

# PBF November 2025 Project Progress Report



## PROJECT OVERVIEW

Thank you for taking the time to complete the PBF Progress report. For projects with more than one recipient, please consult among co-recipients prior to filling out the form to ensure collaboration on the responses. If you have any questions or require technical assistance in filling out the form, please send an email to [gabriel.velastegui@un.org](mailto:gabriel.velastegui@un.org)

Click Next below to start

## » Report Submission

Type of report	*
<input type="radio"/> Semi-annual	
<input checked="" type="radio"/> Annual	
<input type="radio"/> Final	
<input type="radio"/> Other	
Date of submission of report	*
2025-11-30	
Name and title of person submitting the report	*
Ksenia Glebova, Senior Programme Manager	
E-mail of person submitting the report	*
ksenia.glebova@un.org	
Name and title of person who approved the report	*
Gillian Sheehan, Senior Partnerships Officer, Peacebuilding Strategy and Partnerships Branch, UN Peacebuilding Support Office	

Have all fund recipients for this project contributed to the report?

\*

- ☒ Yes
- ☐ No

Did PBF Secretariat or RCO focal point review the report?

\*

*You should normally ensure that the PBF Secretariat or the PBF focal point have an opportunity to review.*

- ☐ Yes
- ☐ No
- ☒ Not Applicable

## » Project Information and Geographical Scope

Is this a cross-border project?

\*

- ☐ Yes ☒ No

Please select the geographical region in which the project is implemented

- ☐ Asia and the Pacific ☐ Central & Southern Africa ☐ East Africa
- ☐ Europe and Central Asia ☒ Global ☐ Latin America and the Caribbean
- ☐ Middle East and North Africa ☐ West Africa

Country of project implementation

\*

- ☒ United Nations ☐ Other, Specify

Project Title

\*

- ☒ 00117260: Humanitarian-Development-Peacebuilding and Partnership Facility" (HDPP Facility)
- ☐ 00124862: PBF Programming and M&E Surge Support
- ☐ 00125606: The Saving Lives Entity (SALIENT) – A Transformative Armed-Violence-Reduction Agenda for Sustainable Development
- ☐ 00121358: Local Peacebuilding in the Sahel
- ☐ 00140114: Innovative Financing and Private Sector Engagement for Peacebuilding
- ☐ 00140683: Peacebuilding Impact Hub
- ☐ 00140685: Country Support for Design Monitoring Evaluation and Learning
- ☐ 00141225: CSO-UN Dialogue on Peacebuilding
- ☐ Other, Specify

Project Start Date (Date of first transfer)

2019-09-10

\*

Project End Date

2026-09-30

\*

Has this project received an extension?

\*

- ☒ YES, Cost Extension
- ☐ YES, No Cost Extension
- ☐ YES, Both Cost and No Cost Extensions
- ☐ NO, No Extensions

Will this project be requesting an extension?

\*

- ☒ YES, Cost Extension
- ☐ YES, No Cost Extension
- ☐ YES, Both Cost and No Cost Extensions
- ☐ NO, No Extensions

Will this project be submitting a Fund Transfer Request (FTR) in the next six months?

\*

- ☒ Yes
- ☐ No

If so, around which month do you expect to submit the request?

\*

- |  |                                |                                 |
|--|--------------------------------|---------------------------------|
| <input type="radio"/> January          | <input type="radio"/> February | <input type="radio"/> March     |
| <input checked="" type="radio"/> April | <input type="radio"/> May      | <input type="radio"/> June      |
| <input type="radio"/> July             | <input type="radio"/> August   | <input type="radio"/> September |
| <input type="radio"/> October          | <input type="radio"/> November | <input type="radio"/> December  |

Is the current project end date within 6 months?

\*

- ☐ Yes
- ☒ No

Is funding disbursed either into a national or regional trust fund?

\*

- ☐ Yes
- ☒ No

## Recipients

Is the convening agency a UN agency or a non UN entity? \*

- ☒ UN entity
- ☐ Non-UN Entity

Please select the convening agency recipient \*

- ☐ UNDP: United Nations Development Programme ☐ IOM: International Organization for Migration
- ☐ UNICEF: United Nations Children's Fund
- ☐ OHCHR: Office of the United Nations High Commissioner for Human Rights
- ☐ UNWOMEN: United Nations Entity for Gender Equality and the Empowerment of Women
- ☐ UNHCR: United Nations High Commissioner for Refugees ☐ UNFPA: United Nations Population Fund
- ☐ FAO: Food and Agriculture Organization ☐ WFP: World Food Programme
- ☐ UNHABITAT: United Nations Human Settlements Programme
- ☐ UNESCO: United Nations Educational, Scientific and Cultural Organization
- ☐ UNEP: United Nations Environment Programme ☐ ILO: International Labour Organization
- ☐ WHO: World Health Organization ☐ PAHO/WHO
- ☐ UNCDF: United Nations Capital Development Fund ☐ UNODC: United Nations Office on Drugs and Crime
- ☒ UNOPS: United Nations Office for Project Services
- ☐ UNIDO: United Nations Industrial Development Organization ☐ ITC: International Trade Centre
- ☐ UNDPO ☐ Other, Specify

Are there other recipients for this project? \*

- ☒ No other recipients
- ☐ Yes, other UN recipients only
- ☐ Yes, other non-UN recipients only
- ☐ Yes, both UN and non-UN recipients

## Implementing Partners

To how many implementing partners has the project transferred money **since the project's start** ?

1

To how many implementing partners has the project transferred money **during this calendar period** ?

*(for June reports: January-June;*

*for November reports: January-December (anticipated);*

*for final reports: full project duration)*

1

Please list all of the project's implementing partners and the amounts (in USD) transferred to each, both since the project's start, and specifically during this calendar period

Please select the type of organisation which best describes the type of implementing partner

\*

- ☐ National youth CSO
- ☐ National women's CSO
- ☐ Other National CSO
- ☐ Subnational youth CSO
- ☐ Subnational women's CSO
- ☐ Other subnational CSO
- ☐ Regional CSO
- ☐ Regional Organisation
- ☐ International NGO
- ☐ Governmental entity
- ☐ National women's and youth CSO
- ☐ Subnational women's and youth CSO
- ☒ Other

Other, Please specify

**UN entities**

What is the name of the Implementing Partner
UNOPS

What is the planned total amount (in USD) for the **overall duration of the project** to be disbursed to this implementing partner?  
Please use a dot (.) as decimal separator, instead of a comma (,)
15743488

What is the total amount (in USD) disbursed to the implementing partner **since the project's start**?  
Please use a dot (.) as decimal separator, instead of a comma (,)
14481736

What is the total amount (in USD) disbursed to the implementing partner **during this calendar period**?  
Please use a dot (.) as decimal separator, instead of a comma (,)
3345104

Briefly describe the main activities carried out by the Implementing Partner during this calendar period  
Please limit your response to 1500 characters

The implementing partner (Recipient Agency) is fully responsible for administering the contribution and carrying out the (operational) Activities efficiently and effectively. It provides the contributing agency (UN-IFI Partnership Facility) with both narrative and financial report on the progress of the Activities, in accordance with its financial regulations, rules, policies and procedures, and administrative instructions. The Recipient Agency implements activities in close consultation with the contributing agency (the UN-IFI Partnership Facility).

Financial Reporting

» Delivery by Recipient

Please enter the total amounts in full US dollars allocated to each recipient organization  
Please enter the original budget amount, amount transferred to date and estimated expenditure by recipient.  
Please make sure you enter the correct amount. All values should be entered in **US Dollars**

Recipients	Total Project Budget (in full US \$)  Please enter the total budget as is in the project document in US Dollars	Transfers to date (in full US \$)  Please enter the total amount transferred to each recipient to date in US Dollars	Expenditure to date (in full US \$)  Please enter the approximate amount spent to date in US dollars	Implementati on rate as a percentage of total budget (calculated automatically)

UNOPS: United Nations Office for Project Services	15743488	14481736	11571754	73.5 %
TOTAL	15743488	14481736	11571754	73.5 %

The approximate implementation rate as percentage of total project budget based on the values entered in the above matrix is **73.5%**. Can you confirm that this is correct?

☐ Correct ☒ Incorrect

If it is incorrect, please enter the approximate implementation rate as a %

68

» Gender-responsive Budgeting

Indicate what <b>percentage (%)</b> of the budget contributes to gender equality or women's empowerment (GEWE) as per the project document?
30
The dollar amount of the budget contributing to Gender Equality and Women's Empowerment (GEWE) based on percentage entered above and total project budget is <b>US \$ 4723046.4</b> . Can you confirm that this is correct?
<input checked="" type="radio"/> Correct <input type="radio"/> Incorrect

Amount expended to date on efforts contributing to gender equality or women's empowerment is **US \$ 3471526.2**. Is this correct? \*

☐ Correct ☒ Incorrect

If it is incorrect, please enter the *expenditure to date* on GEWE in US dollars \*

3211671

ATTACH PROJECT EXCEL BUDGET SHOWING CURRENT APPROXIMATE EXPENDITURE. \*

The templates for the budget are available [here](#)

Financial Progress Report - Partnership Facility - 2025-19\_15\_20.xlsx



## Project Markers

Please select the Gender Marker Associated with this project \*

- ☐ Score 1 for projects that contribute in some way to gender equality, but not significantly (less than 30% of the total budget for GEWE)
- ☒ Score 2 for projects that have gender equality as a significant objective and allocate between 30 and 79% of the total project budget to GEWE
- ☐ Score 3 for projects that have gender equality as a principal objective and allocate at least 80% of the total project budget to Gender Equality and Women's Empowerment (GEWE)

Please select the Risk Marker Associated with this project \*

- ☒ Risk marker 0 = low risk to achieving outcomes
- ☐ Risk marker 1 = medium risk to achieving outcomes
- ☐ Risk marker 2 = high risk to achieving outcomes



Please select the PBF Focus Area associated with this project

\*

- ☐ (1.1) Security Sector Reform
- ☐ (1.2) Rule of Law
- ☐ (1.3) Demobilisation, Disarmament and Reintegration
- ☐ (1.4) Political Dialogue
- ☐ (2.1) National reconciliation
- ☐ (2.2) Democratic Governance
- ☒ (2.3) Conflict prevention/management
- ☐ (3.1) Employment
- ☐ (3.2) Equitable access to social services
- ☐ (4.1) Strengthening of essential national state capacity
- ☐ (4.2) Extension of state authority/Local Administration
- ☐ (4.3) Governance of peacebuilding resources (including PBF Secretariats)

Is the project part of one or more PBF priority windows?

\*

*Select all that apply*

- ☐ Gender promotion initiative
- ☐ Youth promotion initiative
- ☐ Transition from UN or regional peacekeeping or special political missions
- ☐ Cross-border or regional project
- ☒ None

## Steering Committee and Government engagement

Does the project have an active steering committee/ project board?

\*

- ☒ Yes
- ☐ No

If yes, please indicate how many times the Project Steering Committee has met over the last 6 months?

*Please limit your response to 3000 characters*

**Meetings with PBSO leadership were convened quarterly to provide guidance and oversight to the project. Contributing partners also received quarterly updates in March, June, and September 2025, with a final quarterly meeting scheduled for December 2025.**

Please provide a brief description of any engagement that the project has had with the government over the last 6 months. Please indicate what level of government the project has been engaging with.

*Please limit your response to 3000 characters*

**Engagement with governments is led at the country level by the Partnership Facility grant recipients, notably with Ministers of Planning and Economy in respective countries. National prevention strategies supported by the Facility were anchored at the level of the Prime Minister's Office in some cases, eg Papua New Guinea. In the reporting period, the first Facility partner visit to DRC was marked by a high level of Government engagement, including the Minister of Planning. The Facility also supported Headquarters dialogue with Governments in multilateral fora, including the interaction of the Peacebuilding Commission Chair with the full World Bank Executive Board (September 2025) and UN-AfDB partnership events at the African Resilience Forum attended by several Ministers of Planning and Economic Cooperation. In New York, several members of the Peacebuilding Commission at Ambassadorial level recognized importance of the Facility-funded UN-IFI collaboration for peacebuilding, for example in the November PBC interaction with the Peacebuilding Fund Advisory Group.**

## PART I: OVERALL PROJECT PROGRESS

NOTES FOR COMPLETING THE REPORT:

- Avoid acronyms and UN jargon, use general /common language.
- Report on what has been achieved in the reporting period, not what the project aims to do.
- Be as concrete as possible. Avoid theoretical, vague or conceptual discourse.
- Ensure the analysis and project progress assessment is gender and age sensitive.

Please rate the implementation status of the following preliminary/preparatory activities

Contracting of partners

- ☐ Not Started ☐ Initiated ☒ Partially Completed
- ☐ Completed ☐ Not Applicable

Staff Recruitment

- ☐ Not Started ☐ Initiated ☐ Partially Completed
- ☒ Completed ☐ Not Applicable

Collection of baselines

- ☐ Not Started ☐ Initiated ☐ Partially Completed
- ☒ Completed ☐ Not Applicable

## Identification of beneficiaries

\*

☐ Not Started☐ Initiated☐ Partially Completed☒ Completed☐ Not Applicable

Provide any additional descriptive information relating to the status of the project, including whether preliminary/preparatory activities have been completed (i.e. contracting of partners, staff recruitment, etc.)

\*

*Please limit your response to 3000 characters*

**2025 represented a year of transition for the UN-IFI Partnership Facility (formerly the Humanitarian-Development-Peacebuilding Partnership (HDPP) Facility), with a “reboot” of its operational model for 2025-2026 in response to the findings of a comprehensive independent evaluation completed at the end of 2024.**

The evaluation found that the Facility is highly strategically relevant, sitting at a “growth edge” for the UN given growing complementarity with MDBs in fragile and conflict-affected settings. It “punches above its weight” in catalyzing strategic partnerships and impact and is highly valued by UN partners including the RC system. At the same time, the evaluation identified opportunities to further streamline the Facility, prioritize country engagements, and reinforce feedback loops between practice and policy.

The Facility’s project document for the current cycle from 1 April 2025 through 30 September 2026 responds to the evaluation findings, taking into account opportunities arising from the Pact for the Future and MDB reforms, and aligning with the PBSO and PBF Strategic Plans. It sets out a streamlined, three-tier model for support to UN-IFI partnerships:

**Tier 1. Embedded capacity for UN-IFI coordination and liaison in high-priority country contexts;**

**Tier 2. Expert advisory and technical support to UN-IFI partnership in medium-priority contexts, including short-term surge deployments and systematic capturing of lessons learned;**

**Tier 3. An enhanced knowledge management offer, facilitating access by UN clients to relevant innovations, good practice and lessons in respect of UN-IFI partnership in crisis-affected settings, including through written products, peer exchange opportunities and policy dialogue.**

The reporting period from January to December 2025 saw timely and smooth roll-out of the new three-tier model. In the first part of the year, the Facility reviewed its existing portfolio, closing some grants while extending five that will run through 2026. It leveraged “fast track” modalities to support time-sensitive requests (e.g. to support development of a national prevention strategy in Mauritania). It also invested in knowledge events, including a joint workshop in February on UN-WB operational partnerships.

From April 2025, the Facility transitioned to its new three-tier delivery model, updating SOPs and rolling out core new initiatives while also communicating the new model to UN and external partners. As of end November, 9 new grants had been approved (5 “Tier 1”, 1 “Tier 2” and 3 “Tier 3”), which form the backbone of the new Facility portfolio. Smaller Tier 3 initiatives will continue to be developed in 2026, in parallel with monitoring projects carried over from the previous cycle.

The Facility continued to be managed as a dedicated project by PBSO, with operational implementation from UNOPS. All UNOPS-contracted Facility personnel positions were filled at the end of the reporting period.

Describe overall progress under each Outcome made during the reporting period (*for June reports: January-June; for November reports: January-December (anticipated); for final reports: full project duration*). Do not list individual activities. If the project is starting to make/has made a difference at the outcome level, provide specific evidence for the progress (quantitative and qualitative) and explain how it impacts the broader political and peacebuilding context.

---

Is the project on track for the timely completion of outputs as indicated in the workplan?

\*

☒ Yes

☐ No

## Project progress summary

*Please limit your response to 6000 characters*

The Facility has approved 65 grants in 50 contexts since 2019. PBSO's role in this area has been recognized as good practice by the Secretary-General's Executive Committee.

In the 2025 reporting period, the project completed a reboot based on a 2024 evaluation. The new three-tier results framework focuses on sustained support for UN-IFI engagement in a narrower range of countries, while investing in feedback loops to ensure good practices are scaled up and replicated.

Under the new framework's Tier 1 (embedded capacity) the Facility initiated or extended dedicated UN-IFI partnership capacities in Resident Coordinators' offices in five priority settings (Chad, Central African Republic, Democratic Republic of Congo, Haiti and Papua New Guinea).

In Chad and DRC, advisors facilitated strategic and operational partnership between the UN and IFIs in support of national priorities for prevention and peacebuilding. They facilitated UN support to annual reviews of the WB Prevention and Resilience Allocation (PRA), ensuring effective alignment with PBF portfolios and informing preparation of re-eligibility requests for IDA21. As a result of this collaboration, WB and UN agreed to expand joint reviews of the FCV Envelope to more countries in 2026.

In DRC, a Facility partner visit in October 2025 showcased rich UN-IFI collaboration, including UN-WB partnership to support Government development of a national vision and governance for peace, scaling up PBF investments. In the context of deepening collaboration with the IMF, lessons learned from a PBF project helped the Government meet IMF benchmarks around early warning, unlocking \$120 million for disaster risk reduction.

In PNG, close UN-WB collaboration contributed to the Government's launch of a new National Prevention Strategy in September 2025, enabling a request for PRA eligibility. The Facility approved support to the Prime Minister's Office as part of a UN-WB follow-up mechanism to help the Government keep track with its commitments.

In Haiti, the Facility's surge support enabled UN inputs to the Government's Medium-Term Recovery and Development Plan, including leveraging PBF lessons learned. This joint initiative with the IDB, WB, UN and EU aims to identify and prioritize viable investments in more-stable regions of Haiti, guiding major new IDB investments and complementing the 2024 Rapid Crisis Impact Assessment (RCIA) for Port-au-Prince.

Under the Facility's Tier 2 (surge support), requests from RCs in the early part of the year were supported through the existing "fast-track", with short-term expertise provided to support UN-IFI contributions to a national prevention strategy in Mauritania, and early planning for recovery in the West Bank in the context of a UN-WB-EU damage needs assessment.

In October 2025, the Facility established a Surge Advisory Team (SAT) consisting of five experts that will provide advisory and technical support to UN Resident Coordinators on key aspects of UN-IFI strategic and operational partnerships. The SAT replaces the "fast-track", enabling rapidly deployable capacity to support UN field teams in the areas of: 1) aligning UN-IFI assessments and planning; 2) support to national prevention and transition strategies; 3) operational alignment for peace impact; 4) private sector and innovative financing; 5) gender and inclusion.

A first SAT deployment, in November 2025, supported the update of a EU-UN-WB Rapid Damage Needs Assessment (RDNA) for Gaza. As of end November, the Facility had received expressions of interest for SAT support in 2026 from 35 RCOs: a process was established to prioritize and sequence requests.

Under Tier 3 of the new model (knowledge and policy), the Facility worked to bring good practice from country settings wider attention in a critical year, informing the Peacebuilding Architecture Review and the World Bank's FCV Strategy "refresh".

One key focus was on UN-WB collaboration in respect of the World Bank's financing for prevention and transitions, building on the request in the Pact for the Future for the UN and IFIs to work closely in supporting national prevention strategies. Partnership examples were presented in several policy-level events, including a Peacebuilding Commission retreat for the Peacebuilding Architecture Review in May, and PBC engagement with the World Bank Executive Board in September. In October, a virtual joint workshop with WB FCV Group and field teams reviewed lessons from UN-WB collaboration on the PRA/TAA's annual review and eligibility processes.

In February 2025, the Facility, together with the WB FCV Group, delivered a joint two-day workshop on Operational Partnerships for Strategic Impact in Complex Settings in Nairobi, Kenya, engaging UN Secretariat and Agencies and WB staff from ten country settings. The workshop facilitated exchange of good practices, identifying how third-party implementation (TPI) arrangements can be optimized to both reach vulnerable populations and build national systems for sustainable peace and development.

Throughout the year, the Facility supported PBSO's push for enhanced policy and knowledge partnerships with regional MDBs. Studies were initiated with the African and Asian Development Banks to review the extent and lessons of UN partnership in peacebuilding contexts. Reports, expected by Q1 2026, will inform future collaboration. Dialogue continued with the Inter-American and Islamic Development Banks. In October, the Facility participated in the Africa Resilience Forum in Abidjan, further strengthening the UN-AfDB strategic partnership.

The Facility supported UN partners' awareness of good practice in IFI collaboration, with a focus on fragile and conflict-affected settings, including through regular brownbag and information sessions, a weekly newsletter, and establishment of a community of practice. Working with the Development Coordination Office, the Facility contributed a new UN-IFI 101 training to launch in 2026.

---

Indicate any additional analysis on how Gender Equality and Women's Empowerment and/or Youth Inclusion and Responsiveness has been ensured by the project to date

*Please limit your response to 3000 characters*

The Facility's guidance note for applicants clearly spells out selection criteria for projects which encompass gender and youth responsiveness. Regarding gender sensitivity, projects should meet at least a PBF equivalent to Gender Marker 2 (GM2) and if not, due to operational specificities, teams should articulate compelling reasons and list steps undertaken to reach a GM2 in the future. These criteria are reviewed as part of the project appraisal stage, with recommendations and conditions communicated to project grantees to revise documents as appropriate. Gender and youth inclusion is also monitored during regular project "check ins" and progress reports.

The Facility consistently advocates for inclusion of a gender lens and gender-disaggregated data in multi-partner exercises to which it contributes, eg Recovery and Peacebuilding Assessments, reviews of national prevention and transition strategies. For example, all new Facility projects during the reporting period underwent project appraisal by a committee including PBSO gender advisor. The Facility's Surge Advisory Team initiative includes a dedicated expert with cross-cutting gender equality and inclusion expertise.

The reporting period marked the conclusion of the Facility project supporting an IFI engagement strategy for the Spotlight Initiative, focusing on opportunities to enhance UN-WB collaboration against gender-based violence in contexts including Ecuador, PNG, DRC and Chad, further to a commitment between the WB Managing Director and UN Deputy Secretary-General. The project advanced efforts towards comprehensive investments in ending violence against women and girls in the pilot countries.

In an ongoing project to provide support to the Papua New Guinea Prime Minister's Office to implement the National Prevention Strategy 2025-2028, gender sensitivity has been integrated at the analysis stage through the use of national GBV policy instruments (2016-2025), the forthcoming 2026-2035 GBV strategy, and the new 2025-2035 GEWE policy, all of which rely on sex- and age-disaggregated data. The NPS itself has "a pronounced gender lens" and the World Bank RRA data on gendered drivers of violence informed the prevention priorities and indicators. The NPS results framework tracks numbers of women and youth consulted, numbers of public-facing products that speak to violence against women and girls, and progress on gender-responsive service delivery.

Implementation is also designed with a gender lens hard-wiring gender and youth into NPS milestones: 7,500 youth trained/employed (20 percent female), 30 safe houses established, 5,000 women and children reached by social safety nets, women's participation in conflict-resolution initiatives increased by 7 percent per year, and GBV/SARV services expanded through provincial and CSO channels. These are sex- and age-disaggregated results and will be reviewed in the biannual NPS reviews and the Joint UN-World Bank PRA review cycle.

Is the project 1+ year in implementation?

☒ Yes

☐ No

**FOR PROJECTS 1+ YEAR IN IMPLEMENTATION ONLY:**

Is the project demonstrating outcome-level peacebuilding results?

\*

*Outcome-level peacebuilding results entail results achieved at the societal or structural level, including changed attitudes, behaviours or institutions.*

☒ Yes

☐ No



If yes, please provide concrete examples of such peacebuilding results

*Please limit your response to 6000 characters*

**The Facility aims to improve the amount and effectiveness of global peacebuilding resources, by facilitating UN-IFI partnerships that leverage development efforts towards addressing drivers of conflict and fragility.**

In the reporting period, the project showed results by deepening UN-WB partnership in support of nationally led prevention and peacebuilding efforts, in line with the SG's New Agenda for Peace. Development of national prevention and transition strategies are frequently framed to target World Bank resource envelopes; the UN can improve inclusiveness and targeting of such strategies through provision of timely data and expertise on remote areas and topics outside the Bank's remit, eg security, rule of law or women's and youth participation. The importance of UN-WB partnership in support of national prevention and transition strategies has been appreciated in public statements of host countries, eg Governments of Chad, DRC, and Papua New Guinea.

Another good example comes from The Gambia, where a Facility-funded project that wrapped up in 2025 has strengthened the UN-World Bank partnership supporting The Gambia's Turn Around Strategy (TAA). Key results included linking TAA investments with IFI funding, aligning financing priorities with fiscal sustainability, and ensuring IFI participation in technical working groups. Joint analytical work—such as Conflict and Development and Political Economy Analyses—shaped resilience strategies, while additional studies on climate-security, human rights, and disability inclusion expanded the evidence base. Overall, cooperation with Facility support over several years deepened IFI engagement and aligned TAA milestone tracking with governance, security, and reform priorities.

As of November 2025, the World Bank requested to expand collaboration with the UN in respect of its IDA21 FCV Envelope, which includes the Turn Around Allocation and the Prevention and Resilience Allocation (TAA/PRA). Collaboration piloted by the Facility in 2024, on joint UN-WB annual reviews will be expanded for 2026, to include additional countries and also cover eligibility and re-eligibility processes, as well as the Remaining Engaged in Conflict Allocation. This reflects shared recognition by the UN and WB of the value-added of the partnership in support of national plans.

Partnership capacities supported by the Facility enabled host Governments to scale up prevention and peacebuilding initiatives, building on earlier PBF projects: for example, in DRC where development of a successful early-warning initiative allowed the Government to unlock \$120 million tranche of IMF financing.

Although the context in DRC had deteriorated, the role of the UN-IFI partnership remained critical – as demonstrated during the first joint PBF-Facility partner visit to DRC in October 2025. The UN-IFI Partnership Advisor function, funded by the Facility, was deployed in April 2025 and extended through September 2026 based on initial results. Positive first-year results include close partnership with the World Bank on prevention and peacebuilding; alignment between the PRA review and the Peacebuilding Fund; joint crisis impact assessments; analysis of operational effectiveness; and collaboration with the IMF.

In Chad, UN-WB partnership enabled national authorities and partners to develop an updated nexus approach for prevention and resilience in the face of multidimensional crisis in the East as well as other zones, following extensive consultations with local authorities and other stakeholders in more than a dozen provinces.

In settings of protracted crisis, the Facility continued to support joint UN-IFI analysis as an underpinning for coordinated response. In Sudan, the UN and the World Bank jointly commissioned a series of analytical studies to inform conflict-sensitive programming and engagement with partners on entry points for early recovery. Surge capacity contributed to an integrated UN, EU and WB interim Rapid Damage and Needs Assessment (RDNA) of the West Bank and Gaza, published in February 2025. At the time of reporting, Surge Advisory Team capacity is being deployed to support an updated RDNA for Gaza.

Meanwhile, knowledge and learning work financed by the Facility continued to be appreciated by UN field leadership and contributed to UN and IFI policy reviews in a period of seismic shifts for peacebuilding and

leadership and contributed to UN and IFI policy reviews in a period of seismic shifts for peacebuilding and development finance. The World Bank “FCV Strategy refresh” took account of numerous lessons emerging from Facility-financed learning and knowledge initiatives, for example on prevention and transition strategies, maximizing the strategic impact of operational partnerships, and the importance of UN-WB collaboration in security and justice sectors.

With regional Multilateral Development Banks (MDBs) occupying an increasingly strategic space in peace finance, the Facility delivered tangible advances in strategic partnership with the African Development Bank. ASG Spehar and her AfDB counterpart co-chaired a workshop on UN-AfDB partnership as part of the 6th African Resilience Forum (ARF), titled “Prioritizing Prevention: Financing Peace in a Changing Development Cooperation Landscape”. Attended by UN and AfDB field leadership, Government Ministers and partners, the workshop noted positive examples of UN-AfDB collaboration emerging from the Facility-financed mapping exercise and set an agenda for future partnership building on good practice examples.

If yes, please provide sources or references (including links) as evidence of peacebuilding results, or submit them as additional attachments.

*Evidence may be quantitative or qualitative but needs to demonstrate progress against outcome indicators in the project results framework. Sources may include project surveys (such as perception surveys), monitoring reports, government documents, or other knowledge products that have been developed by the project.*

File attachment

[Click here to upload file. \(< 10MB\)](#)

## PART II: PROJECT RESULTS FRAMEWORK

How many OUTCOMES does this project have \*

☒ 1

☐ 2

☐ 3

☐ 4

☐ 5

☐ more than 5.

Please write out the project outcomes as they are in the project results framework found in the project document

Outcome 1: \*

**UN Resident Coordinators and field presences in complex settings (including peace operations, special political missions and Country Team with a Peace and Development Advisor) are effectively equipped to engage with International Financial Institutions on strategies to tackle the root causes and drivers of violence and conflict and enable the acceleration of the SDGs**

# INDICATOR BASED PERFORMANCE ASSESSMENT

Using the Project Results Framework as per the approved project document or any amendments, provide an update on the achievement of all **outcome** and **output** indicators in the table below.

- Where it has not been possible to collect data on indicators, state this and provide any explanation.
- Provide gender and age disaggregated data. (500 characters max per entry)

» **Outcome 1: UN Resident Coordinators and field presences in complex settings (including peace operations, special political missions and Country Team with a Peace and Development Advisor) are effectively equipped to engage with International Financial Institutions on strategies to tackle the root causes and drivers of violence and conflict and enable the acceleration of the SDGs**

O C 1	Outcome Indicator s	Indicator Baseline	End of Project Indicator Target	Indicator progress for reporting period	Indicator progress since project's start	Reasons for Variance/ Delay (if any)
1. 1	Frequency and quality of strategic interactions between UN and IFI field leadership in priority settings		UN leadership reports strategic alignment and policy convergence with IFIs in support of tackling the root causes and drivers of violence and conflict and enabling the acceleration of the SDGs in at least 15 settings	To be reported in the mid-2026 report based on RC feedback on supported settings		
1. 2						

1. 3						
1. 4						
1. 5						
How many outputs does outcome 1 have?						
1      2      3      4      5      more than 5.						
Please list all outputs for outcome 1						
Output 1.1						
UN Resident Coordinators and UN field presences in high-priority contexts benefit from embedded UN-IFI partnership capacities in-country						
Output 1.2						
UN Resident Coordinators in medium-priority prevention and peacebuilding settings benefit from advisory support and surge deployments to advance the collaboration with IFIs						
Output 1.3						
Knowledge management products and mechanisms on UN-IFI partnership, including good practice on “frontier issues”, inform future policy and are affectively used by practitioners						
For each output, and using the, project results framework, provide an update on the progress made against all output indicators						

» Output 1.1: UN Resident Coordinators and UN field presences in high-priority contexts benefit from embedded UN-IFI partnership capacities in-country

1. 1	Output Indicators <i>Describe the indicator</i>	Indicator Baseline <i>State the baseline value of the indicator</i>	End of Project Indicator Target <i>State the target value of the indicator at the end of the project</i>	Indicator progress for reporting period <i>State the current value of the indicator for the reporting period</i>	Indicator progress since project's start <i>State the current cumulative value of the indicator since the start of the project</i>	Reasons for Variance/ Delay (if any) <i>Explain why the indicator is off track or has changed, where relevant</i>
1. 1. 1	Regular UN-IFI dialogue on shared peacebuilding priorities in these contexts, including the promotion of gender equality and women's empowerment and youth inclusion		Embedded capacities supporting field leadership in 5 high priority contexts	Capacity embedded in 4 settings in 2025 with 1 additional to deploy in January 2026		On track to fully reach the target by end of project in September 2026
1. 1. 2	# of joint initiatives furthering analytical/operational convergence, including the promotion of gender equality and women's empowerment and youth inclusion		10	6 (3 Sudan studies; DRC joint study; Haiti MTR; PNG NPS; Chad PRA review)		On track to fully reach the target by end of project in September 2026

1. 1. 3	UN stakeholders, and particularly RCs, reporting that standing capacities adequately supported strategic, analytical, operational and coordinated collaboration with IFIs		At least 70% of UN stakeholders report being "satisfied" or "highly satisfied" with embedded capacities	To be reported in the mid-2026 report based on RC feedback on embedded capacities		
1. 1. 4						
1. 1. 5						

» Output 1.2: UN Resident Coordinators in medium-priority prevention and peacebuilding settings benefit from advisory support and surge deployments to advance the collaboration with IFIs

1. 2	Output Indicators <i>Describe the indicator</i>	Indicator Baseline <i>State the baseline value of the indicator</i>	End of Project Indicator Target <i>State the target value of the indicator at the end of the project</i>	Indicator progress for reporting period <i>State the current value of the indicator for the reporting period</i>	Indicator progress since project's start <i>State the current cumulative value of the indicator since the start of the project</i>	Reasons for Variance/ Delay (if any) <i>Explain why the indicator is off track or has changed, where relevant</i>

1. 2. 1	Retainer modality established, giving RCs access to a pool of experts that is gender balanced, geographically diverse, and offers a range of expertise on UN-IFI partnership issues relevant to prevention and peacebuilding settings		Retainer modality established	Achieved. Retainer modality established October 2025		
1. 2. 2	# of advisory support products delivered and timely deployments supported at RCs' requests		10 deployments and 5 advisory products	5 deployments and 3 advisory products		Includes old fast-track (3 deployments in 2025) and 2 initial deployments of SAT. Majority of SAT deployments expected in 2026.
1. 2. 3	Clients reporting that mission deployments were timely, useful and sustained		At least 70% of UN stakeholders report being "satisfied" or "highly satisfied" with embedded capacities	To be reported in the mid-2026 report based on RC feedback on the SAT		
1. 2. 4						

1.						
2.						
5						

» **Output 1.3: Knowledge management products and mechanisms on UN-IFI partnership, including good practice on “frontier issues”, inform future policy and are affectively used by practitioners**

1. 3	Output Indicators <i>Describe the indicator</i>	Indicator Baseline <i>State the baseline value of the indicator</i>	End of Project Indicator Target <i>State the target value of the indicator at the end of the project</i>	Indicator progress for reporting period <i>State the current value of the indicator for the reporting period</i>	Indicator progress since project's start <i>State the current cummulative value of the indicator since the start of the project</i>	Reasons for Variance/ Delay (if any) <i>Explain why the indicator is off track or has changed, where relevant</i>
1. 3. 1	# of analytical and knowledge products 1) documenting good practices and lessons learned; 2) exploring “frontier issues”, eg partnership with MDBs, peacebuilding impact, and other topics		10 (cumulative)	5		On track to fully reach the target by end of project in September 2026
1. 3. 2	# of events promoting dialogue/collaboration on innovative approaches and capturing good practices		6	at least 6		



1. 3. 3	Stakeholder feedback on knowledge management offer, including a Community of Practice on UN-IFI partnership in crisis-affected settings		At least 70% of UN stakeholders report being “satisfied” or “highly satisfied” with embedded capacities	Qualitative feedback from participating stakeholders has been consistently positive. Partners highlighted the relevance and usefulness of the Facility’s knowledge management offer, including its information exchange platforms, the weekly roundup, and knowledge products developed. Feedback gathered through the Community of Practice, learning sessions, and working group meetings indicates that the Facility’s knowledge resources are regarded as practical and valuable tools for enhancing UN-IFI collaboration in crisis-affected contexts		Survey to be conducted at end of project cycle
1. 3. 4						

1.						
3.						
5						
How many outputs does outcome 2 have?						
1      2      3      4      5      more than 5.						
Please list all outputs for outcome 2						
How many outputs does outcome 3 have?						
1      2      3      4      5      more than 5.						
Please list all outputs for outcome 3						
How many outputs does outcome 4 have?						
1      2      3      4      5      more than 5.						
Please list all outputs for outcome 4						

PART III: Cross-Cutting Issues

Is the project planning any significant events in the next six months? (eg. national dialogues, youth congresses, film screenings, etc.)					
If yes, please state how many, and for each, provide the approximate date of the event and a brief description, including its key objectives, target audience and location (if known)					
Events	Event Description	Tentative Date	Location	Target Audience	Event Objectives (900 characters)

Event 1					
Event 2					
Event 3					
Event 4					

Human Impact

This section is about the human impact of the project. Please state key stakeholders (including but not limited to: Civil Society Organziations, Beneficiaries, etc.) of the project, and for each, please briefly describe:

- i. The challenges/problem they faced prior to the project implemantation
- ii. The impact of the project in their lives
- iii. Provide, where possible, a quote or testimonial from a representative of each stakeholder group

*This is an optional question. You may leave it unanswered if not relevant*

Human Impact	Type of stakeholder	What has been the impact of the project on their lives?	Provide, where possible, a quote or testimonial from the stakeholder
1			
2			

3

4

In addition to the stakeholder specific impact described above, please use this space to describe any additional human impact that the project has had.

*Please limit your response to 4000 characters.*

You can also upload upto 3 files in various formats (picture files, powerpoint, pdf, video, etc.) to illustrate the human impact of the project

**OPTIONAL**

File 1

**OPTIONAL**

[Click here to upload file. \(< 10MB\)](#)

File 2

**OPTIONAL**

[Click here to upload file. \(< 10MB\)](#)

File 3

**OPTIONAL**

[Click here to upload file. \(< 10MB\)](#)

You can also add upto 3 links to online resources which illustrate the human impact of the project

**OPTIONAL**

Link 1

**OPTIONAL**

Link 2

**OPTIONAL**

Link 3

**OPTIONAL**

Please tick the applicable change based on above narrative.

How we worked:

\*

*Please select up to 3.*

- ☐ Enhanced digitization
- ☐ Innovative ways of working
- ☒ Mobilized additional resources
- ☐ Improved or initiated policy frameworks
- ☒ Strengthened capacities
- ☐ Partnered with with local/grassroots Civil Society Organizations
- ☐ Expanding coalitions & galvanizing political will
- ☒ Strengthened partnerships with IFIs
- ☐ Strengthened partnerships with UN Agencies

Please explain one of the selected options

*Please limit your response to 3000 characters.*

**Mobilized additional resources: The Facility contributes to leveraging additional resources toward national prevention and peacebuilding priorities through supporting engagement with the WB FCV Envelope and other IFI financing instruments**

Please explain one of the selected options

*Please limit your response to 3000 characters.*

**Strengthened capacities: Chad, work with Ministry of Planning on nexus; Haiti, supported national leadership of recovery assessment process**

Please explain one of the selected options

*Please limit your response to 3000 characters.*

**Strengthened partnerships with IFIs: The purpose of the Facility is to strengthen IFIs and regional MDBs, see above**

## Who are we working with

\*

- ☒ Strengthened partnerships with IFIs
- ☒ Strengthened partnerships between UN Agencies
- ☒ Partnered with local civil society organizations
- ☐ Partnered with local academia
- ☒ Partnered with sub-national entities
- ☒ Partnered with national entities
- ☐ Partnered with local volunteers

## Please explain

*Please limit your response to 3000 characters*

**Strengthened partnerships with IFIs: Overall purpose of the Facility**

**Strengthened partnerships between UN Agencies: UN system-wide coherence, PBSO function as UN system-wide focal point for UN-IFI partnerships in FCV settings**

**Partnered with local civil society organizations**

**Partnered with sub-national entities**

**Partnered with national entities: Governments**

---

## Leave No one Behind

---

## Select all beneficiaries targeted with the PBF resources as evidenced by the narrative

\*

*Mandatory*

- ☐ Unemployed persons
- ☒ Minorities (e.g. race, ethnicity, linguistic, religion, etc.)
- ☒ Indigenous communities
- ☐ Persons with Disabilities
- ☐ Persons affected by violence (including GBV)
- ☒ Women
- ☒ Youth
- ☐ Children
- ☐ Minorities related to sexual orientation and/or gender identity and expression
- ☒ People living in and around border areas
- ☒ Persons affected by natural disasters
- ☒ Persons affected by armed conflicts
- ☒ Internally displaced persons, refugees or migrants

## PART IV: Monitoring, Evaluation and Compliance

### » Monitoring

Please list key monitoring activities undertaken in the reporting period

\*

*Please limit your response to 3000 characters.*

**The Facility maintains regular coordination meetings to track progress and has bi-annual reporting cycles for grantees. In addition to these formal steps, the Facility organizes regular “check ins” with grantees to monitor progress and challenges in delivery and support real time learning and troubleshooting.**

**Quarterly meetings with the Facility’s partners took place in March, June, September and December.**

**A first PBF and UN-IFI Facility partner visit to DRC took place in October 2025, including a monitoring component.**

**Next knowledge management survey is planned for 2026.**

Do outcome indicators have baselines?

\*

*If only some of the outcome indicators have baselines, select 'yes'*

☐ Yes

☒ No

If yes, please provide a brief description. If not, explain why not and when they will be available.

\*

*Please limit your response to 3000 characters.*

**The outcome indicator will be revised in the next project cycle starting on 1 October 2026. The revised indicator dataset will include baseline, target and source of evidence.**

Elaborate on what sources of evidence have been used to report on indicators (and are available upon request)

\*

*Please limit your response to 3000 characters.*

**• UN-WB Joint Monitoring Report • Biannual PBF progress reports • Biannual Facility grantee progress reports • Resident Coordinators’ Survey undertaken by the UN Development Coordination Office**

Has the project launched outcome level data collection initiatives? e.g. perception surveys

\*

***Perception survey is a formal collection of information from a randomly selected sample of respondents through their responses to standardized questions. See PBF Guidance Note for more information [link](#)***

☐ Yes

☒ No

Has the project used or established community feedback mechanisms?

\*

***Community feedback mechanism, or community-based monitoring, is an organized system for communities of participants to monitor the local effects and impact of an intervention. Ideally, this system empowers the community to express whether their expectations are being met and to provide suggestions to decision-makers for possible (re)focusing. See PBF Guidance Note for more information. [link](#)***

☐ Yes

☒ No

## » Evaluation

Is the project on track to conduct its evaluation? \*

- ☐ Yes
- ☐ No
- ☒ Not Applicable

Evaluation budget (in USD) included in the project budget: \*

*Response required*

147084

If project will end in next six months, is your upcoming evaluation on track?

- ☐ Yes
- ☐ No
- ☒ Not Applicable

Contact  
information

Name

Organization

Job title

Email

Please mention  
the focal  
person  
responsible for  
sharing the  
final evaluation  
report with the  
PBF:

## » Catalytic Effect

Catalytic Effect (financial): Has the project mobilized additional non-PBF financial resources since the project's start? \*

- ☐ Yes
- ☒ No



Catalytic Effect (non-financial): Has the project enabled or created a larger or longer-term peacebuilding change to occur, in addition to the direct project changes? Please refer to PBF Catalytic Effect Guidelines for more information.

\*

☒ Yes

☐ No

If yes, please select the relevant option below:

\*

☐ Some catalytic effect

☒ Significant catalytic effect

If relevant, please describe how the project has had a (non-financial) catalytic effect, i.e. removed barriers to unblock stalled political, institutional or other peacebuilding processes at different levels in a country, and/or created the conditions to establish new processes to do so

\*

*Please limit your response to 3000 characters.*

**Facility support helped Papua New Guinea build a case to access the WB Prevention and Resilience Allocation (PRA), the first country outside Africa to do so. Once approved in Q1 2026, this will significantly expand resources for nationally-led prevention efforts, in the context of a WB portfolio tailored to addressing fragility needs.**

**Similarly, embedded capacities in DRC and Chad are supporting preparations for PRA re-eligibility. Facility support helped Mauritania complete a long-planned national prevention strategy document.**

**Facility-supported knowledge processes and policy dialogue helped inform the WB FCV strategy refresh, including refining shared understanding of the role of collaboration with the UN on policy dialogue, prevention strategies, security/justice sectors and operational partnerships (TPI).**

## Sustainability

Please describe any steps that have been taken to ensure the sustainability of peacebuilding gains, including any mechanisms, platforms, networks and socio-economic initiatives supported, beyond the duration of the project

*Please limit your response to 3000 characters.*

Facility grants are designed to be catalytic, with extensions granted on an exceptional basis upon compelling justification. In the pre-April 2025 delivery model/previous project cycle, fast track grants supported time-bound deliverables, while regular track (i) accompanied efforts to leverage additional IFI resources, (ii) deepen the partnership on emerging thematic areas, and/or (iii) institutionalize a good practice. In cases where initiatives are likely to exceed 18 months in duration, project teams are requested to either rescope activities to remain achievable during this timeframe or seek additional, parallel resources. For example, the UN-WB Liaison Officer in Somalia is cost-shared by the World Bank and the Facility will bridge one additional year which will enable locally mobilized UN resources to carry this function forward in time. In the rebooted Facility, the emphasis is on sustainability through (i) building capability of RCO teams; and (ii) reinforcing knowledge and feedback loops. In 2025, the project took multiple steps to enhance peer-to-peer learning, including creating a new community of practice, facilitating monthly information sessions and investing in development of a new UN-IFI 101 learning initiative.

Are there any other issues concerning project implementation that you want to share, including any capacity needs of the recipient organizations?

*Please limit your response to 3000 characters.*

The reduction of the Peace and Development Advisor network has significantly affected RCOs' capacity for engagement on prevention and peace issues. The Facility is taking a pragmatic approach, working with champion RCs and engaging with all relevant capacities on the ground, eg RCO Economists, Partnerships Officers and PBF Secretariats. The full effect of the UN80 and ODA contraction on UN capacities will continue to play out in 2026.

## Monitoring and Oversight Activities

Please describe any key event related to monitoring and oversight. Please click next if no activities have yet taken place.

Events include Steering Committee meetings, Monitoring visits, Third party monitoring, Community based monitoring, any data collection, Perception or other survey findings, evaluation reports, audit or investigations.

Monitoring and oversight activities	Name of the Event	Summary	Key Findings
Event 1	Field missions (monitoring, advisory and scoping)	Throughout the year	Progress against the project results framework
Event 2			

Event 3			
Event 4			
Event 5			
Event 6			
Event 7			
Event 8			
<div>Final Steps</div> <ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>• Please save a PDF copy of the form by clicking on the <i>Printer</i> icon on the top right corner of the page.</li><li>• A dialogue box will appear: Please select the A4 size and portrait orientation.</li><li>• Click "prepare" and save the document as a PDF.</li><li>• (If on first attempt, the generated page is not readable, close the pop up page and go back to the first page of the online form using the "Return to Beginning" option and try to print the PDF version from there)</li><li>• After printing the PDF version, please submit the report in the last page of the form. You can use the "Go to End" button in the bottom right corner.</li><li>• In compliance with our reporting requirements, <b>please upload the PDF version of the report as well as your <i>financial report in excel format</i> to the MPTF-O Gateway.</b></li></ul> <p>If you encounter any difficulty in filling the form or generating the print-out for MPTFO Gateway, please contact Gabriel Velastegui <a href="mailto:gabriel.velasteguimoya@un.org">gabriel.velasteguimoya@un.org</a></p>			
<div>Thank You. You have finished the report. Please Click on the SUBMIT button below. When the report is submitted, a confirmation note will appear on a yellow banner on top of the page. This can take a few seconds.</div>			