

## Final Narrative Report: Joint Programmes in the Seed Funding Tracks

### INTRODUCTION

**Reporting period: From the start date to the end date of the joint programme (JP).**

- Please be succinct and to the point, focusing on the most important accomplishments and issues that you want to bring to the attention of the Joint SDG Fund at the global level.
- Please do not exceed the word limit for each narrative question.
- Kindly ensure that the information provided is duly reviewed and approved by the RC and all PUNOs involved in the JP.
- Please contact [rena.hinoshita@un.org](mailto:rena.hinoshita@un.org), [maria.berenguer@un.org](mailto:maria.berenguer@un.org), [vittoria.gemelli@un.org](mailto:vittoria.gemelli@un.org), and/or [maya.marquez@un.org](mailto:maya.marquez@un.org) for questions and support.

**Submission deadline from RCOs to the Joint SDG Fund: No later than 2 months from the JP end date via MS Form (<https://forms.office.com/e/zYeyMiXjAv>)**

### PROFILE

JOINT PROGRAMME (JP) PROFILE:	
<b>UN Country Team</b>	Senegal
<b>JP Title</b>	Support for the Operationalization of e-justice in Dakar Suburbs
<b>Funding Round</b> (Select the applicable funding round for the JP)	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Decent Jobs and Universal Social Protection <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Digital Transformation <input type="checkbox"/> Education and Skills <input type="checkbox"/> Energy Access and Affordability <input type="checkbox"/> Food Systems Transformation <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Integrated Policy and Capacity Building <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> SDG Localization
<b>Cross-fertilized SDG Transitions</b> (Select up to 2 main SDG Transitions that are reflected in the JP progress to date)	<input type="checkbox"/> Climate Change, Biodiversity Loss, Pollution <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Decent Jobs and Universal Social Protection <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Digital Transformation <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Education and Skills <input type="checkbox"/> Energy Access and Affordability <input type="checkbox"/> Food Systems Transformation
<b>Contribution to Cooperation Framework Outcomes</b>	<p><b>UNSDCF 2024-2028</b></p> <p>Effect 2: By 2028, social protection systems and basic social services ensure equitable, inclusive, and quality access to the most vulnerable people, especially those living in remote, peri-urban, rural, or border areas. Outcome 2.2: The most vulnerable people increasingly use innovative, digitized, inclusive, resilient, and quality basic social and social protection services.</p> <p>Effect 3: By 2028, inclusive and transparent public policies</p>

	enable populations to be more resilient and to access natural resources and public services equitably. Outcome 3.1: The modernization and digitization of national and local institutions are strengthened.
<b>Actual Start Date</b> (i.e., fund transfer date)	June 2024
<b>Duration</b>	12 months
<b>Actual End Date</b> (i.e., operational closure date)	August 2025
<b>Total Approved Funding from the Joint SDG Fund</b>	USD 250 000
<b>Planned Financial Closure Date</b>	01/01/2026
<b>Fund Management Modality: Administrative Agent (Pass-through Only)</b>	<p>Multi-Partner Trust Fund Office (MPTFO)</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- Mari Matsumoto, Portfolio Manager, <a href="mailto:mari.matsumoto@undp.org">mari.matsumoto@undp.org</a></li> <li>- Sara Ansari, Finance Manager, <a href="mailto:sara.ansari@undp.org">sara.ansari@undp.org</a></li> </ul>
<b>JP TEAM:</b>	
Resident Coordinator	Name: Aminata MAIGA, Email : <a href="mailto:aminata.maiga@un.org">aminata.maiga@un.org</a>
JP's RCO focal point	Name: Mouhammad Moreau Title/Organisation: Communication and Advocacy Analyst/RCO Email: <a href="mailto:mouhammad.moreau@un.org">mouhammad.moreau@un.org</a>
Lead PUNO focal point.	Name: Catherine PHUONG Title/Organisation: Deputy Resident Representative Programme, UNDP Email: <a href="mailto:catherine.phuong@undp.org">catherine.phuong@undp.org</a>
Other PUNOs focal points	Name: Ali Drissa BADIEL Title/Organisation: Area Representative for West Africa, ITU Email: <a href="mailto:alidrissa.badiel@itu.int">alidrissa.badiel@itu.int</a>
	Name: Seynabou DIENG Title/Organisation: Partnership Specialist, UNOPS Email: <a href="mailto:seynaboud@unops.org">seynaboud@unops.org</a>
	Name: Fanta SOW (PHD) Title/Organisation: Programme Officer Governance, Leadership, Political Participation / UN Women Email: <a href="mailto:fanta.sow@unwomen.org">fanta.sow@unwomen.org</a>
JP's designated communications focal point	Name: Mouhammad MOREAU Title: Communication and Advocacy Analyst RCO, Email: <a href="mailto:Mouhammad.moreau@un.org">Mouhammad.moreau@un.org</a>

## OVERVIEW

### 1. Overall self-assessment of the JP achievements.

The primary objective of the Joint SDG Fund's seed funding track is to provide countries with support and resources to incubate and pilot transformative solutions and

approaches (including actions to develop and implement national roadmaps or priorities) that can subsequently lead to accelerating progress across [key SDG Transitions](#) at scale. Towards this objective, please evaluate the performance of the JP.

**1.a. Rate the overall performance of the JP:** Did the JP deliver its key results as planned in the ProDoc?

- Unsatisfactory, Marginal Achievement:** Less than 50% of expected results delivered.
- Satisfactory Achievement:** 50-75% of expected results delivered.
- Very Good, Solid Achievement:** 100% of expected results delivered; implementation completed on schedule.
- Exceptional, Outstanding Achievement:** 100% of expected results delivered ahead of schedule, with additional results or improvements delivered.

**1.b. Incubation and validation of solutions/approaches/roadmaps:** How much results did the JP reach in incubating innovative approaches/national roadmaps and/or piloting transformative solutions during the 12 months?

- Limited Results:** None of planned incubation activities/roadmaps or pilot solutions were rolled out.
- Moderate Results:** Planned incubation activities/roadmaps or pilots began but remain at the early stages or within the limited scope at the time of the JP closure.
- Good Results:** Planned incubation activities/roadmaps and pilot solutions are fully operational and validated.
- Excellent Results:** All planned incubation activities/roadmaps and pilot solutions are completed and validated ahead of schedule, showing promising potential or early sign of scale-up.
- Not Applicable:** The JP did not plan to incubate ideas, roadmaps or conduct pilots during this phase.

**1.c. Sustainability and scalability potential:** To what extent did the JP achieve in establishing pathways for future viability and scale-up of the innovations/solutions beyond the 12-month implementation?

- Marginal Achievement:** A Concrete sustainability or scale-up plan was developed but not operationalized; only preliminary discussions with potential partners/funders took place.
- Moderate Achievement:** Sustainability and scale-up strategies were rolled out; potential scale-up pathways identified; active engagement with potential partners/funders underway.
- Solid Achievement:** Comprehensive sustainability and scale-up strategies achieved a broad uptake; viable pathways for expansion secured; engagement with potential partners/funders deepened and showing promising outcomes.
- Exceptional Achievement:** Robust sustainability and scale-up plans were implemented ahead of schedule; multiple viable scale-up pathways activated; strong commitments from partners/funders obtained; additional opportunities for expansion identified beyond initial plans.

**Not Applicable:** The JP has not yet reached the stage of planning for sustainability and scalability.

## RESULTS OF THE JP

### A. Results-Based Narrative

#### 2. Overall results of the JP (600 words / 1.5 pages max)

Using bullet points, describe the key achievement made by the JP, especially around how it contributed to incubating and piloting new ideas and approaches (including actions to develop and implement national roadmaps/priority areas) to propel the [key SDG Transitions](#). Your response should cover how the JP made changes around 'enabling actions': 1) developing new policy and regulatory frameworks; 2) identifying new pipelines of solutions; 3) strengthened capacities of key stakeholders; 4) enhanced partnerships and financing mixes to ensure sustainability and scale, and other transformative shifts. Please include specific reference to the SDG targets identified in the ProDoc.

(600 words / 1.5 pages max; Please delete examples)

- **Policy and strategic alignment:** The JP was aligned with Senegal's *Digital Justice Master Plan* and *SN2025 roadmap*, reinforcing national priorities and ensuring long-term adoption.
- **Innovative digital solutions:**
  - Five judicial procedures were dematerialized (judicial chains, mail management, e-roles, e-appeals, electronic archiving).
  - An *e-justice* platform was launched in one courthouse, enabling online case requests, complaints, nationality applications, visitor's permits, birth certificates, and electronic payments.
  - A Tier 3-standard mini data centre was established in Guédiawaye (Dakar) to host judicial data securely.
  - Modern connectivity infrastructure, IP telephony, and digital workstations were deployed.
- **Capacity strengthening:**
  - More than 40 judicial actors (judges, prosecutors, clerks, IT staff) trained on the e-justice platform.
  - Six training modules delivered on Judicial digitalization and equitable access to justice, Digital violence and gender-sensitive cybersecurity, Personal data protection and confidentiality, Digital tools in court houses, Ethics and digital governance, Digital identity and legal inclusion for the benefit of 30 agents of local structures (justice houses and shops) in the suburbs of Dakar.
  - Awareness-raising campaigns on e-justice were conducted at the *Palais de Justice*.
- **Partnerships and financing:**
  - Collaboration between court houses and law shops (boutiques de droit) has been integrated into e-justice, improving access to legal resources for citizens.
  - Networks of digital focal points established to ensure peer-to-peer training and ongoing technical support.

- **Contribution to SDGs:**
  - *SDG 5:* Gender-responsive training and communication materials delivered.
  - *SDG 9.c:* Enhanced digital infrastructure and connectivity.
  - *SDG 12 & 13:* Paper use reduced through dematerialized processes.
  - *SDG 16.3:* Access to justice improved through simplified and inclusive procedures.
  - *SDG 16.5:* Substantially reduce corruption and bribery in all their forms.
  - *SDG 16.6:* Develop effective, accountable, and transparent institutions at all levels.
  - *SDG 16.10:* Ensure public access to information and protect fundamental freedoms, in accordance with national legislation and international agreements.

### 3. Constraints, adjustments and lessons (500 words / 1 page max)

In bullet points, please explain any constraints, including risks identified in the ProDoc's Risk Matrix, that were encountered and any adjustments that were made or mitigation actions taken by the JP team to strengthen the relevance and effectiveness of the JP and the coherence and coordination of UN system support.

In describing lessons learned, please be candid about both successes and failures, explaining what was learned from each experience and how these insights can inform future programming or scale-up efforts.

(500 words / 1 page max; Please delete examples)

#### Constraints and Adjustments:

- **Delays:** Equipment procurement and civil works for the data centre slowed implementation. The political transition to the new government after the March 2024 elections delayed the completion of needs assessments in the justice houses. Mitigation included close coordination with the new authorities.
- **Digital literacy gaps:** Uneven skills and confusion between e-Justice and digital identity required tailored training and provision of offline resources.
- **Infrastructure limits:** Unstable internet and electricity affected training delivery; mitigated with paper-based and offline tools.

#### Lessons learned:

- Strong government ownership is essential for sustainability.
- Training must combine technical knowledge with hands-on simulations.
- Political changes require proactive re-engagement with new stakeholders.
- Infrastructure readiness (connectivity, power) should be factored into planning.

#### Insights for Future Programming:

- **Pre-Digital Skills Assessment Necessity:** Comprehensive digital literacy assessments should precede programme implementation to enable more targeted and efficient capacity building interventions.
- **Infrastructure Contingency Planning:** Future programmes must integrate robust contingency plans addressing power and connectivity challenges, including offline alternatives and backup systems.

- **Conceptual Clarity Protocols:** Clear conceptual frameworks and terminology definitions should be established early to prevent confusion and ensure consistent understanding among all stakeholders.
- **Political Continuity Strategies:** Developing relationships across political transitions and creating institutional memory mechanisms can help maintain programme momentum despite governmental changes.

These lessons emphasize the importance of adaptive management, inclusive design, and robust contingency planning in digital transformation initiatives, particularly in contexts with varying technical capacities and infrastructure challenges.

#### 4. Scale up and pathways for transformative systems change (Select all that apply)

Please select all relevant pathways that the JP applied with the aim of scaling up systematic transformation:

**Capacity-building & local ownership:** Strengthening capacity of individuals, local institutions and communities to independently implement and sustain activities; or transferring ownership and management to local organizations for sustained impact.

**Cross-sectoral collaboration & partnerships:** Partnering with diverse stakeholder groups to address interconnected issues and amplify impact; engaging with private and public sector to replicate successful programme components; or institutionalize participatory governance mechanisms to ensure inclusivity and intensify effectiveness.

**Policy integration & mainstreaming:** Incorporating successful programme elements into national or local policies and regulations to ensure wider adoption and impact; or integrating programme components into existing systems and structures for wider reach, efficiency and sustainability.

**Resource mobilization & financial sustainability:** Developing innovative financing mechanisms and attracting new donors/investors to ensure financial sustainability and expansion; or influencing existing financing mechanisms or diversifying resource streams to support long-term programme viability and growth.

**People-centred technology adoption & innovation:** Leveraging technology and solutions to increase reach, efficiency, impact of activities; or using technology and innovation to enhance cross-sectoral collaboration and scale-up of impact.

**Others.** Please specify: \_\_\_\_\_

#### 5. Measures taken with a focus on sustainability (500 words / 1 page max)

In bullet points, please elaborate how the JP has ensured the mid- to long-term sustainability and scalability of the JP results beyond its 12-month implementation period. Your response should focus on 1) measures taken to ensure financial, institutional, and community-level sustainability of the JP outcomes, 2) [enabling](#)

actions' that supported sustainability and ownership, 3) the exit strategy that facilitated the scalability and replication of the successful JP interventions.

(500 words / 1 page max; Please delete examples)

- **Institutional:** Integration into the *SN2025 roadmap* and “New Technological Deal,” with ministry ownership and budget lines.
- **Community-level:** Deployment of reliable equipment in peri-urban courts and justice houses, especially in underserved areas.
- **Capacity-building:** Standardized training modules, refresher sessions, and digital focal points for ongoing technical support.
- **Scale-up:** Toolkits, user manuals, and certified trainers developed to replicate solutions nationwide.

The comprehensive sustainability framework ensures that digital justice innovations become an integral part of institutional operations while building local capacity for independent management and scaling. This approach transforms the programme from an external intervention into an essential component of national justice system transformation, creating a lasting impact that extends well beyond the initial implementation period.

## B. Indicator-Based Performance Update

This section is based upon the JP results framework and workplan.

### 6. The JP results framework (Upload Excel)

Please assess the JP performance against the indicators identified in the ProDoc's Annex 1: Integrated results framework, namely 1) JP outputs and 2) JP contribution to Joint SDG Fund global indicators.

### 7. Key documents produced by the JP (Upload max. 10 files if available)

Please feel free to share up to 10 key documents, including, but not limited to, policy briefs, strategy papers, guidance notes, knowledge products, and training materials that the JP would like to bring to the attention of the Joint SDG Fund at the global level. File types can be Word, Excel, PowerPoint, PDF, image, video, and audio, but each file size must be below 1GB.

### 8. Number of people directly benefited by the JP

Direct beneficiaries are individuals, groups or organizations who actively participate in JP activities and directly benefit from its interventions, such as civil servants attending workshops, small business owners receiving training, or households accessing services provided by the JP. It is important to disaggregate the primary beneficiaries by sex and age as per table below when possible.

Type of engagement	Total Number of People	Number of Women and Girls*	Number of Children (ages of 0-14) *	Number of Youth (ages 15–24)*
Training/workshops for targeted groups	70 (40 judicial actors+30 agents of Court houses and Boutiques de Droit)	35	0	5
Awareness raising campaign for broad audience	-	-	-	-
Dialogues/consultations with relevant stakeholders	150	70	-	10
Coordination meetings with key partners	20	8	-	-
Access to expanded services	-	-	-	-
Others (Please specify):	-	-	-	-

*\* Note that it is allowed to have overlaps in the reporting of women/girls and children/youth columns.*

## 9. Contributions to marginalized and vulnerable groups (250 words / 0.5 page max)

In bullet points, please provide a summary of how the JP benefited the marginalized and vulnerable groups identified in the ProDoc. Include results achieved at both institutional/policy and local/human levels. Please find the 20 standard LNOB groups in footnote 28 on page 17 of the UNSDG Output Indicator Framework's [Implementation Guide](#).

(250 words / 0.5 page max; Please delete examples)

- **Women and girls:** Improved access to e-justice procedures; gender-sensitive training and awareness campaigns on cybersecurity and digital identity.
- **Youth and vulnerable communities in Dakar suburbs:** Enhanced access to e-justice services in Dakar suburbs, reducing barriers of distance and cost.
- **Low digital literacy groups:** Tailored training and offline resources to ensure inclusion.
- **Judicial staff in vulnerable areas of Dakar:** Training on ethics, governance, and digital tools to deliver inclusive justice.

## 10. Financial resources mobilized/leveraged

Please indicate the financial resources (in USD) mobilized or leveraged through the JP. Please clarify the source of funding/financing and the source type, such as PUNOs and

Government contributions, public-private partnerships, private sector investments, and financial commitments from IFIs, DFIs and other donors.

Source of Funding/Financing	Type of Source (e.g. PUNO, PPP, DFI, donor, etc.)	Expected amount planned in the ProDoc	Actual amount mobilized as initially planned in the ProDoc	Additional amount newly mobilized beyond the ProDoc expectation
UNDP		\$235 000	\$ 235 000	\$
UNOPS		\$ 30 000	\$ 30 000	\$
UNWOMEN		\$ 50 000	\$ 50 000	\$
ITU		\$30 000	\$30 000 (In-kind)	

## 11. Cross-cutting issues and principles of leaving no one behind (LNOB)

For each question, select the relevant response. If yes, please list 1-2 examples of actions taken/results delivered, and if no, please provide a brief 1-2 sentence explanation in the table.

Question	Yes	No	Comment
The JP has adequately implemented environmental and social standards/safeguards to avoid and address adverse impacts on people and the planet.	X		Eliminated paper usage, improving service delivery while reducing environmental impact.
The JP has developed or operationalized a strategy to address human rights issues, undertaking and drawing upon relevant human rights analysis.	X		Improved citizens' right to timely access to justice services.
The JP has developed or operationalized a strategy to address structural inequalities facing women and girls.	X		Capacity-building for support structures assisting victims of gender-based violence.
The JP has demonstrated positive results/effects for youth in accordance with the principles in Youth 2030.	X		Inclusive e-Justice platform designed for universal access, facilitating youth engagement with the justice system.
The JP has developed or operationalized a strategy to address structural inequalities facing marginalized and vulnerable persons in any other LNOB groups. Please specify which groups: _____	X		Platforms accessible to all groups without restriction.

### C. Strategic Communications and Partnership Update

## 12. Strategic communications

Please provide the links to written articles published by the JP, or the draft outline of a written article to be finalized in the coming weeks. Examples of human-interest stories, practical guidance on storytelling, other useful tools to produce impactful written articles can be found in the Joint SDG Fund's [Communications Guideline for Seed Funding Tracks](#) on [UNSDG Knowledge Portal](#) (NB: PUNOs may need to request access first).

**List of links to written articles of human-interest stories:**

- [https://www.linkedin.com/posts/ibrahimaamadouniang\\_justicenumaezrique-gouvernance-transformationdigitale-ugcPost-7351624135023693826-xz3I?utm\\_source=share&utm\\_medium=member\\_desktop&rcm=ACoAAAqXPWYBvKe5Zfp59sDK-GXoGY-Uz3Redcg](https://www.linkedin.com/posts/ibrahimaamadouniang_justicenumaezrique-gouvernance-transformationdigitale-ugcPost-7351624135023693826-xz3I?utm_source=share&utm_medium=member_desktop&rcm=ACoAAAqXPWYBvKe5Zfp59sDK-GXoGY-Uz3Redcg)
- [https://www.linkedin.com/posts/pnud-s%C3%A9n%C3%A9gal\\_ejustice-innovation-gouvernancedigitale-ugcPost-7301261144751550465-zV2s?utm\\_source=share&utm\\_medium=member\\_desktop&rcm=ACoAAAqXPWYBvKe5Zfp59sDK-GXoGY-Uz3Redcg](https://www.linkedin.com/posts/pnud-s%C3%A9n%C3%A9gal_ejustice-innovation-gouvernancedigitale-ugcPost-7301261144751550465-zV2s?utm_source=share&utm_medium=member_desktop&rcm=ACoAAAqXPWYBvKe5Zfp59sDK-GXoGY-Uz3Redcg)
- <https://www.un.org/fr/information-center-dakar/le-projet-pilote-d%E2%80%99e-justice-dans-la-banlieue-de-dakar-progresse-avec-l>

**Draft outline of a written article of a human-interest story** (500 words / 1 page max)

"When the judicial platform has reached cruising speed, Senegalese will be able to request essential documents from their homes," explained Mr. Ousseynou Gueye, Director of Dematerialization and Automation at the Ministry of Justice, during a guided tour for UN officials. "This is precisely what President Bassirou Diomaye Faye means by the dematerialization of judicial procedures."

**13. Strategic partnerships (Select all that apply)**

What type of partnerships established during the JP made important contribution to the JP objectives?

National Government Institutions (Please specify): **Ministry of Justice, Direction of Community Justice and Access to Law Promotion, Direction of Dematerialization and Automation**

Sub-national Government Institutions (Please specify): \_\_\_\_\_

International Financial Institutions & Development Finance Institutions (Please specify): \_\_\_\_\_

Private Sector Companies or Industry Associations (Please specify): \_\_\_\_\_

Civil Society Organizations, Philanthropic Organizations and Foundation (Please specify): **Associations of Women Lawyers of Senegal (AJS)**

Academic/Research Institutions (Please specify): \_\_\_\_\_

Bilateral Development Partners (Please specify): \_\_\_\_\_

Labour Unions or Organizations (Please specify): \_\_\_\_\_

Others (Please specify): \_\_\_\_\_

## ANNEX: SDG Localization Marker Survey

**ONLY for the JPs with SDG Localization's Marker 3 to respond.**

- 1) Please report on the SDG Localization monitoring indicators below. If an indicator is not applicable to your joint programme kindly state NA and briefly justify in the “Means of Verification” column.

Indicator	Baseline (year)	Target (year)	Evidence or means of verification
Number and type of local and regional governments and relevant local development stakeholders actively engaged in the programme design.			
Number and type of local and regional governments and relevant local development stakeholders with clear roles and responsibilities within the programme.			
Number and type of persons or representative organizations involved in activities developed with the primary aim of enhancing local populations’ ownership and inclusion in sustainable development processes at the subnational level, led by local development stakeholders.			
Number and type of specific events, publications, or training materials aimed primarily at building capacity for local sustainable development/SDG localization in local development stakeholders.			
Number and type/description of specific coherent policies, regulations, and/or tools developed to enhance SDG localization (Disaggregated on policies, regulations, or tools focused on cross-sectoral or cross-level policy coherence).			
Number and type/description of mechanisms or activities developed to improve the transparent and accountable financing of SDG localization.			
Number and type of relevant initiatives/processes that the projects contribute to reach large scale, transformative impact.			
Percentage of programmatic expenditures allocated explicitly to activities that directly impact local sustainable development processes and systems (e.g. food systems value chains, local health or education systems, energy and water management, local economic development, local climate action, multilevel governance) embedded in local SDG-related priorities which are expressed in local strategies.			

<b>Number and type of local financial tools/instruments developed or strengthened to support SDG implementation at local level.</b>			
<b>Total amount (in USD) of additional public and private sector resources mobilized for local SDG implementation at the local level.</b>			
<b>Percentage of results contributing to SDG localization that have met their preplanned target/milestones.</b>			
<b>Number of local and regional governments and/or local service providers integrating aspects of policy coherence for sustainable development into their monitoring and reporting processes.</b>			

2) What action areas has your JP prioritized since launch to advance the SDGs at the local level? (Select all that apply)

- Empowering local authorities and communities (e.g. capacity building, leadership development, technical support)
- Facilitating multi-stakeholder engagement (e.g. participatory planning processes, community consultations)
- Strengthening multi-level governance (e.g. vertical coordination, central-local policy alignment)
- Localizing SDG financing (e.g. municipal finance mechanisms, local resource mobilization, local SDG budgeting)
- Enhancing local data systems (e.g. disaggregated data collection, community-based monitoring)
- Expanding social service access (e.g. last-mile delivery, integrated service provision)
- Others (please specify): \_\_\_\_\_

3) To what extent has funding from the joint programme budget be directly channelled or mobilized to local-level actors (e.g. local governments, local community organizations, local service providers) to support SDG Localization efforts in the reporting period?

- 0% i.e. no resource has been directed to local-level actors.
- 1-24% of total programme funding directed to local-level actors.
- 25-49% of total programme funding directed to local-level actors.
- 50-74% of total programme funding directed to local-level actors.
- 75-100% of total programme funding directed to local-level actors.

4) Please describe how the territorial or localization approach is applied in your joint programme. Include the following key aspects into your response.

- Alignment between joint programme and local development plans and integration of results in local processes, frameworks and SDG monitoring system.

- Role of subnational-level (local and regional) governments and other key local institutions/stakeholders in the implementation of the joint programme.
- Description on how capacities and innovation of local stakeholders for integrating SDG and multi-actor collaboration are identified and reinforced.

(500 words / 1 page max)

- **Alignment:** Fully consistent with Senegal’s Justice Digital Transformation Roadmap (2023–2027) and integrated into national justice sector strategies.
- **Local role:** Subnational courts, justice houses, and shops acted as key service delivery points. Guédiawaye/Dakar Courthouse served as the primary pilot site.
- **Capacity and innovation:** Digital infrastructure and training enabled local legal professionals and administrators to deliver digitalized services, localizing *SDG 16* at the local level.

**- END -**