

# PBF November 2025 Project Progress Report

## PROJECT OVERVIEW

Thank you for taking the time to complete the PBF Progress report. For projects with more than one recipient, please consult among co-recipients prior to filling out the form to ensure collaboration on the responses. If you have any questions or require technical assistance in filling out the form, please send an email to [gabriel.velasteguimoya@un.org](mailto:gabriel.velasteguimoya@un.org)

Click Next below to start

## » Report Submission

Type of report \*

- ☐ Semi-annual
- ☒ Annual
- ☐ Final
- ☐ Other

Date of submission of report \*

2025-12-09

Name and title of person submitting the report \*

Amido Jalo, Monitoring, Evaluation and Reporting Analyst

E-mail of person submitting the report \*

amido.jalo@undp.org

Name and title of person who approved the report \*

Gedeon Behiguim, PBF Secretariat Coordinator

Have all fund recipients for this project contributed to the report? \*

☒ Yes

☐ No

Did PBF Secretariat or RCO focal point review the report? \*

*You should normally ensure that the PBF Secretariat or the PBF focal point have an opportunity to review.*

☒ Yes

☐ No

☐ Not Applicable

## » Project Information and Geographical Scope

Is this a cross-border project? \*

☐ Yes ☒ No

Please select the geographical region in which the project is implemented

- |  |   |   |
|--|---|---|
| <input type="radio"/> Asia and the Pacific         | <input type="radio"/> Central & Southern Africa | <input type="radio"/> East Africa                     |
| <input type="radio"/> Europe and Central Asia      | <input type="radio"/> Global                    | <input type="radio"/> Latin America and the Caribbean |
| <input type="radio"/> Middle East and North Africa | <input checked="" type="radio"/> West Africa    |   |

Country of project implementation \*

- |  |                                    |                                     |
|--|------------------------------------|-------------------------------------|
| <input type="radio"/> Benin                    | <input type="radio"/> Burkina Faso | <input type="radio"/> Cote D'Ivoire |
| <input type="radio"/> Gambia                   | <input type="radio"/> Ghana        | <input type="radio"/> Guinea        |
| <input checked="" type="radio"/> Guinea-Bissau | <input type="radio"/> Liberia      | <input type="radio"/> Mali          |
| <input type="radio"/> Mauritania               | <input type="radio"/> Niger        | <input type="radio"/> Nigeria       |
| <input type="radio"/> Senegal                  | <input type="radio"/> Sierra Leone | <input type="radio"/> Togo          |
| <input type="radio"/> Other, Specify           |                                    |                                     |

Other, please specify \*

Project Title \*

- ☐ 00130107: Creating safe and empowering public spaces with women to mitigate climate-security risks and sustain peace in Guinea-Bissau
- ☐ 00129698: Enhancing the human rights protection system in Guinea-Bissau
- ☐ 00129743: Inclusive Peaceful Land Management in OIO, CACHEU and BIOMBO regions
- ☐ 00119912: Political Stabilization and Reform through Confidence Building and Inclusive Dialogue
- ☒ 00119443: Secretariat Project: Support to project coordination and monitoring of the United Nations Peacebuilding Fund (PBF) Projects in Guinea-Bissau
- ☐ 00119444: Strengthening the justice and security sector response to drug trafficking and transnational organized crime to reduce insecurity in Guinea-Bissau
- ☐ 00134097: Prevention of Natural Resources Conflicts related to Pastoralism and Transhumance in Bafata and Gabu Regions
- ☐ 00140008: Strengthening social cohesion through promoting inclusive and effective public health sector governance, management, and administration
- ☐ 00140108: Inclusive policies and institutions for a peaceful society: strengthening the social fabric and fostering youth meaningful participation in decision-making in Guinea Bissau
- ☐ 00140581: Peaceful Natural Resources Management in the Koliba-Corubal Basin
- ☐ 00141181: Strengthening social cohesion in Guinea-Bissau by aligning and integrating religious schools with the national education system
- ☐ Other, Specify

Write the 8 digit MPTFO number and Project Title exactly as it appears in the Project Document \*

EXAMPLE: 00118938: Community-based prevention of violence and social cohesion using innovation for young people in displaced and host communities

Please select the geographical region(s) in which the project is implemented \*

*If the project you are looking for does not appear in the following question, please make sure that you have selected the correct regions. A limited number of cross border projects span multiple geographic regions. For example, a cross border project between Niger and Chad spans both West Africa and Central & Southern Africa*

- |   |  |  |
|---|--|--|
| <input type="checkbox"/> Asia and the Pacific         | <input type="checkbox"/> Central & Southern Africa | <input type="checkbox"/> East Africa                     |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Europe and Central Asia      | <input type="checkbox"/> Global                    | <input type="checkbox"/> Latin America and the Caribbean |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Middle East and North Africa | <input type="checkbox"/> West Africa               |  |

Please select the title of the project for which you are submitting the report \*

Write the 8 digit MPTFO numbers and Project Title exactly as it appears in the Project Document \*

*EXAMPLE: 00129699/700: Supporting Cross-Border Cooperation for Increased Community Resilience and Social Cohesion in The Gambia and Senegal*

Please select the countries where this project is being implemented \*

Other, Please specify \*

Project Start Date (Date of first transfer) \*

**2020-01-15**

Project End Date \*

**2026-06-30**

Has this project received an extension? \*

- ☒ YES, Cost Extension
- ☐ YES, No Cost Extension
- ☐ YES, Both Cost and No Cost Extensions
- ☐ NO, No Extensions

Will this project be requesting an extension? \*

- ☐ YES, Cost Extension
- ☐ YES, No Cost Extension
- ☐ YES, Both Cost and No Cost Extensions
- ☒ NO, No Extensions

Will this project be submitting a Fund Transfer Request (FTR) in the next six months? \*

- ☒ Yes
- ☐ No

If so, around which month do you expect to submit the request? \*

- |                               |                                |  |
|-------------------------------|--------------------------------|--|
| <input type="radio"/> January | <input type="radio"/> February | <input checked="" type="radio"/> March |
| <input type="radio"/> April   | <input type="radio"/> May      | <input type="radio"/> June             |
| <input type="radio"/> July    | <input type="radio"/> August   | <input type="radio"/> September        |
| <input type="radio"/> October | <input type="radio"/> November | <input type="radio"/> December         |

Is the current project end date within 6 months? \*

- ☒ Yes
- ☐ No

Is funding disbursed either into a national or regional trust fund? \*

- ☐ Yes
- ☒ No

If yes, please select which \*

- ☐ National Trust Fund
- ☐ Regional Trust Fund

## Recipients

Is the convening agency a UN agency or a non UN entity? \*

- ☒ UN entity
- ☐ Non-UN Entity

Please select the convening agency recipient \*

- ☒ UNDP: United Nations Development Programme    ☐ IOM: International Organization for Migration
- ☐ UNICEF: United Nations Children's Fund
- ☐ OHCHR: Office of the United Nations High Commissioner for Human Rights
- ☐ UNWOMEN: United Nations Entity for Gender Equality and the Empowerment of Women
- ☐ UNHCR: United Nations High Commissioner for Refugees    ☐ UNFPA: United Nations Population Fund
- ☐ FAO: Food and Agriculture Organization    ☐ WFP: World Food Programme
- ☐ UNHABITAT: United Nations Human Settlements Programme
- ☐ UNESCO: United Nations Educational, Scientific and Cultural Organization
- ☐ UNEP: United Nations Environment Programme    ☐ ILO: International Labour Organization
- ☐ WHO: World Health Organization    ☐ PAHO/WHO
- ☐ UNCDF: United Nations Capital Development Fund    ☐ UNODC: United Nations Office on Drugs and Crime
- ☐ UNOPS: United Nations Office for Project Services
- ☐ UNIDO: United Nations Industrial Development Organization    ☐ ITC: International Trade Centre
- ☐ UNDPO    ☐ Other, Specify

Other, Please specify \*

.....

Are there other recipients for this project? \*

- ☒ No other recipients
- ☐ Yes, other UN recipients only
- ☐ Yes, other non-UN recipients only
- ☐ Yes, both UN and non-UN recipients

## Please select other UN recipients \*

*Select all that apply*

- |  |  |
|--|--|
| <input type="checkbox"/> UNDP: United Nations Development Programme                                      | <input type="checkbox"/> IOM: International Organization for Migration   |
| <input type="checkbox"/> UNICEF: United Nations Children's Fund  |  |
| <input type="checkbox"/> OHCHR: Office of the United Nations High Commissioner for Human Rights          |  |
| <input type="checkbox"/> UNWOMEN: United Nations Entity for Gender Equality and the Empowerment of Women |  |
| <input type="checkbox"/> UNHCR: United Nations High Commissioner for Refugees                            | <input type="checkbox"/> UNFPA: United Nations Population Fund           |
| <input type="checkbox"/> FAO: Food and Agriculture Organization  | <input type="checkbox"/> WFP: World Food Programme                       |
| <input type="checkbox"/> UNHABITAT: United Nations Human Settlements Programme                           |  |
| <input type="checkbox"/> UNESCO: United Nations Educational, Scientific and Cultural Organization        |  |
| <input type="checkbox"/> UNEP: United Nations Environment Programme                                      | <input type="checkbox"/> ILO: International Labour Organization          |
| <input type="checkbox"/> WHO: World Health Organization  | <input type="checkbox"/> PAHO/WHO  |
| <input type="checkbox"/> UNCDF: United Nations Capital Development Fund                                  | <input type="checkbox"/> UNODC: United Nations Office on Drugs and Crime |
| <input type="checkbox"/> UNOPS: United Nations Office for Project Services                               |  |
| <input type="checkbox"/> UNIDO: United Nations Industrial Development Organization                       | <input type="checkbox"/> ITC: International Trade Centre                 |
| <input type="checkbox"/> UN Department of Peace Operations   | <input type="checkbox"/> Other, Specify                                  |

Other, Please specify \*

## Please select other non-UN recipients

- |  |  |   |
|--|--|---|
| <input type="checkbox"/> ACTED   | <input type="checkbox"/> Action Aid UK   | <input type="checkbox"/> AAITG (ActionAid the Gambia)   |
| <input type="checkbox"/> AEDE  | <input type="checkbox"/> African Centre for the Constructive Resolution of Disputes (ACCORD) |   |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Agence de Coopération et de Recherche pour le Développement (ACORD)                               |  |   |
| <input type="checkbox"/> American Friends Service Committee (AFSC)   | <input type="checkbox"/> Avocats Sans Frontières   |   |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Avocats Sans Frontières Belgium   | <input type="checkbox"/> Avocats sans frontières Canada                                      | <input type="checkbox"/> Ayuda en Accion                |
| <input type="checkbox"/> BIRN - Balkan Investigative Reporting Network   | <input type="checkbox"/> BIOM -Youth Ecological Movemen                                      |   |
| <input type="checkbox"/> CARE International UK   | <input type="checkbox"/> Centre d'étude et de coopération internationale (CECI) - BF         |   |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Christian Aid Ireland   | <input type="checkbox"/> COIPRODEN   | <input type="checkbox"/> Concern Worldwide              |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Conexion Guatemala  | <input type="checkbox"/> COOPI - Cooperazione Internazionale                                 | <input type="checkbox"/> CORD Burundi                   |
| <input type="checkbox"/> CORDAID   | <input type="checkbox"/> Corporacion Sisma Mujer   | <input type="checkbox"/> CRS - Catholic Relief Services |
| <input type="checkbox"/> DanChurchAid  | <input type="checkbox"/> Danish Refugee Council  | <input type="checkbox"/> EQUITAS                        |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Fund for Congolese Women  | <input type="checkbox"/> Fundacion Estudios Superior (FESU)                                  | <input type="checkbox"/> Fundación Mi Sangre (FMS)      |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Fundación Nacional para el Desarrollo de Honduras (FUNADEH)                                       | <input type="checkbox"/> Fundación para la Libertad de Prensa (FLIP)                         |   |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Geneva Centre for Security Sector Governance (DCAF)   | <input type="checkbox"/> HELVETAS Swiss Intercooperation                                     |   |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Humanity & Inclusion (HI)   | <input type="checkbox"/> ICTJ (International Center for Transitional Justice)                |   |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Instituto Holandes para Democracia Multipartidaria (NIMD)   | <input type="checkbox"/> Integrity Watch   |   |
| <input type="checkbox"/> International Alert   | <input type="checkbox"/> International Rescue Committee                                      | <input type="checkbox"/> Interpeace                     |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Kvinna till Kvinna Foundation   | <input type="checkbox"/> Life and Peace Institute (LPI)                                      |   |
| <input type="checkbox"/> MDG-EISA - Institut Electoral pour une Démocratie Durable en Afrique (EISA), bureau de Madagascar |  |   |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Mercy Corps   | <input type="checkbox"/> MLAL - ProgettoMondo  | <input type="checkbox"/> MSIS-TATAO                     |
| <input type="checkbox"/> NIMD (Netherlands Institute for Multiparty Democracy)   | <input type="checkbox"/> Nonviolent Peaceforce   |   |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Norwegian Refugee Council (NRC)   | <input type="checkbox"/> Nile Sustainable Development Organization - NSDO                    |   |
| <input type="checkbox"/> OCNH-Organisation des Citoyens pour une Nouvelle Haïti  | <input type="checkbox"/> OIKOS   |   |
| <input type="checkbox"/> ONG Adkoul - ONG Adkoul   | <input type="checkbox"/> ONG AZHAR   | <input type="checkbox"/> OXFAM                          |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Peace Direct  | <input type="checkbox"/> Plan International  | <input type="checkbox"/> PNG UN Country Fund            |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Red de Instituciones por los Derechos de la Niñez   | <input type="checkbox"/> ROI - Roza Otunbayeva Initiati                                      |   |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Saferworld  | <input type="checkbox"/> Sampan'Asa Momba ny Fampandrosoana (SAF/FJKM)                       |   |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Save the Children   | <input type="checkbox"/> Search for Common Ground (SFCG)                                     |   |
| <input type="checkbox"/> SIHA (Strategic Initiative for Women in the Horn of Africa)                                       | <input type="checkbox"/> SismaMujer  |   |
| <input type="checkbox"/> SOS Sahel Sudan   | <input type="checkbox"/> Stichting Impunity Watch  | <input type="checkbox"/> Tearfund                       |
| <input type="checkbox"/> The Carter Center, Inc.   | <input type="checkbox"/> Trocaire  | <input type="checkbox"/> War Child                      |
| <input type="checkbox"/> War Childhood Museum (WCM)  | <input type="checkbox"/> World Vision International  | <input type="checkbox"/> World Vision Myanmar           |
| <input type="checkbox"/> ZOA   | <input type="checkbox"/> blank_placeholder   | <input type="checkbox"/> Other, Please specify          |



Other, Please specify

Implementing Partners

To how many implementing partners has the project transferred money **since the project's start ?**

1

To how many implementing partners has the project transferred money **during this calendar period ?**

*(for June reports: January-June;  
for November reports: January-December (anticipated);  
for final reports: full project duration)*

1

Please list all of the project's implementing partners and the amounts (in USD) transferred to each, both since the project's start, and specifically during this calendar period

Please select the type of organisation which best describes the type of implementing partner \*

- ☐ National youth CSO
- ☐ National women's CSO
- ☒ Other National CSO
- ☐ Subnational youth CSO
- ☐ Subnational women's CSO
- ☐ Other subnational CSO
- ☐ Regional CSO
- ☐ Regional Organisation
- ☐ International NGO
- ☐ Governmental entity
- ☐ National women's and youth CSO
- ☐ Subnational women's and youth CSO
- ☐ Other

Other, Please specify

What is the name of the Implementing Partner \*

**Voz di Paz**

What is the planned total amount (in USD) for the **overall duration of the project** to be disbursed to this implementing partner? \*

*Please use a dot (.) as decimal separator, instead of a comma (,)*

151124

What is the total amount (in USD) disbursed to the implementing partner **since the project's start**? \*

*Please use a dot (.) as decimal separator, instead of a comma (,)*

136012

What is the total amount (in USD) disbursed to the implementing partner **during this calendar period**? \*

Please use a dot (.) as decimal separator, instead of a comma (,)

75562

Briefly describe the main activities carried out by the Implementing Partner during this calendar period \*

Please limit your response to 1500 characters

**Mapping of local conflicts in the country**

## Financial Reporting

### » Delivery by Recipient

**Please enter the total amounts in full US dollars allocated to each recipient organization**

Please enter the original budget amount, amount transferred to date and estimated expenditure by recipient.

Please make sure you enter the correct amount. All values should be entered in **US Dollars**

For cross-border projects, group the amounts by agency, even if different country offices are involved. You will have the opportunity to share a more detailed budget in the next section.

Recipients	Total Project Budget (in full US \$) <i>Please enter the total budget as is in the project document in US Dollars</i>	Transfers to date (in full US \$) <i>Please enter the total amount transferred to each recipient to date in US Dollars</i>	Expenditure to date (in full US \$) <i>Please enter the approximate amount spent to date in US dollars</i>	Implementation rate as a percentage of total budget (calculated automatically)
UNDP: United Nations Development Programme	3146689 *	2554840 *	2193255.97 *	69.7%
	*	*	*	%

[illegible]

<b>TOTAL</b>	<b>3146689</b>	<b>2554840</b>	<b>2193255.97</b>	<b>69.7</b>
				<b>%</b>

The approximate implementation rate as percentage of total project budget based on the values entered in the above matrix is **69.7%**. Can you confirm that this is correct? \*

☒ Correct ☐ Incorrect

If it is incorrect, please enter the approximate implementation rate as a % \*

### » Gender-responsive Budgeting

Indicate what **percentage (%)** of the budget contributes to gender equality or women's empowerment (GEWE) as per the project document? \*

45.01

The dollar amount of the budget contributing to Gender Equality and Women's Empowerment (GEWE) based on percentage entered above and total project budget is **US \$ 1416324.72**. Can you confirm that this is correct? \*

☒ Correct ☐ Incorrect

If it is incorrect, please enter the *budget amount* allocated to GEWE in US Dollars \*

Amount expended to date on efforts contributing to gender equality or women's empowerment is **US \$ 987184.51**. Is this correct? \*

☒ Correct ☐ Incorrect

If it is incorrect, please enter the *expenditure to date* on GEWE in US dollars \*

ATTACH PROJECT EXCEL BUDGET SHOWING CURRENT APPROXIMATE EXPENDITURE. \*

The templates for the budget are available [here](#)

Interim Financial Report 9.12.2025-18\_53\_54.xlsx



## Project Markers

Please select the Gender Marker Associated with this project \*

- ☐ Score 1 for projects that contribute in some way to gender equality, but not significantly (less than 30% of the total budget for GEWE)
- ☒ Score 2 for projects that have gender equality as a significant objective and allocate between 30 and 79% of the total project budget to GEWE
- ☐ Score 3 for projects that have gender equality as a principal objective and allocate at least 80% of the total project budget to Gender Equality and Women's Empowerment (GEWE)

Please select the Risk Marker Associated with this project \*

- ☒ Risk marker 0 = low risk to achieving outcomes
- ☐ Risk marker 1 = medium risk to achieving outcomes
- ☐ Risk marker 2 = high risk to achieving outcomes

Please select the PBF Focus Area associated with this project \*

- ☐ (1.1) Security Sector Reform
- ☐ (1.2) Rule of Law
- ☐ (1.3) Demobilisation, Disarmament and Reintegration
- ☐ (1.4) Political Dialogue
- ☐ (2.1) National reconciliation
- ☐ (2.2) Democratic Governance
- ☐ (2.3) Conflict prevention/management
- ☐ (3.1) Employment
- ☐ (3.2) Equitable access to social services
- ☐ (4.1) Strengthening of essential national state capacity
- ☐ (4.2) Extension of state authority/Local Administration
- ☒ (4.3) Governance of peacebuilding resources (including PBF Secretariats)

Is the project part of one or more PBF priority windows? \*

*Select all that apply*

- ☐ Gender promotion initiative
- ☐ Youth promotion initiative
- ☒ Transition from UN or regional peacekeeping or special political missions
- ☐ Cross-border or regional project
- ☐ None

## Steering Committee and Government engagement

Does the project have an active steering committee/ project board? \*

☒ Yes

☐ No

If yes, please indicate how many times the Project Steering Committee has met over the last 6 months?

*Please limit your response to 3000 characters*

**The Portfolio Joint Steering Committee (JSC) met on 11 March 2025 to review the 2024 portfolio results and discuss key challenges affecting implementation. Co-chaired by the Minister of Foreign Affairs, International Cooperation and Communities, Mr. Carlos Pinto Pereira, the Minister of Economy, Planning and Regional Integration, Mr. Soares Sambú, and the UN Resident Coordinator, Ms. Geneviève Boutin, the meeting provided a high-level platform to showcase major achievements, reflect on lessons learned, and reinforce coordination with relevant government institutions. Discussions also focused on strengthening the governance structure of the portfolio through the revision of the Steering Committee's Terms of Reference and the establishment of a unified Joint Technical Committee (JTC) under the UNSDCF and PBF frameworks.**

**The inaugural meeting of the JTC, held on 18-19 September 2025, represented a key milestone in institutionalizing coordination and strategic alignment between the Government of Guinea-Bissau, the UN system, and development partners. The meeting validated the JTC's Terms of Reference, confirming its role as the technical arm of the Joint Steering Committee, and expanded its composition to include line ministries, civil society organizations, and the private sector. This step strengthened inclusivity, continuity, and national ownership, laying the foundation for evidence-based coordination and monitoring across both the UNSDCF and PBF portfolio.**

Please provide a brief description of any engagement that the project has had with the government over the last 6 months. Please indicate what level of government the project has been engaging with.

*Please limit your response to 3000 characters*

**PBF portfolio has maintained regular engagement with the Government of Guinea-Bissau. Coordination has been ensured primarily through the JSC and the JTC, co-chaired by the Ministry of Foreign Affairs, and the Ministry of Economy and Planning, in close collaboration with the UN Resident Coordinator's Office. These mechanisms have served as key platforms for policy dialogue, alignment of peacebuilding priorities, and joint monitoring of PBF-funded initiatives. The JSC convened on 11 March 2025 which served as a high-level platform to review 2024 portfolio results, address implementation challenges, and endorse key measures to strengthen coordination, including the revision of the JSC's ToR and the establishment of a unified JTC under the UNSDCF-PBF coordination framework. At the technical and operational level, the inaugural meeting of the JTC, held on 18-19 September 2025, represented a major step toward institutionalizing joint coordination mechanisms between the Government, the UN, and development partners. The meeting validated the JTC's ToR, confirmed its role as the technical arm of the JSC, and expanded its composition to include line ministries, civil society, and private sector representatives thereby strengthening inclusivity, continuity, and national ownership. Discussions within the JTC's session facilitated evidence-based coordination across governance, gender equality, and social sectors, while reaffirming the commitment to inclusive and disability-sensitive national planning and reinforcing coherence with national development and peacebuilding objectives. The Government also demonstrated active engagement during a Joint Field Mission conducted from 23 June to 3 July 2025, jointly led by the MOFA and Ministry of Economy, alongside the PBF Secretariat. The mission provided an opportunity to jointly assess progress on the ground, identify operational bottlenecks, and formulate context-specific recommendations to enhance delivery and sustainability. Further engagement occurred during the PBF Secretariat's Mid-Year Technical Workshop on 16 July 2025, where representatives from multiple government institutions, including the Ministries of Health, Women, Agriculture, and the National Institute for Women and Children actively participated. Their technical contributions enriched discussions on results, lessons learned, and next steps, thereby reinforcing the Government's leadership in steering peacebuilding and development outcomes under the PBF Portfolio and UNSDCF frameworks. The PBF had high-level interactions with the Government during the visit of its Director, Mr. Brian J. Williams, from 5 to 10 October 2025. During this mission, the PBF Director met with the Prime Minister, the Minister of Foreign Affairs, the Minister of Environment, the Governor of Bafata region, and religious leaders. These bilaterals meetings, together with the high-level policy dialogues organized during the mission, further strengthened the PBF's positioning as a strategic partner of the Government of Guinea-Bissau in advancing national peacebuilding priorities.**

## PART I: OVERALL PROJECT PROGRESS

### NOTES FOR COMPLETING THE REPORT:

- Avoid acronyms and UN jargon, use general /common language.
- Report on what has been achieved in the reporting period, not what the project aims to do.
- Be as concrete as possible. Avoid theoretical, vague or conceptual discourse.
- Ensure the analysis and project progress assessment is gender and age sensitive.

Please rate the implementation status of the following preliminary/preparatory activities

#### Contracting of partners

- ☐ Not Started
 ☐ Initiated
 ☐ Partially Completed
 ☒ Completed
 ☐ Not Applicable



## Staff Recruitment \*

- ☐ Not Started
 ☐ Initiated
 ☐ Partially Completed
 ☒ Completed
 ☐ Not Applicable

## Collection of baselines \*

- ☐ Not Started
 ☐ Initiated
 ☐ Partially Completed
 ☒ Completed
 ☐ Not Applicable

## Identification of beneficiaries \*

- ☐ Not Started
 ☐ Initiated
 ☐ Partially Completed
 ☒ Completed
 ☐ Not Applicable

Provide any additional descriptive information relating to the status of the project, including whether preliminary/preparatory activities have been completed (i.e. contracting of partners, staff recruitment, etc.)

*Please limit your response to 3000 characters*

**The PBF Secretariat has managed to fulfil its mandate to a certain extent, but significant constraints were encountered due to staffing gaps. The International UNV Monitoring and Evaluation Specialist concluded his contract in March 2024 and was only replaced on 26 May 2025, as the recruitment process for the National Monitoring and Evaluation Analyst, launched in May 2024, has been successfully completed in May 2025. With this appointment, the PBF Secretariat is currently fully staffed and operational.**

Describe overall progress under each Outcome made during the reporting period (*for June reports: January-June; for November reports: January-December (anticipated); for final reports: full project duration*). Do not list individual activities. If the project is starting to make/has made a difference at the outcome level, provide specific evidence for the progress (quantitative and qualitative) and explain how it impacts the broader political and peacebuilding context.

Is the project on track for the timely completion of outputs as indicated in the workplan? \*

- ☒ Yes
 ☐ No

If no, please provide an explanation \*

*Please limit your response to 6000 characters*

## Project progress summary

*Please limit your response to 6000 characters*

“The PBF Secretariat in Guinea-Bissau has acted as a key liaison between the UN system, national authorities, civil society, and other partners, facilitating coordination, quality assurance, and communication, while also addressing significant contextual, operational and capacity-related challenges. It has played a pivotal role in favoring the development, implementation, monitoring, and oversight of peacebuilding projects, and in providing strategic guidance to the PBF portfolio. The Secretariat has played a key role in maintaining the relevance, coherence and effectiveness of the portfolio, with some variability through time where strategic leadership was more limited. Despite continued challenges, portfolio management has significantly been heightened through more recent practices of portfolio-level experience-sharing being (re-) instated”, PBF portfolio independent evaluation 2025. Throughout the reporting period, the PBF strengthened portfolio coherence and alignment with national priorities and UN strategic frameworks. The JSC, co-chaired by the Government and the UN RC, reviewed 2024 PBF portfolio performance, addressed systemic implementation bottlenecks, and endorsed governance reforms, including the unification of technical coordination under the UNSDCF/PBF framework. The inaugural JTC meeting expanded inclusive participation to civil society and private sector actors, while the mid-year technical review convened government entities, implementing partners, and community representatives to assess progress, share lessons, and adjust implementation strategies. Regular UNCT updates reinforced coherence across UN programming, enabling joint monitoring of implementation risks and synergies with broader peacebuilding and governance priorities. The Director’s mission (5-11 October 2025) strengthened political and operational collaboration while validating tangible results on the ground. Consultative dialogues on peacebuilding, rule of law, and climate-security challenges reinforced national consensus on prevention priorities and informed the forthcoming update of the Conflict Analysis and peacebuilding results framework development. Field visits in Bafatá demonstrated operational progress at Centros de Acesso à Justiça, advancement in constructing the Regional Directorate of the Judicial Police in Bafatá, and strengthened community mediation platforms addressing transhumance-related tensions, gender-based violence, and resource-related disputes. The mission underscored strong local ownership, enhanced participation of women and youth, and increased regional institutional capacity, prompting commitments from national and UN partners to scale effective models and accelerate cross-border and inter-institutional collaboration. The PBF Secretariat provided continuous technical support, strengthening coordination, monitoring, and communication across projects, thereby improving implementation performance. Two new projects were approved: Strengthening Social Cohesion by Aligning and Integrating Religious Schools with the National Education System and National Anti-Corruption Strategy: Building a Culture of Transparency and Integrity. Both were developed through participatory consultations with government, civil society, and communities, ensuring alignment with national priorities. In response to evolving political and social dynamics, the Secretariat facilitated the review and adjustment of ongoing interventions, resulting in revisions and no-cost extensions for five joint projects, safeguarding continuity, enhancing delivery capacity, and maintaining relevance. The Secretariat actively promoted youth leadership in peacebuilding through the Youth Leadership dialogue with UNCT during International Day of Peace. The event convened 54 participants, including 28 youth representing diverse backgrounds and youth with disabilities, to identify structural barriers to participation and develop actionable recommendations on vocational training, entrepreneurship, and institutionalized youth engagement. The Roundtable yielded concrete commitments: integration of youth priorities into the next UNSDCF, inclusion in the National Youth Policy, and sustained youth advocacy. These engagements strengthened trust between youth, Government, and UN actors, positioning young people as essential partners in sustaining peace and social transformation. A feature article on resolving transhumance conflicts highlighted tangible contributions to conflict prevention, while capacity-building workshops improved partners’ communication skills and results-focused reporting. Strategic oversight was reinforced through the Joint Field Monitoring Mission (23 June - 3 July 2025), covering five regions. The mission assessed project delivery, engaged communities, and identified operational constraints, generating actionable recommendations to strengthen Government leadership, coordination, and sustainability. Key results included improved justice and security institutions, operational Centros de Acesso à Justiça, and more effective community dialogue platforms mitigating natural resources and transhumance-related tensions. Findings informed technical reviews, project adjustments, and evidence-based decision-making. Concise results briefs supported knowledge uptake, while systematic quality assurance ensured mid-year and annual reports documented progress, challenges, and results in line with PBF guidance. The independent evaluation of the 2019-2025 PBF portfolio, completed in October 2025, confirmed the Fund’s catalytic contribution to institutional resilience, policy coherence, and community-level peace dividends. It highlighted strengthened governance mechanisms, citizen engagement

platforms, and enhanced conflict-prevention capacities. Independent evaluations of two projects were completed, providing credible evidence to strengthen accountability, inform strategic decision-making, and enhance learning. The PBF portfolio advanced inclusive governance, justice, and social cohesion, reinforced national coordination structures, and fostered partnerships with development actors. Lessons learned continue to inform capacity building, national ownership, and cross-project complementarity, ensuring peacebuilding gains are consolidated and scaled to support long-term institutional resilience in Guinea-Bissau.

Indicate any additional analysis on how Gender Equality and Women's Empowerment and/or Youth Inclusion and Responsiveness has been ensured by the project to date

*Please limit your response to 3000 characters*

The PBF portfolio in Guinea-Bissau has been strategically designed to be gender responsive. Of the seven (7) active projects, one has been classified as Gender Marker 1, five as Gender Marker 2, and one as Gender Marker 3, reflecting a strong commitment to the integration of gender considerations in both project designs and implementation. Financially, nearly USD 10 million out of a total active portfolio budget of USD 23.2 million is earmarked to advance gender equality and women's empowerment. This substantial allocation highlights a deliberate effort to address gender disparities and promote women's leadership and participation in peacebuilding processes. Despite these efforts, the tangible outcomes achieved thus far remain below expectations, primarily due to deeply entrenched sociocultural barriers. Persistent gender norms and traditional practices continue to constrain progress, limiting the effective participation and empowerment of women and girls. Addressing these challenges requires sustained community engagement, reinforced advocacy efforts, and the implementation of targeted strategies aimed at transforming mindsets and fostering more inclusive opportunities for women. During the design phase of the two newly approved and signed projects - Strengthening Social Cohesion in Guinea-Bissau by Aligning and Integrating Religious Schools with the National Education System and National Anti-Corruption Strategy: Building an Effective Culture of Transparency and Integrity in Guinea-Bissau - the PBF Secretariat provided technical assistance and ensured that the gender component was duly integrated into both initiatives. As a result, each project has a Gender Marker score of 2, with 35.44% and 31.90% of the total budgets, respectively, allocated to activities aimed at promoting gender equality and women's empowerment. Youth inclusion and responsiveness have been strengthened by promoting meaningful engagement of young people as active partners in peacebuilding and governance processes. Through the Youth Leadership Roundtable with the RC and the UNCT representatives, organized with PBF secretariat leadership in the celebration of International Day of Peace, 54 participants, including 28 youth from diverse constituencies such as youth with disabilities, youth-led organizations, and young professionals contributed to national dialogue on peace, equality, and social transformation. The analysis emerging from this engagement highlights enhanced youth ownership and influence in decision-making, evidenced by the formulation of concrete recommendations on education, employment, health, and inclusive governance. Institutional responsiveness was demonstrated through the UN Country Team's commitment to integrate youth priorities into the forthcoming UNSDCF 2027+ and the completion of a youth partners mapping exercise, while government counterparts pledged to reflect these priorities in the National Youth Policy. Youth networks have committed to mobilize and take up sustain advocacy and follow-up actions, reinforcing accountability mechanisms and positioning youth as central actors in advancing inclusive and sustainable peace in Guinea-Bissau.

Is the project 1+ year in implementation?

☒ Yes

☐ No

**FOR PROJECTS 1+ YEAR IN IMPLEMENTATION ONLY:**

Is the project demonstrating outcome-level peacebuilding results? \*

*Outcome-level peacebuilding results entail results achieved at the societal or structural level, including changed attitudes, behaviours or institutions.*

☒ Yes

☐ No

If yes, please provide concrete examples of such peacebuilding results

*Please limit your response to 6000 characters*

In 2025, the Peacebuilding Fund (PBF) portfolio in Guinea-Bissau generated concrete progress at institutional and societal levels, gradually consolidating its catalytic role in strengthening national ownership, inclusive governance, and coordinated peacebuilding action. The portfolio contributed directly to Outcome 1, by reinforcing the development, implementation, monitoring, and strategic oversight of peacebuilding initiatives, and to Outcome 2, by enhancing the capacity of implementing agencies to deliver, monitor, evaluate, and communicate results effectively.

At the structural and institutional level, the operationalization of the Joint Technical Committee (JTC) under the unified UNSDCF and PBF framework marked a major advancement in peacebuilding governance. Endorsed during its inaugural session in September 2025, the JTC institutionalized collaboration between the Government, the United Nations, civil society, and the private sector at technical level. This inclusive coordination structure strengthened evidence-based decision-making, transparency, and inter-institutional coherence, enabling more strategic and aligned national responses to peacebuilding priorities. Complementarily, the Joint Steering Committee (JSC) meeting of March 2025 revised its Terms of Reference, formalizing shared Government and UN accountability and oversight mechanisms. These initiatives have reinforced a results-oriented culture and positioned national institutions at the centre of the peacebuilding architecture in the country.

At the societal level, PBF investments delivered tangible peace dividends by addressing key conflict drivers and improving citizen-state trust. Through PBF-supported Centros de Acesso à Justiça, equitable access to justice expanded for women and survivors of gender-based violence, contributing to stronger confidence in formal institutions and rule of law. Community-based dialogue platforms, including Listening Clubs and pastoralist associations, effectively mediated transhumance-related disputes, reducing intercommunal tensions, and strengthening social cohesion in conflict-prone regions. The Joint Field Monitoring Mission (June-July 2025) confirmed improvements in institutional capacity, conflict resolution, and rule of law, while generating actionable recommendations to enhance gender responsiveness and sustainability across the portfolio.

In the health sector, the operationalization of the Linha de Saúde 24H marked an important step toward strengthening governance and responsiveness in service delivery. The initiative has already received 4,576 calls, of which 3,775 (82.5%) were valid, reflecting growing public trust in institutional mechanisms. During the official launch, the then Minister of Public Health, Mr. Pedro Tipote, pledged to integrate the hotline into the national health system, ensuring its long-term sustainability and alignment with national priorities.

Youth inclusion and civic participation advanced significantly through the Youth Leadership Roundtable, organized on the International Day of Peace. The event deepened youth engagement in peace and governance processes, leading to government and UN commitments to integrate youth priorities into the upcoming UNSDCF (2027+) and the National Youth Policy. This institutional recognition represents a clear attitudinal and policy shift toward intergenerational inclusion and participatory governance.

At the policy and strategic levels, the PBF Director's mission (October 2025) reinvigorated national commitment to conflict prevention and governance reform. Dialogues held on peacebuilding, climate-security linkages, and rule of law-built consensus around updating the Conflict Analysis and designing the Peacebuilding Strategic Results Framework (SRF), laying the groundwork for more coherent, evidence-based, and preventive strategies.

Innovative programming also influenced institutional behavior and social cohesion. The project on integrating religious schools into the National Education System has been fostering dialogue between faith-based and state institutions, contributing to reduce identity-based fragmentation and promoting inclusive education as a vehicle for peace.

Behavioral change was evident through strengthened local dialogue mechanisms and inclusive leadership practices. Women and youth increasingly assumed leadership roles in mediation and local development committees, demonstrating a gradual but steady shift toward more inclusive, accountable, and gender-responsive peacebuilding dynamics. The PBF Secretariat played a pivotal role in sustaining these gains by ensuring evidence-based coordination, monitoring, and communication. Two "Communication for Impact" workshops improved RUNO and

partner capacities to document and disseminate results, enhancing portfolio visibility and public understanding of peace dividends.

Finally, the independent evaluation of the 2019-2025 PBF portfolio, finalized in October 2025, validated the Fund's contribution to institutional resilience, policy coherence, and local peace dividends. Its recommendations are guiding the formulation of the Peacebuilding Strategic Results Framework, aimed at strengthening results-based monitoring and adaptive management.

Thus, in 2025, the PBF Secretariat project demonstrated outcome-level peacebuilding results by reinforcing institutional capacity, promoting inclusive policy dialogue, and transforming community relations. These achievements reflect Guinea-Bissau's gradual transition from fragility to resilience through nationally owned, inclusive, and sustainable peacebuilding efforts.

If yes, please provide sources or references (including links) as evidence of peacebuilding results, or submit them as additional attachments.

*Evidence may be quantitative or qualitative but needs to demonstrate progress against outcome indicators in the project results framework. Sources may include project surveys (such as perception surveys), monitoring reports, government documents, or other knowledge products that have been developed by the project.*

File attachment

Sources or references (including links) as evidence of peacebuilding results-17\_45\_54.docx



## PART II: PROJECT RESULTS FRAMEWORK

How many OUTCOMES does this project have \*

1 **2** 3 4 5 more than 5.

Please write out the project outcomes as they are in the project results framework found in the project document

Outcome 1:

**The effective development, implementation, monitoring, oversight of peacebuilding projects and the overall strategic direction of the PBF portfolio in Guinea-Bissau is ensured.**

Outcome 2:

**The agencies implementing PBF funded projects are able to deliver on the implementation, monitoring and evaluation of effectively designed peacebuilding projects and effectively communicate the results of their interventions**

Outcome 3:

Outcome 4:	*
Outcome 5:	*
Outcome 6:	*
Outcome 7:	*
Outcome 8:	*
Additional Outcomes <i>If the project has more than 8 outcomes, please enumerate the remaining outcomes here</i>	*

INDICATOR BASED PERFORMANCE ASSESSMENT

Using the Project Results Framework as per the approved project document or any amendments, provide an update on the achievement of all **outcome** and **output** indicators in the table below.

- Where it has not been possible to collect data on indicators, state this and provide any explanation.
- Provide gender and age disaggregated data. (500 characters max per entry)

» Outcome 1: The effective development, implementation, monitoring, oversight of peacebuilding projects and the overall strategic direction of the PBF portfolio in Guinea-Bissau is ensured.

O C 1	Outcome Indicator s	Indicator Baseline	End of Project Indicator Target	Indicator progress for reporting period	Indicator progress since project's start	Reasons for Variance/ Delay (if any)
1. 1	Enhanced collaboration of the PBF programme with other stakeholders (Government, Partners and PBF donors in the country)	0	4	4	6	
1. 2	Evaluation of PBF Secretariat by UN Leadership, RUNOS, and national stakeholders	65%	75%	74.5%	74.5%	
1. 3						
1. 4						
1. 5						



How many outputs does outcome 1 have?

1

2

3

4

5

more than 5.

Please list all outputs for outcome 1

Output 1.1

**Reliable management structure for the PBF in Guinea-Bissau is ensured**

Output 1.2

**Effective project implementation, communication and coordination enabled to ensure proper Oversight, Coherence and Complementarity between Projects**

Output 1.3

**Enable effective development of new project design**

Output 1.4

Output 1.5

Other Outputs

*If Outcome 1 has more than 5 outputs, please enumerate the remaining outputs here*

For each output, and using the, project results framework, provide an update on the progress made against all output indicators

» **Output 1.1: Reliable management structure for the PBF in Guinea-Bissau is ensured**

1. 1	Output Indicators <i>Describe the indicator</i>	Indicator Baseline <i>State the baseline value of the indicator</i>	End of Project Indicator Target <i>State the target value of the indicator at the end of the project</i>	Indicator progress for reporting period <i>State the current value of the indicator for the reporting period</i>	Indicator progress since project's start <i>State the current cumulative value of the indicator since the start of the project</i>	Reasons for Variance/ Delay (if any) <i>Explain why the indicator is off track or has changed, where relevant</i>
1. 1. 1	<b>Office has complete staff members</b>	<b>4</b>	<b>5</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>5</b>	
1. 1. 2						
1. 1. 3						
1. 1. 4						
1. 1. 5						

» **Output 1.2: Effective project implementation, communication and coordination enabled to ensure proper Oversight, Coherence and Complementarity between Projects**

1. 2	Output Indicators <i>Describe the indicator</i>	Indicator Baseline <i>State the baseline value of the indicator</i>	End of Project Indicator Target <i>State the target value of the indicator at the end of the project</i>	Indicator progress for reporting period <i>State the current value of the indicator for the reporting period</i>	Indicator progress since project's start <i>State the current cumulative value of the indicator since the start of the project</i>	Reasons for Variance/ Delay (if any) <i>Explain why the indicator is off track or has changed, where relevant</i>
1. 2. 1	Quarterly meetings held with PBF Recipient Organizations to follow upon each project implementation	0	4	4	4	
1. 2. 2	Quality PBF project Reports Submitted by the Deadline every June and December	0	7	7	7	
1. 2. 3	UN Leadership provided quality support and documentation to make decisions	0	2	4	4	The PBF Secretariat provides quarterly briefing to UNCT
1. 2. 4						
1. 2. 5						

## » Output 1.3: Enable effective development of new project design

1. 3 .....	Output Indicators <i>Describe the indicator</i> .....	Indicator Baseline <i>State the baseline value of the indicator</i> .....	End of Project Indicator Target <i>State the target value of the indicator at the end of the project</i> .....	Indicator progress for reporting period <i>State the current value of the indicator for the reporting period</i> .....	Indicator progress since project's start <i>State the current cumulative value of the indicator since the start of the project</i> .....	Reasons for Variance/ Delay (if any) <i>Explain why the indicator is off track or has changed, where relevant</i> .....
1. 3. 1 .....	# of ProDocs that are approved by PBSO based on the yearly approved investment plan for the country .....	3 .....	3 .....	2 .....	2 .....	2 new projects were finalized approved and signed .....
1. 3. 2 .....	New Projects have at least 30% of funds allocated to Gender Issues or support Women's Empowerment .....	35.44% .....	35.44% .....	.....	.....	.....
1. 3. 3 .....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....
1. 3. 4 .....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....
1. 3. 5 .....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....

» Output 1.4:

1. 4 .....	Output Indicators  <i>Describe the indicator</i> .....	Indicator Baseline  <i>State the baseline value of the indicator</i> .....	End of Project Indicator Target  <i>State the target value of the indicator at the end of the project</i> .....	Indicator progress for reporting period  <i>State the current value of the indicator for the reporting period</i> .....	Indicator progress since project's start  <i>State the current cumulative value of the indicator since the start of the project</i> .....	Reasons for Variance/ Delay (if any)  <i>Explain why the indicator is off track or has changed, where relevant</i> .....
1. 4. 1 .....						
1. 4. 2 .....						
1. 4. 3 .....						
1. 4. 4 .....						
1. 4. 5 .....						

» **Output 1.5:**

1. 5	Output Indicators <i>Describe the indicator</i>	Indicator Baseline <i>State the baseline value of the indicator</i>	End of Project Indicator Target <i>State the target value of the indicator at the end of the project</i>	Indicator progress for reporting period <i>State the current value of the indicator for the reporting period</i>	Indicator progress since project's start <i>State the current cumulative value of the indicator since the start of the project</i>	Reasons for Variance/Delay (if any) <i>Explain why the indicator is off track or has changed, where relevant</i>
1. 5. 1						
1. 5. 2						
1. 5. 3						
1. 5. 4						
1. 5. 5						

» **Outcome 2: The agencies implementing PBF funded projects are able to deliver on the implementation, monitoring and evaluation of effectively designed peacebuilding projects and effectively communicate the results of their interventions**

O C 2	Outcome Indicator s	Indicator Baseline	End of Project Indicator Target	Indicator progress for reporting period	Indicator progress since project's start	Reasons for Variance/ Delay (if any)
2. 1	Each project implementation is On Track based on an expected progress rate by the middle of the year and towards the end of the year.	30%	70%	60%	60%	
2. 2	Improved PBF project monitoring systems and portfolio level data.	20%	100%	43%	43%	
2. 3	# of informative analyses provided by the conflict mapping system	0	4	1	4	
2. 4						
2. 5						

How many outputs does outcome 2 have?

1

2

3

4

5

more than 5.

Please list all outputs for outcome 2

Output 2.1

**Capacity-Building of RUNOs and implementing partners enabled through tailored technical support and training.**

Output 2.2

**Strengthen social communication and peacebuilding advocacy**

Output 2.3

**Strengthen the PBF project and portfolio level monitoring and evaluation**

Output 2.4

Output 2.5

Other Outputs

*If Outcome 2 has more than 5 outputs, please enumerate the remaining outputs here*



For each output, and using the, project results framework, provide an update on the progress made against all output indicators

» **Output 2.1: Capacity-Building of RUNOs and implementing partners enabled through tailored technical support and training.**

2. 1	Output Indicators <i>Describe the indicator</i>	Indicator Baseline <i>State the baseline value of the indicator</i>	End of Project Indicator Target <i>State the target value of the indicator at the end of the project</i>	Indicator progress for reporting period <i>State the current value of the indicator for the reporting period</i>	Indicator progress since project's start <i>State the current cumulative value of the indicator since the start of the project</i>	Reasons for Variance/ Delay (if any) <i>Explain why the indicator is off track or has changed, where relevant</i>
2. 1. 1	# and type of training event convened by the Secretariat to increase peacebuilding capacity of partners and stakeholders	2	3	3	6	
2. 1. 2	Satisfaction of PBF Secretariat stakeholders with technical assistance provided	88.6%	95%			
2. 1. 3						
2. 1. 4						

2.						
1.	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....
5						
.....						

### » Output 2.2: Strengthen social communication and peacebuilding advocacy

2.	Output	Indicator	End of	Indicator	Indicator	Reasons
2	Indicators	Baseline	Project	progress	progress	for
.....	<i>Describe the indicator</i>	<i>State the baseline value of the indicator</i>	Indicator	for	since	Variance/
.....	.....	.....	Target	reporting	project's	Delay (if
.....	.....	.....	<i>State the target value of the indicator at the end of the project</i>	period	start	any)
.....	.....	.....	.....	<i>State the current value of the indicator for the reporting period</i>	<i>State the current cumulative value of the indicator since the start of the project</i>	<i>Explain why the indicator is off track or has changed, where relevant</i>
.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....
2.	<b>Communication</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>1</b>	
2.	<b>Strategy</b>	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....
1	<b>developed and implemented</b>					
.....	.....					
2.	<b># Human</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>3</b>	
2.	<b>stories</b>	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....
2	<b>produced and published per project</b>					
.....	.....					
2.	<b>Number of</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>7</b>	<b>5</b>	<b>5</b>	
2.	<b>Knowledge</b>	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....
3	<b>Products developed</b>					
.....	.....					
2.						
2.	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....
4						
.....						
2.						
2.	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....
5						
.....						

## » Output 2.3: Strengthen the PBF project and portfolio level monitoring and evaluation

2. 3	Output Indicators <i>Describe the indicator</i>	Indicator Baseline <i>State the baseline value of the indicator</i>	End of Project Indicator Target <i>State the target value of the indicator at the end of the project</i>	Indicator progress for reporting period <i>State the current value of the indicator for the reporting period</i>	Indicator progress since project's start <i>State the current cumulative value of the indicator since the start of the project</i>	Reasons for Variance/ Delay (if any) <i>Explain why the indicator is off track or has changed, where relevant</i>
2. 3. 1	Guinea Bissau peacebuilding Strategic result framework (SRF) defined in consultations with all stakeholders.	0	1	0	0	The concept notes and Terms of Reference for the technical assistance were finalized, and the recruitment process for the consultancy is currently underway.
2. 3. 2	% of Projects with M&E plans developed and implemented	66%	100%	42%	57%	
2. 3. 3	# of follow up visits to project sites	1	2	1	3	
2. 3. 4						
2. 3. 5						

» Output 2.4:

2. 4 .....	Output Indicators <i>Describe the indicator</i> .....	Indicator Baseline <i>State the baseline value of the indicator</i> .....	End of Project Indicator Target <i>State the target value of the indicator at the end of the project</i> .....	Indicator progress for reporting period <i>State the current value of the indicator for the reporting period</i> .....	Indicator progress since project's start <i>State the current cumulative value of the indicator since the start of the project</i> .....	Reasons for Variance/ Delay (if any) <i>Explain why the indicator is off track or has changed, where relevant</i> .....
2. 4. 1 .....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....
2. 4. 2 .....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....
2. 4. 3 .....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....
2. 4. 4 .....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....
2. 4. 5 .....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....

» **Output 2.5:**

2. 5	Output Indicators <i>Describe the indicator</i>	Indicator Baseline <i>State the baseline value of the indicator</i>	End of Project Indicator Target <i>State the target value of the indicator at the end of the project</i>	Indicator progress for reporting period <i>State the current value of the indicator for the reporting period</i>	Indicator progress since project's start <i>State the current cumulative value of the indicator since the start of the project</i>	Reasons for Variance/ Delay (if any) <i>Explain why the indicator is off track or has changed, where relevant</i>
.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....
2. 5. 1	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....
2. 5. 2	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....
2. 5. 3	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....
2. 5. 4	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....
2. 5. 5	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....

» Outcome 3:

O C 3	Outcome Indicator s	Indicator Baseline	End of Project Indicator Target	Indicator progress for reporting period	Indicator progress since project's start	Reasons for Variance/ Delay (if any)
3. 1						
3. 2						
3. 3						
3. 4						
3. 5						
How many outputs does outcome 3 have?						
1      2      3      4      5      more than 5.						
Please list all outputs for outcome 3						
Output 3.1						
Output 3.2						

Output 3.3

---

Output 3.4

---

Output 3.5

---

Other Outputs

*If Outcome 3 has more than 5 outputs, please enumerate the remaining outputs here*

---

For each output, and using the, project results framework, provide an update on the progress made against all output indicators

» Output 3.1:

3. 1	Output Indicators <i>Describe the indicator</i>	Indicator Baseline <i>State the baseline value of the indicator</i>	End of Project Indicator Target <i>State the target value of the indicator at the end of the project</i>	Indicator progress for reporting period <i>State the current value of the indicator for the reporting period</i>	Indicator progress since project's start <i>State the current cumulative value of the indicator since the start of the project</i>	Reasons for Variance/ Delay (if any) <i>Explain why the indicator is off track or has changed, where relevant</i>
3.1.1						
3.1.2						
3.1.3						
3.1.4						
3.1.5						



» **Output 3.2:**

3. 2	Output Indicators <i>Describe the indicator</i>	Indicator Baseline <i>State the baseline value of the indicator</i>	End of Project Indicator Target <i>State the target value of the indicator at the end of the project</i>	Indicator progress for reporting period <i>State the current value of the indicator for the reporting period</i>	Indicator progress since project's start <i>State the current cumulative value of the indicator since the start of the project</i>	Reasons for Variance/Delay (if any) <i>Explain why the indicator is off track or has changed, where relevant</i>
.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....
3. 2. 1	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....
3. 2. 2	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....
3. 2. 3	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....
3. 2. 4	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....
3. 2. 5	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....

» Output 3.3:

3. 3 .....	Output Indicators <i>Describe the indicator</i> .....	Indicator Baseline <i>State the baseline value of the indicator</i> .....	End of Project Indicator Target <i>State the target value of the indicator at the end of the project</i> .....	Indicator progress for reporting period <i>State the current value of the indicator for the reporting period</i> .....	Indicator progress since project's start <i>State the current cummulative value of the indicator since the start of the project</i> .....	Reasons for Variance/ Delay (if any) <i>Explain why the indicator is off track or has changed, where relevant</i> .....
3. 3. 1 .....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....
3. 3. 2 .....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....
3. 3. 3 .....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....
3. 3. 4 .....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....
3. 3. 5 .....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....

» Output 3.4:

3. 4	Output Indicators <i>Describe the indicator</i>	Indicator Baseline <i>State the baseline value of the indicator</i>	End of Project Indicator Target <i>State the target value of the indicator at the end of the project</i>	Indicator progress for reporting period <i>State the current value of the indicator for the reporting period</i>	Indicator progress since project's start <i>State the current cumulative value of the indicator since the start of the project</i>	Reasons for Variance/ Delay (if any) <i>Explain why the indicator is off track or has changed, where relevant</i>
.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....
3. 4. 1	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....
.....						
3. 4. 2	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....
.....						
3. 4. 3	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....
.....						
3. 4. 4	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....
.....						
3. 4. 5	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....
.....						

» Output 3.5:

3. 5. .....	Output Indicators <i>Describe the indicator</i> .....	Indicator Baseline <i>State the baseline value of the indicator</i> .....	End of Project Indicator Target <i>State the target value of the indicator at the end of the project</i> .....	Indicator progress for reporting period <i>State the current value of the indicator for the reporting period</i> .....	Indicator progress since project's start <i>State the current cummulative value of the indicator since the start of the project</i> .....	Reasons for Variance/ Delay (if any) <i>Explain why the indicator is off track or has changed, where relevant</i> .....
3. 5. 1 .....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....
3. 5. 2 .....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....
3. 5. 3 .....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....
3. 5. 4 .....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....
3. 5. 5 .....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....

» Outcome 4:

O C 4	Outcome Indicator s	Indicator Baseline	End of Project Indicator Target	Indicator progress for reporting period	Indicator progress since project's start	Reasons for Variance/ Delay (if any)
4. 1						
4. 2						
4. 3						
4. 4						
4. 5						
How many outputs does outcome 4 have?						
1      2      3      4      5      more than 5.						
Please list all outputs for outcome 4						
Output 4.1						
Output 4.2						

Output 4.3

---

Output 4.4

---

Output 4.5

---

Other Outputs

*If Outcome 4 has more than 5 outputs, please enumerate the remaining outputs here*

---

For each output, and using the, project results framework, provide an update on the progress made against all output indicators

» Output 4.1:

4. 1	Output Indicators <i>Describe the indicator</i>	Indicator Baseline <i>State the baseline value of the indicator</i>	End of Project Indicator Target <i>State the target value of the indicator at the end of the project</i>	Indicator progress for reporting period <i>State the current value of the indicator for the reporting period</i>	Indicator progress since project's start <i>State the current cumulative value of the indicator since the start of the project</i>	Reasons for Variance/ Delay (if any) <i>Explain why the indicator is off track or has changed, where relevant</i>
4.1.1						
4.1.2						
4.1.3						
4.1.4						
4.1.5						

» Output 4.2:

4. 2	Output Indicators <i>Describe the indicator</i> .....	Indicator Baseline <i>State the baseline value of the indicator</i> .....	End of Project Indicator Target <i>State the target value of the indicator at the end of the project</i> .....	Indicator progress for reporting period <i>State the current value of the indicator for the reporting period</i> .....	Indicator progress since project's start <i>State the current cummulative value of the indicator since the start of the project</i> .....	Reasons for Variance/ Delay (if any) <i>Explain why the indicator is off track or has changed, where relevant</i> .....
4. 2. 1	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....
4. 2. 2	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....
4. 2. 3	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....
4. 2. 4	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....
4. 2. 5	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....



» **Output 4.3:**

4. 3	Output Indicators <i>Describe the indicator</i>	Indicator Baseline <i>State the baseline value of the indicator</i>	End of Project Indicator Target <i>State the target value of the indicator at the end of the project</i>	Indicator progress for reporting period <i>State the current value of the indicator for the reporting period</i>	Indicator progress since project's start <i>State the current cumulative value of the indicator since the start of the project</i>	Reasons for Variance/ Delay (if any) <i>Explain why the indicator is off track or has changed, where relevant</i>
.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....
4. 3. 1	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....
4. 3. 2	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....
4. 3. 3	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....
4. 3. 4	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....
4. 3. 5	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....

» Output 4.4:

4. 4 .....	Output Indicators <i>Describe the indicator</i> .....	Indicator Baseline <i>State the baseline value of the indicator</i> .....	End of Project Indicator Target <i>State the target value of the indicator at the end of the project</i> .....	Indicator progress for reporting period <i>State the current value of the indicator for the reporting period</i> .....	Indicator progress since project's start <i>State the current cummulative value of the indicator since the start of the project</i> .....	Reasons for Variance/ Delay (if any) <i>Explain why the indicator is off track or has changed, where relevant</i> .....
4. 4. 1 .....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....
4. 4. 2 .....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....
4. 4. 3 .....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....
4. 4. 4 .....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....
4. 4. 5 .....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....

## » Output 4.5:

4. 5	Output Indicators <i>Describe the indicator</i>	Indicator Baseline <i>State the baseline value of the indicator</i>	End of Project Indicator Target <i>State the target value of the indicator at the end of the project</i>	Indicator progress for reporting period <i>State the current value of the indicator for the reporting period</i>	Indicator progress since project's start <i>State the current cumulative value of the indicator since the start of the project</i>	Reasons for Variance/ Delay (if any) <i>Explain why the indicator is off track or has changed, where relevant</i>
4. 5. 1						
4. 5. 2						
4. 5. 3						
4. 5. 4						
4. 5. 5						
If the project has more than 4 outcomes, use this space to describe progress on progress on indicators for the remaining outcomes <div></div>						

\*

## PART III: Cross-Cutting Issues

Is the project planning any significant events in the next six months? (eg. national dialogues, youth congresses, film screenings, etc.)

**If yes, please state how many, and for each, provide the approximate date of the event and a brief description, including its key objectives, target audience and location (if known)**

Events	Event Description	Tentative Date	Location	Target Audience	Event Objectives (900 characters)
Event 1	PBF Portfolio annual review technical workshop	December 2025	Bissau	RUNOs & NUNOs Government, CSOs and NGOs.	To report on the results achieved and realign the projects according to the established goals.
Event 2	Development of the PBF Strategic Result Framework (SRF)	January 2026	Bissau	All stakeholders	The SRF will serve as a road map for peacebuilding efforts, fostering coherence, ownership and strategic focus among all stakeholders.
Event 3	PBF joint field mission	February 2026	National	PBF supported projects, regional and local authorities and beneficiaries in general	To monitor the PBF supported interventions and provide technical, operational and strategic and recommendations
Event 4					

## Human Impact

This section is about the human impact of the project. Please state key stakeholders (including but not limited to: Civil Society Organizations, Beneficiaries, etc.) of the project, and for each, please briefly describe:

- i. The challenges/problem they faced prior to the project implementation
- ii. The impact of the project in their lives
- iii. Provide, where possible, a quote or testimonial from a representative of each stakeholder group

*This is an optional question. You may leave it unanswered if not relevant*

Human Impact	Type of stakeholder	What has been the impact of the project on their lives?	Provide, where possible, a quote or testimonial from the stakeholder
1			
2			
3			
4			

In addition to the stakeholder specific impact described above, please use this space to describe any additional human impact that the project has had.

*Please limit your response to 4000 characters.*

You can also upload upto 3 files in various formats (picture files, powerpoint, pdf, video, etc.) to illustrate the human impact of the project

**OPTIONAL**

File 1

**OPTIONAL**

Click here to upload file. (< 10MB)

---

File 2

**OPTIONAL**

Click here to upload file. (< 10MB)

---

File 3

**OPTIONAL**

Click here to upload file. (< 10MB)

---

You can also add upto 3 links to online resources which illustrate the human impact of the project

**OPTIONAL**

---

Link 1

**OPTIONAL**

---

Link 2

**OPTIONAL**

---

Link 3

**OPTIONAL**

---

Please tick the applicable change based on above narrative.

How we worked:

*Please select up to 3.*

- ☐ Enhanced digitization
- ☐ Innovative ways of working
- ☐ Mobilized additional resources
- ☐ Improved or initiated policy frameworks
- ☒ Strengthened capacities
- ☒ Partnered with with local/grassroots Civil Society Organizations
- ☐ Expanding coalitions & galvanizing political will
- ☐ Strengthened partnerships with IFIs
- ☒ Strengthened partnerships with UN Agencies

Please explain one of the selected options

*Please limit your response to 3000 characters.*

**The PBF Secretariat organized targeted technical support sessions to build the capacities of RUNOs and implementing partners. These efforts enhanced partners' ability to implement, monitor, and report on peacebuilding projects effectively.**

Please explain one of the selected options

*Please limit your response to 3000 characters.*

**The PBF Secretariat engaged civil society actors and project teams through joint field missions and technical review meetings, involving them in monitoring, dialogue, and strategic planning processes to ensure community-level perspectives are incorporated for active projects.**

Please explain one of the selected options

*Please limit your response to 3000 characters.*

**The PBF Secretariat facilitated coordination among UN AFPs through quarterly review meetings, fostering information-sharing, joint planning, and alignment of interventions under the PBF portfolio and the UNSDCF.**

Who are we working with \*

- ☐ Strengthened partnerships with IFIs
- ☒ Strengthened partnerships between UN Agencies
- ☒ Partnered with local civil society organizations
- ☒ Partnered with local academia
- ☐ Partnered with sub-national entities
- ☒ Partnered with national entities
- ☐ Partnered with local volunteers

## Please explain

*Please limit your response to 3000 characters*

**Collaboration was reinforced through the Joint Technical Committee and operational coordination efforts, where multiple UN agencies worked together on implementation, reporting, and at PBF portfolio-level oversight. Local CSOs were involved in project review forums and field missions, contributing to project assessments, promoting community engagement, and supporting and contributing on the reflections around the sustainability of peacebuilding efforts. Although limited, initial efforts were made to engage local researchers and institutions in knowledge production and evidence-based planning, particularly around the conflict mapping exercise and development of the Strategic Results Framework. Strong partnerships were built with the Government at the ministerial and technical level, including Foreign Affairs, Economy and Planning, through joint missions, project steering committees, and strategic discussions.**

## Leave No one Behind

Select all beneficiaries targeted with the PBF resources as evidenced by the narrative \*

*Mandatory*

- ☐ Unemployed persons
- ☐ Minorities (e.g. race, ethnicity, linguistic, religion, etc.)
- ☐ Indigenous communities
- ☒ Persons with Disabilities
- ☒ Persons affected by violence (including GBV)
- ☒ Women
- ☒ Youth
- ☐ Children
- ☐ Minorities related to sexual orientation and/or gender identity and expression
- ☒ People living in and around border areas
- ☐ Persons affected by natural disasters
- ☐ Persons affected by armed conflicts
- ☐ Internally displaced persons, refugees or migrants



## PART IV: Monitoring, Evaluation and Compliance

### » Monitoring

Please list key monitoring activities undertaken in the reporting period \*

*Please limit your response to 3000 characters.*

**The main monitoring activity undertaken by the PBF Secretariat in this reporting period was the joint field mission, PBF Secretariat technical mid-year and annual review workshops as well as the JST and JTC meetings.**

Do outcome indicators have baselines? \*

*If only some of the outcome indicators have baselines, select 'yes'*

☒ Yes

☐ No

If yes, please provide a brief description. If not, explain why not and when they will be available. \*

*Please limit your response to 3000 characters.*

**1 quarterly technical review workshop organized, 1 joint field monitoring mission, 1 JST meeting and 1 JTC meeting. And three workshops: 1 on peacebuilding priorities; 1 on ROLSI high-level dialogue; and 1 on climate, peace and security technical dialogue.**

Elaborate on what sources of evidence have been used to report on indicators (and are available upon request) \*

*Please limit your response to 3000 characters.*

**Reporting against indicators has been done based on the data collected before, during and after activities, list of attendance of the meetings, reports of the field visits, reports of the workshops and/or meetings and social media contents.**

Has the project launched outcome level data collection initiatives? e.g. perception surveys \*

**Perception survey is a formal collection of information from a randomly selected sample of respondents through their responses to standardized questions. See PBF Guidance Note for more information [link](#)**

☐ Yes

☒ No

Please provide a brief description \*

*Please limit your response to 3000 characters.*

Has the project used or established community feedback mechanisms? \*

**Community feedback mechanism, or community-based monitoring, is an organized system for communities of participants to monitor the local effects and impact of an intervention. Ideally, this system empowers the community to express whether their expectations are being met and to provide suggestions to decision-makers for possible (re)focusing. See PBF Guidance Note for more information. [link](#)**

☐ Yes

☒ No

Please provide a brief description \*

*Please limit your response to 3000 characters.*

## » Evaluation

Is the project on track to conduct its evaluation? \*

☒ Yes

☐ No

☐ Not Applicable

Evaluation budget (in USD) included in the project budget: \*

*Response required*

125000

If project will end in next six months, is your upcoming evaluation on track?

☒ Yes

☐ No

☐ Not Applicable

Please describe the preparations

*Please limit your response to 3000 characters.*

**All the relevant data and key documentations are kept and the recruitment process of the consultants will be conducted on due time.**

Contact  
information

Name

Organization

Job title

Email

Please mention the focal person responsible for sharing the final evaluation report with the PBF:	Gedeon Behiguim,	PBF	PBF Secretariat Coordinator	gedeon.behiguim@undp.org
---	------------------	-----	-----------------------------	--------------------------

## » Catalytic Effect

<p>Catalytic Effect (financial): Has the project mobilized additional non-PBF financial resources since the project's start? *</p> <p><input type="radio"/> Yes</p> <p><input checked="" type="radio"/> No</p>
<p>How many funders has the project received additional non-PBF funding from <b>since the project started</b>? *</p>
<p>Catalytic Effect (non-financial): Has the project enabled or created a larger or longer-term peacebuilding change to occur, in addition to the direct project changes? Please refer to PBF Catalytic Effect Guidelines for more information. *</p> <p><input type="radio"/> Yes</p> <p><input checked="" type="radio"/> No</p>
<p>If yes, please select the relevant option below: *</p> <p><input type="radio"/> Some catalytic effect</p> <p><input type="radio"/> Significant catalytic effect</p>
<p>If relevant, please describe how the project has had a (non-financial) catalytic effect, i.e. removed barriers to unblock stalled political, institutional or other peacebuilding processes at different levels in a country, and/or created the conditions to establish new processes to do so *</p> <p><i>Please limit your response to 3000 characters.</i></p>

## Sustainability

Please describe any steps that have been taken to ensure the sustainability of peacebuilding gains, including any mechanisms, platforms, networks and socio-economic initiatives supported, beyond the duration of the project

*Please limit your response to 3000 characters.*

**The Joint Steering Committee and the Joint Technical Committee, with a continued-dedicated quarterly technical and strategic reviews; joint field monitoring mission are the mechanisms in place to ensure sustainability beyond the project life cycle.**

Are there any other issues concerning project implementation that you want to share, including any capacity needs of the recipient organizations?

*Please limit your response to 3000 characters.*

## Monitoring and Oversight Activities

Please describe any key event related to monitoring and oversight. Please click next if no activities have yet taken place.

Events include Steering Committee meetings, Monitoring visits, Third party monitoring, Community based monitoring, any data collection, Perception or other survey findings, evaluation reports, audit or investigations.

**Monitoring and oversight activities**

**Name of the Event**

**Summary**

**Key Findings**

<b>Event 1</b> 	<b>Joint field monitoring mission 23 June to 3 July 2025.</b> 	<b>During this two-week mission assessed project progress, identified local needs, and captured community expectations in the regions of Tombali, Quinara, Gabu, Bafata and Bissau. The full mission report was presented during the PBF Secretariat Technical Review Workshop and shared with the Government, RUNOs and NUNOs, and PBF project teams.</b> 	<b>The field visits made it possible to gauge significant progress on several fronts, particularly community involvement, institutional capacity building and the implementation of innovative mediation approaches and access to essential services. However, there are still challenges related to logistical operationalisation, inter-institutional coordination and the sustainability of the interventions after the end of the projects. The timely adoption of the recommendations presented will contribute significantly to achieving the strategic objectives of the projects, consolidating peace and promoting sustainable development in Guinea-Bissau.</b> 
<b>Event 2</b> 	<b>Joint field monitoring mission 23 June to 3 July 2025.</b> <b>Joint Steering Committee meeting held on 11 March 2025</b> 	<b>During this session, the JSC endorsed a no-cost extension request for three joint PBF projects, approved a cost extension for the PBF Secretariat, and expressed support for two new PBF projects under development</b> 	
<b>Event 3</b> 	<b>A JSC for the newly signed project: Strengthening social cohesion in Guinea-Bissau by aligning and integrating religious schools into the national education system.</b> 	<b>This first meeting also served to officially launch the Project.</b> 	

Event 4	The inaugural meeting of the Joint Technical Committee (JTC), held on 18-19 September 2025, represented a key milestone in institutionalizing coordination and strategic alignment between the Government of Guinea-Bissau, the UN system, and development partners.	The meeting validated the JTC's Terms of Reference, confirming its role as the technical arm of the Joint Steering Committee, and expanded its composition to include line ministries, civil society organizations, and the private sector.	This step strengthened inclusivity, continuity, and national ownership, laying the foundation for evidence-based coordination and monitoring across both the UNSDCF and PBF portfolio.
Event 5			
Event 6			
Event 7			
Event 8			
<b>Final Steps</b> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Please save a PDF copy of the form by clicking on the <i>Printer</i> icon on the top right corner of the page.</li> <li>• A dialogue box will appear: Please select the A4 size and portrait orientation.</li> <li>• Click "prepare" and save the document as a PDF.</li> <li>• (If on first attempt, the generated page is not readable, close the pop up page and go back to the first page of the online form using the "Return to Beginning" option and try to print the PDF version from there)</li> <li>• After printing the PDF version, please submit the report in the last page of the form. You can use the "Go to End" button in the bottom right corner.</li> <li>• In compliance with our reporting requirements, <b>please upload the PDF version of the report as well as your financial report in excel format to the MPTF-O Gateway.</b></li> </ul> <p><i>If you encounter any difficulty in filling the form or generating the print-out for MPTFO Gateway, please contact Gabriel Velastegui <a href="mailto:gabriel.velasteguimoya@un.org">gabriel.velasteguimoya@un.org</a></i></p>			
<p>Thank You. You have finished the report. Please Click on the SUBMIT button below. When the report is submitted, a confirmation note will appear on a yellow banner on top of the page. This can take a few seconds.</p>			

