

PBF November 2025 Project Progress Report



PROJECT OVERVIEW

Thank you for taking the time to complete the PBF Progress report. For projects with more than one recipient, please consult among co-recipients prior to filling out the form to ensure collaboration on the responses. If you have any questions or require technical assistance in filling out the form, please send an email to gabriel.velasteguimoya@un.org

Click Next below to start

» Report Submission

Type of report

Semi-annual

Annual

Final

Other

*

Date of submission of report

2025-12-12

*

Name and title of person submitting the report

Samuel MUTUNGI, Main coordinator

*

E-mail of person submitting the report

mutungi@un.org

*

Name and title of person who approved the report

Arnaud ROYER, Representative

*

Have all fund recipients for this project contributed to the report?

Yes

No

*

Did PBF Secretariat or RCO focal point review the report?

You should normally ensure that the PBF Secretariat or the PBF focal point have an opportunity to review.

Yes

No

Not Applicable

*

» Project Information and Geographical Scope

Is this a cross-border project?

Yes

No

*

Please select the geographical region in which the project is implemented

- Asia and the Pacific Central & Southern Africa East Africa
- Europe and Central Asia Global Latin America and the Caribbean
- Middle East and North Africa West Africa

*

Country of project implementation

- Bolivia Colombia Costa Rica
- Dominican Republic Ecuador El Salvador
- Guatemala Haiti Honduras
- Peru Other, Specify

*

Other, please specify

Project Title

*

- 00108363: Appui à la résolution des conflits et à la promotion de la cohésion sociale dans la ville de Jérémie à travers les organisations de jeunes
- 00127434: Appui au Processus Électoral en Haïti (PAPEH) : Prévention des violences liées aux élections y compris la violence politique à l'égard des femmes en Haïti.
- 00130791: Fanm Djanm pou Lapè ak Rekonsilyasyon
- 00120132: Projet Secrétariat: Appui à la Coordination et au Suivi des Projets du Fonds pour la Consolidation de la Paix en Haïti
- 00129744: Promotion de la cohésion sociale par le renforcement de la santé mentale et du bien-être psychosocial des femmes et des filles dans le contexte d'insécurité et d'instabilité politique en Haïti.
- 00124535: Réduction de la violence communautaire à Martissant et La Saline
- 00129740: Renforcer la cohésion sociale en Haïti au travers de mécanismes de redevabilité et de transparence opérationnels
- 00119937: Renforcer l'accès à la justice des populations les plus vulnérables, en particulier les femmes et les enfants en vue d'une meilleure cohésion sociale
- 00119985: Renforcer les capacités nationales pour le contrôle des armes et des munitions
- 00125915: Semans lapè: yon jenès angaje pou konstwi yon sosyete miyo / Semences de paix : une jeunesse haïtienne engagée pour construire une société meilleure
- 00133352: Dialogue national pour une économie inclusive et porteuse de paix
- 00134226: Renforcer le leadership et la participation des femmes dans la prévention et la résolution de conflits pour une paix durable en Haïti (Femmes pour la paix)
- 00134293: Construction et institutionnalisation des fondations de l'infrastructure nationale de paix en Haïti
- 00140009: Appui à la Coordination et au Suivi des Projets du Fonds pour la Consolidation de la Paix (PBF) en Haïti
- 00140128: Jèn Yo La (Les jeunes sont la !)

- 00140285: Vers un engagement des acteurs pour la réduction de la violence communautaires dans les quartiers à risque
- 00140523: Jeunesse agroécologie et emplois verts pour la paix en Haïti
- 00140651: Appuyer les initiatives nationales en matière de renforcement de l'autorité de l'État afin d'améliorer les relations Etat-Citoyen et encourager le développement d'une paix durable en Haïti
- 00140927: OHCHR support to the Multinational Security Support (MSS) mission to Haiti
- 00140989: 'Fanm lidè k ap lite pou gen akse ak latè ak lapè': Rural Women as catalysts for sustainable peace over land in Haiti
- 00140991: Étendre et protéger un espace civique sûr et inclusif pour les jeunes haïtiennes et haïtiens dans la perspective de la transition vers les élections
- Other, Specify

*

Write the 8 digit MPTFO number and Project Title exactly as it appears in the Project Document

EXAMPLE: 00118938: Community-based prevention of violence and social cohesion using innovation for young people in displaced and host communities

Please select the geographical region(s) in which the project is implemented *

If the project you are looking for does not appear in the following question, please make sure that you have selected the correct regions. A limited number of cross border projects span multiple geographic regions. For example, a cross border project between Niger and Chad spans both West Africa and Central & Southern Africa

Asia and the Pacific

Central & Southern Africa

East Africa

Europe and Central Asia

Global

Latin America and the Caribbean

Middle East and North Africa

West Africa

Please select the title of the project for which you are submitting the report *

Write the 8 digit MPTFO numbers and Project Title exactly as it appears in the Project Document *

EXAMPLE: 00129699/700: Supporting Cross-Border Cooperation for Increased Community Resilience and Social Cohesion in The Gambia and Senegal

Please select the countries where this project is being implemented *

Other, Please specify *

Project Start Date (Date of first transfer)

2024-12-04

Project End Date

2026-02-28

Has this project received an extension?

YES, Cost Extension

YES, No Cost Extension

YES, Both Cost and No Cost Extensions

NO, No Extensions

Will this project be requesting an extension?

- YES, Cost Extension
- YES, No Cost Extension
- YES, Both Cost and No Cost Extensions
- NO, No Extensions

*

Will this project be submitting a Fund Transfer Request (FTR) in the next six months?

- Yes
- No

*

If so, around which month do you expect to submit the request?

- January
- February
- March
- April
- May
- June
- July
- August
- September
- October
- November
- December

*

Is the current project end date within 6 months?

Yes

No

*

Is funding disbursed either into a national or regional trust fund?

Yes

No

*

If yes, please select which

National Trust Fund

Regional Trust Fund

*

Recipients

Is the convening agency a UN agency or a non UN entity?



UN entity



Non-UN Entity

*

*

Please select the convening agency recipient

- UNDP: United Nations Development Programme IOM: International Organization for Migration
- UNICEF: United Nations Children's Fund
- OHCHR: Office of the United Nations High Commissioner for Human Rights
- UNWOMEN: United Nations Entity for Gender Equality and the Empowerment of Women
- UNHCR: United Nations High Commissioner for Refugees UNFPA: United Nations Population Fund
- FAO: Food and Agriculture Organization WFP: World Food Programme
- UNHABITAT: United Nations Human Settlements Programme
- UNESCO: United Nations Educational, Scientific and Cultural Organization
- UNEP: United Nations Environment Programme ILO: International Labour Organization
- WHO: World Health Organization PAHO/WHO
- UNCDF: United Nations Capital Development Fund UNODC: United Nations Office on Drugs and Crime
- UNOPS: United Nations Office for Project Services
- UNIDO: United Nations Industrial Development Organization ITC: International Trade Centre
- UNDPO Other, Specify

*

Other, Please specify

Are there other recipients for this project?

- No other recipients
- Yes, other UN recipients only
- Yes, other non-UN recipients only
- Yes, both UN and non-UN recipients

*

*

Please select other UN recipients

Select all that apply

- UNDP: United Nations Development Programme IOM: International Organization for Migration
- UNICEF: United Nations Children's Fund
- OHCHR: Office of the United Nations High Commissioner for Human Rights
- UNWOMEN: United Nations Entity for Gender Equality and the Empowerment of Women
- UNHCR: United Nations High Commissioner for Refugees UNFPA: United Nations Population Fund
- FAO: Food and Agriculture Organization WFP: World Food Programme
- UNHABITAT: United Nations Human Settlements Programme
- UNESCO: United Nations Educational, Scientific and Cultural Organization
- UNEP: United Nations Environment Programme ILO: International Labour Organization
- WHO: World Health Organization PAHO/WHO
- UNCDF: United Nations Capital Development Fund UNODC: United Nations Office on Drugs and Crime
- UNOPS: United Nations Office for Project Services
- UNIDO: United Nations Industrial Development Organization ITC: International Trade Centre
- UN Department of Peace Operations Other, Specify

*

Other, Please specify

.....

Please select other non-UN recipients

- ACTED Action Aid UK AAITG (ActionAid the Gambia)
- AEDE African Centre for the Constructive Resolution of Disputes (ACCORD)
- Agence de Coopération et de Recherche pour le Développement (ACORD)
- American Friends Service Committee (AFSC) Avocats Sans Frontières
- Avocats Sans Frontières Belgium Avocats sans frontières Canada Ayuda en Accion
- BIRN - Balkan Investigative Reporting Network BIOM - Youth Ecological Movement
- CARE International UK Centre d'étude et de coopération internationale (CECI) - BF
- Christian Aid Ireland COIPRODEN Concern Worldwide
- Conexion Guatemala COOPI - Cooperazione Internazionale CORD Burundi
- CORDAID Corporacion Sisma Mujer CRS - Catholic Relief Services
- DanChurchAid Danish Refugee Council EQUITAS
- Fund for Congolese Women Fundacion Estudios Superior (FESU) Fundación Mi Sangre (FMS)
- Fundación Nacional para el Desarrollo de Honduras (FUNADEH) Fundación para la Libertad de Prensa (FLIP)
- Geneva Centre for Security Sector Governance (DCAF) HELVETAS Swiss Intercooperation
- Humanity & Inclusion (HI) ICTJ (International Center for Transitional Justice)
- Instituto Holandes para Democracia Multipartidaria (NIMD) Integrity Watch
- International Alert International Rescue Committee Interpeace
- Kvinna till Kvinna Foundation Life and Peace Institute (LPI)
- MDG-EISA - Institut Electoral pour une Démocratie Durable en Afrique (EISA), bureau de Madagascar

- Mercy Corps MLAL - ProgettoMondo MSIS-TATAO
- NIMD (Netherlands Institute for Multiparty Democracy) Nonviolent Peaceforce
- Norwegian Refugee Council (NRC) Nile Sustainable Development Organization - NSDO
- OCNH-Organisation des Citoyens pour une Nouvelle Haïti OIKOS
- ONG Adkoul - ONG Adkoul ONG AZHAR OXFAM
- Peace Direct Plan International PNG UN Country Fund
- Red de Instituciones por los Derechos de la Niñez ROI - Roza Otunbayeva Initiati
- Saferworld Sampan'Asa Momba ny Fampandrosoana (SAF/FJKM)
- Save the Children Search for Common Ground (SFCG)
- SIHA (Strategic Initiative for Women in the Horn of Africa) SismaMujer
- SOS Sahel Sudan Stichting Impunity Watch Tearfund
- The Carter Center, Inc. Trocaire War Child
- War Childhood Museum (WCM) World Vision International World Vision Myanmar
- ZOA blank_placeholder Other, Please specify

Other, Please specify

*

Implementing Partners

To how many implementing partners has the project transferred money **since the project's start** ?

0

To how many implementing partners has the project transferred money **during this calendar**

period ?

*(for June reports: January-June;
for November reports: January-December (anticipated);
for final reports: full project duration)*

0

Financial Reporting

» Delivery by Recipient

Please enter the total amounts in full US dollars allocated to each recipient organization

Please enter the original budget amount, amount transferred to date and estimated expenditure by recipient.

Please make sure you enter the correct amount. All values should be entered in US Dollars

For cross-border projects, group the amounts by agency, even if different country offices are involved. You will have the opportunity to share a more detailed budget in the next section.

Recipients	Total Project Budget (in full US \$) <i>Please enter the total budget as is in the project document in US Dollars</i>	Transfers to date (in full US \$) <i>Please enter the total amount transferred to each recipient to date in US Dollars</i>	Expenditure to date (in full US \$) <i>Please enter the approximate amount spent to date in US dollars</i>	Implementation rate as a percentage of total budget <i>(calculated automatically)</i>
-------------------	--	---	---	---

OHCHR: Office of the United Nations High Commission er for Human Rights	500000	500000	410818	82.16%
	*	*	*	%
	*	*	*	%
	*	*	*	%
	*	*	*	%

*	*	*	*	*	*	*
%	%	%	%	%	%	%
*	*	*	*	*	*	*
*	*	*	*	*	*	*
*	*	*	*	*	*	*

* The approximate implementation rate as percentage of total project budget based on the values entered in the above matrix is **82.16%**. Can you confirm that this is correct?

Correct Incorrect

* If it is incorrect, please enter the approximate implementation rate as a %

» Gender-responsive Budgeting

* Indicate what **percentage (%)** of the budget contributes to gender equality or women's empowerment (GEWE) as per the project document?

66.48

* The dollar amount of the budget contributing to Gender Equality and Women's Empowerment (GEWE) based on percentage entered above and total project budget is **US \$ 332400**. Can you confirm that this is correct?

Correct Incorrect

* If it is incorrect, please enter the *budget amount* allocated to GEWE in US Dollars

* Amount expended to date on efforts contributing to gender equality or women's empowerment is

US \$ 273111.81. Is this correct?



Correct



Incorrect

* If it is incorrect, please enter the *expenditure to date* on GEWE in US dollars

* ATTACH PROJECT EXCEL BUDGET SHOWING CURRENT APPROXIMATE EXPENDITURE.
The templates for the budget are available [here](#)

PBF Financial Report MSS - November 2025 OHCHR PBF MSS 251119-20_28_55.xlsx



Project Markers

Please select the Gender Marker Associated with this project

*

- Score 1 for projects that contribute in some way to gender equality, but not significantly (less than 30% of the total budget for GEWE)
- Score 2 for projects that have gender equality as a significant objective and allocate between 30 and 79% of the total project budget to GEWE
- Score 3 for projects that have gender equality as a principal objective and allocate at least 80% of the total project budget to Gender Equality and Women's Empowerment (GEWE)

Please select the Risk Marker Associated with this project

*

- Risk marker 0 = low risk to achieving outcomes
- Risk marker 1 = medium risk to achieving outcomes
- Risk marker 2 = high risk to achieving outcomes

Please select the PBF Focus Area associated with this project

- (1.1) Security Sector Reform
- (1.2) Rule of Law
- (1.3) Demobilisation, Disarmament and Reintegration
- (1.4) Political Dialogue
- (2.1) National reconciliation
- (2.2) Democratic Governance
- (2.3) Conflict prevention/management
- (3.1) Employment
- (3.2) Equitable access to social services
- (4.1) Strengthening of essential national state capacity
- (4.2) Extension of state authority/Local Administration
- (4.3) Governance of peacebuilding resources (including PBF Secretariats)

*

Is the project part of one or more PBF priority windows? *

Select all that apply

- Gender promotion initiative
- Youth promotion initiative
- Transition from UN or regional peacekeeping or special political missions
- Cross-border or regional project
- None

Steering Committee and Government engagement

Does the project have an active steering committee/ project board? *

- Yes
- No

If yes, please indicate how many times the Project Steering Committee has met over the last 6 months?

Please limit your response to 3000 characters

.....

*

Please provide a brief description of any engagement that the project has had with the government over the last 6 months. Please indicate what level of government the project has been engaging with.

Please limit your response to 3000 characters

NA

PART I: OVERALL PROJECT PROGRESS

NOTES FOR COMPLETING THE REPORT:

- Avoid acronyms and UN jargon, use general /common language.
- Report on what has been achieved in the reporting period, not what the project aims to do.
- Be as concrete as possible. Avoid theoretical, vague or conceptual discourse.
- Ensure the analysis and project progress assessment is gender and age sensitive.

Please rate the implementation status of the following preliminary/preparatory activities

*

Contracting of partners

Not Started

Initiated

Partially Completed

Completed

Not Applicable

*

Staff Recruitment

- Not Started
- Completed
- Initiated
- Not Applicable
- Partially Completed

*

Collection of baselines

- Not Started
- Completed
- Initiated
- Not Applicable
- Partially Completed

*

Identification of beneficiaries

- Not Started
- Completed
- Initiated
- Not Applicable
- Partially Completed

*

Provide any additional descriptive information relating to the status of the project, including whether preliminary/preparatory activities have been completed (i.e. contracting of partners, staff recruitment, etc.)

Please limit your response to 3000 characters

Despite the challenging security environment, the project's implementation is progressing satisfactorily. Through OHCHR's direct implementation approach, a Community Complaints Mechanism (CRM) was designed and made operational, providing communities with safe, accessible channels to report misconduct, including human rights violations and cases of sexual exploitation and abuse (SEA) allegedly committed by members of the Multinational Security Support Mission (MSS).

• A structured network of Haitian civil society organizations has gradually consolidated around this mechanism, strengthening both SEA prevention and community accountability in the context of the MSS deployment. Additionally, the identification and training of nearly 500 civil society actors, supported by complementary, funding has laid the groundwork for long-term capacity and behavioural change. These actors have enhanced technical and strategic competencies in monitoring, documenting and reporting human rights violations, as well as in advocacy, particularly in relation to joint MSS-HNP operations in the metropolitan area and the Artibonite region. Local populations are increasingly aware of existing reporting and complaints mechanisms, and a broader outreach campaign is being prepared.

• In line with the initial proposal submitted to the Peacebuilding Fund (PBF), a women-led Haitian civil society organization has been selected as the main implementing partner. This organization will work closely with seven other CSOs to raise awareness, disseminate information and strengthen community-level prevention of SEA. Some delays occurred in administrative and operational processes related to the preparation of the Grant Application. However, the OHCHR Grant Committee has approved the application, and the project is now awaiting the transfer of funds.

Two key positions were filled to support project delivery:

- o Senior Human Rights Officer / Coordinator (P5), in post since December 2024, responsible for leading the technical advisory team, overseeing implementation of the compliance framework, and acting as a focal point between the MMSS and civil society.**
- o Police Advisor (P4), recruited in July 2024, responsible for establishing cooperation with the MSS leadership and initiating implementation of the Human Rights Compliance Framework.**

- At project inception, a civil society mapping exercise supported engagement with national actors. OHCHR also partnered with the National Network for Protection from Sexual Exploitation and Abuse (PSEA) to convene monthly coordination meetings and roundtables to share progress, discuss challenges, and align interventions. This collaboration led to:
 - o A risk assessment workshop (11–12 June 2025).
 - o An inter-agency workshop to finalize the SEA risk assessment (IARA-SEA) on 30–31 July 2025.
 - o A common risk register is now being developed by the PSEA network to guide project prioritization and upcoming interventions.

Describe overall progress under each Outcome made during the reporting period (*for June reports: January-June; for November reports: January-December (anticipated); for final reports: full project duration*). Do not list individual activities. If the project is starting to make/has made a difference at the outcome level, provide specific evidence for the progress (quantitative and qualitative) and explain how it impacts the broader political and peacebuilding context.

Is the project on track for the timely completion of outputs as indicated in the workplan? *

- Yes
 No

If no, please provide an explanation

Please limit your response to 6000 characters

Project progress summary

Please limit your response to 6000 characters

Despite a highly unstable security environment, the project recorded significant progress in 2025, laying the foundations for a sustainable system of human rights compliance and prevention of sexual exploitation and abuse (SEA) within the deployment of the Multinational Security Support Mission (MSS) in Haiti.

OHCHR strengthened the MSS Complaint and Reporting Mechanism (CRM) to improve reporting and response to human rights violations, including SEA. Through meetings of the Steering Committee, bringing together 21 representatives of the MSS, the Haitian National Police (HNP), civil society and OHCHR, several actions were adopted: extension of CRM awareness-raising to additional CSOs, launch of a toll-free hotline, installation of MSS complaint boxes, recruitment of three multilingual agents, and training of CRM focal points. These measures have improved the accessibility, transparency and credibility of the CRM.

More than 6,000 MSS personnel received practical training on the Human Rights Compliance Mechanism, use of force and command accountability, bringing overall awareness and compliance levels to nearly 80%. OHCHR also contributed to the development of a standardized pre-deployment training curriculum for all contributing states. These efforts promote coherence across contingents, reduce risks of misconduct, and strengthen trust between international forces and local communities.

A Standard Operating Procedure (SOP) for the CRM was finalized, clarifying:

- reporting procedures, including SEA allegations;
- the division of responsibilities between MSS, OHCHR and CSO partners;
- verification and follow-up processes, with safeguards for confidentiality.

A total of 237 community focal points were identified and trained, and four reporting channels (email, phone, CSOs and on-site mechanisms) are now operational. Three allegations were processed following MSS internal investigation procedures.

These advances reinforced collaboration between OHCHR, civil society and local authorities, supporting a community-centred approach to human rights monitoring, particularly in Artibonite. The project also strengthened inter-agency coordination and early warning systems, while enhancing local protection networks and community ownership.

In parallel, OHCHR supported the operationalization of the zero-tolerance policy on SEA in cooperation with the MSS Mission. No major incidents were reported during the period, reflecting an improved culture of compliance and the establishment of rapid response mechanisms for minor allegations.

establishment of rapid response mechanisms for minor allegations.

OHCHR also strengthened coordination on Prevention of Sexual Exploitation and Abuse (PSEA) with the UN Inter Agency Coordinator and the National PSEA Network. A major milestone was the two-day technical roundtable held on 30–31 July 2025 to finalize the Inter-Agency SEA Risk Assessment (IARA). Participants analysed data from community consultations and field surveys to identify trends, prioritize risks, and contribute to the national SEA risk register. This marks an important step toward a systematic, data-driven approach to SEA risk mitigation in Haiti.

An inter-agency SEA database was established within the MSS to centralize reports, improve traceability and facilitate institutional coordination. This innovation fosters evidence-based decision-making and strengthens system-wide accountability.

Despite the complex security situation, the project expanded its presence in Ouest and Artibonite, deepening its partnership with civil society. In Gonaïves and Gros-Morne, OHCHR consulted 50 CSOs to analyse human rights concerns, protection needs and the operating environment of human rights defenders and vulnerable groups. These exchanges helped identify local actors capable of supporting and popularizing the CRM, facilitating safe and confidential reporting of human rights violations, including SEA.

In addition, the recruitment and training of local monitors improved the documentation of alleged violations by the MSS, the HNP, armed groups and other non-state actors, in line with international best practices and the “Do No Harm” principle. These monitors have strengthened civil society’s technical capacity to document abuses, contributed to early warning mechanisms, and fostered a more sustainable, community-led protection system in Artibonite and at the national level.

*

Indicate any additional analysis on how Gender Equality and Women's Empowerment and/or Youth Inclusion and Responsiveness has been ensured by the project to date

Please limit your response to 3000 characters

Gender equality, women's empowerment, and youth inclusion have been fully integrated as cross-cutting priorities throughout the design, planning, and implementation of the project. Recognizing the heightened vulnerabilities faced by women, girls, and youth in the Haitian context, the project ensures inclusive participation, enhanced protection, and SEA prevention.

A cornerstone of this strategy is the selection of Solidarité Fanm Ayisyèn (SOFA) as the main implementing partner. With long-standing expertise in gender-based violence prevention and grassroots mobilization, SOFA provides strong, locally rooted leadership. It collaborates with seven civil society organizations, many of which engage directly with marginalized women and youth, including in displacement contexts. This structure promotes an intersectional, community-based approach that amplifies women's and youth voices in high-risk areas.

All training activities delivered to MSS personnel and civil society are gender-responsive and youth-sensitive. Each session emphasizes SEA prevention, the protection of women and girls, and access to confidential reporting mechanisms. Gender-disaggregated data collection allows for tailored outreach strategies. Training modules cover:

- Gender-responsive analysis and communication
- Survivor-centred response and referral systems
- Promotion of women's participation in trainings and coordination structures
- Establishment of safe reporting pathways for survivors and whistleblowers

Women also play a formal role in governance. Among the seven civil society organizations on the MSS Complaint and Reporting Mechanism (CRM) Steering Committee, four are led by women, ensuring strong gender representation in decision-making. In line with the committee's recommendations, OHCHR recruited three CRM monitors—two of whom are women—to operationalize the call centre and facilitate safe community reporting.

Youth are recognized not only as beneficiaries but as change agents. They are actively involved in outreach, co-creation of prevention messages, accountability mechanisms, and documentation of human rights violations via

trained youth monitors. Safeguards ensure youth perspectives are reflected in project planning, training, and monitoring tools.

Through these combined efforts, the project fosters a more inclusive, equitable, and protective institutional and community environment. By positioning women and youth in leadership roles across SEA prevention, human rights monitoring, and protection efforts, the project contributes to long-term cultural transformation and peacebuilding in Haiti.

*

Is the project 1+ year in implementation?

Yes

No

FOR PROJECTS 1+ YEAR IN IMPLEMENTATION ONLY:

*

Is the project demonstrating outcome-level peacebuilding results?

Outcome-level peacebuilding results entail results achieved at the societal or structural level, including changed attitudes, behaviours or institutions.

Yes

No

*

If yes, please provide concrete examples of such peacebuilding results

Please limit your response to 6000 characters

If yes, please provide sources or references (including links) as evidence of peacebuilding results, or submit them as additional attachments.

Evidence may be quantitative or qualitative but needs to demonstrate progress against outcome indicators in the project results framework. Sources may include project surveys (such as perception surveys), monitoring reports, government documents, or other knowledge products that have been developed by the project.

File attachment

Click here to upload file. (< 10MB)

PART II: PROJECT RESULTS FRAMEWORK

How many OUTCOMES does this project have

- 1 2 3 4 5 more than 5.

Please write out the project outcomes as they are in the project results framework found in the project document

*

Outcome 1:

The MSS mission has initiated the development of a comprehensive human rights compliance mechanism, establishing procedures to prevent, monitor, investigate and report on human rights violations, and sexual exploitation and abuse.

*

Outcome 2:

*

Outcome 3:

*

Outcome 4:

*

Outcome 5:

*

Outcome 6:

*

Outcome 7:

Outcome 8:

*

Additional Outcomes

*

If the project has more than 8 outcomes, please enumerate the remaining outcomes here

INDICATOR BASED PERFORMANCE ASSESSMENT

Using the Project Results Framework as per the approved project document or any amendments, provide an update on the achievement of all **outcome** and **output** indicators in the table below.

- Where it has not been possible to collect data on indicators, state this and provide any explanation.
- Provide gender and age disaggregated data. (500 characters max per entry)

» Outcome 1: The MSS mission has initiated the development of a comprehensive human rights compliance mechanism, establishing procedures to prevent, monitor, investigate and report on human rights violations, and sexual exploitation and abuse.

<p>O C 1</p>	<p>Outcome Indicator s</p>	<p>Indicator Baseline</p>	<p>End of Project Indicator Target</p>	<p>Indicator progress for reporting period</p>	<p>Indicator progress since project's start</p>	<p>Reasons for Variance/ Delay (if any)</p>
----------------------	---	--------------------------------------	---	---	--	--

1. 1	Percentage of the MSS personnel who passed the assessment of respect for human rights and establishment of procedures at the end of	0	80%	70%	70%	Over 70 percent of MSS personnel, approximately 692 out of a total of 987, have received induction and in-mission training on key human rights topics, including the prevention of sexual exploitation and abuse (SEA), gender based violence (GBV), child protection, arrest and detention, use of force, internal investigations, and the protection of sources, <small>witnaccac</small>
---------	---	---	-----	-----	-----	---

WITNESSES
witnesses,
women and
children in the
context of MSS
operations.
Approximately
20 percent of
MSS personnel
are deployed in
high-risk areas
of the
Artibonite,
where OHCHR
staff do not
have security
clearance to
conduct
activities. As a
result, this
segment of
personnel has
not yet been
trained. To
address this
gap, OHCHR
supported the
training of 20
MSS Training of
Trainers (ToTs),
who, with

<p>remote guidance and technical support from OHCHR, will deliver the remaining training to the personnel deployed in these inaccessible areas</p>						
						<p>1. 2</p>
						<p>1. 3</p>
						<p>1. 4</p>

1.					
5					

How many outputs does outcome 1 have?

1 2 **3** 4 5 more than 5.

Please list all outputs for outcome 1

Output 1.1
Personnel of the MSS have increased knowledge on a range of international human rights standards to integrate them into their daily duties and start developing a compliance mechanism.

Output 1.2
Civil society human rights actors, local communities and the Haitian population dispose the capacity to actively monitor, verify, and document allegations of human rights violations and abuses including sexual exploitation and abuse related to the MSS mission activities by the end of 2024

Output 1.3
Civil society human rights actors established mechanisms for sustained outreach and structured dialogue to raise concerns and share recommendations with the MSS by the end of 2024.

Output 1.4

Output 1.5

Other Outputs

If Outcome 1 has more than 5 outputs, please enumerate the remaining outputs here

For each output, and using the, project results framework, provide an update on the progress made against all output indicators

» Output 1.1: Personnel of the MSS have increased knowledge on a range of international human rights standards to integrate them into their daily duties and start developing a compliance mechanism.

1.	Output Indicators <i>Describe the indicator</i>	Indicator Baseline <i>State the baseline value of the indicator</i>	End of Project Indicator Target <i>State the target value of the indicator at the end of the project</i>	Indicator progress for reporting period <i>State the current value of the indicator for the reporting period</i>	Indicator progress since project's start <i>State the current cumulative value of the indicator since the start of the project</i>	Reasons for Variance/ Delay (if any) <i>Explain why the indicator is off track or has changed, where relevant</i>
----	--	--	---	---	---	--

1.	Number of meetings to discuss the integration of human rights into MSS daily duties and start developing a compliance mechanism.	0	24	24	24	A total of 24 meetings were conducted during the reporting period, which brought together MSS personnel, OHCHR staff, and relevant partners to discuss the integration of human rights standards into the daily duties of MSS units and to initiate the development of a human rights compliance mechanism.
1.						
1						

1.	Number of MSS a/o HNP personnel benefitted from the training session disaggre	0	1500	692	692	The MSS Mission was not able to deploy all its personnel as initially planned. As of the date of this report, only 987 staff members have been deployed. This figure represents the total number of MSS personnel currently present on the ground and eligible to participate in the project's training and monitoring activities.
1.						
2						

1.	Number of recommendations made to the MSS	0	15	14	14 Through regular After Action Review sessions, OHCHR provided 14 recommendations to the MSS to strengthen the integration of human rights into the planning and conduct of their operations. These recommendations were formulated with the active participation of MSS units and reflect recurring challenges, operational lessons learned, and opportunities for improving
1.					
3					

» Output 1.2: Civil society human rights actors, local communities and the Haitian population dispose the capacity to actively monitor, verify, and document allegations of human rights violations and abuses including sexual exploitation and abuse related to the MSS mission activities by the end of 2024

1.	Output Indicators <i>Describe the indicator</i>	Indicator Baseline <i>State the baseline value of the indicator</i>	End of Project Indicator Target <i>State the target value of the indicator at the end of the project</i>	Indicator progress for reporting period <i>State the current value of the indicator for the reporting period</i>	Indicator progress since project's start <i>State the current cumulative value of the indicator since the start of the project</i>	Reasons for Variance/Delay (if any) <i>Explain why the indicator is off track or has changed, where relevant</i>
2						

1.	Number of the monitoring and reporting mechanisms	N/A	1	1	1	<p>OHCHR supported the establishment of the Complaint and Reporting Mechanism, providing technical guidance to ensure that its procedures, tools and workflows are aligned with human rights standards and enable confidential, safe and accessible reporting by affected individuals and communities.</p>
2.						
1						

<p>1. 2. 2</p>	<p>Number of monitoring reports MSS</p>	<p>0</p>	<p>6</p>	<p>4</p>	<p>4</p>	<p>OHCHR has provided inputs to four Secretary General's quarterly reports covering MSS operations in support of the Haitian National Police</p>
<p>1. 2. 3</p>	<p>Number of investigation missions</p>	<p>0</p>	<p>3</p>	<p>2</p>	<p>2</p>	<p>OHCHR conducted two investigative missions in response to allegations of assault and sexual exploitation and abuse (SEA) involving MSS personnel.</p>

1. 2. 4
1. 2. 5

» **Output 1.3: Civil society human rights actors established mechanisms for sustained outreach and structured dialogue to raise concerns and share recommendations with the MSS by the end of 2024.**

1.	Output Indicators <i>Describe the indicator</i>	Indicator Baseline <i>State the baseline value of the indicator</i>	End of Project Indicator Target <i>State the target value of the indicator at the end of the project</i>	Indicator progress for reporting period <i>State the current value of the indicator for the reporting period</i>	Indicator progress since project's start <i>State the current cumulative value of the indicator since the start of the project</i>	Reasons for Variance/ Delay (if any) <i>Explain why the indicator is off track or has changed, where relevant</i>
3						

1.	Number of direct beneficiaries disaggregated by sex who understand how to make reports on human rights violations and abuses committed by the MSS mission.	107	391	391(207 women,184 men)	391(207 women,184 men)	A total of 391 direct beneficiaries, 53 percent of whom were women, were trained on how to report human rights violations committed by MSS personnel.
3.						
1						

<p>1. 3. 2</p>	<p>Number of direct beneficiaries disaggregated by sex who are informed about UN and MSS to submit reports and claims regarding allegations of human rights violations and sexual exploitation and abuse committed by the MSS mission.</p>	<p>0</p>	<p>67</p>	<p>27</p>	<p>27</p>	<p>OHCHR has increased its engagement with civil society organizations, allowing the project to reach a broader segment of the targeted population. In line with the project document, activities focus on communities in Port-au-Prince, including displaced persons and other vulnerable groups.</p>
------------------------	--	----------	-----------	-----------	-----------	--

<p>1. 3. 3</p>	<p>Number of outreach and awareness raising meetings with local population on protection from SEA.</p>	<p>0</p>	<p>4</p>	<p>22</p>	<p>22</p>	<p>OHCHR established periodic roundtables with civil society organizations and increased the number of sessions over the course of the project</p>
<p>1. 3. 4</p>						
<p>1. 3. 5</p>						

» Output 1.4:

1. 4	Output Indicators <i>Describe the indicator</i>	Indicator Baseline <i>State the baseline value of the indicator</i>	End of Project Indicator Target <i>State the target value of the indicator at the end of the project</i>	Indicator progress for reporting period <i>State the current value of the indicator for the reporting period</i>	Indicator progress since project's start <i>State the current cumulative value of the indicator since the start of the project</i>	Reasons for Variance/ Delay (if any) <i>Explain why the indicator is off track or has changed, where relevant</i>
1. 4. 1						
1. 4. 2						

» Output 1.5:

1. 5	Output Indicators <i>Describe the indicator</i>	Indicator Baseline <i>State the baseline value of the indicator</i>	End of Project Indicator Target <i>State the target value of the indicator at the end of the project</i>	Indicator progress for reporting period <i>State the current value of the indicator for the reporting period</i>	Indicator progress since project's start <i>State the current cumulative value of the indicator since the start of the project</i>	Reasons for Variance/Delay (if any) <i>Explain why the indicator is off track or has changed, where relevant</i>
1. 5. 1						
1. 5. 2						

1. 5. 3
1. 5. 4
1. 5. 5

» Outcome 2:

	Outcome Indicators	Indicator Baseline	End of Project Indicator Target	Indicator progress for reporting period	Indicator progress since project's start	Reasons for Variance/Delay (if any)
2.1						
2.2						
2.3						

2. 4							
2. 5							

How many outputs does outcome 2 have?

- 1
- 2
- 3
- 4
- 5
- more than 5.

Please list all outputs for outcome 2

Output 2.1

Output 2.2

Output 2.3

Output 2.4

Output 2.5

Other Outputs

If Outcome 2 has more than 5 outputs, please enumerate the remaining outputs here

For each output, and using the, project results framework, provide an update on the progress made against all output indicators

» **Output 2.1:**

	Output Indicators <i>Describe the indicator</i>	Indicator Baseline <i>State the baseline value of the indicator</i>	End of Project Indicator Target <i>State the target value of the indicator at the end of the project</i>	Indicator progress for reporting period <i>State the current value of the indicator for the reporting period</i>	Indicator progress since project's start <i>State the current cumulative value of the indicator since the start of the project</i>	Reasons for Variance/Delay (if any) <i>Explain why the indicator is off track or has changed, where relevant</i>
2.						
1.						
1.						

» Output 2.2:

2. 2	Output Indicators <i>Describe the indicator</i>	Indicator Baseline <i>State the baseline value of the indicator</i>	End of Project Indicator Target <i>State the target value of the indicator at the end of the project</i>	Indicator progress for reporting period <i>State the current value of the indicator for the reporting period</i>	Indicator progress since project's start <i>State the current cumulative value of the indicator since the start of the project</i>	Reasons for Variance/Delay (if any) <i>Explain why the indicator is off track or has changed, where relevant</i>
2. 2. 1						
2. 2. 2						

2. 2. 3
2. 2. 4
2. 2. 5

» Output 2.3:

2. 3	Output Indicators <i>Describe the indicator</i>	Indicator Baseline <i>State the baseline value of the indicator</i>	End of Project Indicator Target <i>State the target value of the indicator at the end of the project</i>	Indicator progress for reporting period <i>State the current value of the indicator for the reporting period</i>	Indicator progress since project's start <i>State the current cumulative value of the indicator since the start of the project</i>	Reasons for Variance/Delay (if any) <i>Explain why the indicator is off track or has changed, where relevant</i>
2. 3. 1						
2. 3. 2						

2. 3. 3
2. 3. 4
2. 3. 5

» Output 2.4:

2.4 Output Indicators <i>Describe the indicator</i>	Indicator Baseline <i>State the baseline value of the indicator</i>	End of Project Indicator Target <i>State the target value of the indicator at the end of the project</i>	Indicator progress for reporting period <i>State the current value of the indicator for the reporting period</i>	Indicator progress since project's start <i>State the current cumulative value of the indicator since the start of the project</i>	Reasons for Variance/Delay (if any) <i>Explain why the indicator is off track or has changed, where relevant</i>
2. 4. 1					
2. 4. 2					

» Output 2.5:

2.5 Output Indicators <i>Describe the indicator</i>	Indicator Baseline <i>State the baseline value of the indicator</i>	End of Project Indicator Target <i>State the target value of the indicator at the end of the project</i>	Indicator progress for reporting period <i>State the current value of the indicator for the reporting period</i>	Indicator progress since project's start <i>State the current cumulative value of the indicator since the start of the project</i>	Reasons for Variance/Delay (if any) <i>Explain why the indicator is off track or has changed, where relevant</i>
2.5.1					
2.5.2					

2. 5. 3	2. 5. 4	2. 5. 5							
------------------------	------------------------	------------------------	--	--	--	--	--	--	--

» Outcome 3:

	Outcome Indicators	Indicator Baseline	End of Project Indicator Target	Indicator progress for reporting period	Indicator progress since project's start	Reasons for Variance/Delay (if any)
3.1						
3.2						
3.3						

3.							
4							
3.							
5							

How many outputs does outcome 3 have?

- 1
- 2
- 3
- 4
- 5
- more than 5.

Please list all outputs for outcome 3

Output 3.1

Output 3.2

Output 3.3

Output 3.4

Output 3.5

Other Outputs

If Outcome 3 has more than 5 outputs, please enumerate the remaining outputs here

For each output, and using the, project results framework, provide an update on the progress made against all output indicators

» **Output 3.1:**

	Output Indicators <i>Describe the indicator</i>	Indicator Baseline <i>State the baseline value of the indicator</i>	End of Project Indicator Target <i>State the target value of the indicator at the end of the project</i>	Indicator progress for reporting period <i>State the current value of the indicator for the reporting period</i>	Indicator progress since project's start <i>State the current cumulative value of the indicator since the start of the project</i>	Reasons for Variance/ Delay (if any) <i>Explain why the indicator is off track or has changed, where relevant</i>
3. 1. 1						

» Output 3.2:

3. 2	Output Indicators <i>Describe the indicator</i>	Indicator Baseline <i>State the baseline value of the indicator</i>	End of Project Indicator Target <i>State the target value of the indicator at the end of the project</i>	Indicator progress for reporting period <i>State the current value of the indicator for the reporting period</i>	Indicator progress since project's start <i>State the current cumulative value of the indicator since the start of the project</i>	Reasons for Variance/ Delay (if any) <i>Explain why the indicator is off track or has changed, where relevant</i>
3. 2. 1						
3. 2. 2						

» Output 3.3:

3. 3	Output Indicators <i>Describe the indicator</i>	Indicator Baseline <i>State the baseline value of the indicator</i>	End of Project Indicator Target <i>State the target value of the indicator at the end of the project</i>	Indicator progress for reporting period <i>State the current value of the indicator for the reporting period</i>	Indicator progress since project's start <i>State the current cumulative value of the indicator since the start of the project</i>	Reasons for Variance/Delay (if any) <i>Explain why the indicator is off track or has changed, where relevant</i>
3. 3. 1						
3. 3. 2						

» Output 3.4:

3. 4	Output Indicators <i>Describe the indicator</i>	Indicator Baseline <i>State the baseline value of the indicator</i>	End of Project Indicator Target <i>State the target value of the indicator at the end of the project</i>	Indicator progress for reporting period <i>State the current value of the indicator for the reporting period</i>	Indicator progress since project's start <i>State the current cumulative value of the indicator since the start of the project</i>	Reasons for Variance/Delay (if any) <i>Explain why the indicator is off track or has changed, where relevant</i>
3. 4. 1						
3. 4. 2						

3. 4. 3	3. 4. 4	3. 4. 5							
------------------------	------------------------	------------------------	--	--	--	--	--	--	--

» Output 3.5:

3. 5	Output Indicators <i>Describe the indicator</i>	Indicator Baseline <i>State the baseline value of the indicator</i>	End of Project Indicator Target <i>State the target value of the indicator at the end of the project</i>	Indicator progress for reporting period <i>State the current value of the indicator for the reporting period</i>	Indicator progress since project's start <i>State the current cumulative value of the indicator since the start of the project</i>	Reasons for Variance/ Delay (if any) <i>Explain why the indicator is off track or has changed, where relevant</i>
3. 5. 1						
3. 5. 2						

» Outcome 4:

	Outcome Indicators	Indicator Baseline	End of Project Indicator Target	Indicator progress for reporting period	Indicator progress since project's start	Reasons for Variance/Delay (if any)
4.1						
4.2						
4.3						

4.							
4							
4.							
5							

How many outputs does outcome 4 have?

1 2 3 4 5 more than 5.

Please list all outputs for outcome 4

Output 4.1

Output 4.2

Output 4.3

Output 4.4

Output 4.5

Other Outputs

If Outcome 4 has more than 5 outputs, please enumerate the remaining outputs here

For each output, and using the, project results framework, provide an update on the progress made against all output indicators

» **Output 4.1:**

	Output Indicators <i>Describe the indicator</i>	Indicator Baseline <i>State the baseline value of the indicator</i>	End of Project Indicator Target <i>State the target value of the indicator at the end of the project</i>	Indicator progress for reporting period <i>State the current value of the indicator for the reporting period</i>	Indicator progress since project's start <i>State the current cumulative value of the indicator since the start of the project</i>	Reasons for Variance/Delay (if any) <i>Explain why the indicator is off track or has changed, where relevant</i>
4.						
1.						
1.						

» Output 4.2:

4. 2	Output Indicators <i>Describe the indicator</i>	Indicator Baseline <i>State the baseline value of the indicator</i>	End of Project Indicator Target <i>State the target value of the indicator at the end of the project</i>	Indicator progress for reporting period <i>State the current value of the indicator for the reporting period</i>	Indicator progress since project's start <i>State the current cumulative value of the indicator since the start of the project</i>	Reasons for Variance/Delay (if any) <i>Explain why the indicator is off track or has changed, where relevant</i>
4. 2. 1						
4. 2. 2						

» **Output 4.3:**

4. 3	Output Indicators <i>Describe the indicator</i>	Indicator Baseline <i>State the baseline value of the indicator</i>	End of Project Indicator Target <i>State the target value of the indicator at the end of the project</i>	Indicator progress for reporting period <i>State the current value of the indicator for the reporting period</i>	Indicator progress since project's start <i>State the current cumulative value of the indicator since the start of the project</i>	Reasons for Variance/Delay (if any) <i>Explain why the indicator is off track or has changed, where relevant</i>
4. 3. 1						
4. 3. 2						

4. 3. 3	4. 3. 4	4. 3. 5							
------------------------	------------------------	------------------------	--	--	--	--	--	--	--

» Output 4.4:

4.4	Output Indicators <i>Describe the indicator</i>	Indicator Baseline <i>State the baseline value of the indicator</i>	End of Project Indicator Target <i>State the target value of the indicator at the end of the project</i>	Indicator progress for reporting period <i>State the current value of the indicator for the reporting period</i>	Indicator progress since project's start <i>State the current cumulative value of the indicator since the start of the project</i>	Reasons for Variance/Delay (if any) <i>Explain why the indicator is off track or has changed, where relevant</i>
4.4.1						
4.4.2						

» Output 4.5:

4. 5	Output Indicators <i>Describe the indicator</i>	Indicator Baseline <i>State the baseline value of the indicator</i>	End of Project Indicator Target <i>State the target value of the indicator at the end of the project</i>	Indicator progress for reporting period <i>State the current value of the indicator for the reporting period</i>	Indicator progress since project's start <i>State the current cumulative value of the indicator since the start of the project</i>	Reasons for Variance/Delay (if any) <i>Explain why the indicator is off track or has changed, where relevant</i>
4. 5. 1						
4. 5. 2						

4. 5. 3
4. 5. 4
4. 5. 5

*

If the project has more than 4 outcomes, use this space to describe progress on progress on indicators for the remaining outcomes

.....

PART III: Cross-Cutting Issues

Is the project planning any significant events in the next six months? (eg. national dialogues, youth congresses, film screenings, etc.)

If yes, please state how many, and for each, provide the approximate date of the event and a brief description, including its key objectives, target audience and location (if known)

Events	Event Description	Eventive Date	Location	Target Audience	Event Objectives (900 characters)
Event 1	Round Table with Civil Society organisations	December 2025 February 2026	OHCHR Human Right House	Civil Society Organisations	Discuss implementation and assess progress of the mechanism Analyze and make recommendations for continuous improvement of the mechanism Explore possibilities and identify criteria for nomination of further CSO focal points of the CRM

Event 2	6th Steering Committee Meeting	December 2025 February 2026	SERVOTEL	MSS personnel, representatives of the Community based Civil Society Organizations (Human rights, Women organization, Child protection Organization, and other minorities).	Operationalize the Compliant and Reporting Mechanism including launch of toll free number, recruitment of monitors to operationalize the call centre, installation suggestion boxes at the LSA and FOBs
--------------------	---------------------------------------	--	-----------------	---	--

<p>Event 3</p>	<p>Coordination and Monitoring meetings with Civil Society organisation SOFA on the implementation of the project</p>	<p>December 2025 February 2026</p>		<p>Civil society organisation</p>	<p>nsure effective implementation of planned activities, including outreach and safe reporting on SEA and human rights violations. .-Monitor progress against project objectives and indicators. .- Identify challenges and adapt strategies for improved impact. .-Share updates and good practices to support learning and replication. .-Reinforce accountability and transparency in the project's implementation.</p>
<p>Event 4</p>					

Human Impact

This section is about the human impact of the project. Please state key stakeholders (including but not limited to: Civil Society Organizations, Beneficiaries, etc.) of the project, and for each, please briefly describe:

- i. The challenges/problem they faced prior to the project implementation
- ii. The impact of the project in their lives
- iii. Provide, where possible, a quote or testimonial from a representative of each stakeholder group

This is an optional question. You may leave it unanswered if not relevant

Human Impact

Type of stakeholder

What has been the impact of the project on their lives?

Provide, where possible, a quote or testimonial from the stakeholder

1	MSS personnel	Before the project many MSS personnel has limited training on international human rights standards, lack of operational accountability mechanisms, and weak guidance on preventing SEA and excessive use of force. Now over 80% of MSS personnel received training on core human rights areas, improving their conduct, increasing legal compliance in operations, and enabling a more ethical and community trusted security presence.	
----------	----------------------	--	--

HNP personnel

Operational coordination gaps that exist with MSS and limited training in rights-based policing during joint operations reduce the operational capacity of the HNP to respond effectively to the violence in many parts of the Metropolitan area. The project enables OHCHR to collaborate with the General Inspectorate of the Haitian National Police (IGPNH) to ensure systematic follow-up on cases of human rights violations allegedly involving law enforcement officers. This helps improve collaboration with MSS, strengthened joint planning and human rights awareness, leading to more consistent conduct and reduced civilian harm.

.....

.....

.....

.....

<p>3</p>	<p>Civil Society</p>	<p>Many civil society actors reported having limited access to high-risk areas due to ongoing gang violence and MSS/Police/gang operations. They also expressed concerns about the risk of reprisals and the absence of structured mechanisms to report violations, particularly SEAs. Thanks to the project, civil society actors were able to strengthen their capacity to monitor and report abuses, benefit from greater inclusion in compliance structures such as the CRM, and build improved trust with communities and security actors.</p>
<p>4</p>		

In addition to the stakeholder specific impact described above, please use this space to describe any additional human impact that the project has had.

Please limit your response to 4000 characters.

You can also upload upto 3 files in various formats (picture files, powerpoint, pdf, video, etc.) to illustrate the human impact of the project

OPTIONAL

File 1

OPTIONAL

Click here to upload file. (< 10MB)

File 2

OPTIONAL

Click here to upload file. (< 10MB)

File 3

OPTIONAL

Click here to upload file. (< 10MB)

You can also add upto 3 links to online resources which illustrate the human impact of the project

OPTIONAL

Link 1

OPTIONAL

Link 2

OPTIONAL

Link 3

OPTIONAL

Please tick the applicable change based on above narrative.

How we worked:

Please select up to 3.

- Enhanced digitization
- Innovative ways of working
- Mobilized additional resources
- Improved or initiated policy frameworks
- Strengthened capacities
- Partnered with with local/grassroots Civil Society Organizations
- Expanding coalitions & galvanizing political will
- Strengthened partnerships with IFIs
- Strengthened partnerships with UN Agencies

*

Please explain one of the selected options

Please limit your response to 3000 characters.

The project enhanced the operational and institutional capacity of MSS-GSF personnel by delivering two phases of in-mission human rights training and targeted awareness sessions for both MSS and HNP personnel, particularly specialized units deployed alongside MSS. These sessions focused on key human rights standards, including:

- 1) Protection against sexual exploitation and abuse (SEA),
- 2) Gender and gender-based violence (GBV),
- 3) Child protection,
- 4) Arrests and detention,
- 5) Use of force,
- 6) Internal investigations, and
- 7) Protection of sources and witnesses.

As a result, trained personnel are now better equipped to integrate human rights into daily operations, ensure compliance, and serve as knowledge multipliers within their units. These efforts also lay the groundwork for a more accountable and rights-compliant approach under the upcoming Gang Suppression Force (GSF).

Please explain one of the selected options

Please limit your response to 3000 characters.

The project partnered with civil society organizations, including human rights defenders, to monitor and report violations by security forces, particularly in hard-to-reach or gang-controlled areas. Key actions included:- Joint roundtables and field visits to assess human rights risks;- Strengthening local human rights monitoring capacity, especially in Artibonite;- Providing technical and advisory support to MSS on compliance mechanisms;- Supporting investigation and response to SEA and other violations;- Integrating human rights into MSS operational planning;- Tracking the human impact of MSS operations on affected communities.

Please explain one of the selected options
Please limit your response to 3000 characters.



Who are we working with

- Strengthened partnerships with IFIs
- Strengthened partnerships between UN Agencies
- Partnered with local civil society organizations
- Partnered with local academia
- Partnered with sub-national entities
- Partnered with national entities
- Partnered with local volunteers

*

Please explain

Please limit your response to 3000 characters

The project strengthened inter-agency coordination and partnerships between OHCHR, HNP, MSS, and other UN agencies such as OCHA and UNICEF, to ensure an integrated approach to compliance and human rights protection. These collaborations have enabled the organization of joint trainings within the framework of the MSS mission, integrating practical scenarios, case studies and simulated exercises around themes related to human rights, sexual exploitation and abuse (SEA), as well as the responsible conduct of security operations.

At the community level, local focal points have been identified and trained to support reporting, documentation of violations, and dissemination of the compliance mechanism. These efforts have fostered greater local ownership, enhanced coordination, and increased capacity of national actors to report, document, and prevent violations. In parallel, the project contributed to strengthening the capacities of the Haitian National Police (HNP), including through close cooperation between the MSS, the HNP General Inspectorate, and the OHCHR, to institutionalize the human rights compliance framework and promote a culture of accountability within the security forces.

Leave No one Behind

Select all beneficiaries targeted with the PBF resources as evidenced by the narrative

*

Mandatory

- Unemployed persons
- Minorities (e.g. race, ethnicity, linguistic, religion, etc.)
- Indigenous communities
- Persons with Disabilities
- Persons affected by violence (including GBV)
- Women
- Youth
- Children
- Minorities related to sexual orientation and/or gender identity and expression
- People living in and around border areas
- Persons affected by natural disasters
- Persons affected by armed conflicts
- Internally displaced persons, refugees or migrants

PART IV: Monitoring, Evaluation and Compliance

» Monitoring

Please list key monitoring activities undertaken in the reporting period

Please limit your response to 3000 characters.

During the reporting period, and in the absence of a baseline study or perception surveys, the project adopted a qualitative and adaptive monitoring approach, grounded in triangulation of multiple data sources. This approach ensured the monitoring of context, activities, processes, compliance, finances, partners' performance, and progress toward results.

1) Monitoring of Context and Risks

Given the rapidly evolving security and political environment, the team conducted continuous context analysis, including: weekly internal sitrep on security developments affecting field activities; monitoring of the MSS operations and community reactions through civil society focal points, program implementation

2) Monitoring of Activities and

Processes

Documentation through narrative reports and newsletters

Monthly newsletters detailing:- activities conducted;- observations on MSS behaviour and community reactions;- challenges encountered;- qualitative signals regarding SEA risks and human rights concerns.

3) Monitoring of Civil Society Capacities

The project monitored the performance of trained civil society focal points through:

- observation during roundtables;
- informal feedback on barriers to safe reporting;
- 4) Monitoring of Finances and Donor Compliance
- 4) Monitoring of Finances and Donor Compliance

OHCHR ensured rigorous follow-up of:

the grant approval process through the

- OHCHR Grants Committee;
- expenditure tracking against planned budget lines;
- compliance with PBF reporting standards.

5) CoPIL and Multi-Stakeholder Governance Monitoring

The Complaints and Donating Mechanism Steering Committee (MCC UNID SCOC OUCUB) acted as a key monitoring

*

Elaborate on what sources of evidence have been used to report on indicators (and are available upon request)

Please limit your response to 3000 characters.

In the absence of a comprehensive perception survey or real-time quantitative monitoring tools, the project relied on a range of qualitative and administrative data sources to assess performance indicators. These sources were systematically reviewed and triangulated to ensure the credibility and reliability of the results reported. The following lines of evidence were used and are available upon request: Training Attendance Sheets and Disaggregated Participant Lists: For all training sessions involving MSS personnel, civil society organizations, and the HNP, attendance sheets were collected and archived. These records include sex-disaggregated data, roles, and unit affiliations, enabling accurate reporting on the number of personnel trained and gender balance. Meeting Minutes: Coordination and monitoring meetings with civil society actors, MSS focal points, and technical partners were documented through detailed minutes. These records provide evidence of stakeholder engagement, feedback loops, and follow-up actions. Community Feedback through Focus Group Discussions: Focus group discussions were conducted with direct beneficiaries to gather opinions, observations, and perspectives. These discussions helped assess knowledge and awareness of the Complaint and Reporting Mechanism (CRM), perceptions of MSS personnel, and understanding of reporting channels for SEA and other violations. CRM Monitoring Data: Data from the Complaint and Reporting Mechanism, including the number and type of complaints received, follow-up actions taken, and referral pathways activated, were reviewed within confidentiality limitations. These data were used to assess system uptake and institutional responsiveness. All of the above lines of evidence are documented and can be made available upon request, subject to data protection and confidentiality protocols. Together, they provide a robust qualitative

*

Has the project launched outcome level data collection initiatives? e.g. perception surveys

Perception survey is a formal collection of information from a randomly selected sample of respondents through their responses to standardized questions. See [PBF Guidance Note for more information link](#)

Yes

No

*

Please provide a brief description

Please limit your response to 3000 characters.

*

Has the project used or established community feedback mechanisms?

Community feedback mechanism, or community-based monitoring, is an organized system for communities of participants to monitor the local effects and impact of an intervention. Ideally, this system empowers the community to express whether their expectations are being met and to provide suggestions to decision-makers for possible (re)focusing. See PBF Guidance Note for more information. [link](#)



Yes



No

*

Please provide a brief description

Please limit your response to 3000 characters.

The project has used the Compliance Framework as a key community feedback mechanism. A toll-free hotline was also established to support this system, allowing individuals to report incidents, share concerns, and provide feedback confidentially. This mechanism strengthens community engagement and enhances accountability by ensuring that complaints and observations are captured in a safe and accessible manner.

» Evaluation

Is the project on track to conduct its evaluation? *

Yes

No

Not Applicable

Evaluation budget (in USD) included in the project budget: *

Response required

6000

If project will end in next six months, is your upcoming evaluation on track?

Yes

No

Not Applicable

Please describe the preparations

Please limit your response to 3000 characters.

Contact information	Name	Organization	Job title	Email
Please mention the focal person responsible for sharing the final evaluation report with the PBF:				

» Catalytic Effect

Catalytic Effect (financial): Has the project mobilized additional non-PBF financial resources since the project's start? *

 Yes No

How many funders has the project received additional non-PBF funding from **since the project started**? *

Catalytic Effect (non-financial): Has the project enabled or created a larger or longer-term peacebuilding change to occur, in addition to the direct project changes? Please refer to PBF Catalytic Effect Guidelines for more information. *

 Yes No

If yes, please select the relevant option below: *

 Some catalytic effect Significant catalytic effect

*

If relevant, please describe how the project has had a (non-financial) catalytic effect, i.e. removed barriers to unblock stalled political, institutional or other peacebuilding processes at different levels in a country, and/or created the conditions to establish new processes to do so

Please limit your response to 3000 characters.

Sustainability

Please describe any steps that have been taken to ensure the sustainability of peacebuilding gains, including any mechanisms, platforms, networks and socio-economic initiatives supported, beyond the duration of the project

Please limit your response to 3000 characters.

The sustainability of the project is based on a systemic approach based on national ownership, institutional strengthening, skills transfer and cultural change. The project consolidates local capacities and ensures the sustainability of efforts to prevent SEA and promote human rights. The project adopts a train-the-trainer model, allowing MSS staff and local partners to replicate the sessions autonomously. The modules, which focus on gender-sensitive communication, responding to survivors and protecting vulnerable groups, are intended to be integrated into institutional training programmes on a sustainable basis.

Human rights and SEA prevention standards are now integrated into the MSS's operational policies and compliance monitoring. The Joint Risk Assessment and the National Risk Register EAS, developed with the national PSEA network, are permanent monitoring and mitigation tools, which can be used beyond the project and are relevant to the future Gang Repression Force (GSF).

The project ensures the active representation of women and youth in trainings and spaces for dialogue, strengthening inclusive leadership and an organizational culture based on equality, transparency and accountability. These local and institutional dynamics foster sustainable change towards security governance that respects human rights and support Haiti's long-term peacebuilding goals.

Are there any other issues concerning project implementation that you want to share, including any capacity needs of the recipient organizations?

Please limit your response to 3000 characters.

*

Monitoring and Oversight Activities

Please describe any key event related to monitoring and oversight. Please click next if no activities have yet taken place.

Events include Steering Committee meetings, Monitoring visits, Third party monitoring, Community based monitoring, any data collection, Perception or other survey findings, evaluation reports, audit or investigations.

Monitoring and oversight activities	Name of the Event	Summary	Key Findings
Event 1			
Event 2			
Event 3			

Event 4
Event 5
Event 6
Event 7
Event 8

Final Steps

- Please save a PDF copy of the form by clicking on the *Printer* icon on the top right corner of the page.
- A dialogue box will appear: Please select the A4 size and portrait orientation.
- Click "prepare" and save the document as a PDF.
- (If on first attempt, the generated page is not readable, close the pop up page and go back to the first page of the online form using the "Return to Beginning" option and try to print the PDF version from there)
- After printing the PDF version, please submit the report in the last page of the form. You can use the "Go to End" button in the bottom right corner.
- In compliance with our reporting requirements, **please upload the PDF version of the report as well as your financial report in excel format to the MPTF-O Gateway.**

If you encounter any difficulty in filling the form or generating the print-out for MPTFO Gateway, please contact Gabriel Velastegui gabriel.velasteguimoya@un.org

Thank You. You have finished the report. Please Click on the SUBMIT button below. When the report is submitted, a confirmation note will appear on a yellow banner on top of the page. This can take a few seconds.