PBF November 2025 Project Progress Report



PROJECT OVERVIEW

Thank you for taking the time to complete the PBF Progress report. For projects with more than one recipient, please consult among co-recipients prior to filling out the form to ensure collaboration on the responses. If you have any questions or require technical assistance in filling out the form, please send an email to gabriel.velasteguimoya@un.org

Click Next below to start

» Report Submission

Type of report	*
Semi-annual Semi-annual	
Annual	
Final	
Other	
Date of submission of report	*
2025-11-17	
Name and title of person submitting the report	*
Nakibuuka Noeline- Project Development and Reporting Officer	
E-mail of person submitting the report	*
nnakibuuka@iom.int	
Name and title of person who approved the report	*
F abienne coomans	

	_
Have all fund recipients for this project contributed to the report?	*
Yes	
○ No	
Did PBF Secretariat or RCO focal point review the report?	*
You should normally ensure that the PBF Secretariat or the PBF focal point have an opportunity to review.	
Yes	
○ No	
Not Applicable	
Not Applicable	
» Project Information and Geographical Scope	
Is this a cross-border project?	*
Yes No	

Please select the geographical re			
Asia and the Pacific		uthern Africa	East Africa
Europe and Central Asia	Global		Latin America and the Caribean
Middle East and North Africa		West Africa	
Country of project implementati	on		*
Ethiopia	Kenya		Madagascar
Mozambique	Somalia		South Sudan
Sudan	Other, Specify		
Other, please specify			*

Proje	ect Title *
\bigcirc	00130006: Building peace through promoting inclusive and participatory transitional justice processes and mechanisms in South Sudan
\bigcirc	00130571: Challenging harmful and patriarchal gender norms for better mental health and peace and security, amongst women and girls and communities in the Wunlit Triangle
\bigcirc	00129661: Community Action for Peaceful Resolution of Housing, Land and Property (HLP) Disputes and Conflicts
\bigcirc	00113057: Enhancing Women's Access to Land to Consolidate Peace in South Sudan
\bigcirc	00120688: Gender mainstreaming in Security Sector Reform
\bigcirc	00129659: Secretariat support to the PBF portfolio in South Sudan
\bigcirc	00129660: Support to a people-driven and gender responsive permanent constitution making process in South Sudan
\bigcirc	00118940: Youth Action for Reduced Violence and Enhanced Social Cohesion in Wau, South Sudan
\bigcirc	00134340: Youth Leading Peace: Establishing participatory and inclusive local and national mechanisms for implementation of Youth Peace and Security Agenda in South Sudan
\bigcirc	00140011: Women's Leadership and Political Participation During South Sudan's Transitional Period
	00140047: Local Solutions to Build Climate Resilience and Advance Peace and Stability in Bor Pibor and Malakal
\bigcirc	00140050: Resourcing change: inclusive peacebuilding from the ground up
\bigcirc	00140762: Women in South Sudan's Security Sector: A Path to Inclusive and Transformative Leadership
\bigcirc	00140802: Community Violence Reduction Partnerships with White Nile and Sobat River Communities to Advance Socioeconomic Cohesion and Peaceful Uses of the White Nile and Sobat River
\bigcirc	00140803: Inclusive Governance for Peace: Promoting young women's meaningful political participation in South Sudan
\bigcirc	00140924: Pastoralist youth's civic engagement for equitable safer inclusive & united South Sudan
\bigcirc	00141165: Towards Durable Solution in Greater Malakal Upper Nile State South Sudan
\bigcirc	Other, Specify
	the 8 digit MPTFO number and Project Title exactly as it appears in the Project Document
	PLE: 00118938: Community-based prevention of violence and social cohesion using innovation for young people in displaced and communities

Please select the geographical region(s) in which the project is implemented # If the project you are looking for does not appear in the following question, please make sure that you have selected the correct regions. A limited number of cross border projects span multiple geographic regions. For example, a cross border project between Niger and Chad spans both West Africa and Central & Southern Africa
Chad spans both West Africa and Central & Southern Africa Asia and the Pacific Europe and Central Asia Global Middle East and North Africa West Africa West Africa
Please select the title of the project for which you are submitting the report *
* Write the 8 digit MPTFO numbers and Project Title exactly as it appears in the Project Document EXAMPLE: 00129699/700: Supporting Cross-Border Cooperation for Increased Community Resilience and Social Cohesion in The Gambia and Senegal
Please select the countries where this project is being implemented *
Other, Please specify
Project Start Date (Date of first transfer) 2023-02-10
Project End Date 2026-02-10
· ·
2026-02-10
2026-02-10 Has this project received an extension? *
2026-02-10 Has this project received an extension? YES, Cost Extension
Has this project received an extension? YES, Cost Extension YES, No Cost Extension
Has this project received an extension? YES, Cost Extension YES, No Cost Extension YES, Both Cost and No Cost Extensions
Has this project received an extension? YES, Cost Extension YES, No Cost Extension YES, Both Cost and No Cost Extensions NO, No Extensions
Has this project received an extension? YES, Cost Extension YES, No Cost Extension YES, Both Cost and No Cost Extensions NO, No Extensions Will this project be requesting an extension?
Has this project received an extension? YES, Cost Extension YES, No Cost Extension YES, Both Cost and No Cost Extensions NO, No Extensions Will this project be requesting an extension? YES, Cost Extension
Past this project received an extension? YES, Cost Extension YES, No Cost Extension YES, Both Cost and No Cost Extensions NO, No Extensions Will this project be requesting an extension? YES, Cost Extension YES, Cost Extension
Past this project received an extension? YES, Cost Extension YES, No Cost Extension YES, Both Cost and No Cost Extensions NO, No Extensions Will this project be requesting an extension? YES, Cost Extension YES, Cost Extension YES, No Cost Extension YES, No Cost Extension YES, Both Cost and No Cost Extensions
Has this project received an extension? YES, Cost Extension YES, No Cost Extension YES, Both Cost and No Cost Extensions NO, No Extensions Will this project be requesting an extension? YES, Cost Extension YES, No Cost Extension YES, No Cost Extension NO, No Extension NO, No Extensions

If so, arou	ınd which month do you exp	ect to submit th	ne request?		*
Janua	ary	February		March	
April		May		June	
July		August		September	
Octol	ber	November		December	
Is the curi	rent project end date within (6 months?			*
Yes					
O No					
Is funding	disbursed either into a natio	onal or regional	trust fund?		*
Yes					
O No					
If yes, plea	ase select which				*
Natio	nal Trust Fund				
Regio	onal Trust Fund				

Recipients

Is th	the convening agency a UN agency or a non UN entity?	
	UN entity	
\bigcirc	Non-UN Entity	
Dlas	*	
Pleas	se select the convening agency recipient	
	UNDP: United Nations Development Programme OM: International Organization for Migration	
\bigcirc	UNICEF: United Nations Children's Fund	
\bigcirc	OHCHR: Office of the United Nations High Commissioner for Human Rights	
\bigcirc	UNWOMEN: United Nations Entity for Gender Equality and the Empowerment of Women	
\bigcirc	UNHCR: United Nations High Commissioner for Refugees UNFPA: United Nations Population Fund	
\bigcirc	FAO: Food and Agriculture Organization WFP: World Food Programme	
\bigcirc	UNHABITAT: United Nations Human Settlements Programme	
\bigcirc	UNESCO: United Nations Educational, Scientific and Cultural Organization	
\bigcirc	UNEP: United Nations Environment Programme	
	WHO: World Health Organization PAHO/WHO	
\bigcirc	UNCDF: United Nations Capital Development Fund UNODC: United Nations Office on Drugs and Crime	
\bigcirc	UNOPS: United Nations Office for Project Services	
\bigcirc	UNIDO: United Nations Industrial Development Organization ITC: International Trade Centre	
\bigcirc	UNDPO Other, Specify	
Othe	er, Please specify	
Aro t	there other recipients for this project?	
Alet	No other recipients	
	Yes, other UN recipients only	
	Yes, other non-UN recipients only	
\bigcirc	Yes, both UN and non-UN recipients	

•	*
Please select other UN recipients	
Select all that apply	
UNDP: United Nations Development Programme IOM: International Organization for Migration	
UNICEF: United Nations Children's Fund	
OHCHR: Office of the United Nations High Commissioner for Human Rights	
✓ UNWOMEN: United Nations Entity for Gender Equality and the Empowerment of Women	
UNHCR: United Nations High Commissioner for Refugees UNFPA: United Nations Population Fund	
FAO: Food and Agriculture Organization WFP: World Food Programme	
UNHABITAT: United Nations Human Settlements Programme	
UNESCO: United Nations Educational, Scientific and Cultural Organization	
UNEP: United Nations Environment Programme ILO: International Labour Organization	
WHO: World Health Organization PAHO/WHO	
UNCDF: United Nations Capital Development Fund UNODC: United Nations Office on Drugs and Crime	
UNOPS: United Nations Office for Project Services	
UNIDO: United Nations Industrial Development Organization ITC: International Trade Centre	
UN Department of Peace Operations Other, Specify	
,	k
Other, Please specify	
	_

Plea	se select other non-UN recipie	ts	*
	ACTED	Action Aid UK AAITG (ActionAid the Gambia)	
	AEDE	African Centre for the Constructive Resolution of Disputes (ACCORD)	
	Agence de Coopération et de Rech	rche pour le Développement (ACORD)	
	American Friends Service Commit	e (AFSC) Avocats Sans Frontières	
	Avocats Sans Frontières Belgium	Avocats sans frontières Canada Ayuda en Accion	
	BIRN - Balkan Investigative Report	g Network BIOM -Youth Ecological Movemen	
	CARE International UK	Centre d'étude et de coopération internationale (CECI) - BF	
	Christian Aid Ireland	COIPRODEN Concern Worldwide	
	Conexion Guatemala	COOPI - Cooperazione Internazionale CORD Burundi	
	CORDAID	Corporacion Sisma Mujer CRS - Catholic Relief Services	
	DanChurchAid	Danish Refugee Council EQUITAS	
	Fund for Congolese Women	Fundacion Estudios Superior (FESU) Fundación Mi Sangre (FMS)	
	Fundación Nacional para el Desarr	llo de Honduras (FUNADEH) Fundación para la Libertad de Prensa (FL	IP)
	Geneva Centre for Security Sector	overnance (DCAF) HELVETAS Swiss Intercooperation	
	Humanity & Inclusion (HI)	ICTJ (International Center for Transitional Justice)	
	Instituto Holandes para Democrac	a Multipartidaria (NIMD) Integrity Watch	
	International Alert	International Rescue Committee Interpeace	
	Kvinna till Kvinna Foundation	Life and Peace Institute (LPI)	
	MDG-EISA - Institut Electoral pour	ne Démocratie Durable en Afrique (EISA), bureau de Madagascar	
	Mercy Corps	MLAL - ProgettoMondo MSIS-TATAO	
	NIMD (Netherlands Institute for M	ltiparty Democracy) Nonviolent Peaceforce	
	Norwegian Refugee Council (NRC)	Nile Sustainable Development Organization - NSDO	
	OCNH-Organisation des Citoyens	our une Nouvelle Haïti OIKOS	
	ONG Adkoul - ONG Adkoul	ONG AZHAR OXFAM	
	Peace Direct	Plan International PNG UN Country Fund	
	Red de Instituciones por los Derec	os de la Niñez ROI - Roza Otunbayeva Initiati	
	Saferworld	Sampan'Asa Momba ny Fampandrosoana (SAF/FJKM)	
	Save the Children	Search for Common Ground (SFCG)	
	SIHA (Strategic Initiative for Wome	in the Horn of Africa) SismaMujer	
	SOS Sahel Sudan	Stichting Impunity Watch Tearfund	
	The Carter Center, Inc.	Trocaire War Child	
	War Childhood Museum (WCM)	World Vision International World Vision Myanmar	
	ZOA	blank_placeholder Other, Please specify	



Implementing Partners

To how many implementing partners has the project transferred money **since the project's start**?

8

To how many implementing partners has the project transferred money **during this calendar period**?

(for June reports: January-June;

for November reports: January-December (anticipated);

for final reports: full project duration)

5

Please list all of the project's implementing partners and the amounts (in USD) transferred to each, both since the project's start, and specifically during this calendar period
Please select the type of organisation which best describes the type of implementing partner National youth CSO National women's CSO Other National CSO Subnational youth CSO Subnational women's CSO Other subnational CSO Regional CSO Regional Organisation International NGO Governmental entity National women's and youth CSO Subnational women's and youth CSO Other
Other, Please specify
What is the name of the Implementing Partner African Women Empowered (AWE)
What is the planned total amount (in USD) for the overall duration of the project to be disbursed to this implementing partner? Please use a dot (.) as decimal separator, instead of a comma (,) 150000
What is the total amount (in USD) disbursed to the implementing partner since the project's start ? Please use a dot (.) as decimal separator, instead of a comma (,) 150000

What is the total amount (in USD) disbursed to the implementing partner during this calendar period? Please use a dot (.) as decimal separator, instead of a comma (,) 43241	*
riefly describe the main activities carried out by the Implementing Partner during this calendar eriod lease limit your response to 1500 characters ialogue Meetings, Peacebuilding Roadmaps and Action Plans Validation Conducted three trainings on eacebuilding training manual & consolidated best practices & lessons learnt	
Please list all of the project's implementing partners and the amounts (in USD) transferred to each, both since the project's start, and specifically during this calendar period	
Please select the type of organisation which best describes the type of implementing partner National youth CSO National women's CSO Other National CSO Subnational youth CSO Subnational women's CSO Other subnational CSO Regional CSO Regional CSO Regional Organisation International NGO Governmental entity National women's and youth CSO Subnational women's and youth CSO Other	*
Other, Please specify	
What is the name of the Implementing Partner Organization for Peace, Relief and Development (OPRD)	*
What is the planned total amount (in USD) for the overall duration of the project to be disbursed to this implementing partner? Please use a dot (.) as decimal separator, instead of a comma (,) 149936	*

What is the total amount (in USD) disbursed to the implementing partner since the project's start ? ** ** ** ** ** ** ** ** **
* What is the total amount (in USD) disbursed to the implementing partner during this calendar period? Please use a dot (.) as decimal separator, instead of a comma (,) 56246
Briefly describe the main activities carried out by the Implementing Partner during this calendar period Please limit your response to 1500 characters Women's Peace Dialogue and Validation Workshop, Reviewed and updated community-led roadmaps and action plans,
Please list all of the project's implementing partners and the amounts (in USD) transferred to each, both since the project's start, and specifically during this calendar period
Please select the type of organisation which best describes the type of implementing partner National youth CSO National women's CSO Other National youth CSO Subnational women's CSO Other subnational CSO Regional CSO Regional Organisation International NGO Governmental entity National women's and youth CSO Subnational women's and youth CSO Other Other, Please specify
What is the name of the Implementing Partner Empower Youth Africa (EYA)

this i	t is the planned total amount (in USD) for the overall duration of the project to be disbursed to mplementing partner? e use a dot (.) as decimal separator, instead of a comma (,)	
	t is the total amount (in USD) disbursed to the implementing partner since the project's start ? e use a dot (.) as decimal separator, instead of a comma (,)	
perio	e use a dot (.) as decimal separator, instead of a comma (,)	
perio <i>Please</i> Cond	ty describe the main activities carried out by the Implementing Partner during this calendar and be limit your response to 1500 characters ucted Three Day Consolidation Exercise on documented lessons learned and best practices and Conducted 3 ings on Peacebuilding Training Manual for community representatives in Pibor	4
	se list all of the project's implementing partners and the amounts (in USD) transferred to each, since the project's start, and specifically during this calendar period	
_	se select the type of organisation which best describes the type of implementing partner * Notice along the CSO	
	National youth CSO National women's CSO	
	Other National CSO	
	Subnational youth CSO	
	Subnational women's CSO	
	Other subnational CSO	
	Regional CSO	
	Regional Organisation	
\bigcirc	International NGO	
	Governmental entity	
	National women's and youth CSO	
	Subnational women's and youth CSO	
	Other	

Other, Please specify

Research Institute

What is the name of the Implementing Partner

SUDD Institute for Research and Development

What is the planned total amount (in USD) for the overall duration of the project to be disbursed to this implementing partner?

Please use a dot (.) as decimal separator, instead of a comma (.)

202334

What is the total amount (in USD) disbursed to the implementing partner since the project's start?

Please use a dot (.) as decimal separator, instead of a comma (.)

202334

What is the total amount (in USD) disbursed to the implementing partner during this calendar period?

Please use a dot (.) as decimal separator, instead of a comma (.)

102334

Briefly describe the main activities carried out by the Implementing Partner during this calendar period

Please limit your response to 1500 characters

Development and Training of Trainers of the Peacebuilding Training Manual

Please list all of the project's implementing partners and the amounts (in USD) transferred to each, both since the project's start, and specifically during this calendar period
Please select the type of organisation which best describes the type of implementing partner National youth CSO National women's CSO Other National CSO Subnational youth CSO Other subnational CSO Regional CSO Regional Organisation International NGO Governmental entity National women's and youth CSO
Subnational women's and youth CSO Other
Other, Please specify What is the name of the Implementing Partner South Sudan Development Agency (SUDDA)
What is the planned total amount (in USD) for the overall duration of the project to be disbursed to this implementing partner? Please use a dot (.) as decimal separator, instead of a comma (,) 72080
What is the total amount (in USD) disbursed to the implementing partner since the project's start ? Please use a dot (.) as decimal separator, instead of a comma (,) 72080

What is the total amount (in USD) disbursed to the implementing partner during this calendar period? Please use a dot (.) as decimal separator, instead of a comma (,) 0			
Briefly describe the main activities carried out by the Implementing Partner during this calendar period Please limit your response to 1500 characters Community-led activities through Natural Resource Management, Committees (NRMCs), Community- based Animal Health Workers (CAHW) in Malakal.			
Please list all of the project's implementing partners and the amounts (in USD) transferred to each, both since the project's start, and specifically during this calendar period			
Please select the type of organisation which best describes the type of implementing partner National youth CSO			
National women's CSO			
Other National CSO			
Subnational youth CSO			
Subnational women's CSO			
Other subnational CSO			
Regional CSO			
Regional Organisation			
International NGO			
Governmental entity			
National women's and youth CSO			
Subnational women's and youth CSO			
Other			
Other, Please specify			
What is the name of the Implementing Partner Veterinaries Sans Frontiers (VSF German)			
What is the planned total amount (in USD) for the overall duration of the project to be disbursed to this implementing partner? Please use a dot (.) as decimal separator, instead of a comma (,) 61364			

What is the total amount (in USD) disbursed to the implementing partner since the project's start ? Please use a dot (.) as decimal separator, instead of a comma (,) 61364
What is the total amount (in USD) disbursed to the implementing partner during this calendar period? Please use a dot (.) as decimal separator, instead of a comma (,) 0
Briefly describe the main activities carried out by the Implementing Partner during this calendar period Please limit your response to 1500 characters Community-led activities through Natural Resource Management Committees (NRMCs), Community- based Animal Health Workers (CAHW) in Pibor
Please list all of the project's implementing partners and the amounts (in USD) transferred to each, both since the project's start, and specifically during this calendar period
Please select the type of organisation which best describes the type of implementing partner National youth CSO National women's CSO Other National CSO Subnational youth CSO Subnational women's CSO Other subnational CSO Regional CSO Regional Organisation International NGO Governmental entity National women's and youth CSO Subnational women's and youth CSO Other Other, Please specify
* What is the name of the Implementing Partner Norwegian People Aid (NPA)

Other, Please specify
What is the name of the Implementing Partner Community Empowerment for Progresss Organization (CEPO)
What is the planned total amount (in USD) for the overall duration of the project to be disbursed to this implementing partner? Please use a dot (.) as decimal separator, instead of a comma (,) 63250
What is the total amount (in USD) disbursed to the implementing partner since the project's start ? *Please use a dot (.) as decimal separator, instead of a comma (,) 56925
What is the total amount (in USD) disbursed to the implementing partner during this calendar period? Please use a dot (.) as decimal separator, instead of a comma (,) 34425
Briefly describe the main activities carried out by the Implementing Partner during this calendar period Please limit your response to 1500 characters Youth-led dialogue in Greater Pibor Administrative Area and Cattle Migration Conference in Jonglei
Financial Reporting
» Delivery by Recipient
Please enter the total amounts in full US dollars allocated to each recipient organization Please enter the original budget amount, amount transferred to date and estimated expenditure by recipient. Please make sure you enter the correct amount. All values should be entered in US Dollars
For cross-border projects, group the amounts by agency, even if different country offices are involved. You will have the opportunity to share a more detailed budget in the next section.

Recipients	Total Project Budget (in full US \$) Please enter the total budget as is in the project document in US Dollars	Transfers to date (in full US \$) Please enter the total amount transferred to each recipient to date in US Dollars	Expenditure to date (in full US \$) Please enter the approximate amount spent to date in US dollars	Implementati on rate as a percentage of total budget (calculated automatically)
IOM: Internation al Organizatio n for Migration	1500000	* 1500000	* 987455.21	65.83%
	*	*	*	%
UNWOMEN: United Nations Entity for Gender Equality and the Empowerme nt of Women	1080700	1080700	* 829892	76.79%
FAO: Food and Agriculture Organizatio n	* 1100000	1100000	* 859456	78.13%
	*	*	*	%

	*	*	*	%
	*	*	*	%
	*	*	*	
				%
	*	*	*	%
	*	*	*	%
	*	*	*	%
	*	*	*	%
	*	*	*	%
	*	*	*	%
TOTAL	3680700	3680700	2676803.21	72.7
				72.7 3%

The approximate implementation rate as percentage of total project budget based on the values entered in the above matrix is 72.73% . Can you confirm that this is correct? Correct Incorrect	*
If it is incorrect, please enter the approximate implementation rate as a %	*
» Gender-responsive Budgeting	
Indicate what percentage (%) of the budget contributes to gender equality or women's empowerment (GEWE) as per the project document? 51.83	*
The dollar amount of the budget contributing to Gender Equality and Women's Empowerment (GEWE) based on percentage entered above and total project budget is US \$ 1907706.81 . Can you confirm that this is correct? Correct Incorrect	*
If it is incorrect, please enter the <i>budget amount</i> allocated to GEWE in US Dollars	*
Amount expended to date on efforts contributiong to gender equality or women's empowerment is US \$ 1387387.1. Is this correct? Correct Incorrect	*
If it is incorrect, please enter the <i>expenditure to date</i> on GEWE in US dollars	*
ATTACH PROJECT EXCEL BUDGET SHOWING CURRENT APPROXIMATE EXPENDITURE. The templates for the budget are available here Project Budget_Annex D-11_48_2.xlsx	*

Project Markers

Please select the Gender Marker Associated with this project
Score 1 for projects that contribute in some way to gender equality, but not significantly (less than 30% of the total budget for GEWE)
Score 2 for projects that have gender equality as a significant objective and allocate between 30 and 79% of the total project budget to GEWE
Score 3 for projects that have gender equality as a principal objective and allocate at least 80% of the total project budget to Gender Equality and Women's Empowerment (GEWE)
Please select the Risk Marker Associated with this project
Risk marker 0 = low risk to achieving outcomes
Risk marker 1 = medium risk to achieving outcomes
Risk marker 2 = high risk to achieving outcomes
Please select the PBF Focus Area associated with this project
(1.1) Security Sector Reform
(1.2) Rule of Law
(1.3) Demobilisation, Disarmament and Reintegration
(1.4) Political Dialogue
(2.1) National reconciliation
(2.2) Democratic Governance
(2.3) Conflict prevention/management
(3.1) Employment
(3.2) Equitable access to social services
(4.1) Strengthening of essential national state capacity
(4.2) Extension of state authority/Local Administration
(4.3) Governance of peacebuilding resources (including PBF Secretariats)
Is the project part of one or more PBF priority windows? *Select all that apply
Gender promotion initiative
Youth promotion initiative
Transition from UN or regional peacekeeping or special political missions
Cross-border or regional project
None

Steering Committee and Government engagement

L	Does the project have an active steering committee/ project board?	
(● Yes	
(○ No	

If yes, please indicate how many times the Project Steering Committee has met over the last 6 months?

Please limit your response to 3000 characters

The project is supported by a Technical Working Group (TWG) composed of representatives from IOM, FAO and UN Women operating in the field and across the project locations. In the absence of a formal steering committee, the TWG functions as the main coordination and oversight mechanism. It convenes on a monthly basis to review progress toward project outcomes, deliberate on emerging challenges and priorities, and agree on next steps to ensure coherent implementation and synergy among partners in Malakal, Bor, and Pibor.

Please provide a brief description of any engagement that the project has had with the government over the last 6 months. Please indicate what level of government the project has been engaging with.

Please limit your response to 3000 characters

During the reporting period, the project team has maintained strong, coordinated engagement with the Government of South Sudan (GoSS) at national, state and county levels. These partnerships have been central to advancing peacebuilding, gender equality, and climate-resilient livelihoods in line with government priorities. At the national level, the three UN agencies continued close coordination with the Ministry of Peacebuilding (MoPB) through bilateral meetings and active participation in the monthly Peacebuilding Partners Coordination Forum, a platform for aligning progress, policy linkages, and government priorities. Through this engagement, the project provided regular implementation updates, received feedback, and contributed to policy coherence across the Women, Peace and Security, and Climate Security agendas. FAO further strengthened cooperation with the Ministry of Agriculture and Food Security (MAFS) and the Ministry of General Education and Instruction (MoGEI) to advance the "Take Farm to School" initiative, integrating climate-smart agriculture and youth engagement into national education and food security strategies. At the state level, the project maintained dynamic collaboration with State Ministries of Peacebuilding in Jonglei, the Greater Pibor Administrative Area (GPAA), and Upper Nile; IOM and UN Women led youth- and women-focused consultations, while FAO contributed technical inputs on sustainable natural resource management. These processes were formally endorsed by state authorities, ensuring alignment between community priorities and state peacebuilding frameworks. UN Women also worked closely with the Relief and Rehabilitation Commission (RRC) to mobilize returnees and conflict-affected populations, guaranteeing inclusivity in peacebuilding processes. Meanwhile, FAO's collaboration with MAFS and MoGEI in Bor and Pibor resulted in joint technical assessments of school-based agriculture, confirming local willingness to contribute land for farming reinforcing ownership and linking food security with peace dividends.

*

PART I: OVERALL PROJECT PROGRESS

NOTES FOR COMPLETING THE REPORT:				
 Avoid acronyms and UN jargon, use general /common language. Report on what has been achieved in the reporting period, not what the project aims to do. Be as concrete as possible. Avoid theoretical, vague or conceptual discourse. Ensure the analysis and project progress assessment is gender and age sensitive. 				
Please rate the implementation s	status of the following preli	minary/preparatory activities		
Contracting of partners		*		
Not Started	Initiated	Partially Completed		
Completed	Not Applicable			
Staff Recruitment		*		
Not Started	Initiated	Partially Completed		
Completed	Not Applicable			
Collection of baselines		*		
Not Started	Initiated	Partially Completed		
Completed	Not Applicable			
Identification of beneficiaries		*		
Not Started	Initiated	Partially Completed		
Completed	Not Applicable			
Provide any additional descriptive information relating to the status of the project, including whether preliminary/preparatory activities have been completed (i.e. contracting of partners, staff recruitment, etc.) Please limit your response to 3000 characters During this reporting period, all preliminary and preparatory activities across the project have been fully completed by IOM, UN Women, and FAO. Implementing partners are contracted, internal recruitments are finalized, and coordination mechanisms – namely the Technical Working Group (TWG) and M&E Interagency Team – remain fully operational. Field Coordination Teams in Bor, Pibor, and Malakal continue to meet regularly, ensuring smooth implementation and consistent feedback loops between field and national levels. Project activities are progressing as planned, with no major delays or outstanding preparatory tasks.				

·	evidence for the progress (quantitative and qualitative) and explain	nt the า
now it impacts the broader pol	tical and peacebuilding context.	
s the project on track for the t	mely completion of outputs as indicated in the workplan?	
Yes		
No		
f no, please provide an explan	ation	
Please limit your response to 6000 cha	acters	

*

Project progress summary

Please limit your response to 6000 characters

During the reporting period, the project delivered coordinated peacebuilding, resilience and gender-responsive climate security interventions across Jonglei State, the Greater Pibor Administrative Area (GPAA) and Upper Nile State, engaging national and local government, traditional authorities and communities. Joint activities promoted social cohesion, strengthened local governance, and generated tangible peace and livelihood dividends.

Across Malakal, Bor and Pibor, over 3,500 people (2,300 females; 1,200 males) – including Internally Displaced Persons (IDPs), returnees and host communities – participated in youth – and women-led peace dialogues, cultural festivals, radio talk shows and awareness campaigns on reconciliation, mediation and social cohesion. In Malakal, community-driven dialogues facilitated by the Youth Peace Ambassadors (YPAs) addressed land disputes, gang violence and social-media incitement, leading to an inclusive seven-member committee that liaises with the State Police Commissioner on community security concerns. Four additional peace campaigns in Malakal Town and Protection of Civilians (PoC) site reinforced non-violence messages amid rising inter-communal tensions.

The YPA network, now active in GPAA, Unity, Jonglei and Upper Nile, implemented ten structured activities and five independent initiatives. A three-day Youth Leadership Bootcamp in Juba (11–14 Aug 2025) trained 80 young leaders (42 males, 38 females) on peacebuilding, digital safety and resilience. Additional trainings in Pibor and Bor enhanced conflict-analysis and facilitation skills for 22 participants (16 YPAs; 4 females) and government officials. Intergenerational dialogues in GPAA engaged 50 participants (44 males; 6 females) from various age-set groups to curb intra-group violence, while monitoring visits to Pibor Customary Court improved YPAs' understanding of local justice systems.

In Jonglei, key milestones included a Cattle Migration Conference (6-7 Mar 2025) with 50 participants (17 women, 33 men) that agreed on safe cattle-return routes and veterinary support plans; a Peacebuilding Roadmap Validation Workshop (27 Mar-1 Apr 2025) with 96 participants (40 women, 56 men) that produced climate-informed action plans and early warning measures; and an Inter-Generational Dialogue (10-12 Jul 2025) with 65 participants (25 females, 40 males, ages 20-80) fostering trust and women/youth inclusion in local governance. A rapid youth-focused conflict-sensitivity analysis in Upper Nile (6-13 Jun 2024) reached 131 people (43 women, 88 men), 60 per cent of whom were youth. Findings highlighted unemployment, politicization, social-media misuse and climate-induced displacement as key drivers of tension informing re-targeted programming.

Under Outcome II, 3,600 households benefited from integrated livelihood and resilience support. 61 Community Animal Health Workers (52 males, 9 females) were trained to treat livestock and manage zoonotic-disease risks; post-service assessments showed a 65 per cent success rate in reducing livestock mortality, reviving household economies and reducing resource conflict. Disaster Risk Reduction and Early Warning/Action trainings improved community preparedness to floods and droughts, while Natural Resource Management (NRM) sessions promoted equitable, climate-sensitive land use. In Pibor, flood-prone lands were converted into fishponds, linking risk reduction to livelihoods. Notably, 99 per cent of targeted community members reported improved ability to adapt to climate threats such as floods without major qualitative change to their lifestyle. From June-September 2025, the "Zirra Tanna" radio programme on Radio Jonglei and Pibor 95.9 FM reached thousands of farmers and fishers with local-language content on weather alerts and climate-smart practices. Feedback from the radio programme confirmed that radio is a primary source for weather and flood information, contributing to improved community response and peaceful resource management.

UN Women completed all planned outputs, achieving full fund utilization and timely reporting. The project conducted Training of Trainers (ToTs) for a total of 68 facilitators (34 male, 34 female) focusing on the Climate-Informed, Trauma-Informed, Gender- and Age-Sensitive Peacebuilding Manual. The facilitators in return reached 1,065community members (566 female, 499 male), through peace dialogues equipping them with skills in negotiation, mediation and gender-responsive conflict management. In addition, the peace dialogues united returnees, internally displaced persons (IDPs), cattle-camp youth, traditional leaders and law enforcement actors to address trauma, revenge killings, and land disputes. In Akilo Payam (GPAA), communities developed a NRM Plan with protected grazing zones and water committees. A total of 210 participants including 44 youths strengthened

understanding of climate-conflict linkages and inclusive solutions. As part of the priority actions identified through the community led peace building road maps and action plans, UNMISS rehabilitated 307 kilo meters (Km) of trunk road — linking Pibor, Bor, Likuangole and Gumuruk. The rehabilitated road has improved mobility, market access, and the return of displaced families. As such, the UNMISS initiative has reinforced and advanced the project's intended outcomes on economic recovery, social cohesion, and safer inter-communal movement. Indicate any additional analysis on how Gender Equality and Women's Empowerment and/or Youth Inclusion and Responsiveness has been ensured by the project to date Please limit your response to 3000 characters The project has integrated Gender Equality, Women's Empowerment, and Youth Inclusion throughout implementation, shifting from symbolic involvement to meaningful leadership and decision-making. During this reporting period, women and youth represented a strong share of the 117 community leaders engaged across project areas (43 women, 41 men, 33 youth), demonstrating commitment to inclusive governance, especially in climate security and peacebuilding. In Jonglei, gender dynamics were a major concern at IOM's cattle migration conference, where women's participation was notably low. This highlighted entrenched norms that limit women's roles in livestock governance and conflict resolution, despite their high exposure to the impacts of migration, including displacement, GBV, and loss of livelihoods. The dialogue opened space to explore how to strengthen women's participation in resolving disputes over grazing and water resources. The resulting community action plan included measures to enhance women's roles in future migration management, acknowledging the need for systemic change. In Malakal, the project ensured women and female youth co-created peace messaging and community mobilization. Insights gathered through consultations and awareness campaigns were integrated into reports shared with government actors. Youth Peace Ambassadors (YPAs), including women, helped shift community narratives and expand participation in civic life. In August 2025, YPAs in Pibor hosted radio programs, led school campaigns, and cofacilitated town dialogues that improved civic understanding. They trained 111 youths in Malakal and convened intergenerational dialogues that produced a violence-reduction pact. Women YPAs also supported justice linkages through customary courts and mobile-court referrals and secured government office space for youth coordination in GPAA. Overall, these efforts reached an estimated 3,500 people in Malakal and more than 1,500 in Jonglei/GPAA, helping normalize women's voices and youth leadership in civic processes. Notably, women leaders in Bor successfully advocated for the demarcation of farmland and grazing land, a priority integrated by the project to reduce tensions. Project dialogues further strengthened understanding of climate-conflict linkages and equipped youth and women to lead community-driven solutions. Engagement with men also supported reflection on unequal climate impacts and encouraged inclusive resilience planning. Is the project 1+ year in implementation? No

FOR PROJECTS 1+ YEAR IN IMPLEMENTATION ONLY:

Is the project demonstrating outcome-level peacebuilding results?
Outcome-level peacebuilding results entail results achieved at the societal or structural level, including changed attitudes, behaviours or institutions.
(Yes
○ No

If yes, please provide concrete examples of such peacebuilding results

Please limit your response to 6000 characters

Mid-term evaluations and perception surveys (March 2025) show measurable peace dividends: 66% report reduced violence, 89% feel safe during the day, and 77% affirm the effectiveness of community-led initiatives. Education engagement expanded to 398 students, 113 teachers and 89 staff in Malakal, and 350 students in Pibor.

DTM/perception data indicate steady returns (9% in Bor South; 2% in Malakal) and long-term settlement (72% residing for more than five years). Gang-related incidents have also declined, with 111 former gang members moving from intergenerational dialogues into vocational training, contributing to safer civic spaces and social reintegration.

Improved relations between government, communities and security actors have strengthened trust and service delivery. Flood-mitigation and climate-adaptation measures have reduced displacement risks and protected livelihoods. Through multi-agency coordination and government partnerships, the project has embedded gender equality, youth leadership, and climate resilience into local peace structures, generating outcome-level results that reflect shifts in behaviour, norms and institutional practices. A key milestone is the emergence of a self-sustaining national Youth Peace Ambassador (YPA) network now driving independent initiatives across the country.

In Malakal, YPAs have begun independently organizing monthly peace forums and mediating between Nuer and Shilluk communities. In GPAA, the Peace Commission allocated permanent office space for the YPAs, institutionalizing their role in state-level peace processes. In September 2025, the first national YPA coordination meeting linked youth from four states, establishing a sustainable platform for peer learning and joint advocacy. Perception data corroborate these shifts: 66% report reduced violence, 77% perceive community-led initiatives as effective, and 75% express willingness to cooperate across communal lines.

Initially launched as a project-supported platform, the YPAs have evolved into a cross-state movement connecting young peacebuilders from Upper Nile, Jonglei and GPAA. Their September 2025 virtual coordination meeting established structures for joint planning and shared messaging on inclusion, digital responsibility and non-violence. Institutional recognition has further reinforced their legitimacy. In July 2025, the GPAA Peace and Reconciliation Commission allocated office space after consultations with state officials. In October 2025, the Ministry of Youth, Culture and Sports commended the YPAs and reaffirmed commitment to integrating youth perspectives into decision-making.

The YPAs have actively addressed conflict drivers across multiple states. They promoted responsible digital behaviour through radio programs ("Youth Voices: The Future of Our Communities," August 2025) and digital safety training at the Youth Leadership Bootcamp (Juba, 2025), equipping participants to counter online hate speech and misinformation. They supported IOM in Malakal (July 2024) through mediating intergenerational gang conflicts—Wrong Squad, Mafi, and One Family—resulting in a locally brokered peace agreement and the enrolment of 111 former gang members into vocational training. In Malakal (2023–2024), they also helped mediate Nuer-Shilluk tensions, restore looted property, and establish a community security committee linked to the Upper Nile State Police Commissioner. In Pibor (August 2025), age-set dialogues addressed intra-generational tensions and harmful practices.

These interventions demonstrate the YPAs' growing leadership in shaping civic culture. Their "Keep Malakal Clean" campaign mobilized women, youth and returnees to improve public spaces. Peace education sessions reached 398 students, 113 teachers and 89 staff, embedding conflict-resolution skills into school environments.

The perception survey reconfirmed the centrality of customary institutions: 71% of families rely on them for dispute resolution, 92% describe them as fair and impartial, and 79% frequently hear of successful cases. Under Outcome III, these systems were strengthened through customary law reviews (Bor, Pibor), court-monitoring with YPAs, and community dialogues where elders and youth jointly mediated ethnic and gang-related grievances. Chiefs in Pibor facilitated Kurenen age-set reconciliation, while in Malakal, traditional leaders collaborated with youth and police through the community security committee.

Overall, the outcomes reflect gradual but significant structural and behavioural change. Local authorities now consult YPAs as credible mediators, state ministries integrate youth-led approaches, and YPAs increasingly design

and implement initiatives independently. This marks a shift from externally facilitated peacebuilding toward locally anchored, youth-led systems of governance and conflict prevention. The YPA network, once a project platform, is now a nationally recognized movement demonstrating how inclusive youth structures can sustain peace and social cohesion well beyond the project's duration.
If you belong the course of th
If yes, please provide sources or references (including links) as evidence of peacebuilding results, or submit them as additional attachments.
Evidence may be quantitative or qualitative but needs to demonstrate progress against outcome indicators in the project results framework. Sources may include project surveys (such as perception surveys), monitoring reports, government documents, or other knowledge products that have been developed by the project.
File attachment
Click here to upload file. (< 10MB)
PART II: PROJECT RESULTS FRAMEWORK
How many OUTCOMES does this project have
1 2 3 4 5 more than 5.
Please write out the project outcomes as they are in the project results framework found in the project document
Outsome 1.
Outcome 1: Climate informed, gender-age sensitive peace processes effectively resolve or prevent the escalation of disputes, including disputes due to competition over natural resources
Outcome 2:
Resilience against negative effects of climate change and related disputes is increased through operationalization of Community Peacebuilding Roadmaps and Action Plans
Outcome 3:
Enhanced long-term local customary capacities in dispute resolution, including climate-related disputes
Outcome 4:
Outcome 5:

Outcome 6:	*
Outcome 7:	*
	*
Outcome 8:	
Additional Outcomes	*
If the project has more than 8 outcomes, please enumerate the remaining outcomes here	

INDICATOR BASED PERFORMANCE ASSESSMENT

Using the Project Results Framework as per the approved project document or any amendments, provide an update on the achievement of all **outcome** and **output** indicators in the table below.

- Where it has not been possible to collect data on indicators, state this and provide any explanation.
- Provide gender and age disaggregated data. (500 characters max per entry)

» Outcome 1: Climate informed, gender-age sensitive peace processes effectively resolve or prevent the escalation of disputes, including disputes due to competition over natural resources

O C 1	Outcome Indicator s	Indicator Baseline	End of Project Indicator Target	Indicator progress for reporting period	Indicator progress since project's start	Reasons for Variance/ Delay (if any)
1.	% of targeted community members who report reduced violence in their community	26%	50% (50% women , 50% men)	0	66% (Male, 21%; Female, 45%)	This is achieved, a 40% progress noted from the perception survey conducted in March 2025. (More information outlined by location is available in the perception survey)
1. 2	% of targeted communities willing to cooperate with other communities and engage in joint natural resource management	41%	60%	0%	75%	This is achieved, a progress of 34% towards this outcome indicator noted from the perception survey.
1. 3	% of women and youth participants who report being able to hold leading roles during the peace dialogues and the development of Peacebuilding Roadmaps and Action Plans	11%	75%	38%	38%	These are preliminary results obtained from a post-dialogue survey conducted in September 2025.

1. 4							
1. 5		<u></u>					
How many outputs does outcome 1 have? 1 2 3 4 5 more than 5.							
Please I	Please list all outputs for outcome 1						
Output 1.1 Enhanced local capacities for conflict mitigation and natural resource management for targeted groups including women and youth groups							
Output 1.2 Climate-informed, gender/age sensitive peace and security concerns are articulated in Peacebuilding Roadmaps and Actions Plans							
Output 1.3 Lessons learned and synthesis climate-informed peacebuilding approach							
Output 1.4							
Output 1.5							
Other Outputs If Outcome 1 has more than 5 outputs, please enumerate the remaining outputs here							

For each output, and using the, project results framework, provide an update on the progress made against all output indicators

» Output 1.1: Enhanced local capacities for conflict mitigation and natural resource management for targeted groups including women and youth groups

1.	Output Indicators Describe the indicator	Indicator Baseline State the baseline value of the indicator	End of Project Indicator Target State the target value of the indicator at the end of the project	Indicator progress for reporting period State the current value of the indicator for the reporting period	Indicator progress since project's start State the current cummulative value of the indicator since the start of the project	Reasons for Variance/ Delay (if any) Explain why the indicator is off track or has changed, where relevant
1. 1. 1	Number of interagency peacebuilding training manuals completed.	0	1	0	1	Achieved and repored in Year 2. The peacebuilding manual was used for the peacebuilding trainings
1. 1. 2	Number of trainings on peacebuilding manual completed	0	16	1 Community Driven Dialogue peace dialogue conducted with the Community leadership structures, State Ministries of Peacebuilding, Culture, Youth and Sports attended by 66 (33 females and 33 males)	18	Achieved

1. 1. 3	% of participating communities who report that the dialogues have provided an opportunity to address pastgrievances and can mitigate the escalation of future conflict	0	70%	0	95%	Achieved. These results are based on the post-dialogue survey d and the preliminary results used for the measurement of this indicator
1. 1. 4	Percentage of resolutions resulting from the peace dialogues that include the joint initiatives, joint climate action or joint management of natural resources	0	26%	0	26%	Achieved
1. 1. 5						

» Output 1.2: Climate-informed, gender/age sensitive peace and security concerns are articulated in Peacebuilding Roadmaps and Actions Plans

1. 2	Output Indicators Describe the indicator	Indicator Baseline State the baseline value of the indicator	End of Project Indicator Target State the target value of the indicator at the end of the project	Indicator progress for reporting period State the current value of the indicator for the reporting period	Indicator progress since project's start State the current cummulative value of the indicator since the start of the project	Reasons for Variance/ Delay (if any) Explain why the indicator is off track or has changed, where relevant
1. 2. 1	Number of Peacebuilding Roadmaps and Action plans developed	0	3	0	3	Achieved
1. 2. 2	% of community representative, women and youth who perceive that their interests and concerns are adequately addressed in the Peacebuilding Roadmaps and Actions plans	0	75%	62%	62%	on track
1. 2. 3	% of target community members who perceive improved knowledge and understanding around climate change, environment and peacebuilding issues within their communities	2%	75%	0	67%	on track

1. 2. 4			
1.			
2.			
5			

» Output 1.3: Lessons learned and synthesis climate-informed peacebuilding approach

1. 3	Output Indicators Describe the indicator	Indicator Baseline State the baseline value of the indicator	End of Project Indicator Target State the target value of the indicator at the end of the project	Indicator progress for reporting period State the current value of the indicator for the reporting period	Indicator progress since project's start State the current cummulative value of the indicator since the start of the project	Reasons for Variance/ Delay (if any) Explain why the indicator is off track or has changed, where relevant
1. 3. 1	Number of areas within and outside South Sudan where report's lessons learned and recommendation are applicable.	0	1	0	1	Achieved. The Fragility Index study was completed.
1. 3. 2	% of 'Youth Peace Ambassadors" who perceive they would be able to influence positive change in their community by advancing peacebuilding and cooperation	0	75%	99% (30% highly impactful, 69% very highly impactful)	99%	Preliminary post-dialogue survey results indicated that 99% of youth peace ambassadors demonstrated the ability to influence peace and strengthen community cooperation.
1. 3. 3	Number of dialogues completed	0	7	0	14	Achieved
1. 3. 4	Number of events, media engagements including social media during the project	0	3	0	3	Achieved and reported in the previous reporting period

1. 3. 5										
» Outp	» Output 1.4:									
1. 4	Output Indicators Describe the indicator	Indicator Baseline State the baseline value of the indicator	End of Project Indicator Target State the target value of the indicator at the end of the project	Indicator progress for reporting period State the current value of the indicator for the reporting period	Indicator progress since project's start State the current cummulative value of the indicator since the start of the project	Reasons for Variance/ Delay (if any) Explain why the indicator is off track or has changed, where relevant				
1. 4. 1										
1. 4. 2										
1. 4. 3										
1. 4. 4										
1. 4. 5										

» Output 1.5:

1.	Output	Indicator	End of	Indicator	Indicator	Reasons
5	Indicators	Baseline	Project	progress	progress	for
	Describe the	State the baseline	Indicator	for	since	Variance/
	indicator	<i>value of the indicator</i>	Target	reporting	project's	Delay (if
				period	start	any)
			State the target value of the indicator at the end of the project	_ ·	State the current	
			end of the project	State the current value of the indicator for the	cummulative value of the indicator	Explain why the indicator is off track or has
				reporting period	since the start of	changed, where relevant
					the project	reievarit
1						
1.						
5.						
1						
1.						
5.						
2						
1.						
5.						
3						
1.						
5.						
3. 4						
4						
1.						
5.						
5						

» Outcome 2: Resilience against negative effects of climate change and related disputes is increased through operationalization of Community Peacebuilding Roadmaps and Action Plans

O C 2	Outcome Indicator s	Indicator Baseline	End of Project Indicator Target	Indicator progress for reporting period	Indicator progress since project's start	Reasons for Variance/ Delay (if any)
2. 1	% of targeted community members who report improved ability to adapt to climate threats such as floods without major qualitative change to their lifestyle	42%	75%	0	99%	Achieved
2. 2	Number of Peacebuilding Roadmaps and Actions Plans that have started implementation.	0	3	0	3	Achieved
2. 3						
2. 4						
2. 5						

How many o	utputs	does οι	utcome	2 have	·?
1	2	3	4	5	more than 5.
Please list all	output	s for ou	ıtcome	2	
Output 2.1 Inter-commun	-			-	ted through the implementation and operationalization of prioritized on Plans
Output 2.2					
Enhanced long	g-term l	ocal cus	tomary	capaciti	ies in dispute resolution, including climate-related disputes
Output 2.3					
Output 2.4					
Output 2.5					
Other Output If Outcome 2 has		ıan 5 out _l	puts, pleā	ise enum	nerate the remaining outputs here

For each output, and using the, project results framework, provide an update on the progress made against all output indicators

» Output 2.1: Inter-communal peace dividends are promoted through the implementation and operationalization of prioritized actions in Peacebuilding Roadmaps and Action Plans

2.	Output Indicators Describe the indicator	Indicator Baseline State the baseline value of the indicator	End of Project Indicator Target State the target value of the indicator at the end of the project	Indicator progress for reporting period State the current value of the indicator for the reporting period	Indicator progress since project's start State the current cummulative value of the indicator since the start of	Reasons for Variance/ Delay (if any) Explain why the indicator is off track or has changed, where
2.	Number of	0	3	0	the project	Achieved
1.	updated maps of natural resources and hotspots of climate and conflict threats					
2. 1. 2	Number of trainings provided	0	13	0	15	Achieved. These were provided to NRMCs (5 each in Bor, Pibor and Malakal) for 300 members (150 male, 150 female). FAO plans to conduct refresher trainings on conflict documentation in Pibor during the upcoming period.

2. 1. 3	% of youth representatives who perceive improved knowledge and technical skill in EWEA for conflict and climate threats	0	75%	47%	47%	Preliminary findings of post-dialogue survey indicate a 47% improvement in knowledge and technical skills related to EWEA (20% moderate knowledge, 20% significant knowledge and 7% slight understanding)
2. 1. 4	Number of households trained in CSA practices	0	1000	0	3600	Achieved
2. 1. 5	Number of households supported with fishing inputs (nets, twines and hooks)	0	1000	0	1,200 HHs (328 male headed, 872 female headed)	Achieved

» Output 2.2: Enhanced long-term local customary capacities in dispute resolution, including climate-related disputes

2	Output	Indicator	End of	Indicator	Indicator	Doggens
2.	Output Indicators	Indicator Baseline				Reasons
			Project	progress	progress	
	Describe the indicator	State the baseline value of the	Indicator	for	since	Variance/
		indicator	Target	reporting	project's	Delay (if
			State the target value of the	period	start	any)
			indicator at the end of the project	State the current value of the indicator for the reporting period	State the current cummulative value of the indicator since the start of the project	Explain why the indicator is off track or has changed, where relevant
2.						
2.						
1						
2.						
2.						
2						
_						
2.						
2.						
3						
3						
2.						
2.						
4						
2.						
2.						
5						

» Output 2.3:

2.	Output	Indicator	End of	Indicator	Indicator	Reasons
3	Indicators	Baseline	Project	progress	progress	for
	Describe the indicator	State the baseline value of the	Indicator	for	since	Variance/
	malcator	indicator	Target	reporting	project's	Delay (if
			State the target	period	start	any)
			State the target value of the indicator at the end of the project	State the current value of the indicator for the reporting period	State the current cummulative value of the indicator since the start of the project	Explain why the indicator is off track or has changed, where relevant
2.						
3.						
1						
'						
2.						
3.						
2						
2.						
3.						
3						
2.						
3.						
4						
2.						
3.						
5						
						

» Output 2.4:

2. 4	Output Indicators Describe the indicator	Indicator Baseline State the baseline value of the indicator	End of Project Indicator Target State the target value of the indicator at the end of the project	Indicator progress for reporting period State the current value of the indicator for the reporting period	Indicator progress since project's start State the current cummulative value of the indicator since the start of the project	Reasons for Variance/ Delay (if any) Explain why the indicator is off track or has changed, where relevant
2. 4. 1						
2. 4. 2						
2. 4. 3						
2. 4. 4						
2. 4. 5						

» Output 2.5:

2. 5	Output Indicators Describe the indicator	Indicator Baseline State the baseline value of the	End of Project Indicator	Indicator progress for	Indicator progress since	Reasons for Variance/
	mulcator	indicator	Target State the target value of the indicator at the end of the project	reporting period State the current value of the indicator for the reporting period	project's start State the current cummulative value of the indicator since the start of the project	Delay (if any) Explain why the indicator is off track or has changed, where relevant
2. 5. 1						
2. 5. 2						
2. 5. 3						
2. 5. 4						
2. 5. 5						

» Outcome 3: Enhanced long-term local customary capacities in dispute resolution, including climate-related disputes

O C 3	Outcome Indicator s	Indicator Baseline	End of Project Indicator Target	Indicator progress for reporting period	Indicator progress since project's start	Reasons for Variance/ Delay (if any)
3.	% of community members who perceive they can rely on customary institutions	35%	75%	0	71%	The perception survey found that 71% of respondents considered customary law institutions reliable for conflict resolution.
3. 2	% of community members who perceive they can rely on customary institutions for resolving dispute arising from competition over scarce natural resources	36%	75%	0	92%	Achieved
3. 3	% of women and youth who feel they can rely on customary institutions to defend their rights and needs	31%	75%	0	79%	Achieved
3. 4						

3. 5
How many outputs does outcome 3 have?
1 2 3 4 5 more than 5.
Please list all outputs for outcome 3
Output 3.1 Strengthened community structures/foundations for gender-responsive and climate-informed dispute resolution
Output 3.2
Output 3.3
Output 3.4
Output 3.5
Other Outputs If Outcome 3 has more than 5 outputs, please enumerate the remaining outputs here

For each output, and using the, project results framework, provide an update on the progress made against all output indicators

» Output 3.1: Strengthened community structures/foundations for gender-responsive and climate-informed dispute resolution

3.	Output	Indicator	End of	Indicator	Indicator	Reasons
1	Indicators	Baseline	Project	progress	progress	for
	Describe the indicator	<i>State the baseline value of the</i>	Indicator	for	since	Variance/
	maicator	indicator	Target	reporting	project's	Delay (if
			State the target value of the	period	start	any)
			indicator at the end of the project	State the current value of the indicator for the reporting period	State the current cummulative value of the indicator since the start of the project	Explain why the indicator is off track or has changed, where relevant
3. 1. 1	CLR methodology reviewed and endorsed by reference group.	0	1	0	1	Achieved and reported in previous reporting period
3. 1. 2	Number of assessments that successfully outline the status of customary systems, map stakeholders and outline any mitigation actions required prior CLR process	0	2	0	2	Achieved and reported in previous reporting period
3. 1. 3	Number of review workshops conducted	0	2	0	2	Achieved and reported in previous reporting period

3. 1. 4	Number of community representatives participating in review in Pibor	0	42	0	82	Achieved. The variance stems from making the customary law review process inclusive, ensuring community legitimacy and ownership in Jonglei and GPAA by involving more representatives and stakeholders from various counties.
3. 1. 5	Number of community representatives participating in review in Bor	0	42	0	137	Achieved. See above for variance details.

» Output 3.2:

	I	I	l	l	l	
3.	Output	Indicator	End of	Indicator	Indicator	Reasons
2	Indicators	Baseline	Project	progress	progress	for
	Describe the	State the baseline	Indicator	for	since	Variance/
	indicator	value of the indicator	Target	reporting	project's	Delay (if
		marcator		period	start	any)
			State the target value of the	· ·	State the current	
			<i>indicator at the end of the project</i>	State the current value of the	cummulative value	Explain why the indicator is off
				indicator for the reporting period	of the indicator since the start of	track or has changed, where relevant
					the project	relevant
3.						
2.						
1						
3.						
2.						
2						
3.						
2.						
3						
3.						
2.						
<u>-</u>						
4						
3.						
2.						
5						

» Output 3.3:

	1					
3.	Output	Indicator	End of	Indicator	Indicator	Reasons
3	Indicators	Baseline	Project	progress	progress	for
	Describe the	State the baseline	Indicator	for	since	Variance/
	indicator	value of the indicator	Target	reporting		Delay (if
		muicator			project's	
			State the target value of the	period	start	any)
			<i>indicator at the end of the project</i>	State the current value of the	State the current cummulative value	Explain why the indicator is off
			, ,	indicator for the reporting period	<i>of the indicator</i> <i>since the start of</i>	track or has changed, where relevant
					the project	relevant
3.						
3.						
1						
2						
3.						
3.						
2						
3.						
3.						
3						
3.						
3.						
4						
3.						
3.						
5						
		I	I			

» Output 3.4:

3.	Output	Indicator	End of	Indicator	Indicator	Reasons
4	Indicators	Baseline	Project	progress	progress	for
	Describe the	State the baseline	Indicator	for	since	Variance/
	indicator	value of the indicator	Target	reporting	project's	Delay (if
				period	start	any)
			State the target value of the indicator at the end of the project	State the current value of the	State the current	Explain why the
			end of the project	<i>value of the indicator for the</i>	cummulative value of the indicator	track or has
				reporting period	since the start of the project	changed, where relevant
					the project	reievarit
						<u></u>
3.						
4.						
1						
3.						
4.						
2						
3.						
4.						
3						
2						
3.						
4.						
4						
	<u></u>	<u></u>	<u></u>	<u></u>		
3.						
4.						
5						
	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	

» Output 3.5:

3.	Output	Indicator	End of	Indicator	Indicator	Reasons
5	Indicators	Baseline	Project	progress	progress	for
	Describe the	State the baseline	Indicator	for	since	Variance/
	indicator	value of the indicator	Target	reporting	project's	Delay (if
				period	start	any)
			State the target value of the indicator at the end of the project	<i>State the current value of the</i>	State the current	Explain why the
			end of the project	<i>indicator for the</i>	cummulative value of the indicator	track or has
				reporting period	<i>since the start of the project</i>	changed, where relevant
					6. 6. 6. 6. 6. 6. 6. 6. 6. 6. 6. 6.	. 6.6.6
3.						
5.						
1						
3.						
5.						
2						
3.						
5.						
3						
3						
3.						
5.						
4						
3.						
5.						
5						

» Outcome 4:

O C 4	Outcome Indicator s	Indicator Baseline	End of Project Indicator Target	Indicator progress for reporting period	Indicator progress since project's start	Reasons for Variance/ Delay (if any)	
4.							
4. 2							
4. 3							
4. 4							
4. 5							
How ma	any outputs does	outcome 4 have	?				
	1 2 3	4 5	more than 5.				
Please I	Please list all outputs for outcome 4						
Output	Output 4.1						
Output	4.2						

Output 4.3
Output 4.4
Output 4.5
Other Outputs If Outcome 4 has more than 5 outputs, please enumerate the remaining outputs here

For each output, and using the, project results framework, provide an update on the progress made	
against all output indicators	

» Output 4.1:

	ı	ı				
4.	Output	Indicator	End of	Indicator	Indicator	Reasons
1	Indicators	Baseline	Project	progress	progress	for
	Describe the	State the baseline	Indicator	for	since	Variance/
	indicator	<i>value of the indicator</i>	Target	reporting	project's	Delay (if
			State the target value of the	period	start	any)
			value of the indicator at the end of the project	State the current value of the indicator for the reporting period	State the current cummulative value of the indicator since the start of the project	Explain why the
4.						
1.						
1						
4.						
1.						
2						
4.						
1.						
3						
<u> </u>						
4.						
1						
4						
4						
4.						
1.						
5						

» Output 4.2:

4. 2	Output Indicators Describe the indicator	Indicator Baseline State the baseline value of the indicator	End of Project Indicator Target State the target value of the indicator at the end of the project	Indicator progress for reporting period State the current value of the	Indicator progress since project's start State the current cummulative value	Reasons for Variance/ Delay (if any) Explain why the indicator is off
				indicator for the reporting period	of the indicator since the start of the project	track or has changed, where relevant
4. 2. 1						
4. 2. 2						
4. 2. 3						
4. 2. 4						
4. 2. 5						

» Output 4.3:

4.	Output	Indicator	End of	Indicator	Indicator	Reasons
3	Indicators	Baseline	Project	progress	progress	for
	Describe the	State the baseline	Indicator	for	since	Variance/
	indicator	value of the indicator	Target	reporting	project's	Delay (if
				period	start	any)
			State the target value of the indicator at the end of the project	State the current value of the indicator for the reporting period	State the current cummulative value of the indicator since the start of the project	Explain why the
4.						
3.						
1						
4.						
3.						
2						
_						
4						
4.						
3.						
3						
4.						
3.						
4						
4.						
3.						
5						

» Output 4.4:

4.	Output	Indicator	End of	Indicator	Indicator	Reasons
4	Indicators	Baseline	Project	progress	progress	for
	Describe the	State the baseline	Indicator	for	since	Variance/
	indicator	<i>value of the indicator</i>	Target	reporting	project's	Delay (if
		marcator		period	start	any)
			value of the		State the current	
			State the target value of the indicator at the end of the project	State the current value of the	cummulative value of the indicator	Explain why the indicator is off
				indicator for the reporting period	since the start of	track or has changed, where relevant
					the project	relevant
4.						
4.						
1						
4.						
4.						
2						
_						
4.						
4.						
3						
4.						
4.						
4						
4.						
4.						
5						

» Output 4.5:

4.	Output	Indicator	End of	Indicator	Indicator	Reasons
5	Indicators	Baseline	Project	progress	progress	for
	Describe the	State the baseline	Indicator	for	since	Variance/
	indicator	value of the indicator	Target	reporting	project's	Delay (if
			State the target value of the	period	start	any)
			value of the indicator at the end of the project	State the current value of the indicator for the reporting period	State the current cummulative value of the indicator since the start of the project	Explain why the indicator is off track or has changed, where relevant
4.						
5.						
) J.						
'						
4.						
5.						
2						
4.						
5.						
3						
4.						
5.						
4						
4.						
5.						
5						
	1	1	I	1	I	*

If the project has more than 4 outcomes, use this space to describe progress on progress on indicators for the remaining outcomes

PART III: Cross-Cutting Issues

Is the project planning any significant events in the next six months? (eg. national dialogues, youth congresses, film screenings, etc.)

If yes, please state how many, and for each, provide the approximate date of the event and a brief description, including its key objectives, target audience and location (if known)

Events	Event Description	Tentat ive Date	Locati on	Target Audie nce	Event Objectives (900 characters)
Event 1	Organizing sport gala (football and netball tournaments) for the five tribes in Malakal	December 2025	Malakal Town & PoC	Youth and young persons	To bring the different tribes together and create avenue to deliberate on drivers of tensions and conflicts. To raise awareness on the dangers of conflict and mismanagement of the natural resources To create harmony and strengthen co-existence among the youth
Event 2	Refresher training for Youth Peace Ambassadors on conflict management and climate action	January 2026	Bor, Malakal and Pibor	Youth and young persons	Equip youth peace ambassadors with knowledge on conflict resolution and climate adaption
Event 3	Youth Peace Ambassadors Refresher Training on DRR & Emergency Preparedness (5 Days)	December 2-6, 2025	Jonglei/GPAA	Youth peace ambassadors, DRR volunteers, youth leaders	Strengthen capacity of youth ambassadors on disaster risk reduction and emergency preparedness to enhance early response systems and local resilience building

Event	Learning workshop on	November -	Pibor, Bor	Natural	To enhance community's
4	natural resources based -	December		Resource	capacity to monitor and
	conflict resolution,	2025		Management	project sustainability
	documentation and			Committees,	
	sustainability of NRMC in			local	
	Bor and Pibor			authorities,	
				County	
				Peace	
				Commissions	
	•				

Human Impact

This section is about the human impact of the project. Please state key stakeholders (including but not limited to: Civil Society Organziations, Beneficiaries, etc.) of the project, and for each, please briefly describe:

- i. The challenges/problem they faced prior to the project implementation
- ii. The impact of the project in their lives
- iii. Provide, where possible, a quote or testimonial from a representative of each stakeholder group *This is an optional question. You may leave it unanswered if not relevant*

Human Impact	Type of stakeholder	What has been the impact of the project on their lives?	Provide, where possible, a quote or testimonial from the stakeholder

1

Mrs. Milika Myadayo, women leader

Before the project started, women in Pibor, including Mrs. Milika Myadayo, faced significant challenges. Their livelihoods were vulnerable due to limited skills in climatesmart agriculture and use of renewable energy. Food insecurity was a persistent problem, and economic opportunities were scarce, especially for women. **Environmental degradation** compounded these issues, with a lack of tree cover contributing to harsher conditions. Socially, women had limited platforms for dialogue and decision-making in community peacebuilding efforts.

The project empowered women by equipping them with skills in climate-smart agriculture, renewable energy, and small business enterprise management. Mrs. Milika was able to establish a backyard vegetable garden that continuously supplements her household's diet, improving food security and nutrition. This practical change not only improved her family's health but created a small income avenue. Besides economic benefits, the project fostered community engagement by enabling women like Milika to actively participate in peace dialogues and roadmap creation. Her involvement in three women's dialogue sessions and linkage with FAO for livelihood support broadened her capacity to advocate for sustainable practices and peacebuilding in her community. The planting of tree seedlings under the project improved local environmental conditions, showing a promising path toward ecological restoration.

"I have joined women's dialogue groups and taken part in three sessions that opened my eyes to how much power we have when we come together. Linking up with FAO gave us tools to build better livelihoods through small gardens right at home. When we planted tree seedlings, I saw hope, hope that our children will grow up in a greener, safer Pibor. The roadmap for peace we helped create is more than words; it's a promise we're ready to keep." Mrs. Milika Myadayo, Women Leader

		_	
2	Youth Peace Ambassadors	Awareness Campaigns: YPA-led peace, tolerance, and human rights and peer influence campaigns across the 3 project areas; Bor, Malakal and Greater Pibor Administration area (GPAA) though community meetings and radio talk shows. Participated in organization and conducting community peace dialogues: Mediated community disputes and led inter-communal and intergenerational peace dialogues. YPAs also contributed to early warning and response mechanisms by reporting emerging tensions and potential conflict indicators to IOM and local authorities. Played a role in the organization and leading community peace dialogues as noted from Malakal, among others. Their involvement enhanced local capacity for conflict prevention and improved the responsiveness of peacebuilding interventions. Additionally, the actions of the youth peace ambassadors contributed to social cohesion and coexistence as noted from one of the success stories on their actions in Pibor entitled, "Peace Begins When We Listen to Each Other"	"Through my participation in the IOM-supported Youth Peace Ambassadors (YPA) program in GPAA, I developed skills in fostering trust and empathy to unite individuals. Consequently, my colleagues and I initiated dialogue sessions, organized peace sports tournaments, and facilitated community consultation meetings that engaged both the Kurenen and Lango age-set groups." Sunday James, a youth peace ambassador in Pibor county
3	Beneficiaries of the project talk about the impact of the project in their communities	Mitigating the effect of climate change through early warning, peaceful co-existence between communities	We have learned how to approach two communities even when there is a dispute and help them come back together, especially focusing on the youth, since most of the youth are involved in conflicts." Youth Peace Ambassador James Okach, GPAA https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=u6G9GK6xtZA

4	Beneficiaries of the project talk about the impact of the project in their communities	The project significantly improved household nutrition, income generation, and access to education by providing	"We've gained seeds, tools, and veggie kits, selling produce for income and school fees. At home, greens improve
	Feedback from (2) female	seeds, tools, and vegetable	nutrition, reducing diseases."
	farmers and NRMC member	kits, enabling families to grow	Female farmer in Pibor Town,
		and sell produce. It also	25 January 2025).
		fostered community resilience	
		and knowledge-sharing, as	
		beneficiaries supported	
		neighbours with seeds and	
		techniques, creating a ripple	
		effect of sustainable farming	
		practices.	

In addition to the stakeholder specific impact described above, please use this space to describe any additional human impact that the project has had.

Please limit your response to 4000 characters.

Beyond institutional results, the project's most transformative legacy lies in the human stories of resilience, voice and agency – particularly among South Sudan's youth and rural communities. Through the YPA network and local initiatives in Pibor, the project has catalyzed personal change and strengthened social cohesion in areas long affected by displacement, climate shocks and conflict. It has also inspired new partnerships and evidence generation, as IOM and FAO jointly initiated the conceptualization of a research project with the African Development Bank (AfDB). This research focuses on transhumance and conflict dynamics across Jonglei, GPAA, and the Equatoria corridor, aiming to inform climate-security and peacebuilding interventions nationwide.

In Malakal, where past violence and political manipulation deepened divisions among Shilluk, Dinka and Nuer communities, YPAs are reweaving the social fabric one step at a time. Years of displacement and cycles of revenge left youth mistrustful and isolated. Through community dialogues, radio talk shows, and school outreach promoting peaceful coexistence, YPAs are rebuilding trust and connection. Their work is rooted in lived experience – many are returnees navigating fractured neighborhoods and lingering trauma.

The story of Lunia James Okuc, a young woman living in the Malakal PoC site, captures this transformation: "When I speak now, I'm respected." Elected as Chairperson of the YPAs in Malakal, Lunia has become a visible leader through IOM-supported trainings in mediation and public speaking. She leads campaigns on girls' education and interethnic cooperation, mentoring other youth and redefining what leadership looks like in a town once torn apart by conflict.

In Pibor, the project's impact extends beyond youth engagement to climate resilience and livelihood restoration. Mothongthoch Kengen Oleyo, a 44-year-old farmer and NRMC member from Lenyeris Boma, embodies this progress. Before the intervention, his community faced cattle raids, floods, extreme heat, and livestock diseases that devastated livelihoods and fueled tension over grazing land and water. Through FAO's support – provision of vegetable seeds, fishing kits, and participation in natural resource mapping – he regained his productive capacity. Mothongthoch now produces vegetables year-round, earning income to support his children's education and improve his family's diet. He also applies dialogue and conflict-resolution skills gained through the NRMC to foster harmony at both household and community levels. As he notes, "Due to the availability of seeds and tools provided through the project, I became actively engaged in food production, and this has greatly contributed to the availability of nutritious food for my household." As member of NRMC, he has been involved in community awareness campaigns related to cattle migration issues.

Local transformation in Pibor mirrors the broader behavioral shift seen across the YPA network. Youth from rival age-sets now co-lead peace dialogues and participate in seasonal cattle migration planning, helping prevent violence before it starts. "We used to prepare for raids. Now we prepare to speak," said one participant, reflecting the project's success in turning confrontation into communication. Women youth leaders have also emerged as strategists – organizing peace concerts, mediation sessions, and advocacy at county level, carving new spaces in governance.

Whether in urban Malakal or rural Pibor, the project's impact is felt in changed attitudes and strengthened community trust. As Mothongthoch affirms, "Dialoguing and conversation are roads to peaceful coexistence amongst our communities. We should continue to embrace peace." These are not formal titles or structures, but marks of legitimacy and trust – the true currency of peace in South Sudan. By restoring purpose, belonging and visibility to those long denied both, the project demonstrates that resilience and reconciliation can grow from the ground up, through the hands and voices of ordinary people rebuilding their futures.

You can also upload upto 3 files in various formats (picture files, powerpoint, pdf, video, etc.) to illustrate the human impact of the project

OPTIONAL

File 1	
OPTIONAL	
Human_Story_Pibor-13_18_0.docx	<u>.</u>
File 2	
OPTIONAL	
Human_Story_Pibor(2)-13_18_13.docx	<u>*</u>
File 3	
OPTIONAL	
Click here to upload file. (< 10MB)	
You can also add upto 3 links to online resources which illustrate the human impact of the project OPTIONAL	
Link 1	
OPTIONAL	
Article: Youth lead efforts to heal South Sudan's war-torn communities:https://www.aljazeera.com/gallery/2025/3/21/the-flickering-hope-for-peace-in-south-sudan	
Link 2	
OPTIONAL	
https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=u6G9GK6xtZA https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=rj-Lg1SLRDg	
Link 3	
OPTIONAL	

Please tick the applicable change based on above narrative.
How we worked:
Please select up to 3. Enhanced digitization
Innovative ways of working
Mobilized additional resources
Improved or initiated policy frameworks
Strengthened capacities
Partnered with with local/grassroots Civil Society Organizations
Expanding coalitions & galvanizing political will
✓ Strengthened partnerships with IFIs
Strengthened partnerships with UN Agencies
Please limit your response to 3000 characters. The introduction of the Human-Centred Design (HCD) approach with YPAs represents an innovative shift toward cocreation, allowing youth to identify, prototype and test locally grounded solutions to peace and climate challenges. This method has deepened ownership, creativity, and adaptability – ensuring interventions are not only context-specific but youth-led from ideation to implementation
Please explain one of the selected options Please limit your response to 3000 characters. Trained local officials and partners in conflict analysis, mediation, and negotiation to manage disputes and foster peace at the local level. The project facilitated workshops on climate resilience strategies, such as sustainable agriculture, disaster preparedness, and early warning systems. In addition, women's involvement in decision-making roles within local government and partner organizations was promoted while assisting local government to develop policies and frameworks that address peacebuilding, gender inclusion, and climate resilience.
Please explain one of the selected options Please limit your response to 3000 characters. IOM is exploring complementarity with the World Bank-funded project in Jonglei/GPAA through supporting the implementation of youth-led initiatives included in the Payam Development Plans. Also, IOM and FAO have initiated the conceptualization of a joint research project with the African Development Bank (AfDB) to examine the linkages between transhumance and conflict across Jonglei, GPAA, and the Equatoria corridor, with the aim of informing evidence-based interventions on climate security and pastoral mobility

Please explain

Please limit your response to 3000 characters

In terms of IFIs, IOM is exploring operational complementarity with the World Bank-funded Enhancing Community and Local Governance Project (ECRP) in Jonglei and GPAA. This includes aligning support to youth-led priorities that are articulated in Payam Development Plans, particularly where the Peacebuilding Fund project has already built community consensus and implementation capacity.

Within the UN system, coordination and joint implementation remain key strengths. The project has deepened the operational partnership between FAO and UN Women, particularly around resilience building and climate-responsive governance. At the same time, IOM's collaboration with UNESCO under the Youth, Peace and Security (YPS) portfolio has supported the integration of local youth priorities into national policy dialogue. Notably, YPAs who were mobilized through this project participated in UNESCO-led consultations for South Sudan's draft YPS strategy – bridging local voices with national policymaking.

The project has also partnered with seven national CSOs, who serve not just as implementing partners, but as trusted community interlocutors. These include CEPO, SUDDA, VSF-Germany, Empowered Youth Africa (EYA), African Women Empowered (AWE), Norwegian People's Aid (NPA), and the Organization for Peace, Relief and Development (OPRD). Through these partnerships, the project ensures that activities are locally grounded, culturally relevant, and responsive to community dynamics. CSO partners have taken the lead in organizing community dialogues, delivering awareness campaigns, and facilitating early warning systems – all of which are essential to localized peacebuilding.

Academic collaboration is also part of the project's strategic framework. The University of Juba and National Transformational Leadership Institute have been engaged, particularly in support of research and capacity-building efforts related to climate security and youth leadership. These collaborations contribute to knowledge generation and provide entry points for future research-policy linkages.

The project also works directly with both sub-national and national government institutions. At the sub-national level, the Ministries of Peacebuilding, Agriculture, Gender, and Local Government in Jonglei, GPAA, and Upper Nile have participated in planning, implementation, and monitoring activities – signaling increased ownership and policy alignment. At the national level, the Ministry of Peacebuilding plays a coordinating role, particularly through the Peacebuilding Partners Forum and roadmap endorsement processes.

The backbone of this project remains its strong reliance on community-based volunteers. For instance, the YPAs, water committee members, and local dialogue facilitators are playing central roles in sustaining peacebuilding efforts. Their continued engagement exemplifies the project's commitment to bottom-up, inclusive and peoplecentred peace.

Select all beneficiaries targeted with the PBF resources as evidenced by the narrative Mandatory	*
Unemployed persons	
Minorities (e.g. race, ethnicity, linguistic, religion, etc.)	
Indigenous communities	
Persons with Disabilities	
Persons affected by violence (including GBV)	
Women	
Youth	
Children	
Minorities related to sexual orientation and/or gender identity and expression	
People living in and around border areas	
Persons affected by natural disasters	
Persons affected by armed conflicts	
✓ Internally displaced persons, refugees or migrants	
PART IV: Monitoring, Evaluation and Compliance » Monitoring	
Please list key monitoring activities undertaken in the reporting period	*
Please limit your response to 3000 characters.	
Please limit your response to 3000 characters. • Field monitoring by the consortium members	
Please limit your response to 3000 characters. • Field monitoring by the consortium members • Perception survey	with entation. The llowing
 Please limit your response to 3000 characters. Field monitoring by the consortium members Perception survey Managing complaints and feedback mechanism Mid-term review:Between November 2024 and February 2025, IOM commissioned an independent conduct a mid-term review (MTR). The review applied qualitative methods and involved consultations approximately 250 respondents across project sites, in addition to a thorough review of project docume evaluation was guided by PBF and OECD-DAC criteria and was finalized within the reporting period. Follows: 	with entation. The llowing
 Please limit your response to 3000 characters. Field monitoring by the consortium members Perception survey Managing complaints and feedback mechanism Mid-term review:Between November 2024 and February 2025, IOM commissioned an independent conduct a mid-term review (MTR). The review applied qualitative methods and involved consultations approximately 250 respondents across project sites, in addition to a thorough review of project docume evaluation was guided by PBF and OECD-DAC criteria and was finalized within the reporting period. For completion, the consortium held an internal meeting to review the findings and identify follow-up active. 	with entation. The llowing
Please limit your response to 3000 characters. • Field monitoring by the consortium members • Perception survey • Managing complaints and feedback mechanism • Mid-term review:Between November 2024 and February 2025, IOM commissioned an independent conconduct a mid-term review (MTR). The review applied qualitative methods and involved consultations approximately 250 respondents across project sites, in addition to a thorough review of project docume evaluation was guided by PBF and OECD-DAC criteria and was finalized within the reporting period. For completion, the consortium held an internal meeting to review the findings and identify follow-up actions to be a selected project of the consortium held an internal meeting to review the findings and identify follow-up actions.	with entation. The llowing

If yes, please provide a brief description. If not, explain why not and when they will be available. Please limit your response to 3000 characters.

At the inception phase of this project, a baseline survey was conducted. The findings of the baseline survey were used to determine the baseline values of the outcome indicators.

Elaborate on what sources of evidence have been used to report on indicators (and are available upon request)

Please limit your response to 3000 characters.

Evidence collected for indicators is grounded in data from project activity reports, perception surveys, and beneficiary feedback collected during community engagement events. FAO's Accountability for Affected Populations (AAP) mechanism and the Grievance Redress Mechanism (GRM) hotline have been instrumental in collecting community responses and ensuring transparency and responsiveness

Has the project launched outcome level data collection initiatives? e.g. perception surveys

Perception survey is a formal collection of information from a randomly selected sample of respondents through their responses to standardized questions. See PBF Guidance Note for more information <u>link</u>





No

Please provide a brief description

Please limit your response to 3000 characters.

• A perception survey was planned internally by the Monitoring and evaluation integrated team (M&EIT) • A concept note integrating the purpose and objectives of the perception survey, methodology and the tools of data collection has been developed. • The data collection was reviewed and the final tool designed on Kobo-collect and thereafter the data collection launched and completed • The data collected was analysed and reported written and results used to provide important data used for the measurement of the outcomes for the project.

Has the project used or established community feedback mechanisms?
Community feedback mechanism, or community-based monitoring, is an organized system for communities of participants to monitor the local effects and impact of an intervention. Ideally, this system empowers the community to express whether their expectations are being met and to provide suggestions to decision-makers for possible (re)focusing. See PBF Guidance Note for more information. Iink
Yes
○ No
Please provide a brief description
Please limit your response to 3000 characters. The project has established functional community complaints and feedback mechanisms in its areas of operation,
with a focus on enhancing AAP and ensuring transparency in service delivery. These mechanisms include GRM
committees, suggestion boxes, logbooks and direct beneficiary engagement. In Pibor County, the GRM Assistant established AAP/GRM committees across four Payams: Kavachoch, Akilo, Lenyris, and Manyirang. Committees were
supported by direct interaction with beneficiaries, GRM/AAP forms, and suggestion boxes placed at community
sites. During April 2025, a total of 13 complaints were formally documented from 13 beneficiaries – 9 female and 4 male. The complaints raised included delays in the distribution of main season response (MSR) inputs, missing crop
kits (maize, sorghum, cowpeas), incomplete vegetable kits (collard, amaranths, and eggplants), lack of PPE such as raincoats, and unfulfilled livestock treatment services. Of the 13 complaints received, five were responded to.
Beneficiaries who lodged these were informed that they would receive support in the next season response cycle for
the items they had not received, specifically crop kits, vegetable seeds, and fishing kits. In Bor County, the GRM Assistant successfully established five functional GRM committees across the Payams of Makuach, Jalle, Baidit,
Anyidi, and Kolnyang. Each committee comprised 10 members – 5 female and 5 male – ensuring gender-balanced
representation. In addition to direct committee engagement, suggestion boxes and grievance logbooks were placed at strategic community access points. Through these mechanisms, a total of 60 complaints were recorded and fully
resolved. These included 28 from male and 32 from female beneficiaries. The issues raised primarily focused on the
inadequacy of distributed agricultural inputs, including crop seeds, vegetable seeds, fishing kits, and farming tools. Complaints also cited delays in input delivery and expressed preferences for alternative input types better suited to
local needs. Across both counties, the feedback mechanisms have not only improved transparency and
responsiveness but also served as a critical learning loop for the project team
» Evaluation
Is the project on track to conduct its evaluation?
Yes
O No
Not Applicable
Evaluation budget (in USD) included in the project budget:
Response required
65000

	next six months, is you	r upcoming evaluation	n on track?	
Yes				
No Not Applicable				
Not Applicable				
Please limit your response to 3000 characters. Preparations for the endline evaluation are currently on track and aligned with the project's closure timeline. An evaluation budget of USD 65,000 has been allocated for this purpose within the overall project budget. The evaluation process will follow a phased, consultative approach to ensure quality, rigour and accountability. A detailed Terms of Reference (ToR) is developed and reviewed by IOM's internal technical M&E team in coordination with the consortium's technical M&E staff and respective project managers from IOM, FAO and UN Women. This collaborative review process will ensure that the scope, methodology and evaluation criteria are clearly defined and aligned with PBF requirements. Upon finalization and approval of the ToR, a public call for applications will be issued. Eligible candidates will be shortlisted and interviewed by the consortium. Following the selection and contracting of the evaluator, the evaluation will commence. The process will culminate in the submission of a draft report for review and feedback, followed by a validation workshop. The final report will be submitted to the consortium lead and subsequently shared with the PBF Secretariat.				
Contact information	Name	Organization	Job title	Email
Please mention the focal person responsible for sharing the final evaluation report with the PBF:	Philip Gunta IOM	IOM South Sudan	M&E Officer	pmega@iom.int
» Catalytic Effect				
Catalytic Effect (financial): Has the project mobilized additional non-PBF financial resources since the project's start? Yes No				
How many funders has the project received additional non-PBF funding from since the project started ?				

If yes, please indicate name of all funding agencies and respective amounts of additional non-PBF funding support that has been leveraged by the project since it started , as well as specifically during this reporting period Please enter each funding agent and their contributions separately
Name of Funder European Union
Amount mobilized since project's start (USD) Please use a dot (.) as decimal separator, instead of a comma (,) 932000
Amount mobilized during reporting period (USD) Please use a dot (.) as decimal separator, instead of a comma (,) 598000
Catalytic Effect (non-financial): Has the project enabled or created a larger or longer-term peacebuilding change to occur, in addition to the direct project changes? Please refer to PBF Catalytic Effect Guidelines for more information. Yes No
If yes, please select the relevant option below: Some catalytic effect Significant catalytic effect

*

If relevant, please describe how the project has had a (non-financial) catalytic effect, i.e. removed barriers to unblock stalled political, institutional or other peacebuilding processes at different levels in a country, and/or created the conditions to establish new processes to do so

Please limit your response to 3000 characters.

If relevant, please describe how the project has had a (non-financial) catalytic effect, i.e. removed barriers to unblock stalled political, institutional or other peacebuilding processes at different levels in a country, and/or created the conditions to establish new processes to do so (3000 characters):

The customary law review process in Jonglei and GPAA has catalyzed significant non-financial changes that strengthen peacebuilding efforts at multiple levels. One key impact has been the reinforcement of institutional trust between communities and government entities. By involving traditional authorities, community leaders and local stakeholders in the customary law review process and strengthening their cooperation, the project has facilitated dialogue that bridges the gap between customary practices and formal local governance actors. This inclusive approach has built trust, enabling smoother collaboration and fostering community confidence in government-supported peacebuilding processes. Such trust is crucial for ongoing engagement and policy acceptance, laying the foundation for future peace initiatives.

The process has also been a powerful tool for empowering marginalized groups, particularly women and youth. Traditionally excluded from decision making spaces, their inclusion in the customary law review process aims at shifting local power dynamics in favour of more inclusive governance models. Women and youth have gained opportunities to not only participate in legal and community discussions, contributing their perspectives on critical issues such as marriage rights and dowry, but also to be integrated local governance institutions like the Customary Law Councils.

Moreover, the customary law review process has strengthened the position of traditional leaders as mediators and agents of peace. By legitimizing their authority through the structured review of laws, traditional leaders have been empowered to continue upholding their mandate as custodians of justice and social cohesion. The presence of inclusive and accountable traditional leadership has helped curb tensions and encouraged peaceful dispute resolution, supporting long-term stability at the grassroots level.

These combined effects have created an environment where communities feel represented, engaged and ready to collaborate with government structures, thereby removing barriers stalling peacebuilding and fostering a more sustainable foundation for policy advancement and conflict resolution.

Sustainability

Please describe any steps that have been taken to ensure the sustainability of peacebuilding gains, including any mechanisms, platforms, networks and socio-economic initiatives supported, beyond the duration of the project

Please limit your response to 3000 characters.

The project has taken deliberate and layered steps to ensure that peacebuilding outcomes are sustained beyond the project's duration, with a focus on institutional ownership, local leadership and integrated, socio-economic initiatives.

At the community level, sustainability has been fostered through the establishment and capacity strengthening of inclusive local structures such as peace committees, YPAs, water user groups and customary law working groups. These bodies now lead on conflict mediation, early warning and climate-informed resource management. In areas like Malakal and Pibor, former youth-at-risk groups have transformed into recognized peace actors who independently convene dialogues and awareness campaigns, supported by traditional leaders and local authorities. To reinforce these gains, the project has supported the development of community-led peacebuilding roadmaps and action plans, which serve as locally owned blueprints for addressing conflict drivers and integrating peace and climate resilience into broader development efforts. In GPAA the Akilo community's NRM Plan – developed with FAO technical support – has already led to the creation of community-run water committees and protected grazing areas, with clear local enforcement mechanisms. NRMCs in Jonglei developed their action plan enhancing them by agreed by-laws for suitable coordination and suitability of their action related to fisheries and crops or vegetable productions.

At the governance level, the project has worked closely with sub-national institutions to ensure alignment with state priorities. In Jonglei, GPAA and Upper Nile, ministries of peacebuilding, agriculture, and gender have actively co-implemented activities and are part of field-level coordination platforms. including rehabilitating trunk roads linking Pibor town to surrounding payams – a concrete investment in mobility, safety and peace dividends. The codification of customary law, particularly the Murle Customary Law Bill, represents a structural legacy of the project. The bill, developed with broad community consultation and currently under legislative review, promotes legal clarity, gender inclusion and alignment with national standards. Once passed, it will be institutionalized through awareness and training, creating a harmonized, rights-aware system for local dispute resolution.

Socio-economic resilience has also been built through livelihood initiatives linked to peace objectives, such as the training of CAHWs, rehabilitation of fishponds in flood-prone areas, and provision of agricultural inputs. These activities reduce conflict over resources while offering pathways to income generation and food security. Finally, the project has embedded sustainability through strong youth and women's leadership platforms. The YPAs are now locally recognized, linked to state-level policy forums, and engaged in national-level processes such as the development of South Sudan's Youth, Peace and Security Strategy. These connections ensure continuity, visibility and institutional relevance of the peacebuilding gains achieved through the project.

*

Are there any other issues concerning project implementation that you want to share, including any capacity needs of the recipient organizations?

Please limit your response to 3000 characters.

First, sustainability remains a central concern, as highlighted in the mid-term review. While the project has succeeded in building community structures and local ownership, many youth- and women-led groups lack access to continued funding and institutional support beyond the project's lifespan. Strengthening these structures through long-term mentorship, access to small grants, and linkages with government or development actors would be essential to sustain peace and climate resilience gains. For instance, the Community Animal Health Workers (CAHWs) members at Boma and Payam level enable the interventions to reach the grassroot or remote areas for vaccination and treatment of cattle while contributing to cattle raid prevention trough community awareness.

Second, while the consortium has benefitted from strong coordination, there is a recognized need to further institutionalize joint monitoring, learning and adaptive planning across agencies. The project would benefit from the PBF Secretariat's M&E support in terms of PBF-specific capacity building on results-based reporting, indicator harmonization and adaptive learning, particularly to enhance inter-agency coordination and improve the quality of joint monitoring and analysis.

Monitoring and Oversight Activities

Please describe any key event related to monitoring and oversight. Please click next if no activities have yet taken place.

Events include Steering Committee meetings, Monitoring visits, Third party monitoring, Community based monitoring, any data collection, Perception or other survey findings, evaluation reports, audit or investigations.

Monitoring and oversight activities	Name of the Event	Summary	Key Findings
Event 1	Focus group discussions.	Field monitoring visits assessed project progress in Manyirany and Kavacchoch Boma, Pibor county, focusing on agriculture input distribution. Focus group discussions with 10 participants (6 females, 4 males) used qualitative methods to collect perceptions and opinions.	The participants mentioned receiving vegetable kits, fishing equipment, and tools, which they believe will help secure food during harvest and generate income by selling surplus in local markets. The participants expressed concern over insufficient crop kits and one sachet of jew mallow, recommending 2-3 sachets per household for improved food production and sales during distribution.

	ultation meeting with ementing partners	This meeting brins together partners to discuss the project implementation progress and challenges.	This process enables UN Women to review reporting template and liquidation of cash advances
Event 3 Perce	eption survey	Community peacebuilding efforts include climate resilience integration, multi-stakeholder dialogues, and functional feedback mechanisms. Customary institutions effectively address disputes, with 79% perceiving them as fair. Increased climate awareness and collaboration foster sustainable development and community cohesion	Violence Reduction: 66% of respondents observed a decrease in community violence; 89% felt safe walking during the day and 42% at night; community peace dialogues, youth ambassador programs, and radio talk shows were identified as key contributors. Natural Resource Cooperation: 75% expressed willingness to collaborate with neighboring communities; 87% rated joint resource management as successful, with water resources being the most commonly comanaged. Climate Change Awareness: 66% rated their knowledge as high or very high; 90% reported improved understanding over the past year; 99% of trained participants found sessions helpful, especially on early warning systems and climate-smart agriculture. Customary Institutions: 71% of families rely on customary institutions for dispute resolution; 92% believe these are fair and impartial, with increased reliance noted over the past year.

_			
Event 4	Post dialogue survey (September to October 2025)	This survey was launched to measure outcomes of peace dialogues in the communities including actions of peace ambassadors and community members knowledge on early warning and early action. Detailed data analysis will be completed and report shared in the next reporting period.	Community knowledge on EWEA noted at 47%. 38% of youth and women felt empowered to lead peace advocacy in the community. YPAs believe their ability for peace advocacy and cooperation is at 98%.
Event 5	UN Women Deputy Country Representative joint field monitoring visit to Malakal	Courtesy Visits to Hon. Minister of Peace Building (Hon Jeremiah Deng Akol), H.E. Deputy Governor (Hon. Deng Jok Angok), Ministry of Gender and Child Protection (Mary Hassen Malual, the Director General), Relief and Rehabilitation Commission (Hon Pal Chol), Women association, Youth association, UNMISS & UNFAO	Interviews conducted with stakeholders revealed implementing partner demonstrates synergy with government institutions. Staff demonstrated a clear understanding of the project and its implementation strategy. There is a lack of robust linkage between the project and the services not directly provided to the participants. Synergy in peacebuilding programs is crucial for supporting other development initiatives, and ongoing community engagement is essential for sustaining peace.

Event 6	Joint field monitoring visit to Bor	Courtesy Visit to Chairperson RRC (Hon Michael Mading), Ministry of Gender, Labour & Child Protection (Elijah Mayen,	Interviews with stakeholders indicated that the implementing partner effectively collaborates with
		of Gender, Labour & Child	partner effectively
			increased community engagement in peacebuilding activities, with notable participation in dialogues, reconciliation processes, and local decision making.

Event 7			
	Joint field monitoring visit to Pibor	Courtesy Visit to Ministry of Local Government & Law Enforcement (Hon Korok Nhial), Ministry of Gender, Labour & Child Protection (Hon Elizabeth Korok Teny), Ministry of Information (Hon Weleyo Akuer)	The Minister acknowledged that relative peace has been maintained over the past two years, a notable improvement from the clashes between 2013 and 2021 that had previously heightened tensions among youth. Environmental shocks in GPAA vary by county, disproportionately affecting rural women. Hon. Korok recommended expanding the project's reach to rural areas and prioritizing intertribal peacebuilding efforts, particularly between the Lou Nuer and Murle communities. There is also a need to support the Ministry of Local Government and Law Enforcement in establishing peace committees at the payam and boma levels, especially during the rainy season, which keeps youth localized. The Minister highlighted the importance of supporting livelihood programs for women and youth and confirmed their active involvement in peacebuilding dialogues. In addition, promoting sustainable agricultural practices and alternative livelihoods can reduce community vulnerability to climate change, while the development and implementation of early warning systems and disaster risk reduction
			strategies will mitigate the impact of climate-related events.

Event 8		

Final Steps

- Please save a PDF copy of the form by clicking on the *Printer* icon on the top right corner of the page.
- A dialogue box will appear: Please select the A4 size and portrait orientation.
- Click "prepare" and save the document as a PDF.
- (If on first attempt, the generated page is not readable, close the pop up page and go back to the first page of the online form using the "Return to Beginning" option and try to print the PDF version from there)
- After printing the PDF version, please submit the report in the last page of the form. You can use the "Go to End" button in the bottom right corner.
- In compliance with our reporting requirements, please upload the PDF version of the report as well as your *financial report in excel format* to the MPTF-O Gateway.

If you encounter any difficulty in filling the form or generating the print-out for MPTFO Gateway, please contact Gabriel Velastegui <u>gabriel.velasteguimoya@un.org</u>

Thank You. You have finished the report. Please Click on the SUBMIT button below. When the report is submitted, a confirmation note will appear on a yellow banner on top of the page. This can take a few seconds.