

# PBF November 2025 Project Progress Report



**PEACEBUILDING  
FUND**  

## PROJECT OVERVIEW

Thank you for taking the time to complete the PBF Progress report. For projects with more than one recipient, please consult among co-recipients prior to filling out the form to ensure collaboration on the responses. If you have any questions or require technical assistance in filling out the form, please send an email to [gabriel.velasteguimoya@un.org](mailto:gabriel.velasteguimoya@un.org)

Click Next below to start

## » Report Submission

Type of report \*

- ☐ Semi-annual
- ☒ Annual
- ☐ Final
- ☐ Other

Date of submission of report \*

2025-11-14

Name and title of person submitting the report \*

Melissa Testouri

E-mail of person submitting the report \*

mtestouri@iom.int

Name and title of person who approved the report \*

Selma El Khawad, Head of Programme, IOM Lebanon

Have all fund recipients for this project contributed to the report?

\*

☒ Yes

☐ No

Did PBF Secretariat or RCO focal point review the report?

\*

*You should normally ensure that the PBF Secretariat or the PBF focal point have an opportunity to review.*

☒ Yes

☐ No

☐ Not Applicable

## » Project Information and Geographical Scope

Is this a cross-border project?

\*

☐ Yes ☒ No

Please select the geographical region in which the project is implemented

☐ Asia and the Pacific

☐ Central & Southern Africa

☐ East Africa

☐ Europe and Central Asia

☐ Global

☐ Latin America and the Caribbean

☒ Middle East and North Africa

☐ West Africa

Country of project implementation

\*

☐ Iraq

☒ Lebanon

☐ Libya

☐ Tunisia

☐ Yemen

☐ Other, Specify

Other, please specify

\*

Project Title

\*

☒ 00141148: Tripoli Peace Initiative: Safeguarding Stability in Lebanon

☐ Other, Specify

Write the 8 digit MPTFO number and Project Title exactly as it appears in the Project Document

\*

*EXAMPLE: 00118938: Community-based prevention of violence and social cohesion using innovation for young people in displaced and host communities*

Please select the geographical region(s) in which the project is implemented \*

*If the project you are looking for does not appear in the following question, please make sure that you have selected the correct regions. A limited number of cross border projects span multiple geographic regions. For example, a cross border project between Niger and Chad spans both West Africa and Central & Southern Africa*

- |   |  |  |
|---|--|--|
| <input type="checkbox"/> Asia and the Pacific         | <input type="checkbox"/> Central & Southern Africa | <input type="checkbox"/> East Africa                     |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Europe and Central Asia      | <input type="checkbox"/> Global                    | <input type="checkbox"/> Latin America and the Caribbean |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Middle East and North Africa | <input type="checkbox"/> West Africa               |  |

Please select the title of the project for which you are submitting the report \*

Write the 8 digit MPTFO numbers and Project Title exactly as it appears in the Project Document \*

*EXAMPLE: 00129699/700: Supporting Cross-Border Cooperation for Increased Community Resilience and Social Cohesion in The Gambia and Senegal*

Please select the countries where this project is being implemented \*

Other, Please specify \*

Project Start Date (Date of first transfer) \*

**2025-05-07**

Project End Date \*

**2027-04-22**

Has this project received an extension? \*

- ☐ YES, Cost Extension
- ☐ YES, No Cost Extension
- ☐ YES, Both Cost and No Cost Extensions
- ☒ NO, No Extensions

Will this project be requesting an extension? \*

- ☐ YES, Cost Extension
- ☐ YES, No Cost Extension
- ☐ YES, Both Cost and No Cost Extensions
- ☒ NO, No Extensions

Will this project be submitting a Fund Transfer Request (FTR) in the next six months? \*

- ☐ Yes
- ☒ No

If so, around which month do you expect to submit the request?

\*

☐ January

☐ February

☐ March

☐ April

☐ May

☐ June

☐ July

☐ August

☐ September

☐ October

☐ November

☐ December

Is the current project end date within 6 months?

\*

☐ Yes

☒ No

Is funding disbursed either into a national or regional trust fund?

\*

☐ Yes

☒ No

If yes, please select which

\*

☐ National Trust Fund

☐ Regional Trust Fund

## Recipients

Is the convening agency a UN agency or a non UN entity? \*

- ☒ UN entity
- ☐ Non-UN Entity

Please select the convening agency recipient \*

- ☐ UNDP: United Nations Development Programme ☒ IOM: International Organization for Migration
- ☐ UNICEF: United Nations Children's Fund
- ☐ OHCHR: Office of the United Nations High Commissioner for Human Rights
- ☐ UNWOMEN: United Nations Entity for Gender Equality and the Empowerment of Women
- ☐ UNHCR: United Nations High Commissioner for Refugees ☐ UNFPA: United Nations Population Fund
- ☐ FAO: Food and Agriculture Organization ☐ WFP: World Food Programme
- ☐ UNHABITAT: United Nations Human Settlements Programme
- ☐ UNESCO: United Nations Educational, Scientific and Cultural Organization
- ☐ UNEP: United Nations Environment Programme ☐ ILO: International Labour Organization
- ☐ WHO: World Health Organization ☐ PAHO/WHO
- ☐ UNCDF: United Nations Capital Development Fund ☐ UNODC: United Nations Office on Drugs and Crime
- ☐ UNOPS: United Nations Office for Project Services
- ☐ UNIDO: United Nations Industrial Development Organization ☐ ITC: International Trade Centre
- ☐ UNDPO ☐ Other, Specify

Other, Please specify \*

Are there other recipients for this project? \*

- ☐ No other recipients
- ☒ Yes, other UN recipients only
- ☐ Yes, other non-UN recipients only
- ☐ Yes, both UN and non-UN recipients

Please select other UN recipients

\*

Select all that apply

- ☒ UNDP: United Nations Development Programme ☐ IOM: International Organization for Migration
- ☒ UNICEF: United Nations Children's Fund
- ☐ OHCHR: Office of the United Nations High Commissioner for Human Rights
- ☐ UNWOMEN: United Nations Entity for Gender Equality and the Empowerment of Women
- ☐ UNHCR: United Nations High Commissioner for Refugees ☐ UNFPA: United Nations Population Fund
- ☐ FAO: Food and Agriculture Organization ☐ WFP: World Food Programme
- ☐ UNHABITAT: United Nations Human Settlements Programme
- ☐ UNESCO: United Nations Educational, Scientific and Cultural Organization
- ☐ UNEP: United Nations Environment Programme ☐ ILO: International Labour Organization
- ☐ WHO: World Health Organization ☐ PAHO/WHO
- ☐ UNCDF: United Nations Capital Development Fund ☐ UNODC: United Nations Office on Drugs and Crime
- ☐ UNOPS: United Nations Office for Project Services
- ☐ UNIDO: United Nations Industrial Development Organization ☐ ITC: International Trade Centre
- ☐ UN Department of Peace Operations ☐ Other, Specify

Other, Please specify

\*

## Please select other non-UN recipients

- |  |  |   |
|--|--|---|
| <input type="checkbox"/> ACTED   | <input type="checkbox"/> Action Aid UK   | <input type="checkbox"/> AAITG (ActionAid the Gambia)   |
| <input type="checkbox"/> AEDE  | <input type="checkbox"/> African Centre for the Constructive Resolution of Disputes (ACCORD) |   |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Agence de Coopération et de Recherche pour le Développement (ACORD)                               |  |   |
| <input type="checkbox"/> American Friends Service Committee (AFSC)   | <input type="checkbox"/> Avocats Sans Frontières   |   |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Avocats Sans Frontières Belgium   | <input type="checkbox"/> Avocats sans frontières Canada                                      | <input type="checkbox"/> Ayuda en Accion                |
| <input type="checkbox"/> BIRN - Balkan Investigative Reporting Network   | <input type="checkbox"/> BIOM -Youth Ecological Movemen                                      |   |
| <input type="checkbox"/> CARE International UK   | <input type="checkbox"/> Centre d'étude et de coopération internationale (CECI) - BF         |   |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Christian Aid Ireland   | <input type="checkbox"/> COIPRODEN   | <input type="checkbox"/> Concern Worldwide              |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Conexion Guatemala  | <input type="checkbox"/> COOPI - Cooperazione Internazionale                                 | <input type="checkbox"/> CORD Burundi                   |
| <input type="checkbox"/> CORDAID   | <input type="checkbox"/> Corporacion Sisma Mujer   | <input type="checkbox"/> CRS - Catholic Relief Services |
| <input type="checkbox"/> DanChurchAid  | <input type="checkbox"/> Danish Refugee Council  | <input type="checkbox"/> EQUITAS                        |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Fund for Congolese Women  | <input type="checkbox"/> Fundacion Estudios Superior (FESU)                                  | <input type="checkbox"/> Fundación Mi Sangre (FMS)      |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Fundación Nacional para el Desarrollo de Honduras (FUNADEH)                                       | <input type="checkbox"/> Fundación para la Libertad de Prensa (FLIP)                         |   |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Geneva Centre for Security Sector Governance (DCAF)   | <input type="checkbox"/> HELVETAS Swiss Intercooperation                                     |   |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Humanity & Inclusion (HI)   | <input type="checkbox"/> ICTJ (International Center for Transitional Justice)                |   |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Instituto Holandes para Democracia Multipartidaria (NIMD)   | <input type="checkbox"/> Integrity Watch   |   |
| <input type="checkbox"/> International Alert   | <input type="checkbox"/> International Rescue Committee                                      | <input type="checkbox"/> Interpeace                     |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Kvinna till Kvinna Foundation   | <input type="checkbox"/> Life and Peace Institute (LPI)                                      |   |
| <input type="checkbox"/> MDG-EISA - Institut Electoral pour une Démocratie Durable en Afrique (EISA), bureau de Madagascar |  |   |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Mercy Corps   | <input type="checkbox"/> MLAL - ProgettoMondo  | <input type="checkbox"/> MSIS-TATAO                     |
| <input type="checkbox"/> NIMD (Netherlands Institute for Multiparty Democracy)   | <input type="checkbox"/> Nonviolent Peaceforce   |   |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Norwegian Refugee Council (NRC)   | <input type="checkbox"/> Nile Sustainable Development Organization - NSDO                    |   |
| <input type="checkbox"/> OCNH-Organisation des Citoyens pour une Nouvelle Haïti  | <input type="checkbox"/> OIKOS   |   |
| <input type="checkbox"/> ONG Adkoul - ONG Adkoul   | <input type="checkbox"/> ONG AZHAR   | <input type="checkbox"/> OXFAM                          |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Peace Direct  | <input type="checkbox"/> Plan International  | <input type="checkbox"/> PNG UN Country Fund            |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Red de Instituciones por los Derechos de la Niñez   | <input type="checkbox"/> ROI - Roza Otunbayeva Initiati                                      |   |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Saferworld  | <input type="checkbox"/> Sampan'Asa Momba ny Fampandrosoana (SAF/FJKM)                       |   |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Save the Children   | <input type="checkbox"/> Search for Common Ground (SFCG)                                     |   |
| <input type="checkbox"/> SIHA (Strategic Initiative for Women in the Horn of Africa)                                       | <input type="checkbox"/> SismaMujer  |   |
| <input type="checkbox"/> SOS Sahel Sudan   | <input type="checkbox"/> Stichting Impunity Watch  | <input type="checkbox"/> Tearfund                       |
| <input type="checkbox"/> The Carter Center, Inc.   | <input type="checkbox"/> Trocaire  | <input type="checkbox"/> War Child                      |
| <input type="checkbox"/> War Childhood Museum (WCM)  | <input type="checkbox"/> World Vision International  | <input type="checkbox"/> World Vision Myanmar           |
| <input type="checkbox"/> ZOA   | <input type="checkbox"/> blank_placeholder   | <input type="checkbox"/> Other, Please specify          |

Other, Please specify

\*

## Implementing Partners

To how many implementing partners has the project transferred money **since the project's start ?**

1

To how many implementing partners has the project transferred money **during this calendar period ?**

*(for June reports: January-June;*

*for November reports: January-December (anticipated);*

*for final reports: full project duration)*

1



Please list all of the project's implementing partners and the amounts (in USD) transferred to each, both since the project's start, and specifically during this calendar period

Please select the type of organisation which best describes the type of implementing partner \*

- ☒ National youth CSO
- ☐ National women's CSO
- ☐ Other National CSO
- ☐ Subnational youth CSO
- ☐ Subnational women's CSO
- ☐ Other subnational CSO
- ☐ Regional CSO
- ☐ Regional Organisation
- ☐ International NGO
- ☐ Governmental entity
- ☐ National women's and youth CSO
- ☐ Subnational women's and youth CSO
- ☐ Other

Other, Please specify

What is the name of the Implementing Partner \*

ShiFT

What is the planned total amount (in USD) for the **overall duration of the project** to be disbursed to this implementing partner? \*

*Please use a dot (.) as decimal separator, instead of a comma (,)*

75660

What is the total amount (in USD) disbursed to the implementing partner **since the project's start**? \*

*Please use a dot (.) as decimal separator, instead of a comma (,)*

37830

What is the total amount (in USD) disbursed to the implementing partner **during this calendar period?**

*Please use a dot (.) as decimal separator, instead of a comma (,)*

37830

Briefly describe the main activities carried out by the Implementing Partner during this calendar period

*Please limit your response to 1500 characters*

**SHiFT is supporting community committees through capacity building, knowledge sharing and providing a fundraising platform for the Co-Funding System in Tripoli.**

## Financial Reporting

### » Delivery by Recipient

**Please enter the total amounts in full US dollars allocated to each recipient organization**

Please enter the original budget amount, amount transferred to date and estimated expenditure by recipient.

*Please make sure you enter the correct amount. All values should be entered in **US Dollars***

For cross-border projects, group the amounts by agency, even if different country offices are involved. You will have the opportunity to share a more detailed budget in the next section.

Recipients	Total Project Budget (in full US \$) <i>Please enter the total budget as is in the project document in US Dollars</i>	Transfers to date (in full US \$) <i>Please enter the total amount transferred to each recipient to date in US Dollars</i>	Expenditure to date (in full US \$) <i>Please enter the approximate amount spent to date in US dollars</i>	Implementation rate as a percentage of total budget (calculated automatically)
IOM: International Organization for Migration	1069500	748650	111456.44	10.42%
				%

[illegible]

	*	*	*	%
	*	*	*	%
	*	*	*	%
<b>TOTAL</b>	<b>3000000</b>	<b>2100000</b>	<b>678611.78</b>	<b>22.6</b> <b>2%</b>

The approximate implementation rate as percentage of total project budget based on the values entered in the above matrix is **22.62%**. Can you confirm that this is correct? \*

☒ Correct ☐ Incorrect

If it is incorrect, please enter the approximate implementation rate as a % \*

### » Gender-responsive Budgeting

Indicate what **percentage (%)** of the budget contributes to gender equality or women's empowerment (GEWE) as per the project document? \*

49

The dollar amount of the budget contributing to Gender Equality and Women's Empowerment (GEWE) based on percentage entered above and total project budget is **US \$ 1470000**. Can you confirm that this is correct? \*

☒ Correct ☐ Incorrect

If it is incorrect, please enter the *budget amount* allocated to GEWE in US Dollars \*

Amount expended to date on efforts contributing to gender equality or women's empowerment is **US \$ 332519.77**. Is this correct? \*

☒ Correct ☐ Incorrect

If it is incorrect, please enter the *expenditure to date* on GEWE in US dollars \*

ATTACH PROJECT EXCEL BUDGET SHOWING CURRENT APPROXIMATE EXPENDITURE. \*

The templates for the budget are available [here](#)

Annex D PBF Project Budget\_Lebanon-15\_58\_52.xlsx



## Project Markers

Please select the Gender Marker Associated with this project \*

- ☐ Score 1 for projects that contribute in some way to gender equality, but not significantly (less than 30% of the total budget for GEWE)
- ☒ Score 2 for projects that have gender equality as a significant objective and allocate between 30 and 79% of the total project budget to GEWE
- ☐ Score 3 for projects that have gender equality as a principal objective and allocate at least 80% of the total project budget to Gender Equality and Women's Empowerment (GEWE)

Please select the Risk Marker Associated with this project \*

- ☐ Risk marker 0 = low risk to achieving outcomes
- ☐ Risk marker 1 = medium risk to achieving outcomes
- ☒ Risk marker 2 = high risk to achieving outcomes

Please select the PBF Focus Area associated with this project

\*

- ☐ (1.1) Security Sector Reform
- ☐ (1.2) Rule of Law
- ☐ (1.3) Demobilisation, Disarmament and Reintegration
- ☐ (1.4) Political Dialogue
- ☐ (2.1) National reconciliation
- ☐ (2.2) Democratic Governance
- ☒ (2.3) Conflict prevention/management
- ☐ (3.1) Employment
- ☐ (3.2) Equitable access to social services
- ☐ (4.1) Strengthening of essential national state capacity
- ☐ (4.2) Extension of state authority/Local Administration
- ☐ (4.3) Governance of peacebuilding resources (including PBF Secretariats)

Is the project part of one or more PBF priority windows?

\*

*Select all that apply*

- ☐ Gender promotion initiative
- ☐ Youth promotion initiative
- ☐ Transition from UN or regional peacekeeping or special political missions
- ☐ Cross-border or regional project
- ☒ None

## Steering Committee and Government engagement

Does the project have an active steering committee/ project board? \*

☒ Yes

☐ No

If yes, please indicate how many times the Project Steering Committee has met over the last 6 months?

*Please limit your response to 3000 characters*

**Although not formally designated as a Steering Committee or Project Board, the Municipality of Tripoli has a governing body that acts as the project's counterpart at the national level in Lebanon. This body includes the project focal point, municipal representatives, members of the Local Development Committee (LDC), and a citizen-representative group composed of civil society organizations (CSOs). The project team engages with this body to promote community ownership and ensure sustainable impact, with the first official meeting held on 10 July 2025 (see details below).**

**In addition, IOM, UNDP, and UNICEF established a unified "one project team" composed of technical staff from all three agencies, with sub-groups dedicated to visibility and monitoring and evaluation (M&E). This joint UN project team holds regular meetings, including face-to-face sessions, to coordinate on the workplan, stakeholder engagement, selection criteria, visibility and M&E strategies, among other activities.**

**During the reporting period, this project team has been jointly engaging with the government's steering committee for coordination, including introductory communications and formal agreements, as outlined below:**

- **On 10 July 2025, the Tripoli Mayor, the LDC President, and representatives from the three UN agencies officially launched the project. The Mayor confirmed the continuation of the plan approved by the former Mayor and appointed the LDC President as the official focal point for the project. A broader follow-up meeting was requested to present the full scope of project activities to committee and municipal board members.**
- **On 30 July 2025, the Municipality and UN agencies held a joint workshop with municipal members to introduce the project, present proposed target locations, and validate them with the LDC. Next steps for implementation were agreed upon during this session.**
- **On 17 September 2025, a meeting was held to plan an awareness event with government and community stakeholders to increase project visibility. Based on discussions and subsequent consultation with the Resident Coordinator Office (RCO), the event is planned for early 2026, once initial project results can be demonstrated.**

Please provide a brief description of any engagement that the project has had with the government over the last 6 months. Please indicate what level of government the project has been engaging with.

*Please limit your response to 3000 characters*

The Ministry of Interior approved the PBF-project and appointed the Municipality of Tripoli as the operational counterpart, in coordination with the Union of Municipalities and other relevant governmental stakeholders. During the reporting period, the project team has maintained consistent coordination with government counterparts at both the national and local levels, including the Municipality of Tripoli, the Technical and Vocational Education and Training (TVET) Directorate at the Ministry of Education and Higher Education (MEHE), and other relevant entities. Key engagement activities are summarized below:

- At the local level, under Outputs 1.1 and 1.3, UNDP engaged municipal committees, NGO focal points, community activists, and Mukhtars through meetings in the targeted areas. These preparatory consultations aim to facilitate youth outreach for the upcoming campaign scheduled for the last quarter of 2025, ensuring alignment with local priorities and community needs.
- Under Output 1.2, the MEHE TVET Directorate has been an active partner in delivering certified vocational training to vulnerable youth. A coordination mechanism with the TVET Directorate General and the head of the TVET Non-Formal Education (NFE) department has been established, with both co-leading training activities alongside UNICEF in the designated schools.
- At the national and local levels, under Outcome 2, IOM engaged the Municipality of Tripoli in multiple meetings on diaspora engagement and co-funding mechanisms. The Municipality has shown strong interest in institutionalizing knowledge to sustain these mechanisms beyond the project's completion. Consequently, the Municipality is establishing a Diaspora Engagement Working Group, composed of municipal staff and diaspora representatives from key countries such as Canada and Australia, which will receive capacity-building support to ensure sustainable impact.

## PART I: OVERALL PROJECT PROGRESS

NOTES FOR COMPLETING THE REPORT:

- Avoid acronyms and UN jargon, use general /common language.
- Report on what has been achieved in the reporting period, not what the project aims to do.
- Be as concrete as possible. Avoid theoretical, vague or conceptual discourse.
- Ensure the analysis and project progress assessment is gender and age sensitive.

Please rate the implementation status of the following preliminary/preparatory activities

Contracting of partners



Not Started



Initiated



Partially Completed



Completed



Not Applicable



Staff Recruitment

\*

- ☐ Not Started ☐ Initiated ☒ Partially Completed
- ☐ Completed ☐ Not Applicable

Collection of baselines

\*

- ☐ Not Started ☒ Initiated ☐ Partially Completed
- ☐ Completed ☐ Not Applicable

Identification of beneficiaries

\*

- ☐ Not Started ☒ Initiated ☐ Partially Completed
- ☐ Completed ☐ Not Applicable

Provide any additional descriptive information relating to the status of the project, including whether preliminary/preparatory activities have been completed (i.e. contracting of partners, staff recruitment, etc.)

*Please limit your response to 3000 characters*

UNICEF partnered with the TVET Directorate of the MEHE to identify suitable TVET schools within the project's target areas. The Directorate will lead the vocational training delivery, while a partner organization (currently being selected) will implement complementary activities, including outreach, life skills and peacebuilding sessions, girls' leadership training, youth functional literacy and numeracy modules, as well as job placement support. The partner organization will implement these components while UNICEF will strengthen the institutional and technical capacity of the schools. By 2027, schools are expected to independently deliver the full training package, ensuring sustainability. IOM selected SHiFT, a local NGO with strong community expertise, through a transparent and competitive process to support diaspora engagement and co-funding initiatives. A financial induction session was held on 2 September 2025. UNDP is currently in the process of selecting an IP and this will be reflected in the next reporting cycle.

The project team has been largely staffed since inception. All three UN agencies have dedicated technical, finance, procurement, and communication personnel, including staff based in Tripoli. Recruitment for the M&E consultant is ongoing, with applications now closed and the process is expected to be finalized by the end of 2025.

Following a coordination meeting on M&E among the three UN agencies held on 2 September 2025, the agencies discussed certain M&E modalities, including engaging a consultancy or company and defining the details of the Terms of References (ToR), and agreed to hire an independent M&E consultant to develop the project's M&E strategy. Each agency will collect its respective baseline data, which will be consolidated into a comprehensive baseline report by early 2026.

The project team has initiated beneficiary identification using a community-led approach, with a strong focus on women and youth. Under Output 1.3, UNDP developed a youth outreach strategy targeting 120 participants for the Mechanisms for Stability and Local Development (MSLD) process and the Youth Leadership Programme (YLP). A recruitment campaign, including a call for applications, is underway. Under Output 1.2, UNICEF revised the vulnerability assessment tool to be rolled in the last quarter of 2025. Identification used multiple outreach methods (door-to-door visits, community gatherings, job fairs, social media) and a structured Kobo-based assessment capturing household and individual socio-economic and educational data. A five-point scoring system prioritizes youth most in need. Eligibility criteria include youth out of learning or employment, working minors, early-married girls, youth with disabilities, and those affected by gender-based violence (GBV) or conflict. Under Outcome 2, IOM developed an eligibility criteria for community-based planning (CBP) members. These criteria were developed based on 14 key informant interviews across 7 target communities. The selection process will be completed by the end of 2025, in collaboration with UNDP and UNICEF.

Describe overall progress under each Outcome made during the reporting period (*for June reports: January-June; for November reports: January-December (anticipated); for final reports: full project duration*). Do not list individual activities. If the project is starting to make/has made a difference at the outcome level, provide specific evidence for the progress (quantitative and qualitative) and explain how it impacts the broader political and peacebuilding context.

Is the project on track for the timely completion of outputs as indicated in the workplan?

☒ Yes

☐ No

If no, please provide an explanation

*Please limit your response to 6000 characters*

\*

## Project progress summary

*Please limit your response to 6000 characters*

**Under Output 1.1, UNDP is in the process of recruiting a consultancy firm to:**

- **Update the follow-up tension report based on the 2022/2023 study Tripoli Truths: Voices of Struggle and Despair, identifying recent tension drivers and root causes.**
- **Conduct a high-level impact evaluation of the project to assess its contribution to tension mitigation and reduction. The ToR are currently being finalized.**

**UNDP is also currently identifying a local IP to carry out the youth-focused MSLD process.**

**To ensure a smooth induction phase, UNDP is undertaking the following preparatory steps:**

- **Engaging with local actors, stakeholders and community activists in targeted areas to facilitate youth outreach once the campaign is launched in the last quarter of 2025.**
- **Conducting a desk review of existing reports and data to better understand the socio-economic and political dynamics of Tripoli, including the needs, priorities, and challenges faced by the local community, particularly youth.**
- **Mapping existing peacebuilding interventions, with a focus on youth-centered projects, to complement ongoing efforts, avoid duplication, and identify coordination opportunities.**

**Under Output 1.2, UNICEF identified seven TVET schools in the targeted locations. Coordination with the TVET Directorate is ongoing to present the project, secure their support and finalize the selection of participating schools.**

**Vulnerability assessment tools are under review. Once finalized, they will be shared with UNDP for the integration of relevant criteria and subsequently testing. Following this, outreach will begin to identify the youth beneficiaries for Year 1.**

**Consultations with employers and labour market representatives were completed to identify priority occupations. The consultations aimed to:**

- **Gather up-to-date labour market intelligence to inform the design of Competency Based Training (CBT) courses aligned with employer needs;**
- **Establish partnerships with private sector stakeholders to enhance job placement opportunities for trained youth;**
- **Identify key recruitment challenges across priority sectors; and**
- **Strengthen linkages between trained youth and employment opportunities across northern Lebanon**

**The key sectors identified as high demand include hospitality, construction, agriculture sewing/ tailoring and information technology.**

**The Girls' Leadership Package is being finalized, with the Training-of-Trainers scheduled and rollout planned by the end of 2025.**

**Under Output 1.3, UNDP developed a youth outreach strategy targeting 120 participants for both the MSL process and the YLP. A recruitment campaign, including a call for applications, will be launched in the last quarter of 2025 to identify and engage eligible youth.**

**Under Output 2.1, IOM selected SHiFT, a locally established NGO in Tripoli, as the IP, to support community committees in their fundraising efforts.**

**CBP activities are being prepared in collaboration with UNICEF (seven communities) and UNDP (three communities), with implementation scheduled for December 2025 for the UNICEF communities and the first quarter of 2026 for the UNDP communities.**

**From 22 to 26 September 2025, IOM and UNICEF conducted Key Informant Interviews (KIIs) with 14 participants (3 women and 11 men). Interviewees included community social activists, leaders of sports initiatives, and heads of local groups. The limited number of women participants reflects their reduced visibility in the current community context. Moving forward, IOM and UNICEF will continue engaging with communities and supplement these findings with additional consultations specifically with women in subsequent reporting periods. The KIIs also highlighted key**

community challenges, including high school dropout rates, youth unemployment, and competition over job opportunities.

Based on insights gathered through KIIs, IOM developed an inclusive selection criteria for CBP members and a matching grant ratio mechanism that defines the project's contribution relative to community-raised funds. The ratio, ranging from 1:1 to 1:2, is determined by a scoring system that prioritizes initiatives led by diverse community groups and those that promote peacebuilding, social inclusion, and inclusive participation. To ensure that interventions are grounded in local realities and do not inadvertently exacerbate existing tensions, IOM and UNICEF conducted 14 KIIs across the seven target communities to understand context-specific dynamics, power relations and community perceptions. These findings informed the CBP preparations and will continue to guide implementation through a conflict-sensitive lens.

Under Output 2.1, IOM participated in diaspora conferences and meetings to better understand the dynamics of Lebanon- and Tripoli-based diaspora communities. This included the International Lebanese Medical Association (ILMA) Conference 2025 on 26 July 2025, where IOM expanded networking with diaspora members and local stakeholders. At the conference, IOM met a Tripoli-based medical expert experienced in diaspora in-kind support, and subsequently held a follow-up meeting in Tripoli to map existing networks and collaboration between communities and diaspora groups. Based on this meeting and ongoing IOM's diaspora coordination efforts, IOM has updated its mapping of the diaspora groups. Coordination with these groups will begin in the next reporting period to identify their interests and needs and to introduce the project.

As part of its broader initiative to strengthen diaspora contributions to peacebuilding, recovery, and development efforts in Lebanon and beyond, IOM has recently intensified its diaspora engagement across its offices in Australia and Canada. Coordination has been established between these offices to plan joint outreach activities, aiming to reinforce a consolidated approach aligned with other IOM diaspora engagement initiatives. These efforts also support targeted outreach campaigns promoting the Co-Funding System (CFS) among diaspora communities. In addition, IOM has engaged with the Municipality of Tripoli to obtain contact information for diaspora groups connected to the city.

Under Output 2.3, activities under this Output will start following the completion of Output 2.1

Indicate any additional analysis on how Gender Equality and Women's Empowerment and/or Youth Inclusion and Responsiveness has been ensured by the project to date

*Please limit your response to 3000 characters*

**UNICEF's market and employer consultations were conducted applying a clear gender lens, ensuring that sectoral analysis captured women's and girl's participation, challenges, and opportunities. For instance, in the tailoring and sewing sector, around 95 per cent of the workforce is female, though retention decreases when girls marry, a challenge the programme aims to address through targeted support. In agriculture, women and girls remain highly engaged in agro-processing and food production roles. Programmes that included childcare support demonstrated higher retention rates among female participants, highlighting the importance of gender-sensitive support mechanisms to enable sustained participation.**

**Within the CFS design, IOM integrated gender considerations into the selection criteria and grant ratio structure. The KIIs conducted for community engagement highlighted challenges that limit women's participation in decision-making and community activities, including restricted access to socioeconomic opportunities and social norms that constraint their involvement. At the same time, most of KII respondents emphasized the importance of enhancing women's socioeconomic opportunities, indicating a readiness to support their active participation in community activities. Based on these findings, the CBP process will ensure equal gender representation to guarantee that community voices reflect the priorities and perspectives of both women and men.**

**UNDP's mapping exercise in Tripoli, aimed at identifying and analyzing existing peacebuilding interventions, placed strong emphasis on gender equality and youth inclusion. Women-led NGOs, female activists, and gender-focused organizations were systematically consulted to ensure that women's perspectives meaningfully informed the analysis. The exercise also documented and recognized existing women-led peacebuilding initiatives, highlighting their active roles in conflict prevention, social cohesion, and community resilience. In addition, key barriers to women's participation, such as restrictive social norms, mobility constraints, and limited access to decision-making spaces, were identified to guide future interventions that promote more equitable engagement.**

**Youth inclusion was equally prioritized throughout the mapping. The exercise documented numerous youth-driven peacebuilding initiatives, positioning young people as central agents of change in Tripoli. It also produced recommendations to strengthen youth participation, emphasizing coordination and complementarity with existing efforts to avoid duplication and maximize impact.**

Is the project 1+ year in implementation?

☐ Yes

☒ No

**FOR PROJECTS 1+ YEAR IN IMPLEMENTATION ONLY:**

Is the project demonstrating outcome-level peacebuilding results?

*Outcome-level peacebuilding results entail results achieved at the societal or structural level, including changed attitudes, behaviours or institutions.*

☐ Yes

☐ No

If yes, please provide concrete examples of such peacebuilding results

*Please limit your response to 6000 characters*

If yes, please provide sources or references (including links) as evidence of peacebuilding results, or submit them as additional attachments.

*Evidence may be quantitative or qualitative but needs to demonstrate progress against outcome indicators in the project results framework. Sources may include project surveys (such as perception surveys), monitoring reports, government documents, or other knowledge products that have been developed by the project.*

File attachment

[Click here to upload file. \(< 10MB\)](#)

## PART II: PROJECT RESULTS FRAMEWORK

How many OUTCOMES does this project have \*

1

2

3

4

5

more than 5.

Please write out the project outcomes as they are in the project results framework found in the project document

Outcome 1:

**Social cohesion is strengthened through inclusive local development that opens up to the participation of civil society, particularly women, adolescent and youth, and allows them to identify local development priorities that also address key conflict drivers in Tripoli**

Outcome 2:

**Strengthened social stability through the implementation of the co-funding mechanism in 10 selected communities**

Outcome 3:

Outcome 4:

Outcome 5:

Outcome 6:

Outcome 7:

Outcome 8:

\*

Additional Outcomes

*If the project has more than 8 outcomes, please enumerate the remaining outcomes here*

\*

## INDICATOR BASED PERFORMANCE ASSESSMENT

Using the Project Results Framework as per the approved project document or any amendments, provide an update on the achievement of all **outcome** and **output** indicators in the table below.

- Where it has not been possible to collect data on indicators, state this and provide any explanation.
- Provide gender and age disaggregated data. (500 characters max per entry)



» Outcome 1: Social cohesion is strengthened through inclusive local development that opens up to the participation of civil society, particularly women, adolescent and youth, and allows them to identify local development priorities that also address key conflict drivers in Tripoli

O C 1	Outcome Indicator s	Indicator Baseline	End of Project Indicator Target	Indicator progress for reporting period	Indicator progress since project's start	Reasons for Variance/ Delay (if any)
1. 1	Level of negative intra-communal relations in the North	29.5% negative intra-communal  44% negative inter-communal	< 25% intra-communal  <40% inter-communal	Data will be included into the baseline and endline report and presented in the next reporting cycle.	N/A	Preparatory activities are underway, so progress against this indicator cannot be measured in this reporting cycle.
1. 2	Number of economic tensions incidents per year	113	Below 100 incidents per year	Data will be included into the midline assessment and presented in the next reporting cycle.	N/A	Preparatory activities are underway, so progress against this indicator cannot be measured in this reporting cycle.
1. 3	% of youth who have reported feeling more empowered as a result of the integrated peacebuilding and skilling project	0	75	Data will be included into the midline assessment and presented in the next reporting cycle.	N/A	Preparatory activities are underway, so progress against this indicator cannot be measured in this reporting cycle.

1. 4	% of youth who ranked 4 out 5 their overall satisfaction with the life skills training for social cohesion	0	75	Data will be included into the midline assessment and presented in the next reporting cycle.	N/A	Preparatory activities are underway, so progress against this indicator cannot be measured in this reporting cycle.
1. 5	% of youth who have reported that the integrated peacebuilding and skilling project has enabled their meaningful participation in their communities	0	75	Data will be included into the midline assessment and presented in the next reporting cycle.	N/A	Preparatory activities are underway, so progress against this indicator cannot be measured in this reporting cycle.

How many outputs does outcome 1 have?

1      2      **3**      4      5      more than 5.

Please list all outputs for outcome 1

Output 1.1

**Youth and women-focused MSLD consultations conducted to identify community development priorities as well as conflict drivers, based on a clustering of targeted localities that host multiple communities who experience tension(s)**

Output 1.2

**Vulnerable and at-risk adolescents and youth increasingly access inclusive and gender sensitive empowerment, quality learning, market-relevant skills and work-based opportunities to support their learning to earning transition and strengthen inter and intra- communal cohesion and personal empowerment.**

Output 1.3

**Mobilize 120 youth in the Youth Leadership Programme to identify common priorities within their locality.**

Output 1.4

Output 1.5

Other Outputs

*If Outcome 1 has more than 5 outputs, please enumerate the remaining outputs here*

For each output, and using the, project results framework, provide an update on the progress made against all output indicators

» **Output 1.1: Youth and women-focused MSLD consultations conducted to identify community development priorities as well as conflict drivers, based on a clustering of targeted localities that host multiple communities who experience tension(s)**

1. 1	Output Indicators <i>Describe the indicator</i>	Indicator Baseline <i>State the baseline value of the indicator</i>	End of Project Indicator Target <i>State the target value of the indicator at the end of the project</i>	Indicator progress for reporting period <i>State the current value of the indicator for the reporting period</i>	Indicator progress since project's start <i>State the current cumulative value of the indicator since the start of the project</i>	Reasons for Variance/ Delay (if any) <i>Explain why the indicator is off track or has changed, where relevant</i>
1. 1.1	Number of people engaged in MSLD including youth and women	N/A	20 per MSLD (50% women, 50% men, age 18-45)	Ongoing. The outreach phase is currently underway, and progress on this indicator will be reported in the next reporting cycle.	0	Preparatory activities are underway
1. 1.2	Number of MSLD conducted	0	3	Ongoing. The outreach phase is currently underway, and progress on this indicator will be reported in the next reporting cycle.	0	Preparatory activities are underway.
1. 1.3						

1. 1. 4  .....						
1. 1. 5  .....						

» **Output 1.2: Vulnerable and at-risk adolescents and youth increasingly access inclusive and gender sensitive empowerment, quality learning, market-relevant skills and work-based opportunities to support their learning to earning transition and strengthen inter and intra-communal cohesion and personal empowerment.**

1. 2	Output Indicators <i>Describe the indicator</i>	Indicator Baseline <i>State the baseline value of the indicator</i>	End of Project Indicator Target <i>State the target value of the indicator at the end of the project</i>	Indicator progress for reporting period <i>State the current value of the indicator for the reporting period</i>	Indicator progress since project's start <i>State the current cumulative value of the indicator since the start of the project</i>	Reasons for Variance/ Delay (if any) <i>Explain why the indicator is off track or has changed, where relevant</i>
1. 2. 1	# of young girls trained on girls' leadership package	0	225	The package is being finalized	ToT in process	The preparatory work has started among all three agencies. The project is on track.
1. 2. 2	# of adolescents and youth supported with the peacebuilding training package	0	450	The vulnerability assessment is being updated, with outreach activities scheduled to begin by the end of 2025.	0	Preparatory activities are underway.
1. 2. 3	# of male and female adolescents and young people trained on the Life Skills and social cohesion programme packages	0	450	The package is being finalized	0	Preparatory activities are underway.

1. 2. 4	% of youth supported with linkages to potential employment opportunities after completion of work-based learning interventions	0	30	Activities to begin in the next reporting cycle.	N/A	Activities to begin in the next reporting cycle
1. 2. 5	# of girls led initiatives established by girls	0	8	Activities to begin in the next reporting cycle.	0	Activities to begin in the next reporting cycle

» **Output 1.3: Mobilize 120 youth in the Youth Leadership Programme to identify common priorities within their locality.**

1. 3	Output Indicators <i>Describe the indicator</i>	Indicator Baseline <i>State the baseline value of the indicator</i>	End of Project Indicator Target <i>State the target value of the indicator at the end of the project</i>	Indicator progress for reporting period <i>State the current value of the indicator for the reporting period</i>	Indicator progress since project's start <i>State the current cumulative value of the indicator since the start of the project</i>	Reasons for Variance/ Delay (if any) <i>Explain why the indicator is off track or has changed, where relevant</i>
1. 3. 1	# Number of youth engaged in YLP	N/A	120	In progress. The outreach phase is currently underway, and progress on this indicator will be reported in the next reporting cycle.	0	Preparatory activities are underway, so progress against this indicator cannot be measured in this reporting cycle.
1. 3. 2	Number of studies conducted to inform the project	N/A	1	As per the Workplan, this activity will be conducted in 2026.	0	Activities to begin in 2026.
1. 3. 3						
1. 3. 4						
1. 3. 5						



» Output 1.4:

1. 4	Output Indicators <i>Describe the indicator</i>	Indicator Baseline <i>State the baseline value of the indicator</i>	End of Project Indicator Target <i>State the target value of the indicator at the end of the project</i>	Indicator progress for reporting period <i>State the current value of the indicator for the reporting period</i>	Indicator progress since project's start <i>State the current cumulative value of the indicator since the start of the project</i>	Reasons for Variance/ Delay (if any) <i>Explain why the indicator is off track or has changed, where relevant</i>
1. 4. 1						
1. 4. 2						
1. 4. 3						
1. 4. 4						
1. 4. 5						

» Output 1.5:

1. 5	Output Indicators <i>Describe the indicator</i>	Indicator Baseline <i>State the baseline value of the indicator</i>	End of Project Indicator Target <i>State the target value of the indicator at the end of the project</i>	Indicator progress for reporting period <i>State the current value of the indicator for the reporting period</i>	Indicator progress since project's start <i>State the current cumulative value of the indicator since the start of the project</i>	Reasons for Variance/ Delay (if any) <i>Explain why the indicator is off track or has changed, where relevant</i>
1. 5. 1						
1. 5. 2						
1. 5. 3						
1. 5. 4						
1. 5. 5						

» Outcome 2: Strengthened social stability through the implementation of the co-funding mechanism in 10 selected communities

O C 2	Outcome Indicator s	Indicator Baseline	End of Project Indicator Target	Indicator progress for reporting period	Indicator progress since project's start	Reasons for Variance/ Delay (if any)
2. 1	% of community groups reporting willingness to work together/partake in events held by another community group	N/A	50	Progress on this will be reflected in the next reporting cycle.	N/A	Preparatory activities are underway, so progress against this indicator cannot be measured in this reporting cycle.
2. 2	% of target population reporting on improved trust and confidence in their local institutions to respond to their grievances	0	40	Progress on this will be reflected in the next reporting cycle	N/A	Preparatory activities are underway, so progress against this indicator cannot be measured in this reporting cycle.
2. 3						
2. 4						
2. 5						

How many outputs does outcome 2 have?

1

2

3

4

5

more than 5.

Please list all outputs for outcome 2

Output 2.1

**Community-led CFM have capacity to raise funds for identified priority community projects.**

Output 2.2

**Engage and mobilize diaspora networks, connecting them to local projects**

Output 2.3

**Implement the priority community projects as identified by CFM committee and through MSLD**

Output 2.4

Output 2.5

Other Outputs

*If Outcome 2 has more than 5 outputs, please enumerate the remaining outputs here*

For each output, and using the, project results framework, provide an update on the progress made against all output indicators

» **Output 2.1: Community-led CFM have capacity to raise funds for identified priority community projects.**

2. 1	Output Indicators <i>Describe the indicator</i>	Indicator Baseline <i>State the baseline value of the indicator</i>	End of Project Indicator Target <i>State the target value of the indicator at the end of the project</i>	Indicator progress for reporting period <i>State the current value of the indicator for the reporting period</i>	Indicator progress since project's start <i>State the current cumulative value of the indicator since the start of the project</i>	Reasons for Variance/ Delay (if any) <i>Explain why the indicator is off track or has changed, where relevant</i>
2. 1. 1	# of people trained on project development, fundraising, procurement, accounting, and implementation	0	100	Progress on this will be reflected in the next reporting cycle.	0	Preparatory activities are underway, so progress against this indicator cannot be measured in this reporting cycle.
2. 1. 2	# of online fundraising accounts opened	0	10	Progress on this will be reflected in the next reporting cycle	0	Preparatory activities are underway, so progress against this indicator cannot be measured in this reporting cycle.
2. 1. 3	Amount of (USD\$) raised by communities in target locations.	0	100,000	Progress on this will be reflected in the next reporting cycle.	0	Preparatory activities are underway, so progress against this indicator cannot be measured in this reporting cycle.

2. 1. 4  .....						
2. 1. 5  .....						

» **Output 2.2: Engage and mobilize diaspora networks, connecting them to local projects**

2. 2	Output Indicators <i>Describe the indicator</i>	Indicator Baseline <i>State the baseline value of the indicator</i>	End of Project Indicator Target <i>State the target value of the indicator at the end of the project</i>	Indicator progress for reporting period <i>State the current value of the indicator for the reporting period</i>	Indicator progress since project's start <i>State the current cumulative value of the indicator since the start of the project</i>	Reasons for Variance/ Delay (if any) <i>Explain why the indicator is off track or has changed, where relevant</i>
2. 2. 1	<b>1 or more diaspora networks are contributing to fundraising efforts by the second year of project implementation.</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>Yes</b>	<b>In accordance with the workplan, this activity is scheduled in the next reporting period.</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>Activities to begin in 2026.</b>
2. 2. 2						
2. 2. 3						
2. 2. 4						
2. 2. 5						

» **Output 2.3: Implement the priority community projects as identified by CFM committee and through MSLD**

2. 3	Output Indicators <i>Describe the indicator</i>	Indicator Baseline <i>State the baseline value of the indicator</i>	End of Project Indicator Target <i>State the target value of the indicator at the end of the project</i>	Indicator progress for reporting period <i>State the current value of the indicator for the reporting period</i>	Indicator progress since project's start <i>State the current cumulative value of the indicator since the start of the project</i>	Reasons for Variance/ Delay (if any) <i>Explain why the indicator is off track or has changed, where relevant</i>
2. 3. 1	# of community projects implemented through the CFM co funding.	0	10	In accordance with the workplan, this activity is scheduled in 2026.	0	Activities to begin in 2026
2. 3. 2						
2. 3. 3						
2. 3. 4						
2. 3. 5						



» Output 2.4:

2. 4	Output Indicators <i>Describe the indicator</i>	Indicator Baseline <i>State the baseline value of the indicator</i>	End of Project Indicator Target <i>State the target value of the indicator at the end of the project</i>	Indicator progress for reporting period <i>State the current value of the indicator for the reporting period</i>	Indicator progress since project's start <i>State the current cumulative value of the indicator since the start of the project</i>	Reasons for Variance/ Delay (if any) <i>Explain why the indicator is off track or has changed, where relevant</i>
2. 4. 1						
2. 4. 2						
2. 4. 3						
2. 4. 4						
2. 4. 5						

» Output 2.5:

2.5	Output Indicators <i>Describe the indicator</i>	Indicator Baseline <i>State the baseline value of the indicator</i>	End of Project Indicator Target <i>State the target value of the indicator at the end of the project</i>	Indicator progress for reporting period <i>State the current value of the indicator for the reporting period</i>	Indicator progress since project's start <i>State the current cumulative value of the indicator since the start of the project</i>	Reasons for Variance/ Delay (if any) <i>Explain why the indicator is off track or has changed, where relevant</i>
2.5.1						
2.5.2						
2.5.3						
2.5.4						
2.5.5						

» Outcome 3:

O C 3	Outcome Indicator s	Indicator Baseline	End of Project Indicator Target	Indicator progress for reporting period	Indicator progress since project's start	Reasons for Variance/ Delay (if any)
3. 1						
3. 2						
3. 3						
3. 4						
3. 5						
How many outputs does outcome 3 have?						
1      2      3      4      5      more than 5.						
Please list all outputs for outcome 3						
Output 3.1						
Output 3.2						

Output 3.3

Output 3.4

Output 3.5

Other Outputs

*If Outcome 3 has more than 5 outputs, please enumerate the remaining outputs here*

For each output, and using the, project results framework, provide an update on the progress made against all output indicators

» **Output 3.1:**

3. 1	Output Indicators <i>Describe the indicator</i>	Indicator Baseline <i>State the baseline value of the indicator</i>	End of Project Indicator Target <i>State the target value of the indicator at the end of the project</i>	Indicator progress for reporting period <i>State the current value of the indicator for the reporting period</i>	Indicator progress since project's start <i>State the current cumulative value of the indicator since the start of the project</i>	Reasons for Variance/ Delay (if any) <i>Explain why the indicator is off track or has changed, where relevant</i>
3. 1. 1						
3. 1. 2						
3. 1. 3						
3. 1. 4						
3. 1. 5						

» Output 3.2:

3. 2	Output Indicators <i>Describe the indicator</i>	Indicator Baseline <i>State the baseline value of the indicator</i>	End of Project Indicator Target <i>State the target value of the indicator at the end of the project</i>	Indicator progress for reporting period <i>State the current value of the indicator for the reporting period</i>	Indicator progress since project's start <i>State the current cumulative value of the indicator since the start of the project</i>	Reasons for Variance/ Delay (if any) <i>Explain why the indicator is off track or has changed, where relevant</i>
3. 2. 1						
3. 2. 2						
3. 2. 3						
3. 2. 4						
3. 2. 5						

» Output 3.3:

3. 3	Output Indicators <i>Describe the indicator</i>	Indicator Baseline <i>State the baseline value of the indicator</i>	End of Project Indicator Target <i>State the target value of the indicator at the end of the project</i>	Indicator progress for reporting period <i>State the current value of the indicator for the reporting period</i>	Indicator progress since project's start <i>State the current cumulative value of the indicator since the start of the project</i>	Reasons for Variance/ Delay (if any) <i>Explain why the indicator is off track or has changed, where relevant</i>
3. 3. 1						
3. 3. 2						
3. 3. 3						
3. 3. 4						
3. 3. 5						

» Output 3.4:

3. 4	Output Indicators <i>Describe the indicator</i>	Indicator Baseline <i>State the baseline value of the indicator</i>	End of Project Indicator Target <i>State the target value of the indicator at the end of the project</i>	Indicator progress for reporting period <i>State the current value of the indicator for the reporting period</i>	Indicator progress since project's start <i>State the current cumulative value of the indicator since the start of the project</i>	Reasons for Variance/ Delay (if any) <i>Explain why the indicator is off track or has changed, where relevant</i>
3. 4. 1						
3. 4. 2						
3. 4. 3						
3. 4. 4						
3. 4. 5						



» Output 3.5:

3. 5	Output Indicators <i>Describe the indicator</i>	Indicator Baseline <i>State the baseline value of the indicator</i>	End of Project Indicator Target <i>State the target value of the indicator at the end of the project</i>	Indicator progress for reporting period <i>State the current value of the indicator for the reporting period</i>	Indicator progress since project's start <i>State the current cumulative value of the indicator since the start of the project</i>	Reasons for Variance/ Delay (if any) <i>Explain why the indicator is off track or has changed, where relevant</i>
3. 5. 1						
3. 5. 2						
3. 5. 3						
3. 5. 4						
3. 5. 5						

» Outcome 4:

O C 4	Outcome Indicator s	Indicator Baseline	End of Project Indicator Target	Indicator progress for reporting period	Indicator progress since project's start	Reasons for Variance/ Delay (if any)
4. 1						
4. 2						
4. 3						
4. 4						
4. 5						
How many outputs does outcome 4 have? <div>12345more than 5.</div>						
Please list all outputs for outcome 4						
Output 4.1						
Output 4.2						

Output 4.3

Output 4.4

Output 4.5

Other Outputs

*If Outcome 4 has more than 5 outputs, please enumerate the remaining outputs here*

For each output, and using the, project results framework, provide an update on the progress made against all output indicators

» **Output 4.1:**

4. 1	Output Indicators <i>Describe the indicator</i>	Indicator Baseline <i>State the baseline value of the indicator</i>	End of Project Indicator Target <i>State the target value of the indicator at the end of the project</i>	Indicator progress for reporting period <i>State the current value of the indicator for the reporting period</i>	Indicator progress since project's start <i>State the current cumulative value of the indicator since the start of the project</i>	Reasons for Variance/ Delay (if any) <i>Explain why the indicator is off track or has changed, where relevant</i>
4. 1. 1						
4. 1. 2						
4. 1. 3						
4. 1. 4						
4. 1. 5						

» Output 4.2:

4. 2	Output Indicators <i>Describe the indicator</i>	Indicator Baseline <i>State the baseline value of the indicator</i>	End of Project Indicator Target <i>State the target value of the indicator at the end of the project</i>	Indicator progress for reporting period <i>State the current value of the indicator for the reporting period</i>	Indicator progress since project's start <i>State the current cumulative value of the indicator since the start of the project</i>	Reasons for Variance/ Delay (if any) <i>Explain why the indicator is off track or has changed, where relevant</i>
4. 2. 1						
4. 2. 2						
4. 2. 3						
4. 2. 4						
4. 2. 5						

» Output 4.3:

4. 3	Output Indicators <i>Describe the indicator</i>	Indicator Baseline <i>State the baseline value of the indicator</i>	End of Project Indicator Target <i>State the target value of the indicator at the end of the project</i>	Indicator progress for reporting period <i>State the current value of the indicator for the reporting period</i>	Indicator progress since project's start <i>State the current cumulative value of the indicator since the start of the project</i>	Reasons for Variance/ Delay (if any) <i>Explain why the indicator is off track or has changed, where relevant</i>
4. 3. 1						
4. 3. 2						
4. 3. 3						
4. 3. 4						
4. 3. 5						

» Output 4.4:

4.4	Output Indicators <i>Describe the indicator</i>	Indicator Baseline <i>State the baseline value of the indicator</i>	End of Project Indicator Target <i>State the target value of the indicator at the end of the project</i>	Indicator progress for reporting period <i>State the current value of the indicator for the reporting period</i>	Indicator progress since project's start <i>State the current cumulative value of the indicator since the start of the project</i>	Reasons for Variance/ Delay (if any) <i>Explain why the indicator is off track or has changed, where relevant</i>
4.4.1						
4.4.2						
4.4.3						
4.4.4						
4.4.5						

» Output 4.5:

4. 5	Output Indicators <i>Describe the indicator</i>	Indicator Baseline <i>State the baseline value of the indicator</i>	End of Project Indicator Target <i>State the target value of the indicator at the end of the project</i>	Indicator progress for reporting period <i>State the current value of the indicator for the reporting period</i>	Indicator progress since project's start <i>State the current cumulative value of the indicator since the start of the project</i>	Reasons for Variance/ Delay (if any) <i>Explain why the indicator is off track or has changed, where relevant</i>
4. 5. 1						
4. 5. 2						
4. 5. 3						
4. 5. 4						
4. 5. 5						
<p>If the project has more than 4 outcomes, use this space to describe progress on progress on indicators for the remaining outcomes</p>						

\*



PART III: Cross-Cutting Issues

Is the project planning any significant events in the next six months? (eg. national dialogues, youth congresses, film screenings, etc.)  
If yes, please state how many, and for each, provide the approximate date of the event and a brief description, including its key objectives, target audience and location (if known)

Events	Event Description	Tentative Date	Location	Target Audience	Event Objectives (900 characters)
Event 1	Project Kick-Off within MEHE TVET schools	December 2025	Tripoli and TVET Directorate	TVET teachers and director	The workshop will introduce the project, present the market assessment, outline the project workflow, and engage educators in project activities to ensure alignment with TVET priorities.
Event 2	Community-Based Planning (CBP)	December 2025	Target communities in Tripoli	CBP members, SHIFT (implementing partner), Municipality members (observers)	The CBP aims to create a participatory space for individuals from diverse backgrounds to discuss community resources and challenges and identify local development priorities. The outcomes will inform the formation of community committees to lead the CFM process.
Event 3	Project Visibility Event (Title to be confirmed)	Early 2026	Tripoli	CSO, community activists, government officials, diaspora, donors with an interest in Tripoli or stabilization	The event aims to showcase the project's objective and initial impacts. It will highlight the leadership of women and youth, foster collaboration between communities and diaspora and strengthen knowledge engagement for future project activities.

<b>Event 4</b>					
--------------------	--	--	--	--	--

### Human Impact

This section is about the human impact of the project. Please state key stakeholders (including but not limited to: Civil Society Organizations, Beneficiaries, etc.) of the project, and for each, please briefly describe:

- i. The challenges/problem they faced prior to the project implementation
- ii. The impact of the project in their lives
- iii. Provide, where possible, a quote or testimonial from a representative of each stakeholder group

*This is an optional question. You may leave it unanswered if not relevant*

Human Impact	Type of stakeholder	What has been the impact of the project on their lives?	Provide, where possible, a quote or testimonial from the stakeholder
1	Dr. Abdulhamid Karimeh, Mayor of Tripoli	By engaging and collaborating with the Municipality of Tripoli from the design phase through project implementation, the initiative has garnered strong support and active interest from municipal authorities. Their commitment is critical to ensure effective implementation and to create sustainable impacts within the city.	"This project may represent the first successful initiative under the newly elected municipal board. Discussions began two years ago, and we are now seeing tangible progress. The municipality is committed to supporting the initiative and connecting IOM with the Tripoli diaspora group. We will also ensure that all targeted groups benefit equally from this initiative."

2	Bilal Hussein, Head of the Development and International Relations Committee at Tripoli Municipality	The Development and International Relations Committee represents both civil society organizations and the broader population of Tripoli. The strong commitment of the project focal point within the committee is essential to ensuring that the interests of both the Municipality and local communities are reflected throughout project activities.	"The committee includes members from various local NGOs who understand the community's needs and challenges. We are leveraging their technical expertise and the municipality's resources to ensure the success of the PBF initiative."
3			
4			

In addition to the stakeholder specific impact described above, please use this space to describe any additional human impact that the project has had.

*Please limit your response to 4000 characters.*

**N/A. Progress on this will be reported in the next reporting period.**

You can also upload upto 3 files in various formats (picture files, powerpoint, pdf, video, etc.) to illustrate the human impact of the project

**OPTIONAL**

File 1

**OPTIONAL**

Click here to upload file. (< 10MB)

File 2

**OPTIONAL**

Click here to upload file. (< 10MB)

File 3

**OPTIONAL**

Click here to upload file. (< 10MB)

You can also add upto 3 links to online resources which illustrate the human impact of the project

**OPTIONAL**

Link 1

**OPTIONAL**

Link 2

**OPTIONAL**

Link 3

**OPTIONAL**

Please tick the applicable change based on above narrative.

How we worked:

\*

*Please select up to 3.*

- ☐ Enhanced digitization
- ☒ Innovative ways of working
- ☒ Mobilized additional resources
- ☐ Improved or initiated policy frameworks
- ☐ Strengthened capacities
- ☐ Partnered with with local/grassroots Civil Society Organizations
- ☐ Expanding coalitions & galvanizing political will
- ☐ Strengthened partnerships with IFIs
- ☐ Strengthened partnerships with UN Agencies

Please explain one of the selected options

*Please limit your response to 3000 characters.*

**The project team has positioned diaspora engagement as the central component of the project. While Lebanon has a long history of diaspora contributions through remittances and some technical support, engagement in the design and implementation of humanitarian and development programmes has been limited. By leveraging the interests, expertise and capacity of the diaspora to contribute to their home communities, this project provides an innovative and impactful model for inclusive community development in Lebanon.**

Please explain one of the selected options

*Please limit your response to 3000 characters.*

**IOM established a CFS, a mechanism that encourages communities to identify their own priorities and mobilize resources through local fundraising, in collaboration with the diaspora. The CFS provides a matching top-up to the amount raised by the community, thereby amplifying local contributions. By combining community resources with diaspora support, the CFS ensures sustainable, locally-owned, and impactful outcomes in community recovery, stabilization, and development.**

Please explain one of the selected options

*Please limit your response to 3000 characters.*

Who are we working with

\*

- ☐ Strengthened partnerships with IFIs
- ☒ Strengthened partnerships between UN Agencies
- ☒ Partnered with local civil society organizations
- ☐ Partnered with local academia
- ☐ Partnered with sub-national entities
- ☒ Partnered with national entities
- ☐ Partnered with local volunteers

Please explain

*Please limit your response to 3000 characters*

**The project team emphasizes a community-owned and community-led approach to achieve sustainable and impactful results, particularly in the context of sensitive conflict dynamics shaped by historical grievances, the war in the region, and the regime change in Syria. To operationalize this approach, IOM established an implementing partnership with SHiFT, a civil society organization. This partnership not only supports effective project implementation but also facilitates the transfer of knowledge and experience, strengthening local capacity for ongoing community-led development and stabilization efforts. The project team has established strong collaboration and coordination across UN agencies to support effective project implementation. This partnership extends beyond technical aspects, including shared knowledge from the Tension Monitoring Report, joint selection of target communities and beneficiaries, and coordinated engagement with stakeholders such as the Municipality of Tripoli and local community actors, to encompass joint M&E and visibility planning. In addition, the UN agencies maintain close coordination with UNSCOL to ensure that project activities contribute optimally to the broader UN mandate in Lebanon.**

## Leave No one Behind

Select all beneficiaries targeted with the PBF resources as evidenced by the narrative \*

### Mandatory

- ☒ Unemployed persons
- ☒ Minorities (e.g. race, ethnicity, linguistic, religion, etc.)
- ☐ Indigenous communities
- ☒ Persons with Disabilities
- ☒ Persons affected by violence (including GBV)
- ☒ Women
- ☒ Youth
- ☐ Children
- ☐ Minorities related to sexual orientation and/or gender identity and expression
- ☐ People living in and around border areas
- ☐ Persons affected by natural disasters
- ☐ Persons affected by armed conflicts
- ☒ Internally displaced persons, refugees or migrants

## PART IV: Monitoring, Evaluation and Compliance

### » Monitoring

Please list key monitoring activities undertaken in the reporting period \*

*Please limit your response to 3000 characters.*

**No specific monitoring activities took place during this reporting period.**

Do outcome indicators have baselines? \*

*If only some of the outcome indicators have baselines, select 'yes'*

- ☒ Yes
- ☐ No

If yes, please provide a brief description. If not, explain why not and when they will be available. \*

*Please limit your response to 3000 characters.*

**For both Outcome Indicators 1a and 1b, the baselines are drawn from the UNDP Perception Survey data and the Tripoli 2022/2023 study, Tripoli Truths: Voices of Struggle and Despair, which identify key tension drivers and root causes. Outcome Indicator 2a: N/A Outcome Indicator 2b: N/A**

Elaborate on what sources of evidence have been used to report on indicators (and are available upon request)

*Please limit your response to 3000 characters.*

### Tension Monitoring System

Has the project launched outcome level data collection initiatives? e.g. perception surveys

**Perception survey is a formal collection of information from a randomly selected sample of respondents through their responses to standardized questions. See PBF Guidance Note for more information [link](#)**

☒ Yes

☐ No

Please provide a brief description

*Please limit your response to 3000 characters.*

**UNDP uses the Tension Monitoring System findings to inform and adjust interventions in real time, ensuring that programming is both conflict-sensitive and contextually appropriate. By integrating perception data into project design and implementation, the survey strengthens evidence-based decision-making and supports the project's objectives to enhance social cohesion and mitigate conflict in target areas.**

Has the project used or established community feedback mechanisms?

**Community feedback mechanism, or community-based monitoring, is an organized system for communities of participants to monitor the local effects and impact of an intervention. Ideally, this system empowers the community to express whether their expectations are being met and to provide suggestions to decision-makers for possible (re)focusing. See PBF Guidance Note for more information. [link](#)**

☒ Yes

☐ No

Please provide a brief description

*Please limit your response to 3000 characters.*

**The project team is implementing a community feedback mechanism to capture participants' perspectives and ensure that interventions remain responsive and effective. Feedback tools, including post-activity anonymous surveys and reflection forms following workshops, training sessions, and social activities, enable youth participants to provide input on the relevance, quality, and impact of activities. Collected insights are systematically integrated into ongoing planning to support adaptive programming, participant empowerment, and evidence-based decision-making. The project team also ensures that the complaints and feedback hotline is shared with implementing partners and all direct beneficiaries.**

## » Evaluation

Is the project on track to conduct its evaluation?

☐ Yes

☐ No

☒ Not Applicable

Evaluation budget (in USD) included in the project budget:

*Response required*

30000

If project will end in next six months, is your upcoming evaluation on track?

- ☐ Yes
- ☐ No
- ☒ Not Applicable

Please describe the preparations

*Please limit your response to 3000 characters.*

Contact  
information

Name

Organization

Job title

Email

Please mention  
the focal  
person  
responsible for  
sharing the  
final evaluation  
report with the  
PBF:

## » Catalytic Effect

Catalytic Effect (financial): Has the project mobilized additional non-PBF financial resources since the project's start? \*

- ☐ Yes
- ☒ No

How many funders has the project received additional non-PBF funding from **since the project started?** \*



Catalytic Effect (non-financial): Has the project enabled or created a larger or longer-term peacebuilding change to occur, in addition to the direct project changes? Please refer to PBF Catalytic Effect Guidelines for more information. \*

☐ Yes

☒ No

If yes, please select the relevant option below: \*

☐ Some catalytic effect

☐ Significant catalytic effect

If relevant, please describe how the project has had a (non-financial) catalytic effect, i.e. removed barriers to unblock stalled political, institutional or other peacebuilding processes at different levels in a country, and/or created the conditions to establish new processes to do so \*

*Please limit your response to 3000 characters.*

## Sustainability

Please describe any steps that have been taken to ensure the sustainability of peacebuilding gains, including any mechanisms, platforms, networks and socio-economic initiatives supported, beyond the duration of the project \*

*Please limit your response to 3000 characters.*

**During the reporting period, IOM, UNDP and UNICEF prioritized in-depth initial consultations with the Municipality of Tripoli and target communities. Conducting these consultations in the initial months of the project helped establish a community-owned approach, which is crucial for achieving long-term and sustainable impacts.**

**The Municipality of Tripoli has demonstrated strong commitment to acquiring and institutionalizing the knowledge and experience generated through the project, particularly in the areas of diaspora engagement and the CFS. This will enable the Municipality to replicate and sustain the model independently after project completion. To support this, the Municipality is establishing a Diaspora Working Group, while the project team will provide capacity-building and knowledge-exchange sessions in upcoming phases. These efforts will ensure that both institutional and community-level mechanisms are embedded, fostering continued peacebuilding, collaboration, and socio-economic development.**

Are there any other issues concerning project implementation that you want to share, including any capacity needs of the recipient organizations?

*Please limit your response to 3000 characters.*

**No**

# Monitoring and Oversight Activities

Please describe any key event related to monitoring and oversight. Please click next if no activities have yet taken place.

Events include Steering Committee meetings, Monitoring visits, Third party monitoring, Community based monitoring, any data collection, Perception or other survey findings, evaluation reports, audit or investigations.

Monitoring and oversight activities	Name of the Event	Summary	Key Findings
Event 1	N/A	N/A	N/A
Event 2			
Event 3			
Event 4			
Event 5			
Event 6			
Event 7			
Event 8			

## Final Steps

- Please save a PDF copy of the form by clicking on the *Printer* icon on the top right corner of the page.
- A dialogue box will appear: Please select the A4 size and portrait orientation.
- Click "prepare" and save the document as a PDF.
- (If on first attempt, the generated page is not readable, close the pop up page and go back to the first page of the online form using the "Return to Beginning" option and try to print the PDF version from there)
- After printing the PDF version, please submit the report in the last page of the form. You can use the "Go to End" button in the bottom right corner.
- In compliance with our reporting requirements, **please upload the PDF version of the report as well as your *financial report in excel format* to the MPTF-O Gateway.**

*If you encounter any difficulty in filling the form or generating the print-out for MPTFO Gateway, please contact Gabriel Velastegui [gabriel.velasteguimoya@un.org](mailto:gabriel.velasteguimoya@un.org)*

Thank You. You have finished the report. Please Click on the SUBMIT button below. When the report is submitted, a confirmation note will appear on a yellow banner on top of the page. This can take a few seconds.