does not include direct contracting of implementing partners. Throughout the period, the project continued to deepen its strategic engagement with the Ministry of Peacebuilding, reinforcing its role as the primary governmental counterpart of the Peacebuilding Fund in South Sudan. Baseline assessments were not conducted, as they are not required for tracking progress against the indicators outlined in the project's Results Framework.

Describe overall progress under each Outcome made during the reporting period (*for June reports: January-June; for November reports: January-December (anticipated); for final reports: full project duration*). Do not list individual activities. If the project is starting to make/has made a difference at the outcome level, provide specific evidence for the progress (quantitative and qualitative) and explain how it impacts the broader political and peacebuilding context.

Is the project on track for the timely completion of outputs as indicated in the workplan? *

- ● Yes
- ○ No

If no, please provide an explanation *

Please limit your response to 6000 characters

Project progress summary *

Please limit your response to 6000 characters

During the reporting period, the PBF Secretariat continued to play a central role in providing strategic coordination, technical assistance, and policy guidance to ensure effective planning and implementation of the PBF portfolio. Working closely with the Ministry of Peacebuilding, direct recipients, and contributing partners, the Secretariat reinforced national ownership and ensured the relevance of PBF investments amid South Sudan's evolving context.

The Secretariat supported the start-up of the project implemented by Nile Sustainable Development Organization (NSDO), including participation in its official launch to ensure early alignment with PBF expectations. It also processed no-cost extensions for three projects (Youth Leading Peace, Constitution-Making, and Transitional Justice) and guided the closure of the SaferWorld "Resourcing Change" project. In addition, the Secretariat initiated the close-out process for the Transitional Justice, Constitution-Making, and Women's Political Participation projects, which will end between December 2025 and January 2026.

To advance the 2025 pipeline, the Secretariat organized and facilitated project design workshops for four proposed projects. These workshops brought together government counterparts, UN agencies, and national CSOs to jointly reflect on theories of change and results frameworks. PBSO provided technical support to the process through a mission to Juba, ensuring alignment with PBF programming standards.

Strengthening monitoring and evaluation remained a priority. The Secretariat rolled out the new M&E strategy for joint field missions with the Ministry of Peacebuilding and conducted three field-based and three Juba-based monitoring visits under the revised framework. These missions enhanced oversight, accountability, and evidence gathering, while contributing to enhanced M&E capacity within the Ministry of Peacebuilding. The Secretariat also conducted reviews of direct recipients M&E frameworks and proposed additional perception-indicators on top of the existing results frameworks to improve evidence-based impact reporting.

Multiple analytical endeavours were undertaken throughout the year, including two external analyses focusing on the changing context and conflict dynamics in light of the extended transitional period, as well as perspectives on complementarities with other Funds. Building on this, analytical work was further advanced through close collaboration with the Conflict Sensitivity Resource Facility (CSRF), which supported a comprehensive conflict sensitivity review of the PBF portfolio and the identification of forward-looking priorities for South Sudan. As part of this process, the Secretariat convened a workshop with direct recipients, government entities, and national CSOs to reflect on conflict sensitivity practices, Do No Harm principles, and evolving conflict dynamics. These discussions were complemented by a portfolio-wide survey and the development of a detailed conflict matrix conducted by the Secretariat. The review process also involved extensive engagement with UNMISS (Political Affairs, Civil Affairs, Gender Affairs, Human Rights, and Rule of Law) as well as consultations with CSO Steering Committee members and contributing partners. A final analytical product is expected in December 2025.

The Secretariat also submitted inputs for the PBF thematic review on Institution Building and held preparatory discussions with the UNU-Center for Policy Research team ahead of an anticipated field research mission in early 2026. Participation in the review will offer an opportunity to reflect on lessons learned related to state-building in a fragile context.

Efforts to strengthen fund complementarity continued, including engagements with the Resilience, Stabilization and Recovery Trust Fund (RSRTF), the Peacebuilding Opportunities Fund (POF), and the South Sudan Humanitarian Fund (SSHF) to promote efficient and strategic use of multiparter financing instruments. The Secretariat also intensified collaboration with international financial institutions, resulting in parallel financing arrangements for a pipeline project. Discussions on a longer-term PBF–AfDB parallel financing vision for South Sudan remain ongoing.

Field engagement contributed to portfolio learning and visibility. The Secretariat visited ongoing projects, such as those on Transitional Justice, Security Sector Reform, and Youth Leading Peace, Resourcing Change, to document results, gather qualitative evidence, and strengthen context responsiveness. In Abyei, the Secretariat participated in a youth capacity-building session facilitated by a national CSO for UNESCO, offering insights into local peacebuilding dynamics and youth leadership development.

Throughout these efforts, the Secretariat ensured that the PBF portfolio remained context-responsive, strategically aligned, and positioned to deliver impactful, conflict-

sensitive peacebuilding results in South Sudan.

Indicate any additional analysis on how Gender Equality and Women's Empowerment and/or Youth Inclusion and Responsiveness has been ensured by the project to date *

Please limit your response to 3000 characters

The project has actively promoted gender equality, women's empowerment, and youth inclusion through its staffing, technical support to PBF portfolio projects, monitoring, planning, and field engagement efforts. The revised monitoring and evaluation system co-developed with the Ministry of Peacebuilding and the PBF Secretariat reflects inclusive monitoring practices, including safe spaces for women and youth to share their reflections on PBF projects based on their individual experiences. The Secretariat also ensured that gender and youth considerations were mainstreamed in the development of project documents for proposed PBF projects, reinforcing inclusive design from the outset. Field-level engagement, such as participation in the "Youth Leading Peace" initiative in Abyei and visits to the "Women in Security Sector" and "Women's Political Participation" project, further demonstrated the Secretariat's support for the empowerment of both female and male youth at the grassroots. Additionally, stories published by the Secretariat highlighted the PBF portfolio's impact on women and youth.

Is the project 1+ year in implementation? *

◉ Yes

◯ No

FOR PROJECTS 1+ YEAR IN IMPLEMENTATION ONLY:

Is the project demonstrating outcome-level peacebuilding results? *

Outcome-level peacebuilding results entail results achieved at the societal or structural level, including changed attitudes, behaviours or institutions.

◉ Yes

◯ No

If yes, please provide concrete examples of such peacebuilding results *

Please limit your response to 6000 characters

The project contributed to the outcome of effectively coordinating and supporting the delivery of the PBF portfolio, helping sustain peacebuilding efforts and enabled continued investments in South Sudan. Throughout the reporting period, the PBF Secretariat played a facilitative and technical role in guiding project development, strengthening coordination mechanisms, and helping ensure that the portfolio remained coherent and responsive to the evolving context.

Collaboration with the Ministry of Peacebuilding (MoPB) remained central to these efforts. The Ministry co-chaired the Joint Steering Committee, participated actively in the conflict sensitivity portfolio review, and contributed to the development of four 2025 pipeline projects. The Secretariat also consulted the MoPB in identifying one project for assessed-contribution funding in 2026. Beyond MoPB, the Secretariat engaged with the Ministries of Foreign Affairs, Cabinet Affairs, Housing, Land and Public Utilities, as well as the Local Government Board and State-level authorities throughout 2025. Preparations for a planned Peacebuilding Commission briefing (although it did not ultimately take place) contributed to improved communication and internal coordination across these government entities.

To support sound project formulation, the Secretariat organized project design workshops for the four pipeline projects, convening government representatives, UN agencies, UNMISS, African Development Bank and national CSOs to review theories of change and results frameworks. Technical support from PBSO staff during an in-person mission strengthened the rigor of this process. Further, the Secretariat initiated the close-out process for the Transitional Justice, Constitution-Making, and Women's Political Participation projects, ensuring compliance with PBF requirements and capturing insights to inform future programming.

Monitoring and evaluation activities advanced through the roll-out of the revised joint M&E strategy for field missions with MoPB. Three field and three Juba-based monitoring visits were conducted under this strengthened approach, contributing to improved oversight, understanding of the context and supporting MoPB's institutional M&E capacity development. The Secretariat also reviewed direct recipients' M&E frameworks to support more consistent measurement practices across the portfolio and encouraged the inclusion of perception-based indicators where appropriate.

In light of a an evolving context, analytical work progressed through multiple complementary edeavours, including two external analyses focused on the shifting context in the extended transitional period and perspectives on Fund complementarity. Building on these inputs, the Secretariat advance its collaboration with the Conflict Sensitivity Resource Facility (CSRF) regarding a comprehensive conflict sensitivity review of the PBF portfolio. This included a workshop with direct recipients, government actors, and CSOs, complemented by a portfolio-wide survey on conflict sensitivity and the development of a conflict matrix by the Secretariat. Engagement with UNMISS (Political Affairs, Civil Affairs, Gender Affairs, Human Rights, and Rule of Law) alongside consultations with CSO Steering Committee members and contributing partners enriched the review. A consolidated analytical product is expected in December 2025 to inform future decision-making and risk management.

To maintain alignment with broader UN political and programmatic priorities, the Secretariat convened consultations with UNMISS and the UN Country Team to reflect on collective political priorities following the extension of the transition period. It also contributed to an integrated Humanitarian–Development–Peace analysis with UNMISS, OCHA, and the RC Office. These insights, together with two background papers developed on constitution-making and transitional justice, informed no-cost extension requests for the respective projects and adjustments to the 2025 assessed concept notes, in line with JSC guidance.

The Secretariat continued engaging on fund complementarity with RSRTF, to support more coherent and efficient use of multi-partner financing instruments. Collaboration with international financial institutions also advanced, including progress toward parallel financing for one pipeline project and ongoing discussions on a longer-term PBF–AfDB collaboration.

By supporting the PBF co-chairs (the Ministry of Peacebuilding and the DSRSG/RC/HC) the Secretariat helped sustain platforms for strategic dialogue among government, UN agencies, donors, and civil society. Collectively, these efforts contributed to more coordinated portfolio management, helped maintain the relevance of PBF investments, and supported continued peacebuilding engagement in South Sudan.

If yes, please provide sources or references (including links) as evidence of peacebuilding results, or submit them as additional attachments.

Evidence may be quantitative or qualitative but needs to demonstrate progress against outcome indicators in the project results framework. Sources may include project surveys (such as perception surveys), monitoring reports, government documents, or other knowledge products that have been developed by the project.

File attachment

Click here to upload file. (< 10MB)

PART II: PROJECT RESULTS FRAMEWORK

How many OUTCOMES does this project have   *

1   2   3   4   5   more than 5.

Please write out the project outcomes as they are in the project results framework found in the project document

Outcome 1:   *

PBF Secretariat effectively coordinate and support delivery of high-impact PBF portfolio and peacebuilding results and continued investments in peacebuilding in South Sudan

Outcome 2:   *

Outcome 3:   *

Outcome 4:   *

Outcome 5:   *

Outcome 6: *

Outcome 7: *

Outcome 8: *

Additional Outcomes *

If the project has more than 8 outcomes, please enumerate the remaining outcomes here

INDICATOR BASED PERFORMANCE ASSESSMENT

Using the Project Results Framework as per the approved project document or any amendments, provide an update on the achievement of all **outcome** and **output** indicators in the table below.

- Where it has not been possible to collect data on indicators, state this and provide any explanation.
- Provide gender and age disaggregated data. (500 characters max per entry)

» Outcome 1: PBF Secretariat effectively coordinate and support delivery of high-impact PBF portfolio and peacebuilding results and continued investments in peacebuilding in South Sudan

OC1	Outcome Indicators	Indicator Baseline	End of Project Indicator Target	Indicator progress for reporting period	Indicator progress since project's start	Reasons for Variance/ Delay (if any)
1.1	Indicator 1a: Perception of key stakeholders on the effectiveness of PBF Secretariat in fulfilling its coordination mandate	N/A	80 percent satisfaction rate by stakeholders during the course of the 24 months			A perception survey is scheduled to be rolled out in December 2025.
1.2	Indicator 1b: Continued growth of PBF portfolio in South Sudan	35 million USD	40 million USD by the end of the 24 months		$41.6 Million since 13 December 2021	
1.3	Indicator 1c: Narrative and financial reports for all PBF-funded projects submitted on time as per established reporting schedule.	N/A	90% of narrative and financial reports submitted to PBSO by the agreed due dates during the course of the 24 months		83% of the narrative and financial reports submitted on time per established schedule.	Mandatory internal pre-submission review processes for reports (including Annex D) for each individual participating UN Entity result in longer reporting timelines.
1.4						
1.5						

How many outputs does outcome 1 have?

1 2 3 4 5 more than 5.

Please list all outputs for outcome 1

Output 1.1

PBF Secretariat established, and support provided to the Ministry of Peace Building to implement peace building strategy

Output 1.2

High-quality projects for PBF funding developed jointly by the UN, government, and civil society counterparts

Output 1.3

Strengthened coordination structure and strategic position for the PBF portfolio in South Sudan

Output 1.4

Strengthened M&E and knowledge management framework for better strategic planning, decision-making, and learning for peacebuilding and gender mainstreaming in South Sudan

Output 1.5

Other Outputs

If Outcome 1 has more than 5 outputs, please enumerate the remaining outputs here

For each output, and using the, project results framework, provide an update on the progress made against all output indicators

» Output 1.1: PBF Secretariat established, and support provided to the Ministry of Peace Building to implement peace building strategy

1.1	Output Indicators *Describe the indicator*	Indicator Baseline *State the baseline value of the indicator*	End of Project Indicator Target *State the target value of the indicator at the end of the project*	Indicator progress for reporting period *State the current value of the indicator for the reporting period*	Indicator progress since project's start *State the current cummulative value of the indicator since the start of the project*	Reasons for Variance/ Delay (if any) *Explain why the indicator is off track or has changed, where relevant*
1.1.1	**Core PBF Secretariat in place, with additional expertise in the areas of project and programme management, law, research, and strategic planning.**	**1 International staff seconded by RCO to support PBF implementation**	**4 additional international/national positions to be supported by PBF**	**4 PBF Secretariat staff in place with expertise in the areas of project and program management, law, research and strategic planning**	**4 Secretariat staff in place with expertise in the areas of project and program management, law, research and strategic planning**	
1.1.2	**Mechanism for dialogue on PBF projects in place and operating with representation from key stakeholders: government and CSOs.**	**None**	**Dialogue mechanism fully constituted and providing oversight and guidance on PBF implementation**	**1 PBF Joint Steering Committee established to provide oversight and guidance on PBF implementation is in place and operating with representation from key stakeholders: government and CSOs.**	**1 PBF Joint Steering Committee established to provide oversight and guidance on PBF implementation is in place and operating with representation from key stakeholders: government and CSOs.**	**The JSC met only once in full composition in 2025 due to political developments. To ensure continuity, the Secretariat held separate meetings with each constituency (government, contributing partners, and civil society) throughout the year.**
1.1.3						

1.1.4						
1.1.5						

» Output 1.2: High-quality projects for PBF funding developed jointly by the UN, government, and civil society counterparts

1.2	Output Indicators *Describe the indicator*	Indicator Baseline *State the baseline value of the indicator*	End of Project Indicator Target *State the target value of the indicator at the end of the project*	Indicator progress for reporting period *State the current value of the indicator for the reporting period*	Indicator progress since project's start *State the current cummulative value of the indicator since the start of the project*	Reasons for Variance/ Delay (if any) *Explain why the indicator is off track or has changed, where relevant*
1.2.1	**Number of project proposals submitted to PBSO and endorsed for funding.**	**15 projects**	**20 projects by the end of the 24 months**	3	18	
1.2.2	**Strengthened partnership with civil society in the implementation of PBF-funded projects.**	**0 NGO-led PBF projects.**	**2 NGO-led PBF projects during the 24 months of the project**	**4 NGO-led projects during the past 24 months**	**4 NGO-led projects during the past 24 months**	**Two INGOs and one national NGO are direct recipients of the Fund.**
1.2.3	**Number of conflict analysis developed/updated for different locations.**	**0**	**10 during the 24 months (disaggregated by location)**	1	2	**A comprehensive portfolio wide analytical report complemented by a PBF portfolio survey on conflict sensitivity and the development of an elaborate conflict matrix are expected to be finalized by December 2025.**
1.2.4						
1.2.5						

» Output 1.3: Strengthened coordination structure and strategic position for the PBF portfolio in South Sudan

1.3	Output Indicators *Describe the indicator*	Indicator Baseline *State the baseline value of the indicator*	End of Project Indicator Target *State the target value of the indicator at the end of the project*	Indicator progress for reporting period *State the current value of the indicator for the reporting period*	Indicator progress since project's start *State the current cummulative value of the indicator since the start of the project*	Reasons for Variance/ Delay (if any) *Explain why the indicator is off track or has changed, where relevant*
1.3.1	**PBF coordination structure reviewed, updated, and endorsed by UNCT and government.**	**PBF Reference Group**	**Revised coordination structure endorsed within 6 months of the establishment of the PBF Secretariat**	**1**	**1 revised coordination structure (Joint Steering Committee) endorsed in January 2023**	
1.3.2	**Number of meetings held to review PBF portfolio.**	**4 per year (quarterly)**	**1 JSC**	**8 meetings by the Joint Steering Committee**	**The JSC met only once in full composition in 2025 due to political developments. To ensure continuity, the Secretariat held five separate meetings with the constituencies (government, contributing partners, and civil society) throughout the year.**	
1.3.3	**Number of joint planning workshops held by PBF project stakeholders**	**N/A**	**At least one joint planning workshop in 12 months.**	**6**	**7**	**The Secretariat facilitated four project design workshops that covered the joint planning component.**
1.3.4						

1.3.5						

» Output 1.4: Strengthened M&E and knowledge management framework for better strategic planning, decision-making, and learning for peacebuilding and gender mainstreaming in South Sudan

1.4	Output Indicators *Describe the indicator*	Indicator Baseline *State the baseline value of the indicator*	End of Project Indicator Target *State the target value of the indicator at the end of the project*	Indicator progress for reporting period *State the current value of the indicator for the reporting period*	Indicator progress since project's start *State the current cummulative value of the indicator since the start of the project*	Reasons for Variance/ Delay (if any) *Explain why the indicator is off track or has changed, where relevant*
1.4.1	**M&E and knowledge management framework developed and endorsed by the PBF Coordination Committee**	**No Framework**	**Framework developed and endorsed**	**0**	**1 Strategic Results Framework developed**	
1.4.2	**Number of joint monitoring visits by PBF Secretariat, project teams and Ministry of Peacebuilding to PBF projects conducted.**	**0**	**Joint monitoring visits to all on-going PBF projects during the course of 24 months**	**6 monitoring visits conducted**	**9 visits to ongoing PBF projects since December 2023**	
1.4.3	**Outreach materials to raise awareness and promote PBF work in South Sudan created and disseminated.**	**0**	**One-pagers for all projects developed, social media platforms established and updated with PBF.**	**1 social media platforms, UNCT Facebook page and X handle, are functional and updated with PBF work. One PBF country brief updated.**	**9 project and portfolio factsheets 2 social media platforms, UNCT Facebook page and X handle, are functional and updated with PBF.**	

1.4.4	Strengthened gender mainstreaming, and gender responsive planning, monitoring, and reporting in peacebuilding and PBF projects.	0	Gender analysis and gender marker updated annually to inform PBF interventions.	4 project documents developed with gender marker 2 and 3.	One Gender-responsive peacebuilding programming training was conducted.	
1.4.5						

» Output 1.5:

1.5	Output Indicators *Describe the indicator*	Indicator Baseline *State the baseline value of the indicator*	End of Project Indicator Target *State the target value of the indicator at the end of the project*	Indicator progress for reporting period *State the current value of the indicator for the reporting period*	Indicator progress since project's start *State the current cummulative value of the indicator since the start of the project*	Reasons for Variance/ Delay (if any) *Explain why the indicator is off track or has changed, where relevant*
1.5.1						
1.5.2						
1.5.3						
1.5.4						
1.5.5						

» Outcome 2:

OC2	Outcome Indicators	Indicator Baseline	End of Project Indicator Target	Indicator progress for reporting period	Indicator progress since project's start	Reasons for Variance/ Delay (if any)
2.1						
2.2						
2.3						
2.4						
2.5						

How many outputs does outcome 2 have?

      1   2   3   4   5   more than 5.

Please list all outputs for outcome 2

Output 2.1

Output 2.2

Output 2.3

Output 2.4

Output 2.5

Other Outputs
If Outcome 2 has more than 5 outputs, please enumerate the remaining outputs here

For each output, and using the, project results framework, provide an update on the progress made against all output indicators

....

» Output 2.1:

2.1	Output Indicators *Describe the indicator*	Indicator Baseline *State the baseline value of the indicator*	End of Project Indicator Target *State the target value of the indicator at the end of the project*	Indicator progress for reporting period *State the current value of the indicator for the reporting period*	Indicator progress since project's start *State the current cummulative value of the indicator since the start of the project*	Reasons for Variance/ Delay (if any) *Explain why the indicator is off track or has changed, where relevant*
2.1.1						
2.1.2						
2.1.3						
2.1.4						
2.1.5						

» Output 2.2:

2.2	Output Indicators *Describe the indicator*	Indicator Baseline *State the baseline value of the indicator*	End of Project Indicator Target *State the target value of the indicator at the end of the project*	Indicator progress for reporting period *State the current value of the indicator for the reporting period*	Indicator progress since project's start *State the current cummulative value of the indicator since the start of the project*	Reasons for Variance/ Delay (if any) *Explain why the indicator is off track or has changed, where relevant*
2.2.1						
2.2.2						
2.2.3						
2.2.4						
2.2.5						

» Output 2.3:

2.3	Output Indicators *Describe the indicator*	Indicator Baseline *State the baseline value of the indicator*	End of Project Indicator Target *State the target value of the indicator at the end of the project*	Indicator progress for reporting period *State the current value of the indicator for the reporting period*	Indicator progress since project's start *State the current cummulative value of the indicator since the start of the project*	Reasons for Variance/ Delay (if any) *Explain why the indicator is off track or has changed, where relevant*
2.3.1						
2.3.2						
2.3.3						
2.3.4						
2.3.5						

» Output 2.4:

2.4	Output Indicators *Describe the indicator*	Indicator Baseline *State the baseline value of the indicator*	End of Project Indicator Target *State the target value of the indicator at the end of the project*	Indicator progress for reporting period *State the current value of the indicator for the reporting period*	Indicator progress since project's start *State the current cummulative value of the indicator since the start of the project*	Reasons for Variance/ Delay (if any) *Explain why the indicator is off track or has changed, where relevant*
2.4.1						
2.4.2						
2.4.3						
2.4.4						
2.4.5						

» Output 2.5:

2.5	Output Indicators *Describe the indicator*	Indicator Baseline *State the baseline value of the indicator*	End of Project Indicator Target *State the target value of the indicator at the end of the project*	Indicator progress for reporting period *State the current value of the indicator for the reporting period*	Indicator progress since project's start *State the current cummulative value of the indicator since the start of the project*	Reasons for Variance/ Delay (if any) *Explain why the indicator is off track or has changed, where relevant*
2.5.1						
2.5.2						
2.5.3						
2.5.4						
2.5.5						

» Outcome 3:

OC3	Outcome Indicators	Indicator Baseline	End of Project Indicator Target	Indicator progress for reporting period	Indicator progress since project's start	Reasons for Variance/ Delay (if any)
3.1						
3.2						
3.3						
3.4						
3.5						

How many outputs does outcome 3 have?

      1   2   3   4   5   more than 5.

Please list all outputs for outcome 3

Output 3.1

Output 3.2
Output 3.3
Output 3.4
Output 3.5

Other Outputs

If Outcome 3 has more than 5 outputs, please enumerate the remaining outputs here

For each output, and using the, project results framework, provide an update on the progress made against all output indicators

....

» Output 3.1:

3.1	Output Indicators *Describe the indicator*	Indicator Baseline *State the baseline value of the indicator*	End of Project Indicator Target *State the target value of the indicator at the end of the project*	Indicator progress for reporting period *State the current value of the indicator for the reporting period*	Indicator progress since project's start *State the current cummulative value of the indicator since the start of the project*	Reasons for Variance/ Delay (if any) *Explain why the indicator is off track or has changed, where relevant*
3.1.1						
3.1.2						
3.1.3						
3.1.4						
3.1.5						

» Output 3.2:

3.2	Output Indicators *Describe the indicator*	Indicator Baseline *State the baseline value of the indicator*	End of Project Indicator Target *State the target value of the indicator at the end of the project*	Indicator progress for reporting period *State the current value of the indicator for the reporting period*	Indicator progress since project's start *State the current cummulative value of the indicator since the start of the project*	Reasons for Variance/ Delay (if any) *Explain why the indicator is off track or has changed, where relevant*
3.2.1						
3.2.2						
3.2.3						
3.2.4						
3.2.5						

» Output 3.3:

3.3	Output Indicators *Describe the indicator*	Indicator Baseline *State the baseline value of the indicator*	End of Project Indicator Target *State the target value of the indicator at the end of the project*	Indicator progress for reporting period *State the current value of the indicator for the reporting period*	Indicator progress since project's start *State the current cummulative value of the indicator since the start of the project*	Reasons for Variance/ Delay (if any) *Explain why the indicator is off track or has changed, where relevant*
3.3.1						
3.3.2						
3.3.3						
3.3.4						
3.3.5						

» Output 3.4:

3.4	Output Indicators *Describe the indicator*	Indicator Baseline *State the baseline value of the indicator*	End of Project Indicator Target *State the target value of the indicator at the end of the project*	Indicator progress for reporting period *State the current value of the indicator for the reporting period*	Indicator progress since project's start *State the current cummulative value of the indicator since the start of the project*	Reasons for Variance/ Delay (if any) *Explain why the indicator is off track or has changed, where relevant*
3.4.1						
3.4.2						
3.4.3						
3.4.4						
3.4.5						

» Output 3.5:

3.5	Output Indicators *Describe the indicator*	Indicator Baseline *State the baseline value of the indicator*	End of Project Indicator Target *State the target value of the indicator at the end of the project*	Indicator progress for reporting period *State the current value of the indicator for the reporting period*	Indicator progress since project's start *State the current cummulative value of the indicator since the start of the project*	Reasons for Variance/ Delay (if any) *Explain why the indicator is off track or has changed, where relevant*
3.5.1						
3.5.2						
3.5.3						
3.5.4						
3.5.5						

» Outcome 4:

OC4	Outcome Indicators	Indicator Baseline	End of Project Indicator Target	Indicator progress for reporting period	Indicator progress since project's start	Reasons for Variance/ Delay (if any)
4.1						
4.2						
4.3						
4.4						
4.5						

How many outputs does outcome 4 have?

1   2   3   4   5   more than 5.

Please list all outputs for outcome 4

Output 4.1

Output 4.2
....

Output 4.3
....

Output 4.4
....

Output 4.5
....

Other Outputs
If Outcome 4 has more than 5 outputs, please enumerate the remaining outputs here
....

For each output, and using the, project results framework, provide an update on the progress made against all output indicators

....

» Output 4.1:

4.1	Output Indicators *Describe the indicator*	Indicator Baseline *State the baseline value of the indicator*	End of Project Indicator Target *State the target value of the indicator at the end of the project*	Indicator progress for reporting period *State the current value of the indicator for the reporting period*	Indicator progress since project's start *State the current cummulative value of the indicator since the start of the project*	Reasons for Variance/ Delay (if any) *Explain why the indicator is off track or has changed, where relevant*
4.1.1						
4.1.2						
4.1.3						
4.1.4						
4.1.5						

» Output 4.2:

4.2	Output Indicators *Describe the indicator*	Indicator Baseline *State the baseline value of the indicator*	End of Project Indicator Target *State the target value of the indicator at the end of the project*	Indicator progress for reporting period *State the current value of the indicator for the reporting period*	Indicator progress since project's start *State the current cummulative value of the indicator since the start of the project*	Reasons for Variance/ Delay (if any) *Explain why the indicator is off track or has changed, where relevant*
4.2.1						
4.2.2						
4.2.3						
4.2.4						
4.2.5						

» Output 4.3:

4.3	Output Indicators *Describe the indicator*	Indicator Baseline *State the baseline value of the indicator*	End of Project Indicator Target *State the target value of the indicator at the end of the project*	Indicator progress for reporting period *State the current value of the indicator for the reporting period*	Indicator progress since project's start *State the current cummulative value of the indicator since the start of the project*	Reasons for Variance/ Delay (if any) *Explain why the indicator is off track or has changed, where relevant*
4.3.1						
4.3.2						
4.3.3						
4.3.4						
4.3.5						

» Output 4.4:

4.4	Output Indicators *Describe the indicator*	Indicator Baseline *State the baseline value of the indicator*	End of Project Indicator Target *State the target value of the indicator at the end of the project*	Indicator progress for reporting period *State the current value of the indicator for the reporting period*	Indicator progress since project's start *State the current cummulative value of the indicator since the start of the project*	Reasons for Variance/ Delay (if any) *Explain why the indicator is off track or has changed, where relevant*
4.4.1						
4.4.2						
4.4.3						
4.4.4						
4.4.5						

» Output 4.5:

4.5	Output Indicators *Describe the indicator*	Indicator Baseline *State the baseline value of the indicator*	End of Project Indicator Target *State the target value of the indicator at the end of the project*	Indicator progress for reporting period *State the current value of the indicator for the reporting period*	Indicator progress since project's start *State the current cummulative value of the indicator since the start of the project*	Reasons for Variance/ Delay (if any) *Explain why the indicator is off track or has changed, where relevant*
4.5.1						
4.5.2						
4.5.3						
4.5.4						
4.5.5						

If the project has more than 4 outcomes, use this space to describe progress on progress on indicators for the remaining outcomes *

PART III: Cross-Cutting Issues

Is the project planning any significant events in the next six months? (eg. national dialogues, youth congresses, film screenings, etc.)

If yes, please state how many, and for each, provide the approximate date of the event and a brief description, including its key objectives, target audience and location (if known)

Events	Event Description	Tentative Date	Location	Target Audience	Event Objectives (900 characters)
Event 1	Strategic discussion on PBF conflict sensitivity review and forward-looking priorities	December	Juba	Contributing Partners, CSOs and Ministry of Peacebuilding	Reflect on the outcomes of the CSRF analysis
Event 2	Joint monitoring visits with Ministry of Peacebuilding to PBF projects	November - December	Juba	Ministry of Peacebuilding, PBF Secretariat. direct recipients	Monitoring and evaluation visits to PBF projects and implementing partners implemented jointly with the Ministry of Peacebuilding. The visits provide a platform for continued strategic oversight over PBF investments in South Sudan.
Event 3					
Event 4					

Human Impact

This section is about the human impact of the project. Please state key stakeholders (including but not limited to: Civil Society Organziations, Beneficiaries, etc.) of the project, and for each, please briefly describe:

i. The challenges/problem they faced prior to the project implemantation
ii. The impact of the project in their lives
iii. Provide, where possible, a quote or testimonial from a representative of each stakeholder group
This is an optional question. You may leave it unanswered if not relevant

Human Impact	Type of stakeholder	What has been the impact of the project on their lives?	Provide, where possible, a quote or testimonial from the stakeholder
1			
2			
3			
4			

In addition to the stakeholder specific impact described above, please use this space to describe any additional human impact that the project has had.

Please limit your response to 4000 characters.

The project did not document human impact stories that are directly attributable to the project. However, the project invested in documenting human impact stories of the PBF portfolio. These stories were published on the United Nations in South Sudan website and broadcast on social media. Links to the stories are outlined below

You can also upload upto 3 files in various formats (picture files, powerpoint, pdf, video, etc.) to illustrate the human impact of the project

OPTIONAL

File 1

OPTIONAL

Click here to upload file. (< 10MB)

File 2

OPTIONAL

Click here to upload file. (< 10MB)

File 3

OPTIONAL

Click here to upload file. (< 10MB)

You can also add upto 3 links to online resources which illustrate the human impact of the project

OPTIONAL

Link 1

OPTIONAL

https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=fNQmgxZdIyQ; https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=-VieDaLk3Ts; https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=rj-Lg1SLRDg

Link 2

OPTIONAL

https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=u6G9GK6xtZA; https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=zCYn1tEGUso&list=PLix6UiDjTOFrn1Zp2M76NwkBFj5YEowsI&index=12; https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=F_Wtd99cpZ4&list=PLix6UiDjTOFrn1Zp2M76NwkBFj5YEowsI&index=14

Link 3

OPTIONAL

https://southsudan.un.org/en/293775-nyagai%E2%80%99s-journey-restored-dignity

Please tick the applicable change based on above narrative.

How we worked: *

Please select up to 3.

- ☐ Enhanced digitization
- ☐ Innovative ways of working
- ☐ Mobilized additional resources
- ☐ Improved or initiated policy frameworks
- ☑ Strengthened capacities
- ☐ Partnered with with local/grassroots Civil Society Organizations
- ☐ Expanding coalitions & galvanizing political will
- ☑ Strengthened partnerships with IFIs
- ☑ Strengthened partnerships with UN Agencies

Please explain one of the selected options

Please limit your response to 3000 characters.

Strengthened Capacities: The PBF Secretariat strengthened the capacities of UN Agencies, Funds and Programmes by providing continuous technical guidance to ensure context-responsive peacebuilding interventions. This included supporting project teams during the design of four pipeline projects, helping refine theories of change and results frameworks based on evolving political and conflict dynamics. The Secretariat also advanced capacity building through joint field missions with the Ministry of Peacebuilding and reviews of partners' M&E frameworks to promote stronger conflict-sensitive and perception-based measurement. Through a conflict sensitivity workshop, the Secretariat and CSRF helped partners better understand contextual risks and adapt programming accordingly. These efforts collectively enhanced the quality, coherence, and responsiveness of UN peacebuilding initiatives in South Sudan.

Please explain one of the selected options

Please limit your response to 3000 characters.

Strengthened partnerships with IFIs: World Bank is a member of the PBF Joint Steering Committee and the Technical Committee. With support from the PBSO Peacebuilding Strategy and Partnerships Branch, the project intensified engagement with AfDB, resulting in parallel financing for an assessed contributions pipeline project and ongoing discussions on a longer-term PBF-AfDB partnership in South Sudan.

Please explain one of the selected options

Please limit your response to 3000 characters.

Strengthened partnerships with UN Agencies: The PBF Secretariat convened forums to deepen collective reflection and coherence on priorities for South Sudan's transition period. The Secretariat also facilitated discussions among UN Entities to ensure coherence in project design.

Who are we working with   *

☑ Strengthened partnerships with IFIs

☑ Strengthened partnerships between UN Agencies

☑ Partnered with local civil society organizations

☐ Partnered with local academia

☐ Partnered with sub-national entities

☐ Partnered with national entities

☐ Partnered with local volunteers

Please explain

Please limit your response to 3000 characters

Strengthened partnerships with IFIs : The PBF Secretariat, with support from the Head of the Resident Coordinator's Office, deepened discussions with African Development Bank on opportunities for strengthening partnerships around Women's Empowerment, including on Peace and Security. The engagements resulted in a parallel financing agreement for an assessed contributions pipeline project.

Strengthened partnerships within UN Agencies: The project facilitated shared spaces for discussion and analysis for UN Agencies and UNMISS, particularly on the conflict sensitivity, an evolving context and areas that should be prioritized for investments. The Secretariat provided advisory and compliance support to UN Agencies that are direct recipients for PBF funds. The Secretariat participated in joint analysis with UNMISS and UN OCHA to produce strategic analytical papers for the DSRSG/RC/HC on the country context.

Partnered with local civil society organizations: Representatives of the national NGO forum continued their active engagement as members of the PBF Joint Steering Committee, providing valuable perspectives on various issues. The JSC members as well as other CSOs participated across the different analytic efforts undertaken by the Secretariat. The secretariat provided advisory and compliance guidance to three CSO direct recipients of PBF funds. The Secretariat actively participated in the Localization Working Group chaired by the NGO forum.

Leave No one Behind

Select all beneficiaries targeted with the PBF resources as evidenced by the narrative *

Mandatory

- ☐ Unemployed persons
- ☐ Minorities (e.g. race, ethnicity, linguistic, religion, etc.)
- ☐ Indigenous communities
- ☑ Persons with Disabilities
- ☑ Persons affected by violence (including GBV)
- ☑ Women
- ☑ Youth
- ☐ Children
- ☐ Minorities related to sexual orientation and/or gender identity and expression
- ☑ People living in and around border areas
- ☑ Persons affected by natural disasters
- ☑ Persons affected by armed conflicts
- ☑ Internally displaced persons, refugees or migrants

PART IV: Monitoring, Evaluation and Compliance

» Monitoring

Please list key monitoring activities undertaken in the reporting period *

Please limit your response to 3000 characters.

Key monitoring activities included rolling out the revised joint M&E strategy with the Ministry of Peacebuilding and conducting three field and three Juba-based joint monitoring missions to review progress, identify challenges, and strengthen MoPB's M&E capacity. The Secretariat also reviewed direct recipients M&E frameworks and supported the integration of perception-based indicators (where feasible).

Do outcome indicators have baselines? *

If only some of the outcome indicators have baselines, select 'yes'

○ Yes

◉ No

If yes, please provide a brief description. If not, explain why not and when they will be available. *

Please limit your response to 3000 characters.

The project has three outcome indicators. One indicator has a baseline. Baselines are not applicable to the other two indicators.

Elaborate on what sources of evidence have been used to report on indicators (and are available upon request) *

Please limit your response to 3000 characters.

Indicator 1b: Approved project documents Indicator 1c: Project Report submissions. Perception survey to be rolled out in December 2025.

Has the project launched outcome level data collection initiatives? e.g. perception surveys *

Perception survey is a formal collection of information from a randomly selected sample of respondents through their responses to standardized questions. See PBF Guidance Note for more information *link*

○ Yes

◉ No

Please provide a brief description *

Please limit your response to 3000 characters.

Has the project used or established community feedback mechanisms? *

Community feedback mechanism, or community-based monitoring, is an organized system for communities of participants to monitor the local effects and impact of an intervention. Ideally, this system empowers the community to express whether their expectations are being met and to provide suggestions to decision-makers for possible (re)focusing. See PBF Guidance Note for more information. *link*

○ Yes

◉ No

Please provide a brief description *

Please limit your response to 3000 characters.

» Evaluation

Is the project on track to conduct its evaluation? *

◉ Yes

○ No

○ Not Applicable

Evaluation budget (in USD) included in the project budget: *

Response required

150000

If project will end in next six months, is your upcoming evaluation on track?

○ Yes

○ No

◉ Not Applicable

Please describe the preparations

Please limit your response to 3000 characters.

Contact information	Name	Organization	Job title	Email

Please mention the focal person responsible for sharing the final evaluation report with the PBF:				

» Catalytic Effect

Catalytic Effect (financial): Has the project mobilized additional non-PBF financial resources since the project's start? *

- ◯ Yes
- ⦿ No

How many funders has the project received additional non-PBF funding from **since the project started**? *

Catalytic Effect (non-financial): Has the project enabled or created a larger or longer-term peacebuilding change to occur, in addition to the direct project changes? Please refer to PBF Catalytic Effect Guidelines for more information. *

- ⦿ Yes
- ◯ No

If yes, please select the relevant option below: *

- ⦿ Some catalytic effect
- ◯ Significant catalytic effect

If relevant, please describe how the project has had a (non-financial) catalytic effect, i.e. removed barriers to unblock stalled political, institutional or other peacebuilding processes at different levels in a country, and/or created the conditions to establish new processes to do so *

Please limit your response to 3000 characters.

The PBF portfolio review, conflict matrix, and forward-looking opportunities exercise generated valuable analysis on South Sudan's evolving conflict dynamics. These products have a non-financial catalytic effect by providing actionable insights that can inform wider humanitarian and development programming. The findings offer a clearer understanding of emerging risks, local tensions, and points of resilience, enabling other actors to adapt their strategies, strengthen conflict sensitivity, and align interventions more effectively with the shifting context.

Sustainability

Please describe any steps that have been taken to ensure the sustainability of peacebuilding gains, including any mechanisms, platforms, networks and socio-economic initiatives supported, beyond the duration of the project *

Please limit your response to 3000 characters.

N/A.

Are there any other issues concerning project implementation that you want to share, including any capacity needs of the recipient organizations?

Please limit your response to 3000 characters.

There is a need for dedicated M&E training for project teams to strengthen the quality and consistency of monitoring and reporting across the portfolio. Additional training would allow for a more thorough review of existing M&E frameworks and help ensure that upcoming projects integrate robust, conflict-sensitive and perception-based monitoring approaches from the outset. This will be essential to generating stronger, evidence-based reporting and demonstrating more clearly the contributions of PBF-funded interventions to peacebuilding in South Sudan.

Monitoring and Oversight Activities

Please describe any key event related to monitoring and oversight. Please click next if no activities have yet taken place.

Events include Steering Committee meetings, Monitoring visits, Third party monitoring, Community based monitoring, any data collection, Perception or other survey findings, evaluation reports, audit or investigations.

Monitoring and oversight activities	Name of the Event	Summary	Key Findings

Event 1	Close out meeting for the Youth Leading Peace project	The meeting presented emerging findings from the evaluation of the youth leading peace project and offered a space for key stakeholders to reflect on the project's impact.	The project was relevant and timely for the context of South Sudan and particularly for the youth. National ownership was evidenced by the commitment of three states to allocate budgets for youth participation in peacebuilding, in support of the National Strategy on Youth Peace and Security developed through financial and technical support of the project. There is an opportunity to reflect on how coordination of youth structures can be improved for clarity of roles and reduced duplication of responsibilities.
Event 2	Validation workshop for the Resourcing Change project evaluation	The workshop offered space for diverse stakeholders to reflect on the Resourcing Change project.	The project made significant contributions to strengthening women's capacity and leadership in peacebuilding and supporting women heal from traumatic experiences. In articulating the impact of the project, there is need to link reported outcomes (e.g. livelihoods and self-sustenance of women) to peacebuilding
Event 3	Three Joint Monitoring visits by PBF-MoPB to Malakal, Upper Nile State; Yei, Central Equatoria State; Torit Eastern Equatoria State	The visits were implemented to monitor impact, evaluate CSO's capacity and verify accountability provided by direct recipients.	The visits offered important insights into local dynamics to inform future project development, helped verify progress and impact against what was reported by direct recipients, and provided a clearer understanding of the quality of implementation by CSO partners and related lessons learned. Additionally, the joint PBF-Ministry of Peacebuilding and dedicated capacity building contributes to strengthened M&E capacities within the MoPB.

Event 4	**Three Joint Monitoring Visits by PBF-MoPB in Juba, focused on Transitional Justice and Constitution-Making; Women's Political Participation and Women in SSR; Young Women's Leadership**	**The visits will be implemented to monitor impact, evaluate CSO's implementation capacity and verify accountability provided by direct recipients.**	**Scheduled to take place November – December 2025**
Event 5	**Context and Conflict Analysis Workshop**	**Workshop to discuss the outcomes of the context and conflict analysis, engaging Government, CSOs, direct recipients and donors.**	**The workshop provided a platform to present and discuss the findings of the context and conflict analyses conducted by external consultants. Participants further expanded on these insights to ensure a nuanced and accurate reflection of evolving dynamics. The validated findings formed the foundation for the subsequent CSRF-led engagement.**
Event 6	**Portfolio wide survey on conflict sensitivity and Do No Harm**	**Survey across RUNOs and NUNOs to assess implementation of conflict sensitivity and Do No Harm within project implementation.**	**Provided a clearer picture of geographic and thematic risks, highlighted need for regular updating.**
Event 7	**Development of nation-wide conflict matrix**	**Consolidation of conflict drivers, key stakeholders and existing peacebuilding efforts across South Sudan (county-level).**	
Event 8	**CSRF Analysis**	**Independent conflict-sensitivity review covering the full PBF portfolio.**	**The report provides insights on conflict sensitivity of the existing projects, changing context, forward looking priorities and recommendations.**

Final Steps

- Please save a PDF copy of the form by clicking on the *Printer* icon on the top right corner of the page.
- A dialogue box will appear: Please select the A4 size and portrait orientation.
- Click "prepare" and save the document as a PDF.
- (If on first attempt, the generated page is not readable, close the pop up page and go back to the first page of the online form using the "Return to Beginning" option and try to print the PDF version from there)
- After printing the PDF version, please submit the report in the last page of the form. You can use the "Go to End" button in the bottom right corner.
- In compliance with our reporting requirements, **please upload the PDF version of the report as well as your *financial report in excel format* to the MPTF-O Gateway.**

If you encounter any difficulty in filling the form or generating the print-out for MPTFO Gateway, please contact Gabriel Velastegui
gabriel.velasteguimoya@un.org

Thank You. You have finished the report. Please Click on the SUBMIT button below. When the report is submitted, a confirmation note will appear on a yellow banner on top of the page. This can take a few seconds.