

PBF November 2025 Project Progress Report



PROJECT OVERVIEW

Thank you for taking the time to complete the PBF Progress report. For projects with more than one recipient, please consult among co-recipients prior to filling out the form to ensure collaboration on the responses. If you have any questions or require technical assistance in filling out the form, please send an email to gabriel.velasteguimoya@un.org

Click Next below to start

» Report Submission

Type of report *

- Semi-annual
- Annual
- Final
- Other

Date of submission of report *

2026-01-09

Name and title of person submitting the report *

Christian Eduardo Menin

E-mail of person submitting the report *

christian.menin@undp.org

Name and title of person who approved the report *

Carlos Dinis, UNDP Deputy Representative Program

Have all fund recipients for this project contributed to the report? *

Yes
 No

Did PBF Secretariat or RCO focal point review the report? *

You should normally ensure that the PBF Secretariat or the PBF focal point have an opportunity to review.

Yes
 No
 Not Applicable

» Project Information and Geographical Scope

Is this a cross-border project? *

Yes No

Please select the geographical region in which the project is implemented

<input type="radio"/> Asia and the Pacific	<input type="radio"/> Central & Southern Africa	<input type="radio"/> East Africa
<input type="radio"/> Europe and Central Asia	<input type="radio"/> Global	<input type="radio"/> Latin America and the Caribbean
<input type="radio"/> Middle East and North Africa	<input checked="" type="radio"/> West Africa	

Country of project implementation

<input type="radio"/> Benin	<input type="radio"/> Burkina Faso	<input type="radio"/> Cote D'Ivoire
<input type="radio"/> Gambia	<input type="radio"/> Ghana	<input type="radio"/> Guinea
<input checked="" type="radio"/> Guinea-Bissau	<input type="radio"/> Liberia	<input type="radio"/> Mali
<input type="radio"/> Mauritania	<input type="radio"/> Niger	<input type="radio"/> Nigeria
<input type="radio"/> Senegal	<input type="radio"/> Sierra Leone	<input type="radio"/> Togo
<input type="radio"/> Other, Specify		

Other, please specify

Project Title

- 00130107: Creating safe and empowering public spaces with women to mitigate climate-security risks and sustain peace in Guinea-Bissau
- 00129698: Enhancing the human rights protection system in Guinea-Bissau
- 00129743: Inclusive Peaceful Land Management in OIO, CACHEU and BIOMBO regions
- 00119912: Political Stabilization and Reform through Confidence Building and Inclusive Dialogue
- 00119443: Secretariat Project: Support to project coordination and monitoring of the United Nations Peacebuilding Fund (PBF) Projects in Guinea-Bissau
- 00119444: Strengthening the justice and security sector response to drug trafficking and transnational organized crime to reduce insecurity in Guinea-Bissau
- 00134097: Prevention of Natural Resources Conflicts related to Pastoralism and Transhumance in Bafata and Gabu Regions
- 00140008: Strengthening social cohesion through promoting inclusive and effective public health sector governance, management, and administration
- 00140108: Inclusive policies and institutions for a peaceful society: strengthening the social fabric and fostering youth meaningful participation in decision-making in Guinea Bissau
- 00140581: Peaceful Natural Resources Management in the Koliba-Corubal Basin
- 00141181: Strengthening social cohesion in Guinea-Bissau by aligning and integrating religious schools with the national education system
- Other, Specify

Write the 8 digit MPTFO number and Project Title exactly as it appears in the Project Document

EXAMPLE: 00118938: Community-based prevention of violence and social cohesion using innovation for young people in displaced and host communities

Please select the geographical region(s) in which the project is implemented

If the project you are looking for does not appear in the following question, please make sure that you have selected the correct regions. A limited number of cross border projects span multiple geographic regions. For example, a cross border project between Niger and Chad spans both West Africa and Central & Southern Africa

<input type="checkbox"/> Asia and the Pacific	<input type="checkbox"/> Central & Southern Africa	<input type="checkbox"/> East Africa
<input type="checkbox"/> Europe and Central Asia	<input type="checkbox"/> Global	<input type="checkbox"/> Latin America and the Caribbean
<input type="checkbox"/> Middle East and North Africa	<input type="checkbox"/> West Africa	

Please select the title of the project for which you are submitting the report

Write the 8 digit MPTFO numbers and Project Title exactly as it appears in the Project Document

EXAMPLE: 00129699/700: Supporting Cross-Border Cooperation for Increased Community Resilience and Social Cohesion in The Gambia and Senegal

Please select the countries where this project is being implemented

Other, Please specify

Project Start Date (Date of first transfer)

2021-12-15

Project End Date

2025-06-14

Has this project received an extension?

- YES, Cost Extension
- YES, No Cost Extension
- YES, Both Cost and No Cost Extensions
- NO, No Extensions

Will this project be requesting an extension? *

- YES, Cost Extension
- YES, No Cost Extension
- YES, Both Cost and No Cost Extensions
- NO, No Extensions

Will this project be submitting a Fund Transfer Request (FTR) in the next six months? *

- Yes
- No

If so, around which month do you expect to submit the request? *

<input type="radio"/> January	<input type="radio"/> February	<input type="radio"/> March
<input type="radio"/> April	<input type="radio"/> May	<input type="radio"/> June
<input type="radio"/> July	<input type="radio"/> August	<input type="radio"/> September
<input type="radio"/> October	<input type="radio"/> November	<input type="radio"/> December

Is the current project end date within 6 months? *

- Yes
- No

Is funding disbursed either into a national or regional trust fund? *

- Yes
- No

If yes, please select which *

- National Trust Fund
- Regional Trust Fund

Recipients

Is the convening agency a UN agency or a non UN entity? *

- UN entity
- Non-UN Entity

Please select the convening agency recipient *

- UNDP: United Nations Development Programme IOM: International Organization for Migration
- UNICEF: United Nations Children's Fund
- OHCHR: Office of the United Nations High Commissioner for Human Rights
- UNWOMEN: United Nations Entity for Gender Equality and the Empowerment of Women
- UNHCR: United Nations High Commissioner for Refugees UNFPA: United Nations Population Fund
- FAO: Food and Agriculture Organization WFP: World Food Programme
- UNHABITAT: United Nations Human Settlements Programme
- UNESCO: United Nations Educational, Scientific and Cultural Organization
- UNEP: United Nations Environment Programme ILO: International Labour Organization
- WHO: World Health Organization PAHO/WHO
- UNCDF: United Nations Capital Development Fund UNODC: United Nations Office on Drugs and Crime
- UNOPS: United Nations Office for Project Services
- UNIDO: United Nations Industrial Development Organization ITC: International Trade Centre
- UNDPO Other, Specify

Other, Please specify *

Are there other recipients for this project? *

- No other recipients
- Yes, other UN recipients only
- Yes, other non-UN recipients only
- Yes, both UN and non-UN recipients

Please select other UN recipients *

Select all that apply

<input type="checkbox"/> UNDP: United Nations Development Programme	<input type="checkbox"/> IOM: International Organization for Migration
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> UNICEF: United Nations Children's Fund	
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> OHCHR: Office of the United Nations High Commissioner for Human Rights	
<input type="checkbox"/> UNWOMEN: United Nations Entity for Gender Equality and the Empowerment of Women	
<input type="checkbox"/> UNHCR: United Nations High Commissioner for Refugees	<input type="checkbox"/> UNFPA: United Nations Population Fund
<input type="checkbox"/> FAO: Food and Agriculture Organization	<input type="checkbox"/> WFP: World Food Programme
<input type="checkbox"/> UNHABITAT: United Nations Human Settlements Programme	
<input type="checkbox"/> UNESCO: United Nations Educational, Scientific and Cultural Organization	
<input type="checkbox"/> UNEP: United Nations Environment Programme	<input type="checkbox"/> ILO: International Labour Organization
<input type="checkbox"/> WHO: World Health Organization	<input type="checkbox"/> PAHO/WHO
<input type="checkbox"/> UNCDF: United Nations Capital Development Fund	<input type="checkbox"/> UNODC: United Nations Office on Drugs and Crime
<input type="checkbox"/> UNOPS: United Nations Office for Project Services	
<input type="checkbox"/> UNIDO: United Nations Industrial Development Organization	<input type="checkbox"/> ITC: International Trade Centre
<input type="checkbox"/> UN Department of Peace Operations	<input type="checkbox"/> Other, Specify

Other, Please specify *

Please select other non-UN recipients

*

<input type="checkbox"/> ACTED	<input type="checkbox"/> Action Aid UK	<input type="checkbox"/> AAITG (ActionAid the Gambia)
<input type="checkbox"/> AEDE	<input type="checkbox"/> African Centre for the Constructive Resolution of Disputes (ACCORD)	
Agence de Coopération et de Recherche pour le Développement (ACORD)		
<input type="checkbox"/> American Friends Service Committee (AFSC)	<input type="checkbox"/> Avocats Sans Frontières	
<input type="checkbox"/> Avocats Sans Frontières Belgium	<input type="checkbox"/> Avocats sans frontières Canada	<input type="checkbox"/> Ayuda en Accion
<input type="checkbox"/> BIRN - Balkan Investigative Reporting Network	<input type="checkbox"/> BIOM -Youth Ecological Movemen	
<input type="checkbox"/> CARE International UK	<input type="checkbox"/> Centre d'étude et de coopération internationale (CECI) - BF	
<input type="checkbox"/> Christian Aid Ireland	<input type="checkbox"/> COIPRODEN	<input type="checkbox"/> Concern Worldwide
<input type="checkbox"/> Conexion Guatemala	<input type="checkbox"/> COOPI - Cooperazione Internazionale	<input type="checkbox"/> CORD Burundi
<input type="checkbox"/> CORDAID	<input type="checkbox"/> Corporacion Sisma Mujer	<input type="checkbox"/> CRS - Catholic Relief Services
<input type="checkbox"/> DanChurchAid	<input type="checkbox"/> Danish Refugee Council	<input type="checkbox"/> EQUITAS
<input type="checkbox"/> Fund for Congolese Women	<input type="checkbox"/> Fundacion Estudios Superior (FESU)	<input type="checkbox"/> Fundación Mi Sangre (FMS)
<input type="checkbox"/> Fundación Nacional para el Desarrollo de Honduras (FUNADEH)	<input type="checkbox"/> Fundación para la Libertad de Prensa (FLIP)	
<input type="checkbox"/> Geneva Centre for Security Sector Governance (DCAF)	<input type="checkbox"/> HELVETAS Swiss Intercooperation	
<input type="checkbox"/> Humanity & Inclusion (HI)	<input type="checkbox"/> ICTJ (International Center for Transitional Justice)	
<input type="checkbox"/> Instituto Holandes para Democracia Multipartidaria (NIMD)	<input type="checkbox"/> Integrity Watch	
<input type="checkbox"/> International Alert	<input type="checkbox"/> International Rescue Committee	<input type="checkbox"/> Interpeace
<input type="checkbox"/> Kvinna till Kvinna Foundation	<input type="checkbox"/> Life and Peace Institute (LPI)	
MDG-EISA - Institut Electoral pour une Démocratie Durable en Afrique (EISA), bureau de Madagascar		
<input type="checkbox"/> Mercy Corps	<input type="checkbox"/> MLAL - ProgettoMondo	<input type="checkbox"/> MSIS-TATAO
<input type="checkbox"/> NIMD (Netherlands Institute for Multiparty Democracy)	<input type="checkbox"/> Nonviolent Peaceforce	
<input type="checkbox"/> Norwegian Refugee Council (NRC)	<input type="checkbox"/> Nile Sustainable Development Organization - NSDO	
<input type="checkbox"/> OCNH-Organisation des Citoyens pour une Nouvelle Haïti	<input type="checkbox"/> OIKOS	
<input type="checkbox"/> ONG Adkoul - ONG Adkoul	<input type="checkbox"/> ONG AZHAR	<input type="checkbox"/> OXFAM
<input type="checkbox"/> Peace Direct	<input type="checkbox"/> Plan International	<input type="checkbox"/> PNG UN Country Fund
<input type="checkbox"/> Red de Instituciones por los Derechos de la Niñez	<input type="checkbox"/> ROI - Roza Otunbayeva Initiati	
<input type="checkbox"/> Saferworld	<input type="checkbox"/> Sampan'Asa Momba ny Fampandrosoana (SAF/FJKM)	
<input type="checkbox"/> Save the Children	<input type="checkbox"/> Search for Common Ground (SFCG)	
<input type="checkbox"/> SIHA (Strategic Initiative for Women in the Horn of Africa)	<input type="checkbox"/> SismaMujer	
<input type="checkbox"/> SOS Sahel Sudan	<input type="checkbox"/> Stichting Impunity Watch	<input type="checkbox"/> Tearfund
<input type="checkbox"/> The Carter Center, Inc.	<input type="checkbox"/> Trocaire	<input type="checkbox"/> War Child
<input type="checkbox"/> War Childhood Museum (WCM)	<input type="checkbox"/> World Vision International	<input type="checkbox"/> World Vision Myanmar
<input type="checkbox"/> ZOA	<input type="checkbox"/> blank_placeholder	<input type="checkbox"/> Other, Please specify

Other, Please specify

*

Implementing Partners

To how many implementing partners has the project transferred money **since the project's start**?

18

To how many implementing partners has the project transferred money **during this calendar period**?

*(for June reports: January-June;
for November reports: January-December (anticipated);
for final reports: full project duration)*

18

Please list all of the project's implementing partners and the amounts (in USD) transferred to each, both since the project's start, and specifically during this calendar period

Please select the type of organisation which best describes the type of implementing partner *

- National youth CSO
- National women's CSO
- Other National CSO
- Subnational youth CSO
- Subnational women's CSO
- Other subnational CSO
- Regional CSO
- Regional Organisation
- International NGO
- Governmental entity
- National women's and youth CSO
- Subnational women's and youth CSO
- Other

Other, Please specify

What is the name of the Implementing Partner *

University Network for Human Rights

What is the planned total amount (in USD) for the **overall duration of the project** to be disbursed to this implementing partner?

Please use a dot (.) as decimal separator, instead of a comma (,)

116092

What is the total amount (in USD) disbursed to the implementing partner **since the project's start?** *

Please use a dot (.) as decimal separator, instead of a comma (,)

116092

What is the total amount (in USD) disbursed to the implementing partner **during this calendar period?** *

Please use a dot (.) as decimal separator, instead of a comma (,)

43442

Briefly describe the main activities carried out by the Implementing Partner during this calendar period *

Please limit your response to 1500 characters

The University Network for Human Rights has developed a mentorship program for human rights defenders and activists in Guinea-Bissau. The objective of the programme is further to develop the capacity of national human rights actors to use the UN and the African Union human rights systems to advocate for positive change in Guinea-Bissau and to promote accountability.

2

Please list all of the project's implementing partners and the amounts (in USD) transferred to each, both since the project's start, and specifically during this calendar period

Please select the type of organisation which best describes the type of implementing partner *

- National youth CSO
- National women's CSO
- Other National CSO
- Subnational youth CSO
- Subnational women's CSO
- Other subnational CSO
- Regional CSO
- Regional Organisation
- International NGO
- Governmental entity
- National women's and youth CSO
- Subnational women's and youth CSO
- Other

Other, Please specify

What is the name of the Implementing Partner *

Institute for women and Children (IMC)

What is the planned total amount (in USD) for the **overall duration of the project** to be disbursed to this implementing partner? *

Please use a dot (.) as decimal separator, instead of a comma (,)

147527,04

What is the total amount (in USD) disbursed to the implementing partner **since the project's start?** *

Please use a dot (.) as decimal separator, instead of a comma (,)

68763,52

What is the total amount (in USD) disbursed to the implementing partner **during this calendar period?** *

Please use a dot (.) as decimal separator, instead of a comma (,)

78676,64

Briefly describe the main activities carried out by the Implementing Partner during this calendar period *

Please limit your response to 1500 characters

The Institute for Women and Children (IMC) led key interventions to address violence against children across Guinea-Bissau. IMC trained government and civil society actors in data collection using Kobo Collect, enabling national-level reporting on child abuse, exploitation, and neglect. A consultancy supported the creation of a case management system, strengthened over 40 protection actors' capacities, and established a national expert group to lead future trainings. IMC reintegrated 250 talibé children repatriated from Senegal and conducted awareness campaigns on child rights and the CRC. A digital incident reporting system using tablets was developed and adopted by state and civil society actors to track violence, including GBV, child marriage, and FGM. During the project, 2,209 cases were documented—1,090 girls, 1,120 boys, and 101 children with disabilities. Bissau and Gabu alone recorded 1,800 cases. Among the most severe, 465 cases involved trafficking (mostly boys), and 668 involved lack of child support. Children aged 6–14 were most affected. Services provided included legal, health, and psychosocial support. Following a government ban on street begging, IMC assessed the living conditions of talibé children and, with CSOs, supported 500 with medical aid, hygiene kits, and reintegration assistance.

Please list all of the project's implementing partners and the amounts (in USD) transferred to each, both since the project's start, and specifically during this calendar period

Please select the type of organisation which best describes the type of implementing partner *

- National youth CSO
- National women's CSO
- Other National CSO
- Subnational youth CSO
- Subnational women's CSO
- Other subnational CSO
- Regional CSO
- Regional Organisation
- International NGO
- Governmental entity
- National women's and youth CSO
- Subnational women's and youth CSO
- Other

Other, Please specify

What is the name of the Implementing Partner *

Bondemi

What is the planned total amount (in USD) for the **overall duration of the project** to be disbursed to this implementing partner?

Please use a dot (.) as decimal separator, instead of a comma (,)

37350

What is the total amount (in USD) disbursed to the implementing partner **since the project's start?** *

Please use a dot (.) as decimal separator, instead of a comma (,)

37350

What is the total amount (in USD) disbursed to the implementing partner **during this calendar period?** *

Please use a dot (.) as decimal separator, instead of a comma (,)

37350

Briefly describe the main activities carried out by the Implementing Partner during this calendar period *

Please limit your response to 1500 characters

Bondemi developed a hybrid training in basic computing, combining asynchronous and synchronous modalities with face-to-face tutoring. The training was designed for 25 representatives of civil society, including 10 women, and was done in one week. The goal was to improve the capacities of CSOs actors to use digital tools to promote and protect human rights. They also created an offline human rights course tailored to the Bissau-Guinean context.

4

Please list all of the project's implementing partners and the amounts (in USD) transferred to each, both since the project's start, and specifically during this calendar period

Please select the type of organisation which best describes the type of implementing partner *

- National youth CSO
- National women's CSO
- Other National CSO
- Subnational youth CSO
- Subnational women's CSO
- Other subnational CSO
- Regional CSO
- Regional Organisation
- International NGO
- Governmental entity
- National women's and youth CSO
- Subnational women's and youth CSO
- Other

Other, Please specify

What is the name of the Implementing Partner *

Voz di Paz

What is the planned total amount (in USD) for the **overall duration of the project** to be disbursed to this implementing partner? *

Please use a dot (.) as decimal separator, instead of a comma (,)

23000

What is the total amount (in USD) disbursed to the implementing partner **since the project's start?** *

Please use a dot (.) as decimal separator, instead of a comma (,)

23000

What is the total amount (in USD) disbursed to the implementing partner **during this calendar period?** *

Please use a dot (.) as decimal separator, instead of a comma (,)

23000

Briefly describe the main activities carried out by the Implementing Partner during this calendar period *

Please limit your response to 1500 characters

The collaboration with Voz di Paz, a national NGO, has centered on developing an Early Warning System (EWS) to detect human rights violations. In 2025, the project facilitated a partnership between the Centre for Access to Justice (CAJ) and Voz di Paz, ensuring national institutional involvement in mediation and conciliation processes. Additionally, the project team supported Voz di Paz in strengthening the capacity of the Regional Spaces for Dialogue (ERDs) to integrate a human rights perspective into their work

Please list all of the project's implementing partners and the amounts (in USD) transferred to each, both since the project's start, and specifically during this calendar period

Please select the type of organisation which best describes the type of implementing partner *

- National youth CSO
- National women's CSO
- Other National CSO
- Subnational youth CSO
- Subnational women's CSO
- Other subnational CSO
- Regional CSO
- Regional Organisation
- International NGO
- Governmental entity
- National women's and youth CSO
- Subnational women's and youth CSO
- Other

Other, Please specify

What is the name of the Implementing Partner *

The Danish institute for Human Rights

What is the planned total amount (in USD) for the **overall duration of the project** to be disbursed to this implementing partner?

Please use a dot (.) as decimal separator, instead of a comma (,)

76200

What is the total amount (in USD) disbursed to the implementing partner **since the project's start?** *

Please use a dot (.) as decimal separator, instead of a comma (,)

76200

What is the total amount (in USD) disbursed to the implementing partner **during this calendar period?** *

Please use a dot (.) as decimal separator, instead of a comma (,)

76200

Briefly describe the main activities carried out by the Implementing Partner during this calendar period *

Please limit your response to 1500 characters

Implementation of the Right to Defend Rights tool developed by the Danish Institute for Human Rights (DIHR) in Guinea-Bissau (<https://defend.humanrights.dk/>). In 2024, DIHR conducted a training for human rights defenders (in Portuguese) on how to collect data on the rights connected to civic space and human rights defenders. They have supported the Network of Human Rights Defenders, the National Statistics Office, and the Centre for Access to Justice in developing a comprehensive report on civic space, SDG 16.10.1, and the situation of human rights defenders in Guinea-Bissau.

6

Please list all of the project's implementing partners and the amounts (in USD) transferred to each, both since the project's start, and specifically during this calendar period

Please select the type of organisation which best describes the type of implementing partner *

- National youth CSO
- National women's CSO
- Other National CSO
- Subnational youth CSO
- Subnational women's CSO
- Other subnational CSO
- Regional CSO
- Regional Organisation
- International NGO
- Governmental entity
- National women's and youth CSO
- Subnational women's and youth CSO
- Other

Other, Please specify

What is the name of the Implementing Partner *

Network of Human Rights Defenders (HRDs)

What is the planned total amount (in USD) for the **overall duration of the project** to be disbursed to this implementing partner? *

Please use a dot (.) as decimal separator, instead of a comma (,)

32000

What is the total amount (in USD) disbursed to the implementing partner **since the project's start?** *

Please use a dot (.) as decimal separator, instead of a comma (,)

32000

What is the total amount (in USD) disbursed to the implementing partner **during this calendar period?** *

Please use a dot (.) as decimal separator, instead of a comma (,)

32000

Briefly describe the main activities carried out by the Implementing Partner during this calendar period

Please limit your response to 1500 characters

The Network launched its report on a safe and enabling environment for human rights defenders in April 2025.

Moreover, it has developed a radio programme called "The voice of the defender" that is aired weekly and presents human rights issues to the general public.

7

Please list all of the project's implementing partners and the amounts (in USD) transferred to each, both since the project's start, and specifically during this calendar period

Please select the type of organisation which best describes the type of implementing partner *

- National youth CSO
- National women's CSO
- Other National CSO
- Subnational youth CSO
- Subnational women's CSO
- Other subnational CSO
- Regional CSO
- Regional Organisation
- International NGO
- Governmental entity
- National women's and youth CSO
- Subnational women's and youth CSO
- Other

Other, Please specify

What is the name of the Implementing Partner

Ministry of Justice and Human Rights

What is the planned total amount (in USD) for the **overall duration of the project** to be disbursed to this implementing partner?

Please use a dot (.) as decimal separator, instead of a comma (,)

56549

What is the total amount (in USD) disbursed to the implementing partner **since the project's start?**

Please use a dot (.) as decimal separator, instead of a comma (,)

56549

What is the total amount (in USD) disbursed to the implementing partner **during this calendar period?**

Please use a dot (.) as decimal separator, instead of a comma (,)

56549

Briefly describe the main activities carried out by the Implementing Partner during this calendar period

Please limit your response to 1500 characters

The Ministry is establishing new child protection structures, including a National Commission and regional teams, while working on a Decree-Law approved on November 6, 2024, to develop transitional rules until the Child Protection Code is officially adopted. The Decree-Law is now in the Council of Ministers to be discussed for its approval.

Please list all of the project's implementing partners and the amounts (in USD) transferred to each, both since the project's start, and specifically during this calendar period

Please select the type of organisation which best describes the type of implementing partner *

- National youth CSO
- National women's CSO
- Other National CSO
- Subnational youth CSO
- Subnational women's CSO
- Other subnational CSO
- Regional CSO
- Regional Organisation
- International NGO
- Governmental entity
- National women's and youth CSO
- Subnational women's and youth CSO
- Other

Other, Please specify

What is the name of the Implementing Partner *

National Guard

What is the planned total amount (in USD) for the **overall duration of the project** to be disbursed to this implementing partner?

Please use a dot (.) as decimal separator, instead of a comma (,)

5301

What is the total amount (in USD) disbursed to the implementing partner **since the project's start?** *

Please use a dot (.) as decimal separator, instead of a comma (,)

5301

What is the total amount (in USD) disbursed to the implementing partner **during this calendar period?** *

Please use a dot (.) as decimal separator, instead of a comma (,)

5301

Briefly describe the main activities carried out by the Implementing Partner during this calendar period *

Please limit your response to 1500 characters

National Guard activities focused on monitoring the work of the border police forces, preventing child trafficking, and the use of tracking tools and reports

The National Guard organized awareness-raising sessions on the prevention of child trafficking in the border areas of Guinea-Bissau. The sessions were held in collaboration with the Public Order Police (POP), AGLUCOMI (a national CSO), and IMC to reinforce actions to prevent child trafficking and forced begging. There were 65 members of child protection teams and community members in these sessions.

9

Please list all of the project's implementing partners and the amounts (in USD) transferred to each, both since the project's start, and specifically during this calendar period

Please select the type of organisation which best describes the type of implementing partner *

- National youth CSO
- National women's CSO
- Other National CSO
- Subnational youth CSO
- Subnational women's CSO
- Other subnational CSO
- Regional CSO
- Regional Organisation
- International NGO
- Governmental entity
- National women's and youth CSO
- Subnational women's and youth CSO
- Other

Other, Please specify

What is the name of the Implementing Partner *

RENALJEV

What is the planned total amount (in USD) for the **overall duration of the project** to be disbursed to this implementing partner? *

Please use a dot (.) as decimal separator, instead of a comma (,)

50798,83

What is the total amount (in USD) disbursed to the implementing partner **since the project's start**? *

Please use a dot (.) as decimal separator, instead of a comma (,)

50798,83

What is the total amount (in USD) disbursed to the implementing partner **during this calendar period**? *

Please use a dot (.) as decimal separator, instead of a comma (,)

50798,83

Briefly describe the main activities carried out by the Implementing Partner during this calendar period *

Please limit your response to 1500 characters

In line with the support received by the project in 2023, RENALJEV and their partners continued the work, and they organized a training of trainers of 20 persons on menstrual hygiene. Later, 90 teachers, parents, and guardians were trained on menstrual hygiene in Oio, Gabu, and Cacheu. They also organized conferences in schools on menstrual dignity in Gabu and Oio. Additionally, 09 training and debate sessions on non-violent communication were organized in schools.

Please list all of the project's implementing partners and the amounts (in USD) transferred to each, both since the project's start, and specifically during this calendar period

Please select the type of organisation which best describes the type of implementing partner *

- National youth CSO
- National women's CSO
- Other National CSO
- Subnational youth CSO
- Subnational women's CSO
- Other subnational CSO
- Regional CSO
- Regional Organisation
- International NGO
- Governmental entity
- National women's and youth CSO
- Subnational women's and youth CSO
- Other

Other, Please specify

What is the name of the Implementing Partner *

ONG PRO ACTIVO

What is the planned total amount (in USD) for the **overall duration of the project** to be disbursed to this implementing partner? *

Please use a dot (.) as decimal separator, instead of a comma (,)

13695

What is the total amount (in USD) disbursed to the implementing partner **since the project's start?** *

Please use a dot (.) as decimal separator, instead of a comma (,)

13695

What is the total amount (in USD) disbursed to the implementing partner **during this calendar period?** *

Please use a dot (.) as decimal separator, instead of a comma (,)

13695

Briefly describe the main activities carried out by the Implementing Partner during this calendar period *

Please limit your response to 1500 characters

With the project's support, the NGO PRO Activo has been able to reinforce the capacity of 30 activists working in the region of Quinara on GBV case management and gender equality. They also established and trained 6 youth clubs to raise awareness of the local community in Quinara on GBV cases and gender equality. This was done together with a radio program on the same topic.

11

Please list all of the project's implementing partners and the amounts (in USD) transferred to each, both since the project's start, and specifically during this calendar period

Please select the type of organisation which best describes the type of implementing partner *

- National youth CSO
- National women's CSO
- Other National CSO
- Subnational youth CSO
- Subnational women's CSO
- Other subnational CSO
- Regional CSO
- Regional Organisation
- International NGO
- Governmental entity
- National women's and youth CSO
- Subnational women's and youth CSO
- Other

Other, Please specify

What is the name of the Implementing Partner *

ONG Palmeirinha

What is the planned total amount (in USD) for the **overall duration of the project** to be disbursed to this implementing partner? *

Please use a dot (.) as decimal separator, instead of a comma (,)

924,12

What is the total amount (in USD) disbursed to the implementing partner **since the project's start?** *

Please use a dot (.) as decimal separator, instead of a comma (,)

924,12

What is the total amount (in USD) disbursed to the implementing partner **during this calendar period?** *

Please use a dot (.) as decimal separator, instead of a comma (,)

924,12

Briefly describe the main activities carried out by the Implementing Partner during this calendar period

Please limit your response to 1500 characters

The collaboration with ONG Palmeirinha is intended to support child rights campaigns in community radios, as they are leading the partnership with community radio through other UNICEF programmes (SBC and Communication and Advocacy). Messages on child rights have been broadcast on all partner radios.

12

Please list all of the project's implementing partners and the amounts (in USD) transferred to each, both since the project's start, and specifically during this calendar period

Please select the type of organisation which best describes the type of implementing partner *

- National youth CSO
- National women's CSO
- Other National CSO
- Subnational youth CSO
- Subnational women's CSO
- Other subnational CSO
- Regional CSO
- Regional Organisation
- International NGO
- Governmental entity
- National women's and youth CSO
- Subnational women's and youth CSO
- Other

Other, Please specify

What is the name of the Implementing Partner *

National Human Rights Commission

What is the planned total amount (in USD) for the **overall duration of the project** to be disbursed to this implementing partner? *

Please use a dot (.) as decimal separator, instead of a comma (,)

11103

What is the total amount (in USD) disbursed to the implementing partner **since the project's start?** *

Please use a dot (.) as decimal separator, instead of a comma (,)

11103

What is the total amount (in USD) disbursed to the implementing partner **during this calendar period?** *

Please use a dot (.) as decimal separator, instead of a comma (,)

11103

Briefly describe the main activities carried out by the Implementing Partner during this calendar period

Please limit your response to 1500 characters

The project provided technical and financial support to the National Commission on Human Rights on the dissemination of the Human Rights and Citizenship Action Plan nationwide and across universities and the regions. Concretely, the Commission organized training in the 9 regions

Please list all of the project's implementing partners and the amounts (in USD) transferred to each, both since the project's start, and specifically during this calendar period

Please select the type of organisation which best describes the type of implementing partner *

- National youth CSO
- National women's CSO
- Other National CSO
- Subnational youth CSO
- Subnational women's CSO
- Other subnational CSO
- Regional CSO
- Regional Organisation
- International NGO
- Governmental entity
- National women's and youth CSO
- Subnational women's and youth CSO
- Other

Other, Please specify

What is the name of the Implementing Partner *

AMIC

What is the planned total amount (in USD) for the **overall duration of the project** to be disbursed to this implementing partner?

Please use a dot (.) as decimal separator, instead of a comma (,)

39082,16

What is the total amount (in USD) disbursed to the implementing partner **since the project's start?** *

Please use a dot (.) as decimal separator, instead of a comma (,)

39082,16

What is the total amount (in USD) disbursed to the implementing partner **during this calendar period?** *

Please use a dot (.) as decimal separator, instead of a comma (,)

39082,16

Briefly describe the main activities carried out by the Implementing Partner during this calendar period *

Please limit your response to 1500 characters

The main shelter for children, managed by the NGO AMIC, assisted 171 victims of violence, abuse, exploitation, and neglect in its Shelter in Bissau. From these survivors, 135 are related to the GBV (child marriage, female genital mutilation, and other violence against women and girls.

14

Please list all of the project's implementing partners and the amounts (in USD) transferred to each, both since the project's start, and specifically during this calendar period

Please select the type of organisation which best describes the type of implementing partner *

- National youth CSO
- National women's CSO
- Other National CSO
- Subnational youth CSO
- Subnational women's CSO
- Other subnational CSO
- Regional CSO
- Regional Organisation
- International NGO
- Governmental entity
- National women's and youth CSO
- Subnational women's and youth CSO
- Other

Other, Please specify

What is the name of the Implementing Partner *

Aglucomi-TSH

What is the planned total amount (in USD) for the **overall duration of the project** to be disbursed to this implementing partner? *

Please use a dot (.) as decimal separator, instead of a comma (,)

13747,78

What is the total amount (in USD) disbursed to the implementing partner **since the project's start?** *

Please use a dot (.) as decimal separator, instead of a comma (,)

13747,78

What is the total amount (in USD) disbursed to the implementing partner **during this calendar period?** *

Please use a dot (.) as decimal separator, instead of a comma (,)

13747,78

Briefly describe the main activities carried out by the Implementing Partner during this calendar period *

Please limit your response to 1500 characters

The project, implemented by the Women and Child Institute (IMC) and AGLUCOMI (a national NGO working on the prevention of human trafficking), achieved tangible results by increasing awareness of human trafficking and child protection in the regions of Bissau, Bafatá, and Gabu. It successfully facilitated the birth registration of 150 talibé children (only boys) at risk of exploitation, strengthening their legal identity and supporting their safe reintegration into their families and communities.

Please list all of the project's implementing partners and the amounts (in USD) transferred to each, both since the project's start, and specifically during this calendar period

Please select the type of organisation which best describes the type of implementing partner *

- National youth CSO
- National women's CSO
- Other National CSO
- Subnational youth CSO
- Subnational women's CSO
- Other subnational CSO
- Regional CSO
- Regional Organisation
- International NGO
- Governmental entity
- National women's and youth CSO
- Subnational women's and youth CSO
- Other

Other, Please specify

What is the name of the Implementing Partner *

RENLUV

What is the planned total amount (in USD) for the **overall duration of the project** to be disbursed to this implementing partner?

Please use a dot (.) as decimal separator, instead of a comma (,)

51403,86

What is the total amount (in USD) disbursed to the implementing partner **since the project's start?** *

Please use a dot (.) as decimal separator, instead of a comma (,)

51403,86

What is the total amount (in USD) disbursed to the implementing partner **during this calendar period?** *

Please use a dot (.) as decimal separator, instead of a comma (,)

51403,86

Briefly describe the main activities carried out by the Implementing Partner during this calendar period *

Please limit your response to 1500 characters

With the project support, RENLUV implemented a project aiming at giving holistic support to GBV survivors across the country. As a key result, 05 consultation and information-sharing meetings were held between the mobile clinic and communities in SAB, Bissorã, Prabis, Bafatá, and Gabu, with a total of 260 participants — 140 women (54%) and 120 men (46%). 69 survivors assisted, and 09 women survivors of domestic violence were economically reintegrated through financial and material support for income-generating activities

16

Please list all of the project's implementing partners and the amounts (in USD) transferred to each, both since the project's start, and specifically during this calendar period

Please select the type of organisation which best describes the type of implementing partner *

- National youth CSO
- National women's CSO
- Other National CSO
- Subnational youth CSO
- Subnational women's CSO
- Other subnational CSO
- Regional CSO
- Regional Organisation
- International NGO
- Governmental entity
- National women's and youth CSO
- Subnational women's and youth CSO
- Other

Other, Please specify

What is the name of the Implementing Partner *

Federation of Persons with Disabilities -Guinea-Bissau

What is the planned total amount (in USD) for the **overall duration of the project** to be disbursed to this implementing partner? *

Please use a dot (.) as decimal separator, instead of a comma (,)

51403

What is the total amount (in USD) disbursed to the implementing partner **since the project's start?** *

Please use a dot (.) as decimal separator, instead of a comma (,)

51403

What is the total amount (in USD) disbursed to the implementing partner **during this calendar period?** *

Please use a dot (.) as decimal separator, instead of a comma (,)

51403

Briefly describe the main activities carried out by the Implementing Partner during this calendar period

Please limit your response to 1500 characters

The Federation of People with Disabilities is an umbrella organization promoting the rights of people with disabilities. They implemented a project that is disseminating the contents of the Convention on the Rights of Persons with Disabilities, talking to local communities and people living with disability on inclusion, and working on inclusive education (including the building of ramps in schools).

17

Please list all of the project's implementing partners and the amounts (in USD) transferred to each, both since the project's start, and specifically during this calendar period

Please select the type of organisation which best describes the type of implementing partner *

- National youth CSO
- National women's CSO
- Other National CSO
- Subnational youth CSO
- Subnational women's CSO
- Other subnational CSO
- Regional CSO
- Regional Organisation
- International NGO
- Governmental entity
- National women's and youth CSO
- Subnational women's and youth CSO
- Other

Other, Please specify

What is the name of the Implementing Partner *

WANEP-GB

What is the planned total amount (in USD) for the **overall duration of the project** to be disbursed to this implementing partner? *

Please use a dot (.) as decimal separator, instead of a comma (,)

11642,04

What is the total amount (in USD) disbursed to the implementing partner **since the project's start?** *

Please use a dot (.) as decimal separator, instead of a comma (,)

11642,04

What is the total amount (in USD) disbursed to the implementing partner **during this calendar period?** *

Please use a dot (.) as decimal separator, instead of a comma (,)

11642,04

Briefly describe the main activities carried out by the Implementing Partner during this calendar period

Please limit your response to 1500 characters

WANEP interventions aimed at promoting alertness and response through engagement among key actors working on human rights protection, and involved:

Validating the matrix of key stakeholders involved in human rights protection in Guinea-Bissau.

Identifying the main stakeholders necessary for the successful implementation of the project.

Agreeing on the various elements and functioning of an early warning and response mechanism focusing on human rights.

Please list all of the project's implementing partners and the amounts (in USD) transferred to each, both since the project's start, and specifically during this calendar period

Please select the type of organisation which best describes the type of implementing partner *

- National youth CSO
- National women's CSO
- Other National CSO
- Subnational youth CSO
- Subnational women's CSO
- Other subnational CSO
- Regional CSO
- Regional Organisation
- International NGO
- Governmental entity
- National women's and youth CSO
- Subnational women's and youth CSO
- Other

Other, Please specify

What is the name of the Implementing Partner *

CODEDIC

What is the planned total amount (in USD) for the **overall duration of the project** to be disbursed to this implementing partner?

Please use a dot (.) as decimal separator, instead of a comma (,)

3177,65

What is the total amount (in USD) disbursed to the implementing partner **since the project's start?** *

Please use a dot (.) as decimal separator, instead of a comma (,)

3177,65

What is the total amount (in USD) disbursed to the implementing partner **during this calendar period?**

Please use a dot (.) as decimal separator, instead of a comma (,)

3177,65

Briefly describe the main activities carried out by the Implementing Partner during this calendar period

Please limit your response to 1500 characters

As continuing efforts to support the country in fulfilling its reporting obligations under the Human Rights Treaties, the national NGO, CODEDIC (Child Rights Coalition) is finalizing the Alternative Report under the CRC to be submitted to the Treaty Body.

Financial Reporting

» Delivery by Recipient

Please enter the total amounts in full US dollars allocated to each recipient organization

Please enter the original budget amount, amount transferred to date and estimated expenditure by recipient.

*Please make sure you enter the correct amount. All values should be entered in **US Dollars***

For cross-border projects, group the amounts by agency, even if different country offices are involved. You will have the opportunity to share a more detailed budget in the next section.

Recipients	Total Project Budget (in full US \$) <i>Please enter the total budget as is in the project document in US Dollars</i>	Transfers to date (in full US \$) <i>Please enter the total amount transferred to each recipient to date in US Dollars</i>	Expenditure to date (in full US \$) <i>Please enter the approximate amount spent to date in US dollars</i>	Implementation rate as a percentage of total budget <i>(calculated automatically)</i>
UNDP: United Nations Developmen t Programme	*	*	*	100%

	*	*	*	%
	*	*	*	%
	*	*	*	%
	*	*	*	%
	*	*	*	%
	*	*	*	%
	*	*	*	%
TOTAL	334334982	334334982	334042735	99.91%

The approximate implementation rate as percentage of total project budget based on the values entered in the above matrix is **99.91%**. Can you confirm that this is correct? *

Correct Incorrect

If it is incorrect, please enter the approximate implementation rate as a % *

» Gender-responsive Budgeting

Indicate what **percentage (%)** of the budget contributes to gender equality or women's empowerment (GEWE) as per the project document? *

50

The dollar amount of the budget contributing to Gender Equality and Women's Empowerment (GEWE) based on percentage entered above and total project budget is **US \$ 167167491**. Can you confirm that this is correct? *

Correct Incorrect

If it is incorrect, please enter the *budget amount* allocated to GEWE in US Dollars *

Amount expended to date on efforts contributiong to gender equality or women's empowerment is **US \$ 167021367.5**. Is this correct? *

Correct Incorrect

If it is incorrect, please enter the *expenditure to date* on GEWE in US dollars *

1858219,71

ATTACH PROJECT EXCEL BUDGET SHOWING CURRENT APPROXIMATE EXPENDITURE.

The templates for the budget are available [here](#)

GNB PBF_Project budget_Human Rights at 12 nov 2025 VDF le 12 12 2025 FV-12_48_6.xlsx



Project Markers

Please select the Gender Marker Associated with this project *

- Score 1 for projects that contribute in some way to gender equality, but not significantly (less than 30% of the total budget for GEWE)
- Score 2 for projects that have gender equality as a significant objective and allocate between 30 and 79% of the total project budget to GEWE
- Score 3 for projects that have gender equality as a principal objective and allocate at least 80% of the total project budget to Gender Equality and Women's Empowerment (GEWE)

Please select the Risk Marker Associated with this project *

- Risk marker 0 = low risk to achieving outcomes
- Risk marker 1 = medium risk to achieving outcomes
- Risk marker 2 = high risk to achieving outcomes

Please select the PBF Focus Area associated with this project

*

- (1.1) Security Sector Reform
- (1.2) Rule of Law
- (1.3) Demobilisation, Disarmament and Reintegration
- (1.4) Political Dialogue
- (2.1) National reconciliation
- (2.2) Democratic Governance
- (2.3) Conflict prevention/management
- (3.1) Employment
- (3.2) Equitable access to social services
- (4.1) Strengthening of essential national state capacity
- (4.2) Extension of state authority/Local Administration
- (4.3) Governance of peacebuilding resources (including PBF Secretariats)

Is the project part of one or more PBF priority windows?

*

Select all that apply

- Gender promotion initiative
- Youth promotion initiative
- Transition from UN or regional peacekeeping or special political missions
- Cross-border or regional project
- None

Steering Committee and Government engagement

Does the project have an active steering committee/ project board?

*

- Yes
- No

If yes, please indicate how many times the Project Steering Committee has met over the last 6 months?

Please limit your response to 3000 characters

No steering committee meeting has been held within the past 6 months. However, during the timeline of the project, 03 steering committee meetings have been organized. The final steering committee meeting of the projects is planned to happen in November.

Please provide a brief description of any engagement that the project has had with the government over the last 6 months. Please indicate what level of government the project has been engaging with.

Please limit your response to 3000 characters

The project interacted with the Government at several levels. For more strategic decisions on the project implementation, the project team sought to engage with the Ministry of Justice and Human Rights. Additionally, for the preparation of the State reports, the project team has engaged with various Ministers (Justice and Human Rights, Women, Family and Social Solidarity, and Foreign Affairs), General Directors, and technical staff. Additionally, representatives from key ministries were involved to work on the State's UPR report. For the case management system, the project team engaged with the President of the Institute for Women and Children (IMC, in the Portuguese acronym) and technical staff from the Institute of Women and Children (IMC). The project also involved the National Human Rights Commission, both its President and its technical staff, in various activities to promote human rights.

PART I: OVERALL PROJECT PROGRESS

NOTES FOR COMPLETING THE REPORT:

- Avoid acronyms and UN jargon, use general /common language.
- Report on what has been achieved in the reporting period, not what the project aims to do.
- Be as concrete as possible. Avoid theoretical, vague or conceptual discourse.
- Ensure the analysis and project progress assessment is gender and age sensitive.

Please rate the implementation status of the following preliminary/preparatory activities

Contracting of partners

Not Started Initiated Partially Completed
 Completed Not Applicable

Staff Recruitment

Not Started Initiated Partially Completed
 Completed Not Applicable

Collection of baselines

Not Started Initiated Partially Completed
 Completed Not Applicable

Identification of beneficiaries

Not Started Initiated Partially Completed
 Completed Not Applicable

Provide any additional descriptive information relating to the status of the project, including whether preliminary/preparatory activities have been completed (i.e. contracting of partners, staff recruitment, etc.) *

Please limit your response to 3000 characters

The project officially concluded in June 2025, although some committed activities were still ongoing, particularly the final evaluation. By that date, all planned activities had been implemented in accordance with the approved Annual Work Plan. The only remaining task, the final evaluation, is currently underway and expected to be completed by the end of 2025.

Describe overall progress under each Outcome made during the reporting period (*for June reports: January-June; for November reports: January-December (anticipated); for final reports: full project duration*). Do not list individual activities. If the project is starting to make/has made a difference at the outcome level, provide specific evidence for the progress (quantitative and qualitative) and explain how it impacts the broader political and peacebuilding context.

Is the project on track for the timely completion of outputs as indicated in the workplan? *

Yes
 No

If no, please provide an explanation *

Please limit your response to 6000 characters

Project progress summary

Please limit your response to 6000 characters

The independent evaluation (Oct-Dec 2025) confirmed that the project achieved most of its intended outcomes, delivering tangible institutional, operational, and behavioral changes across national and local levels, despite recruitment delays and political instability. The project remained aligned with national human rights and peacebuilding priorities.

One of the most significant achievements was strengthening the National Human Rights Commission (CNDH). Starting from limited capacity and outdated legislation, the project supported the participatory development of a new legal framework, culminating in the adoption of Decree-Law No. 05/2025. While not fully meeting Paris Principles due to procedural issues, the revised statute represents a major step toward international standards. Nearly 80% of survey respondents rated an independent CNDH as "extremely relevant."

The project also supported the development and implementation of Guinea-Bissau's first National Human Rights and Citizenship Strategy (NAP 2022-2026). Over 1,500 copies were distributed, and more than seven awareness-raising campaigns and community dialogues were held. Justice Access Centres (CAJs) played a key role, providing legal services to over 10,000 people and piloting local-language dialogues known as Djumbai. CAJs began integrating into the state legal aid structure through a partnership with Brazil's Public Defender's Office.

Furthering the NAP, the project contributed to the approval and dissemination of the Child Protection Policy (2021-2032) and supported the IMC in opening Regional Child Protection Units in collaboration with SOS Villages and CAJs. These efforts improved case management and response to child rights violations. The CAJs were identified as frontline actors for human-rights-based conflict prevention.

A major institutional result was the development of a national Child Protection Case Management System (CMS) led by the IMC. Validated in April 2025, the CMS includes SOPs, training modules, and an ICT-based tool (Kobo Collect). By the end of the project, 2,209 cases of child abuse, exploitation, and neglect were recorded—1,090 girls, 1,120 boys, and 101 children with disabilities. Most cases occurred in Bissau, Gabú, and Oio. Trafficking and gender-based violence were key concerns. The system now informs decision-making among state and civil society actors.

The project also improved Guinea-Bissau's engagement with international human rights mechanisms. With project support, the State submitted overdue reports to several treaty bodies between 2023-2025, including the CRC, HRC, CESCR, CRPD, CEDAW, CAT, CERD, and the UPR. The 2025 UPR process saw 18 civil society reports submitted, compared to just two in 2020—reflecting increased national ownership and transparency.

Human rights defenders (HRDs) were another focus. A National HRD Protection Strategy and action plan were adopted, and the HRD network was revitalized, including partnerships with Cabo Verde and the Danish Institute for Human Rights. Over 250 HRDs were trained, and a Lusophone HRD network was launched.

Finally, an early warning system was established within Voz di Paz's conflict analysis framework. Local disputes are now identified and mediated informally or referred to CAJs for legal resolution, ensuring access to justice and contributing to conflict prevention.

In sum, the project significantly strengthened national human rights systems, expanded access to justice, and fostered sustainable local and institutional partnerships across Guinea-Bissau.

Indicate any additional analysis on how Gender Equality and Women's Empowerment and/or Youth Inclusion and Responsiveness has been ensured by the project to date *

Please limit your response to 3000 characters

The final evaluation confirms that gender equality and inclusion were effectively mainstreamed throughout the project, with targeted interventions for women, girls, and vulnerable groups. The project addressed critical gender-related violations such as GBV, child marriage, FGM, and discrimination through capacity-building, case management, and community-based mechanisms.

A flagship initiative was the Mobile Legal Clinic for GBV survivors, implemented by RENLUV, AGMJ, CAJs, and the Bar Association. It reached 68 survivors across eight regions, offering legal aid, psychosocial counseling, and economic reintegration. RENLUV and AMIC were key service providers, with strengthened case management and coordination capacity.

AMIC's shelter in Bissau supported 171 children (135 girls and 36 boys), including victims of GBV, trafficking, and physical abuse. The project provided equipment and training to enhance documentation and case handling.

Community outreach engaged over 100 families, improving awareness of child rights and available services.

The project adopted a gender-responsive and inclusive approach, ensuring the participation of women, children, and persons with disabilities. Youth organizations (RENALJEV, AJPDH, RCJJ) led civic education, advocacy, and school-based campaigns on gender equality and peaceful coexistence. Over 200 youth (equal gender representation) participated, and youth groups contributed to the 2025 UPR with independent submissions.

In Quinara and Tombali, the partnership with ONG Pro-Activo led to 300 people gaining knowledge on rights, gender, and early marriage prevention. The project created 42 new and consolidated 30 community protection clubs, enabling monitoring and referrals. Key outcomes included the resolution of 41 out of 68 child marriage cases, mediation of family conflicts, and referrals of 12 sexual abuse cases.

Protection was secured for 32 girls fleeing early marriage in Catió. Six adult literacy classes were launched, benefiting 150 learners (60% women, including persons with disabilities), promoting empowerment and social inclusion.

A 2025 perception survey showed that 78% observed increased women and youth visibility in human rights work. The project proved that gender equality and youth inclusion are essential to peacebuilding, resilience, and sustainable justice in Guinea-Bissau.

Is the project 1+ year in implementation? *

Yes
 No

FOR PROJECTS 1+ YEAR IN IMPLEMENTATION ONLY:

Is the project demonstrating outcome-level peacebuilding results? *

Outcome-level peacebuilding results entail results achieved at the societal or structural level, including changed attitudes, behaviours or institutions.

Yes
 No

If yes, please provide concrete examples of such peacebuilding results

Please limit your response to 6000 characters

The project has generated tangible peacebuilding results at both structural and societal levels, a conclusion confirmed and documented by the independent final evaluation:

□ Institutional and Structural Transformation

One of the most significant achievements was the development and approval of a new Statute of the National Human Rights Commission (CNDH). Although not yet fully aligned with the Paris Principles, the evaluation recognizes it as a “meaningful first step toward institutionalizing human rights oversight and strengthening State accountability.” Evaluators highlighted that this reform would not have advanced without the project’s technical and strategic facilitation.

Throughout the process, Government actors, particularly within the Ministry of Justice and Human Rights, developed a deeper understanding of the mandate, independence requirements, and public value of a National Human Rights Institution (NHRI).

The evaluation concludes that these institutional shifts represent foundational peacebuilding progress, as they strengthen checks and balances and reinforce the rule-of-law culture.

□ Strengthening Justice and Mediation Mechanisms

At the operational level, the project reinforces the credibility and trust towards the Justice Access Centres (CAJs). The evaluation identified CAJs as one of the project’s strongest peacebuilding contributions. The external evaluation also confirmed that CAJs are now “perceived by communities as neutral, accessible, and trustworthy mechanisms for dispute resolution,” contributing to reduced tension and strengthened institutional legitimacy.

Through the partnership with Brazil’s Public Defender Office (CONDEGE), CAJ staff adopted mediation, conciliation, and vulnerability-centred methodologies. According to evaluators, this transition “redefined professional identity, “as many now consider themselves defenders of marginalized groups rather than merely service providers.

Initiatives such as the Candonga da Justiça caravans and Djumbai community dialogues extended these services to remote areas. Interviewees in the evaluation emphasized that these actions improved confidence in the State, with CAJs becoming “visible symbols of justice and stability in fragile territories.”

□ Building a Data-Driven and Preventive Protection System

The project’s development of the Child Protection Case-Management System (CMS), led by the Institute for Women and Children (IMC), is described in the evaluation as a “structural contribution to prevention and long-term peacebuilding.”

The system professionalized reporting, strengthened inter-institutional collaboration, and operationalized the National Child Protection Policy. Between 2022 and 2025, it generated over 1,700 documented cases of violence and abuse, enabling evidence-based policy interventions and risk mitigation. Evaluators noted that the CMS “shifted child protection from reactive response to proactive prevention,” especially at the community level.

To reinforce early-warning capacity, standardized tools and training were disseminated to CSOs, CAJs, and regional protection committees. In collaboration with WANEP, 40 representatives from State and civil society institutions were trained in conflict-sensitive analysis and rapid response mechanisms. The evaluation confirms that regional committees now integrate child-rights indicators into protection assessments, institutionalizing a preventive and peace-sensitive culture.

□ Changing Attitudes, Building Trust

The project’s behavioral and relational impacts were reinforced by the independent evaluation and validated by the 2025 Perception Study with 142 respondents:

- 61% reported improved capacity to prevent and address human-rights violations.
- 58% observed stronger collaboration between the State and civil society.
- 72% confirmed increased human-rights awareness in communities.
- 71% expressed concern regarding sustainability without continued investment.

The evaluation confirms a “notable shift in trust dynamics,” with CSOs asserting that ministries are “more open, cooperative, and less defensive,” while government representatives acknowledged civil society as constructive monitoring actors rather than adversaries. Evaluators describe this as a peacebuilding breakthrough, given Guinea-Bissau’s history of polarization and institutional fragmentation.

CSO participation also reached unprecedented levels. Eighteen (18) organizations submitted alternative reports to the 2025 UPR, and six (06) participated in Geneva, a first in the country’s history. The evaluation highlights this as a catalytic development that “rebalanced State-society relations and expanded the democratic space.”

If yes, please provide sources or references (including links) as evidence of peacebuilding results, or submit them as additional attachments.

Evidence may be quantitative or qualitative but needs to demonstrate progress against outcome indicators in the project results framework. Sources may include project surveys (such as perception surveys), monitoring reports, government documents, or other knowledge products that have been developed by the project.

File attachment

Click here to upload file. (< 10MB)

PART II: PROJECT RESULTS FRAMEWORK

How many OUTCOMES does this project have

1

2

3

4

5

more than 5.

*

Please write out the project outcomes as they are in the project results framework found in the project document

Outcome 1:

*

The national system for promoting and protecting human rights in Guinea-Bissau more effectively protects human rights and addresses human rights challenges.

Outcome 2:

*

Outcome 3:

*

Outcome 4:

*

Outcome 5:

*

Outcome 6:

*

Outcome 7:

*

Outcome 8:

*

Additional Outcomes

If the project has more than 8 outcomes, please enumerate the remaining outcomes here

*

INDICATOR BASED PERFORMANCE ASSESSMENT

Using the Project Results Framework as per the approved project document or any amendments, provide an update on the achievement of all **outcome** and **output** indicators in the table below.

- Where it has not been possible to collect data on indicators, state this and provide any explanation.
- Provide gender and age disaggregated data. (500 characters max per entry)

» **Outcome 1: The national system for promoting and protecting human rights in Guinea-Bissau more effectively protects human rights and addresses human rights challenges.**

O C 1	Outcome Indicator s	Indicator Baseline	End of Project Indicator Target	Indicator progress for reporting period	Indicator progress since project's start	Reasons for Variance/ Delay (if any)
1. 1	Extent to which the new National Human Rights Institution is compliant with the Paris principles	0	1		0	The Statute of the CNDH(which was reviewed with the project's technical and financial support) was fully adopted as a decree-law in2025. Though the content of the status is more aligned with Paris principle, effort need to continue to have a compliant status
1. 2	# of project's selected recommendations from the UN human rights mechanisms implemented,disaggregated by thematic topic covered	0	5		7	The project team leveraged the State's operational readiness and political will to support the submission of two additional overdue reports to UN treaty bodies

1. 3	# of cases of violence against children registered by child protection priorities during the year					The development of the case management system requires more work among CP actors than previously foreseen
---------	---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------	--	--	--	--	-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------

1. 4						
---------	--	--	--	--	--	--

1. 5						
---------	--	--	--	--	--	--

How many outputs does outcome 1 have?

1 2 3 **4** 5 more than 5.

Please list all outputs for outcome 1

Output 1.1

Improved national capacities to establish and operationalize National Human Rights Institution, in line with the Paris Principles

Output 1.2

Strengthened national capacities to develop and implement a National Human Rights Action Plan

Output 1.3

Increased capacity of duty-bearers and rights-holders to engage with UN human rights mechanism, including treaty bodies for increased state accountability for human rights protection

Output 1.4

Enhanced capacity of human rights organizations to monitor and report on human rights violations, including reprisals against human rights defenders, among others through an early warning mechanism

Output 1.5

Other Outputs

If Outcome 1 has more than 5 outputs, please enumerate the remaining outputs here

For each output, and using the project results framework, provide an update on the progress made against all output indicators

» Output 1.1: Improved national capacities to establish and operationalize National Human Rights Institution, in line with the Paris Principles

1.	Output Indicators <i>Describe the indicator</i>	Indicator Baseline <i>State the baseline value of the indicator</i>	End of Project Indicator Target <i>State the target value of the indicator at the end of the project</i>	Indicator progress for reporting period <i>State the current value of the indicator for the reporting period</i>	Indicator progress since project's start <i>State the current cumulative value of the indicator since the start of the project</i>	Reasons for Variance/ Delay (if any) <i>Explain why the indicator is off track or has changed, where relevant</i>
1.	1.	1.	Indicator 1.1.1 Level of awareness of state and non-state actors supported by the project on how and why to establish an independent National Human Rights Institution in compliance with the Paris Principles.	State and non-state actors report high levels of awareness of the Paris principles, including understanding them, its relevance and how to apply them into the national NHRI	CSOs reporting to the UPR have recommended that the State establishes an independent NHRI in their reports.	
1.	1.	2	# of joint meetings organized with the project support for the establishment of an independent NHRI where civil society organizations participated and voiced their views	1	5	5

1. 1. 3	# of National Human Rights Institution members and staff trained by the project with increased knowledge and/or skills in human rights standards and principles of independence, pluralism, and accountability.	0	23		24	
1. 1. 4						
1. 1. 5						

» **Output 1.2: Strengthened national capacities to develop and implement a National Human Rights Action Plan**

1.	Output Indicators <i>Describe the indicator</i>	Indicator Baseline <i>State the baseline value of the indicator</i>	End of Project Indicator Target <i>State the target value of the indicator at the end of the project</i>	Indicator progress for reporting period <i>State the current value of the indicator for the reporting period</i>	Indicator progress since project's start <i>State the current cumulative value of the indicator since the start of the project</i>	Reasons for Variance/ Delay (if any) <i>Explain why the indicator is off track or has changed, where relevant</i>
1.	1.2.1 # of National Human Rights Action Plan completed and disseminated with the project support	0	1		1	
1.	1.2.2 Extent to which the project's selected activities of the National Human Rights Action Plan have been implemented	0	5		14	As a result of a good understanding on the necessity to implement the National Human Rights Action Plan, States and CsOs actors requested support from the project in order to implement some relevant activities in line with the National Plan

1. 2. 3	# of civil society organizations consulted with the project support for the elaboration of the National Human Rights Action Plan	0	30		30	
1. 2. 4						
1. 2. 5						

» **Output 1.3: Increased capacity of duty-bearers and rights-holders to engage with UN human rights mechanism, including treaty bodies for increased state accountability for human rights protection**

1. 3	Output Indicators <i>Describe the indicator</i>	Indicator Baseline <i>State the baseline value of the indicator</i>	End of Project Indicator Target <i>State the target value of the indicator at the end of the project</i>	Indicator progress for reporting period <i>State the current value of the indicator for the reporting period</i>	Indicator progress since project's start <i>State the current cumulative value of the indicator since the start of the project</i>	Reasons for Variance/ Delay (if any) <i>Explain why the indicator is off track or has changed, where relevant</i>
1. 3. 1	# State reports submitted to UN treaty bodies, benefiting from the support of this project.	0	5		6	
1. 3. 2	# of consultations with civil society organizations regarding State reports to the UN treaty bodies, conducted with the support of this project.	0	5		7	It linked to the increasement of the number of reports to be submitted
1. 3. 3	Level of awareness of State actors on key conditions for establishing an effective National Mechanism for Implementation, Reporting and Follow-up (NMIRF)	0	State actors targeted by the project demonstrate a high level of understanding and willingness to use the UN guidance key conditions for effectively to establish a NMIRF		During the meetings for the elaboration of the State report for the UPR, civil servants asked why Guinea-Bissau did not have an NMIRF and affirming that one would be excellent for the country.	

1. 3. 4						
1. 3. 5						

.....

» **Output 1.4: Enhanced capacity of human rights organizations to monitor and report on human rights violations, including reprisals against human rights defenders, among others through an early warning mechanism**

1. 4	Output Indicators <i>Describe the indicator</i>	Indicator Baseline <i>State the baseline value of the indicator</i>	End of Project Indicator Target <i>State the target value of the indicator at the end of the project</i>	Indicator progress for reporting period <i>State the current value of the indicator for the reporting period</i>	Indicator progress since project's start <i>State the current cumulative value of the indicator since the start of the project</i>	Reasons for Variance/ Delay (if any) <i>Explain why the indicator is off track or has changed, where relevant</i>
1. 4. 1	Extent to which human rights defenders, benefiting from the support of this project, perceive that their knowledge and practices to promote and protect human rights have improved, including to monitor, report on and advocate for human rights issues	Produce reports on human rights issues: 3,17 - Reasonable knowledge; 2,33 - Reasonable capacities	Targeted HRD (including women human rights defenders) demonstrate improved knowledge and practices at least in three core thematic domains supported by the project		The 2025 perception survey of the project confirmed these impacts. In fact, 61% of stakeholders agreed that the country's capacity to address human rights violations improved, while respondents highlighted greater trust between state institutions and CSOs. However, the survey also emphasized fragility.	

1. 4. 2	Human-rights early warning mechanism developed with the project's support.	0	At least 1 Early Warning mechanism established and functioning by the end of the project		1	Through Voz Di Paz and CAJ Early warning system is operationalized and linking conflict prevention and resolution mechanisms with human rights
1. 4. 3	Strategy for protection of human rights defenders developed by HRD, with the project's support	0	1 agreed strategy for protection of HRD, developed with the engagement of human right defenders, including women, people with disabilities and child driven CSOs		1	
1. 4. 4						
1. 4. 5						

» Output 1.5:

1. 5	Output Indicators <i>Describe the indicator</i>	Indicator Baseline <i>State the baseline value of the indicator</i>	End of Project Indicator Target <i>State the target value of the indicator at the end of the project</i>	Indicator progress for reporting period <i>State the current value of the indicator for the reporting period</i>	Indicator progress since project's start <i>State the current cumulative value of the indicator since the start of the project</i>	Reasons for Variance/ Delay (if any) <i>Explain why the indicator is off track or has changed, where relevant</i>
1. 5. 1						
1. 5. 2						
1. 5. 3						
1. 5. 4						
1. 5. 5						

» Outcome 2:

O C 2	Outcome Indicator s	Indicator Baseline	End of Project Indicator Target	Indicator progress for reporting period	Indicator progress since project's start	Reasons for Variance/ Delay (if any)
2. 1						
2. 2						
2. 3						
2. 4						
2. 5						

How many outputs does outcome 2 have?

1 2 3 4 5 more than 5.

Please list all outputs for outcome 2

Output 2.1

Output 2.2

Output 2.3

.....

Output 2.4

.....

Output 2.5

.....

Other Outputs

If Outcome 2 has more than 5 outputs, please enumerate the remaining outputs here

.....

For each output, and using the project results framework, provide an update on the progress made against all output indicators

» **Output 2.1:**

2. 1	Output Indicators <i>Describe the indicator</i>	Indicator Baseline <i>State the baseline value of the indicator</i>	End of Project Indicator Target <i>State the target value of the indicator at the end of the project</i>	Indicator progress for reporting period <i>State the current value of the indicator for the reporting period</i>	Indicator progress since project's start <i>State the current cumulative value of the indicator since the start of the project</i>	Reasons for Variance/ Delay (if any) <i>Explain why the indicator is off track or has changed, where relevant</i>
2. 1. 1						
2. 1. 2						
2. 1. 3						
2. 1. 4						
2. 1. 5						

» Output 2.2:

2. 2	Output Indicators <i>Describe the indicator</i>	Indicator Baseline <i>State the baseline value of the indicator</i>	End of Project Indicator Target <i>State the target value of the indicator at the end of the project</i>	Indicator progress for reporting period <i>State the current value of the indicator for the reporting period</i>	Indicator progress since project's start <i>State the current cumulative value of the indicator since the start of the project</i>	Reasons for Variance/ Delay (if any) <i>Explain why the indicator is off track or has changed, where relevant</i>
2. 2. 1						
2. 2. 2						
2. 2. 3						
2. 2. 4						
2. 2. 5						

» Output 2.3:

2. 3	Output Indicators <i>Describe the indicator</i>	Indicator Baseline <i>State the baseline value of the indicator</i>	End of Project Indicator Target <i>State the target value of the indicator at the end of the project</i>	Indicator progress for reporting period <i>State the current value of the indicator for the reporting period</i>	Indicator progress since project's start <i>State the current cumulative value of the indicator since the start of the project</i>	Reasons for Variance/ Delay (if any) <i>Explain why the indicator is off track or has changed, where relevant</i>
2. 3. 1						
2. 3. 2						
2. 3. 3						
2. 3. 4						
2. 3. 5						

» Output 2.4:

2. 4	Output Indicators <i>Describe the indicator</i>	Indicator Baseline <i>State the baseline value of the indicator</i>	End of Project Indicator Target <i>State the target value of the indicator at the end of the project</i>	Indicator progress for reporting period <i>State the current value of the indicator for the reporting period</i>	Indicator progress since project's start <i>State the current cumulative value of the indicator since the start of the project</i>	Reasons for Variance/ Delay (if any) <i>Explain why the indicator is off track or has changed, where relevant</i>
2. 4. 1						
2. 4. 2						
2. 4. 3						
2. 4. 4						
2. 4. 5						

» Output 2.5:

2. 5	Output Indicators <i>Describe the indicator</i>	Indicator Baseline <i>State the baseline value of the indicator</i>	End of Project Indicator Target <i>State the target value of the indicator at the end of the project</i>	Indicator progress for reporting period <i>State the current value of the indicator for the reporting period</i>	Indicator progress since project's start <i>State the current cumulative value of the indicator since the start of the project</i>	Reasons for Variance/ Delay (if any) <i>Explain why the indicator is off track or has changed, where relevant</i>
2. 5. 1						
2. 5. 2						
2. 5. 3						
2. 5. 4						
2. 5. 5						

» Outcome 3:

O C 3	Outcome Indicator s	Indicator Baseline	End of Project Indicator Target	Indicator progress for reporting period	Indicator progress since project's start	Reasons for Variance/ Delay (if any)
3. 1						
3. 2						
3. 3						
3. 4						
3. 5						

How many outputs does outcome 3 have?

1 2 3 4 5 more than 5.

Please list all outputs for outcome 3

Output 3.1

Output 3.2

Output 3.3

.....

Output 3.4

.....

Output 3.5

.....

Other Outputs

If Outcome 3 has more than 5 outputs, please enumerate the remaining outputs here

.....

For each output, and using the project results framework, provide an update on the progress made against all output indicators

» **Output 3.1:**

3. 1	Output Indicators <i>Describe the indicator</i>	Indicator Baseline <i>State the baseline value of the indicator</i>	End of Project Indicator Target <i>State the target value of the indicator at the end of the project</i>	Indicator progress for reporting period <i>State the current value of the indicator for the reporting period</i>	Indicator progress since project's start <i>State the current cumulative value of the indicator since the start of the project</i>	Reasons for Variance/ Delay (if any) <i>Explain why the indicator is off track or has changed, where relevant</i>
3. 1. 1						
3. 1. 2						
3. 1. 3						
3. 1. 4						
3. 1. 5						

» Output 3.2:

3. 2	Output Indicators <i>Describe the indicator</i>	Indicator Baseline <i>State the baseline value of the indicator</i>	End of Project Indicator Target <i>State the target value of the indicator at the end of the project</i>	Indicator progress for reporting period <i>State the current value of the indicator for the reporting period</i>	Indicator progress since project's start <i>State the current cumulative value of the indicator since the start of the project</i>	Reasons for Variance/ Delay (if any) <i>Explain why the indicator is off track or has changed, where relevant</i>
3. 2. 1						
3. 2. 2						
3. 2. 3						
3. 2. 4						
3. 2. 5						

» Output 3.3:

3.3	Output Indicators <i>Describe the indicator</i>	Indicator Baseline <i>State the baseline value of the indicator</i>	End of Project Indicator Target <i>State the target value of the indicator at the end of the project</i>	Indicator progress for reporting period <i>State the current value of the indicator for the reporting period</i>	Indicator progress since project's start <i>State the current cumulative value of the indicator since the start of the project</i>	Reasons for Variance/Delay (if any) <i>Explain why the indicator is off track or has changed, where relevant</i>
3.3.1						
3.3.2						
3.3.3						
3.3.4						
3.3.5						

» Output 3.4:

3. 4	Output Indicators <i>Describe the indicator</i>	Indicator Baseline <i>State the baseline value of the indicator</i>	End of Project Indicator Target <i>State the target value of the indicator at the end of the project</i>	Indicator progress for reporting period <i>State the current value of the indicator for the reporting period</i>	Indicator progress since project's start <i>State the current cumulative value of the indicator since the start of the project</i>	Reasons for Variance/ Delay (if any) <i>Explain why the indicator is off track or has changed, where relevant</i>
3. 4. 1						
3. 4. 2						
3. 4. 3						
3. 4. 4						
3. 4. 5						

» Output 3.5:

3. 5	Output Indicators <i>Describe the indicator</i>	Indicator Baseline <i>State the baseline value of the indicator</i>	End of Project Indicator Target <i>State the target value of the indicator at the end of the project</i>	Indicator progress for reporting period <i>State the current value of the indicator for the reporting period</i>	Indicator progress since project's start <i>State the current cumulative value of the indicator since the start of the project</i>	Reasons for Variance/ Delay (if any) <i>Explain why the indicator is off track or has changed, where relevant</i>
3. 5. 1						
3. 5. 2						
3. 5. 3						
3. 5. 4						
3. 5. 5						

» Outcome 4:

O C 4	Outcome Indicator s	Indicator Baseline	End of Project Indicator Target	Indicator progress for reporting period	Indicator progress since project's start	Reasons for Variance/ Delay (if any)
4. 1						
4. 2						
4. 3						
4. 4						
4. 5						

How many outputs does outcome 4 have?

1 2 3 4 5 more than 5.

Please list all outputs for outcome 4

Output 4.1

Output 4.2

Output 4.3

.....

Output 4.4

.....

Output 4.5

.....

Other Outputs

If Outcome 4 has more than 5 outputs, please enumerate the remaining outputs here

.....

For each output, and using the project results framework, provide an update on the progress made against all output indicators

» **Output 4.1:**

4. 1	Output Indicators <i>Describe the indicator</i>	Indicator Baseline <i>State the baseline value of the indicator</i>	End of Project Indicator Target <i>State the target value of the indicator at the end of the project</i>	Indicator progress for reporting period <i>State the current value of the indicator for the reporting period</i>	Indicator progress since project's start <i>State the current cumulative value of the indicator since the start of the project</i>	Reasons for Variance/ Delay (if any) <i>Explain why the indicator is off track or has changed, where relevant</i>
4. 1. 1						
4. 1. 2						
4. 1. 3						
4. 1. 4						
4. 1. 5						

» Output 4.2:

4. 2	Output Indicators <i>Describe the indicator</i>	Indicator Baseline <i>State the baseline value of the indicator</i>	End of Project Indicator Target <i>State the target value of the indicator at the end of the project</i>	Indicator progress for reporting period <i>State the current value of the indicator for the reporting period</i>	Indicator progress since project's start <i>State the current cumulative value of the indicator since the start of the project</i>	Reasons for Variance/ Delay (if any) <i>Explain why the indicator is off track or has changed, where relevant</i>
4. 2. 1						
4. 2. 2						
4. 2. 3						
4. 2. 4						
4. 2. 5						

» Output 4.3:

4. 3	Output Indicators <i>Describe the indicator</i>	Indicator Baseline <i>State the baseline value of the indicator</i>	End of Project Indicator Target <i>State the target value of the indicator at the end of the project</i>	Indicator progress for reporting period <i>State the current value of the indicator for the reporting period</i>	Indicator progress since project's start <i>State the current cumulative value of the indicator since the start of the project</i>	Reasons for Variance/ Delay (if any) <i>Explain why the indicator is off track or has changed, where relevant</i>
4. 3. 1						
4. 3. 2						
4. 3. 3						
4. 3. 4						
4. 3. 5						

» Output 4.4:

4. 4. 1	Output Indicators <i>Describe the indicator</i>	Indicator Baseline <i>State the baseline value of the indicator</i>	End of Project Indicator Target <i>State the target value of the indicator at the end of the project</i>	Indicator progress for reporting period <i>State the current value of the indicator for the reporting period</i>	Indicator progress since project's start <i>State the current cumulative value of the indicator since the start of the project</i>	Reasons for Variance/ Delay (if any) <i>Explain why the indicator is off track or has changed, where relevant</i>
4. 4. 2						
4. 4. 3						
4. 4. 4						
4. 4. 5						

» Output 4.5:

4. 5	Output Indicators <i>Describe the indicator</i>	Indicator Baseline <i>State the baseline value of the indicator</i>	End of Project Indicator Target <i>State the target value of the indicator at the end of the project</i>	Indicator progress for reporting period <i>State the current value of the indicator for the reporting period</i>	Indicator progress since project's start <i>State the current cumulative value of the indicator since the start of the project</i>	Reasons for Variance/ Delay (if any) <i>Explain why the indicator is off track or has changed, where relevant</i>
4. 5. 1						
4. 5. 2						
4. 5. 3						
4. 5. 4						
4. 5. 5						
If the project has more than 4 outcomes, use this space to describe progress on progress on indicators for the remaining outcomes						

*

PART III: Cross-Cutting Issues

Is the project planning any significant events in the next six months? (eg. national dialogues, youth congresses, film screenings, etc.)

If yes, please state how many, and for each, provide the approximate date of the event and a brief description, including its key objectives, target audience and location (if known)

Events	Event Description	Tentative Date	Location	Target Audience	Event Objectives (900 characters)
Event 1	Final Steering Committee	January	Bissau	Project Partners	The meeting will present the final result of the project and the independent evaluation report
Event 2					
Event 3					
Event 4					

Human Impact

This section is about the human impact of the project. Please state key stakeholders (including but not limited to: Civil Society Organizations, Beneficiaries, etc.) of the project, and for each, please briefly describe:

- i. The challenges/problem they faced prior to the project implementation
- ii. The impact of the project in their lives
- iii. Provide, where possible, a quote or testimonial from a representative of each stakeholder group

This is an optional question. You may leave it unanswered if not relevant

Human Impact	Type of stakeholder	What has been the impact of the project on their lives?	Provide, where possible, a quote or testimonial from the stakeholder
1	Beneficiaries	With the project support, citizens in remote areas gained direct access to justice, legal aid, and protection mechanisms through the Justice Access Centres (CA Js) and the Candonga da Justiça mobile caravans. More than 10,000, including half women, received information, mediation, or legal assistance	One community mediator in Bafatá said: "Before, people solved problems by fighting or staying silent. Now they come to the CA J or to the Candonga, and we find solutions together."
2	Beneficiaries	Women and girls particularly benefited from the project's gender-sensitive services in Bula, Buba, Bissau, Gabu, and Catio. Through RENLUV's mobile clinics. 68 survivors were received and assisted, 9 survivors of domestic violence were economically reintegrated through financial and material support for income-generating activities, along with basic training in resource management.	"They listened to me and helped me report what happened. I felt I had rights and that the law was on my side." A woman supported in Gabu testified.

3	Implementing Partner	<p>Children also experienced measurable protection. With the Child Information Management System (IMS) operational nationwide, 2,209 cases of abuse, exploitation, and neglect were documented and followed by competent authorities — ensuring that victims received care and justice. The IMS is now integrated into the new CMS to ensure a complete follow-up and the full management of all reported cases from the beginning of the case to its closure.</p> <p>At the community level, the project fostered a culture of dialogue and peaceful problem-solving through Djumbai forums. These discussions, often conducted in local languages, strengthened social cohesion and helped prevent small disputes from escalating into conflict.</p> <p>Institutionally, citizens' confidence in the justice system has grown. The perception survey found that 72% of respondents recognized an increase in human-rights awareness and civic engagement, while 61% observed improved capacity of public institutions to respond to violations. People now associate human rights not with distant laws but with real changes in their daily lives — being heard, protected, and treated with dignity.</p> <p>Moreover, civil society leaders, human rights defenders, and journalists expressed that the project enhanced their professional skills, credibility, and safety.</p>	<p>"This project gave us visibility and respect. Before, no one invited us to the table; now we are partners." One member of the Human Rights Defenders Network stated</p>
---	----------------------	--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------	----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------

4	Civil Society Actor	<p>Rosália Djedjo has been a youth activist and human rights defender since she was 15, and today she is the president of the National Confederation of the Students' Associations of Guinea-Bissau. When Guinea-Bissau organized a series of consultations and workshops with civil society and the government to assess the country's human rights situation and obligations, Djedjo was there to bring up her favourite topics: education and youth empowerment.</p> <p>Organized with the support of UN Human Rights Office in Guinea-Bissau, the broad-based consultations were an opportunity to delve into topics such as access to health and clean water, education, sustainable development, gender equality, the right to political and public participation, and the fight against poverty and racism.</p>	<p>"I learned a lot," said the 26-year-old Djedjo. "I work on the right to education, but we exchanged information with civil society groups about child protection or the right to a healthy environment, so these were really fruitful discussions about human rights issues that are related."</p>
---	----------------------------	------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------	-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------

In addition to the stakeholder specific impact described above, please use this space to describe any additional human impact that the project has had.

Please limit your response to 4000 characters.

You can also upload upto 3 files in various formats (picture files, powerpoint, pdf, video, etc.) to illustrate the human impact of the project

OPTIONAL

File 1

OPTIONAL

In Guinea-Bissau, joint UN initiative helps build a culture where women can demand their rights _ PEACEBUILDING-1!



File 2

OPTIONAL

Rescued_ Collaborating to save trafficked and abused children _ UNICEF Guinea-Bissau-15_44_12.pdf



File 3

OPTIONAL

Civil society in Guinea-Bissau speaks up on human rights _ OHCHR-15_44_22.pdf



You can also add upto 3 links to online resources which illustrate the human impact of the project

OPTIONAL

Link 1

OPTIONAL

<https://www.unicef.org/guineabissau/stories/rescued-collaborating-save-trafficked-and-abused-children> ;

<https://www.ohchr.org/en/stories/2025/04/civil-society-guinea-bissau-speaks-human-rights>;

https://www.linkedin.com/posts/camilla-silva-fl%C3%B8istrup-42747446_direitoshumanos-guinaezbissausociedadecivil-activity-7335378854548545536-ZCJ0?utm_source=share&utm_medium=member_desktop&rcm=ACoAAAm5F8BBq5n2MhD2BRFtiUcYRHJPt3eAWs

Link 2

OPTIONAL

https://www.linkedin.com/posts/camilla-silva-fl%C3%B8istrup-42747446_one-of-the-objectives-of-the-unitednations-activity-7330526942837764096-C34x?utm_source=share&utm_medium=member_desktop&rcm=ACoAAAm5F8BBq5n2MhD2BRFtiUcYRHJPt3eAWs

Link 3

OPTIONAL

https://www.linkedin.com/posts/camilla-silva-fl%C3%B8istrup-42747446_before-the-implementation-of-the-unitednations-activity-7328778553565614082-UUo1?utm_source=share&utm_medium=member_desktop&rcm=ACoAAAm5F8BBq5n2MhD2BRFtiUcYRHJPt3eAWs ; In

Guinea-Bissau, joint UN initiative helps build a culture where women can demand their rights | PEACEBUILDING

Please tick the applicable change based on above narrative.

How we worked:

*

Please select up to 3.

- Enhanced digitization
- Innovative ways of working
- Mobilized additional resources
- Improved or initiated policy frameworks
- Strengthened capacities
- Partnered with local/grassroots Civil Society Organizations
- Expanding coalitions & galvanizing political will
- Strengthened partnerships with IFIs
- Strengthened partnerships with UN Agencies

Please explain one of the selected options

Please limit your response to 3000 characters.

Overall, state actors involved in the project have shown improved capacities and skills to work on human rights. Within the IMC, there is a clear understanding of what constitutes violence, abuse, exploitation, and neglect against children, how it can be addressed, and how data collected on cases of violence can be used to develop public policies. The IMC has also shown its capacity to work with data that is sensitive while at the same time protecting the rights of victims. Additionally, across several ministries, there are staff who have understood Guinea-Bissau's reporting obligations and can contribute inputs and/or draft reports to treaty bodies. They have shown their capacity to collect data, draft content, and use digital tools to do so. Two new reports were finalised in 2025. Civil society actors have also demonstrated their strengthened capacity to collect data on human rights violations and advocate for positive change. After the mass arrests of 18 May 2024, several human rights organizations that partner with the project issued press releases asking for compliance with human rights law. In 2025, after two consecutive cases of persecution of human rights and environmental defenders were reported, CSOs were able to use UN human rights mechanisms to demand change and accountability.

Please explain one of the selected options

Please limit your response to 3000 characters.

The project built strong partnerships with local and grassroots CSOs, positioning them as key drivers of humanrights promotion and peacebuilding. Through organizations such as RENLUV, AMIC, CODEDIC, and Voz di Paz, humanrights initiatives reached remote and vulnerable communities, extending access to justice, protection, and civic participation. RENLUV's mobile clinics provided psychosocial and legal aid to survivors of GBV; AMIC and CODEDIC strengthened child-protection monitoring; and Voz di Paz facilitated Djumbai dialogues that promoted conflict prevention and social cohesion.

These partnerships enhanced CSO capacity to engage in national policy dialogue and treaty-body reporting, while improving coordination with State institutions. According to the 2025 perception study, 68 percent of CSO respondents reported increased influence in human-rights policymaking, and 74 percent confirmed improved collaboration with government counterparts—evidence of strengthened trust, inclusion, and shared ownership of peacebuilding processes.

Please explain one of the selected options

Please limit your response to 3000 characters.

Who are we working with *

- Strengthened partnerships with IFIs
- Strengthened partnerships between UN Agencies
- Partnered with local civil society organizations
- Partnered with local academia
- Partnered with sub-national entities
- Partnered with national entities
- Partnered with local volunteers

Please explain

Please limit your response to 3000 characters

The project strengthened coordination and synergy among UNDP, OHCHR, and UNICEF, creating a coherent and complementary UN response to human-rights challenges in Guinea-Bissau. Each agency leveraged its comparative advantage—UNDP on governance and access to justice, OHCHR on human-rights standards and protection mechanisms, and UNICEF on child protection systems—to deliver integrated and mutually reinforcing results. Joint planning and implementation ensured a harmonized approach to capacity-building, policy reform, and community outreach. Activities such as the establishment of the Child Protection Case Management System, the development of the CNDH Statute, and the Candonga da Justiça caravans were jointly designed and monitored under a unified results framework.

This collaboration enhanced credibility with government and civil-society partners and served as a model of One-UN peacebuilding coordination. According to the 2025 perception study, stakeholders highlighted the joint UN approach as a key factor in ensuring coherence, effectiveness, and national ownership of results.

Select all beneficiaries targeted with the PBF resources as evidenced by the narrative *

Mandatory

- Unemployed persons
- Minorities (e.g. race, ethnicity, linguistic, religion, etc.)
- Indigenous communities
- Persons with Disabilities
- Persons affected by violence (including GBV)
- Women
- Youth
- Children
- Minorities related to sexual orientation and/or gender identity and expression
- People living in and around border areas
- Persons affected by natural disasters
- Persons affected by armed conflicts
- Internally displaced persons, refugees or migrants

PART IV: Monitoring, Evaluation and Compliance

» Monitoring

Please list key monitoring activities undertaken in the reporting period *

Please limit your response to 3000 characters.

Monitoring and evaluation were integrated throughout implementation. A baseline study was completed in 2022, regularly followed by annual progress reports, a mid-term review, and regular field monitoring missions.

Administrative data from CA Js and the ICT system provided quantitative evidence, while the perception survey supplied qualitative validation.

The perception survey highlighted both progress and risks. While most respondents acknowledged improved capacity and stronger collaboration between state and civil society, a majority warned of sustainability risks due to political instability, high turnover in public administration, and limited state resources.

Financial management was sound, with 100 % budget execution and no major compliance issues identified. Risks from political instability and weak institutional capacity were mitigated by reliance on CSO networks and close coordination with government counterparts.

Do outcome indicators have baselines? *

If only some of the outcome indicators have baselines, select 'yes'

- Yes
- No

If yes, please provide a brief description. If not, explain why not and when they will be available. *

Please limit your response to 3000 characters.

The baseline study report was developed in 2022 to assist the project team and partners with the monitoring and evaluation process of the project. It provides the project's baseline, the revised results framework, and a detailed analysis of the project implementation context, as well as recommendations for adjusting the intervention to meet the desired outcomes. It also assesses the suitability of and proposes changes to the project indicators, targets, and data collection methods.

Elaborate on what sources of evidence have been used to report on indicators (and are available upon request) *

Please limit your response to 3000 characters.

The project has used activity reports, reports developed by partners, pre and post-tests, attendance lists, reports submitted to the treaty bodies, and documents produced by partners as evidence, perception study and findings from the independent evaluation of the project.

Has the project launched outcome level data collection initiatives? e.g. perception surveys *

Perception survey is a formal collection of information from a randomly selected sample of respondents through their responses to standardized questions. See PBF Guidance Note for more information [link](#)

Yes

No

Please provide a brief description *

Please limit your response to 3000 characters.

The project launched a comprehensive Perception Survey on Human Rights and Peacebuilding Outcomes between August and September 2025, led by an independent consulting team and validated jointly by UNDP, OHCHR, and UNICEF. The survey aimed to assess the project's outcome-level impact on institutional capacity, citizen participation, and trust between State and civil society. Data was collected from 142 respondents across all regions, including government representatives, justice officials, civil-society organizations, human-rights defenders, and community leaders. The methodology combined quantitative questionnaires with focus-group discussions and key informant interviews, ensuring a balanced national sample. Findings revealed significant progress in the country's human-rights environment: • 61% of respondents reported improved capacity to address human rights violations. • 58% observed stronger collaboration between the State and civil society. • 72% acknowledged increased community awareness of human rights. • 71% expressed concern about sustainability without continued support. These results provided evidence-based insights for final evaluation, sustainability planning, and future peacebuilding programming.

Has the project used or established community feedback mechanisms? *

Community feedback mechanism, or community-based monitoring, is an organized system for communities of participants to monitor the local effects and impact of an intervention. Ideally, this system empowers the community to express whether their expectations are being met and to provide suggestions to decision-makers for possible (re)focusing. See PBF Guidance Note for more information. [link](#)

Yes

No

Please provide a brief description *

Please limit your response to 3000 characters.

» Evaluation

Is the project on track to conduct its evaluation?

*

- Yes
- No
- Not Applicable

Evaluation budget (in USD) included in the project budget:

*

Response required

50000

If project will end in next six months, is your upcoming evaluation on track?

- Yes
- No
- Not Applicable

Please describe the preparations

Please limit your response to 3000 characters.

Contact information	Name	Organization	Job title	Email
Please mention the focal person responsible for sharing the final evaluation report with the PBF:	Armel Olivier Yapi	UNDP	Project Manager	armel.yapi@undp.org

» Catalytic Effect

Catalytic Effect (financial): Has the project mobilized additional non-PBF financial resources since the project's start? *

Yes
 No

How many funders has the project received additional non-PBF funding from **since the project started?** *

.....

Catalytic Effect (non-financial): Has the project enabled or created a larger or longer-term peacebuilding change to occur, in addition to the direct project changes? Please refer to PBF Catalytic Effect Guidelines for more information. *

Yes
 No

If yes, please select the relevant option below: *

Some catalytic effect
 Significant catalytic effect

If relevant, please describe how the project has had a (non-financial) catalytic effect, i.e. removed barriers to unblock stalled political, institutional or other peacebuilding processes at different levels in a country, and/or created the conditions to establish new processes to do so

Please limit your response to 3000 characters.

The PBF Human Rights Project played a catalytic role in unblocking institutional reforms and creating an enabling environment for long-term peacebuilding and justice transformation in Guinea-Bissau. The final independent evaluation confirms that the project went beyond expected outputs, fostering systemic change in human rights governance, state-civil society relations, and access to justice.

Institutionally, the project reignited stalled national dialogue on human rights, leading to the approval of the revised Statute of the National Human Rights Commission (CNDH) and initiating work on a Law for the Ombudsperson. This was made possible through the project's convening power and technical facilitation, which shifted resistant attitudes into active institutional support, fostering political ownership of reform agendas. The project catalyzed a rethinking of national legal aid models. A partnership with Brazil's Public Defender Office (CONDEGE) introduced new approaches focused on mediation, conciliation, and the protection of vulnerable groups. This innovation inspired strategic reflections on the constitutionalization of legal aid and led to the EU replicating the approach under its own Access to Justice initiative—demonstrating catalytic influence and leverage without further PBF funding.

In the child protection sector, the development of a national Case Management System (CMS) removed longstanding barriers to reporting and response. It standardized procedures, professionalized institutional roles, and enabled data-driven policy decisions. The system is now attracting alignment from other ministries and donors, representing a significant structural shift.

The project transformed state-civil society collaboration by promoting joint monitoring, multisectoral dialogues, and co-authored UPR submissions. This normalized civic engagement in a historically fragmented context and was evident in the 2025 UPR process, where six CSOs traveled to Geneva to advocate for national reforms—an unprecedented move in Guinea-Bissau's human rights history.

Finally, the project fostered programmatic convergence across justice, governance, gender, and peacebuilding sectors. By promoting coordination platforms and shared learning, it aligned agendas and operational strategies among UN agencies, government institutions, and NGOs. This collective momentum is regarded by evaluators as one of the project's most important legacies, laying a durable foundation for future reforms.

Sustainability

Please describe any steps that have been taken to ensure the sustainability of peacebuilding gains, including any mechanisms, platforms, networks and socio-economic initiatives supported, beyond the duration of the project *

Please limit your response to 3000 characters.

Sustainability: Please describe any steps that have been taken to ensure the sustainability of peacebuilding gains, including any mechanisms, platforms, networks, and socio-economic initiatives supported, beyond the duration of the project (3000 characters):

The project invested strategically in developing durable national and community capacities, increasing the likelihood that peacebuilding and human-rights gains will continue beyond the funding period. The independent final evaluation confirms that sustainability prospects are moderate to high, particularly where tools, systems, and partnerships were institutionalized rather than project-dependent.

The Human Rights Defenders Network expanded its operational autonomy through new partnerships with the Danish Institute for Human Rights, the West African Human Rights Defenders Network, and Front-Line Defenders. The evaluation highlights these alliances as evidence of improved organizational credibility, technical capacity, and fundraising ability, demonstrated by the Network's successful mobilization of new funding to implement a project on corruption and human rights. Evaluators note that this reflects "a transition from beneficiary to proactive actor," indicating long-term viability.

The project also reinforced national protection and prevention systems, most notably the Child Protection Case Management System (CMS), which is now managed and utilized by State institutions, through the Institute for Women and Children (IMC) and its regional child-protection Units. According to the evaluation, the CMS has become a routine administrative tool, embedded in institutional workflows, with trained technicians demonstrating continued use without project support. This systematization significantly reduces dependency on external actors. Similarly, the integration of human-rights early-warning mechanisms into the work of Voz di Paz and the Justice Access Centres (CA Js) ensures ongoing monitoring, mediation, and rapid response to community tensions. The evaluation identifies this as a structural sustainability gain, as early-warning and dispute-resolution functions are now carried out by nationally recognized actors with established legitimacy.

Overall, the evaluation concludes that sustainability was strengthened through three reinforcing pathways:

- institutionalization of tools and practices,
- capacity development of State and civil-society actors, and
- long-term partnerships and resource diversification.

However, it also notes that continued political commitment, budget allocation, and staff retention remain essential to protect these achievements against institutional volatility.

Are there any other issues concerning project implementation that you want to share, including any capacity needs of the recipient organizations?

Please limit your response to 3000 characters.

Monitoring and Oversight Activities

Please describe any key event related to monitoring and oversight. Please click next if no activities have yet taken place.

Events include Steering Committee meetings, Monitoring visits, Third party monitoring, Community based monitoring, any data collection, Perception or other survey findings, evaluation reports, audit or investigations.

Monitoring and oversight activities	Name of the Event	Summary	Key Findings
Event 1			
Event 2			
Event 3			
Event 4			
Event 5			
Event 6			
Event 7			
Event 8			

Final Steps

- Please save a PDF copy of the form by clicking on the *Printer* icon on the top right corner of the page.
- A dialogue box will appear: Please select the A4 size and portrait orientation.
- Click "prepare" and save the document as a PDF.
- (If on first attempt, the generated page is not readable, close the pop up page and go back to the first page of the online form using the "Return to Beginning" option and try to print the PDF version from there)
- After printing the PDF version, please submit the report in the last page of the form. You can use the "Go to End" button in the bottom right corner.
- In compliance with our reporting requirements, **please upload the PDF version of the report as well as your *financial report in excel format* to the MPTF-O Gateway.**

If you encounter any difficulty in filling the form or generating the print-out for MPTFO Gateway, please contact Gabriel Velastegui gabriel.velasteguimoya@un.org

Thank You. You have finished the report. Please Click on the SUBMIT button below. When the report is submitted, a confirmation note will appear on a yellow banner on top of the page. This can take a few seconds.