

PBF November 2025 Project Progress Report



**PEACEBUILDING
FUND** 

PROJECT OVERVIEW

Thank you for taking the time to complete the PBF Progress report. For projects with more than one recipient, please consult among co-recipients prior to filling out the form to ensure collaboration on the responses. If you have any questions or require technical assistance in filling out the form, please send an email to gabriel.velasteguimoya@un.org

Click Next below to start

» Report Submission

Type of report *

- Semi-annual
- Annual
- Final
- Other

Date of submission of report *

2025-11-15

Name and title of person submitting the report *

Mamadou Salieu Bah, M&E Specialist

E-mail of person submitting the report *

mamadou.salieu.bah@undp.org

Name and title of person who approved the report *

Golda Keng, golda.keng@undp.org

Have all fund recipients for this project contributed to the report? *

- Yes
 No

Did PBF Secretariat or RCO focal point review the report? *

You should normally ensure that the PBF Secretariat or the PBF focal point have an opportunity to review.

- Yes
 No
 Not Applicable

» Project Information and Geographical Scope

Is this a cross-border project? *

- Yes No

Please select the geographical region in which the project is implemented

- Asia and the Pacific
- Europe and Central Asia
- Middle East and North Africa
- Central & Southern Africa
- Global
- West Africa
- East Africa
- Latin America and the Caribbean

Country of project implementation *

- Benin
- Gambia
- Guinea-Bissau
- Mauritania
- Senegal
- Other, Specify
- Burkina Faso
- Ghana
- Liberia
- Niger
- Sierra Leone
- Cote D'Ivoire
- Guinea
- Mali
- Nigeria
- Togo

Other, please specify *

Project Title *

- 00130106: Promoting peace and social cohesion through provision of mental health services and psychosocial well-being of SGBV survivors in The Gambia
- 00120496: Strengthening Community Access to Justice, Community Policing and Effective SGBV Response
- 00119440: Strengthening community coping mechanisms against risks of climate induced conflicts and to minimize gender related vulnerabilities and tensions in The Gambia
- 00119603: Strengthening inclusive citizen engagement for more accountable governance in The Gambia
- 00129530: Support to the implementation and monitoring of the Truth, Reconciliation and Reparations Commission (TRRC) recommendations in The Gambia
- 00124863: Young women and men as stakeholders in ensuring peaceful democratic processes and advocates for the prevention of violence and hate speech
- 00134234: Support to a PBF Secretariat in The Gambia to enhance coordination and peacebuilding impact
- 00134329: Strengthening the National Infrastructure for Peace to Promote Social Cohesion in The Gambia
- 00140056: Empowering Gambian youth to voice their demands to duty bearers on issues of governance reconciliation and prevention
- 00140137: Strengthening Civil Society Organizations to promote gender equality and women's empowerment through implementation of the Action Plan on UNSCR 1325 and the TRRC White Paper Recommendations
- 00140643: Empowering Communities in The Gambia to prevent mitigate and resolve land related conflicts exacerbated by climate change
- 00140771: Empowering Marginalized Young People for Inclusion in Civic Space and Local Infrastructures for Peace
- 00141024: Sustaining The Gambia's peaceful transition by supporting implementation of the Security Sector Reform Strategy
- Other, Specify

Write the 8 digit MPTFO number and Project Title exactly as it appears in the Project Document *

EXAMPLE: 00118938: Community-based prevention of violence and social cohesion using innovation for young people in displaced and host communities

Please select the geographical region(s) in which the project is implemented *

If the project you are looking for does not appear in the following question, please make sure that you have selected the correct regions. A limited number of cross border projects span multiple geographic regions. For example, a cross border project between Niger and Chad spans both West Africa and Central & Southern Africa

- Asia and the Pacific
- Europe and Central Asia
- Middle East and North Africa
- Central & Southern Africa
- Global
- West Africa
- East Africa
- Latin America and the Caribbean

Please select the title of the project for which you are submitting the report *

Write the 8 digit MPTFO numbers and Project Title exactly as it appears in the Project Document *

EXAMPLE: 00129699/700: Supporting Cross-Border Cooperation for Increased Community Resilience and Social Cohesion in The Gambia and Senegal

Please select the countries where this project is being implemented *

Other, Please specify *

Project Start Date (Date of first transfer) *

2022-12-12

Project End Date *

2027-01-12

Has this project received an extension? *

- YES, Cost Extension
- YES, No Cost Extension
- YES, Both Cost and No Cost Extensions
- NO, No Extensions

Will this project be requesting an extension? *

- YES, Cost Extension
- YES, No Cost Extension
- YES, Both Cost and No Cost Extensions
- NO, No Extensions

Will this project be submitting a Fund Transfer Request (FTR) in the next six months? *

- Yes
- No

If so, around which month do you expect to submit the request? *

- | | | |
|-------------------------------|--------------------------------|---------------------------------|
| <input type="radio"/> January | <input type="radio"/> February | <input type="radio"/> March |
| <input type="radio"/> April | <input type="radio"/> May | <input type="radio"/> June |
| <input type="radio"/> July | <input type="radio"/> August | <input type="radio"/> September |
| <input type="radio"/> October | <input type="radio"/> November | <input type="radio"/> December |

Is the current project end date within 6 months? *

- Yes
- No

Is funding disbursed either into a national or regional trust fund? *

- Yes
- No

If yes, please select which *

- National Trust Fund
- Regional Trust Fund

Recipients

Is the convening agency a UN agency or a non UN entity? *

- UN entity
- Non-UN Entity

Please select the convening agency recipient *

- UNDP: United Nations Development Programme IOM: International Organization for Migration
- UNICEF: United Nations Children's Fund
- OHCHR: Office of the United Nations High Commissioner for Human Rights
- UNWOMEN: United Nations Entity for Gender Equality and the Empowerment of Women
- UNHCR: United Nations High Commissioner for Refugees UNFPA: United Nations Population Fund
- FAO: Food and Agriculture Organization WFP: World Food Programme
- UNHABITAT: United Nations Human Settlements Programme
- UNESCO: United Nations Educational, Scientific and Cultural Organization
- UNEP: United Nations Environment Programme ILO: International Labour Organization
- WHO: World Health Organization PAHO/WHO
- UNCDF: United Nations Capital Development Fund UNODC: United Nations Office on Drugs and Crime
- UNOPS: United Nations Office for Project Services
- UNIDO: United Nations Industrial Development Organization ITC: International Trade Centre
- UNDPO Other, Specify

Other, Please specify *

.....

Are there other recipients for this project? *

- No other recipients
- Yes, other UN recipients only
- Yes, other non-UN recipients only
- Yes, both UN and non-UN recipients

Please select other UN recipients *

Select all that apply

- UNDP: United Nations Development Programme IOM: International Organization for Migration
- UNICEF: United Nations Children's Fund
- OHCHR: Office of the United Nations High Commissioner for Human Rights
- UNWOMEN: United Nations Entity for Gender Equality and the Empowerment of Women
- UNHCR: United Nations High Commissioner for Refugees UNFPA: United Nations Population Fund
- FAO: Food and Agriculture Organization WFP: World Food Programme
- UNHABITAT: United Nations Human Settlements Programme
- UNESCO: United Nations Educational, Scientific and Cultural Organization
- UNEP: United Nations Environment Programme ILO: International Labour Organization
- WHO: World Health Organization PAHO/WHO
- UNCDF: United Nations Capital Development Fund UNODC: United Nations Office on Drugs and Crime
- UNOPS: United Nations Office for Project Services
- UNIDO: United Nations Industrial Development Organization ITC: International Trade Centre
- UN Department of Peace Operations Other, Specify

Other, Please specify *

Please select other non-UN recipients

- ACTED
 Action Aid UK
 AAITG (ActionAid the Gambia)
- AEDE
 African Centre for the Constructive Resolution of Disputes (ACCORD)
- Agence de Coopération et de Recherche pour le Développement (ACORD)
- American Friends Service Committee (AFSC)
 Avocats Sans Frontières
- Avocats Sans Frontières Belgium
 Avocats sans frontières Canada
 Ayuda en Accion
- BIRN - Balkan Investigative Reporting Network
 BIOM -Youth Ecological Movemen
- CARE International UK
 Centre d'étude et de coopération internationale (CECI) - BF
- Christian Aid Ireland
 COIPRODEN
 Concern Worldwide
- Conexion Guatemala
 COOPI - Cooperazione Internazionale
 CORD Burundi
- CORDAID
 Corporacion Sisma Mujer
 CRS - Catholic Relief Services
- DanChurchAid
 Danish Refugee Council
 EQUITAS
- Fund for Congolese Women
 Fundacion Estudios Superior (FESU)
 Fundación Mi Sangre (FMS)
- Fundación Nacional para el Desarrollo de Honduras (FUNADEH)
 Fundación para la Libertad de Prensa (FLIP)
- Geneva Centre for Security Sector Governance (DCAF)
 HELVETAS Swiss Intercooperation
- Humanity & Inclusion (HI)
 ICTJ (International Center for Transitional Justice)
- Instituto Holandes para Democracia Multipartidaria (NIMD)
 Integrity Watch
- International Alert
 International Rescue Committee
 Interpeace
- Kvinna till Kvinna Foundation
 Life and Peace Institute (LPI)
- MDG-EISA - Institut Electoral pour une Démocratie Durable en Afrique (EISA), bureau de Madagascar
- Mercy Corps
 MLAL - ProgettoMondo
 MSIS-TATAO
- NIMD (Netherlands Institute for Multiparty Democracy)
 Nonviolent Peaceforce
- Norwegian Refugee Council (NRC)
 Nile Sustainable Development Organization - NSDO
- OCNH-Organisation des Citoyens pour une Nouvelle Haïti
 OIKOS
- ONG Adkoul - ONG Adkoul
 ONG AZHAR
 OXFAM
- Peace Direct
 Plan International
 PNG UN Country Fund
- Red de Instituciones por los Derechos de la Niñez
 ROI - Roza Otunbayeva Initiati
- Saferworld
 Sampan'Asa Momba ny Fampandrosoana (SAF/FJKM)
- Save the Children
 Search for Common Ground (SFCG)
- SIHA (Strategic Initiative for Women in the Horn of Africa)
 SismaMujer
- SOS Sahel Sudan
 Stichting Impunity Watch
 Tearfund
- The Carter Center, Inc.
 Trocaire
 War Child
- War Childhood Museum (WCM)
 World Vision International
 World Vision Myanmar
- ZOA
 blank_placeholder
 Other, Please specify

Other, Please specify *

Implementing Partners

To how many implementing partners has the project transferred money **since the project's start ?**

0

To how many implementing partners has the project transferred money **during this calendar period ?**

(for June reports: January-June;

for November reports: January-December (anticipated);

for final reports: full project duration)

0

Financial Reporting

» Delivery by Recipient

Please enter the total amounts in full US dollars allocated to each recipient organization

Please enter the original budget amount, amount transferred to date and estimated expenditure by recipient.

*Please make sure you enter the correct amount. All values should be entered in **US Dollars***

For cross-border projects, group the amounts by agency, even if different country offices are involved. You will have the opportunity to share a more detailed budget in the next section.

Recipients	Total Project Budget (in full US \$)	Transfers to date (in full US \$)	Expenditure to date (in full US \$)	Implementati on rate as a percentage of total budget (calculated automatically)
	<i>Please enter the total budget as is in the project document in US Dollars</i>	<i>Please enter the total amount transferred to each recipient to date in US Dollars</i>	<i>Please enter the approximate amount spent to date in US dollars</i>	

UNDP: United Nations Development Programme	* 1633616	* 1188431	* 728885.56	44.62%
	*	*	*	%
OHCHR: Office of the United Nations High Commissioner for Human Rights	* 241108.45	* 241108.45	* 241108.45	100%
	*	*	*	%
	*	*	*	%
	*	*	*	%
	*	*	*	%
	*	*	*	%
	*	*	*	%

	*	*	*	%
	*	*	*	%
	*	*	*	%
	*	*	*	%
	*	*	*	%
	*	*	*	%
	*	*	*	%
TOTAL	1874724.45	1429539.45	969994.01	51.74%

The approximate implementation rate as percentage of total project budget based on the values entered in the above matrix is **51.74%**. Can you confirm that this is correct? *

Correct Incorrect

If it is incorrect, please enter the approximate implementation rate as a % *

» Gender-responsive Budgeting

Indicate what **percentage (%)** of the budget contributes to gender equality or women's empowerment (GEWE) as per the project document? *

34

The dollar amount of the budget contributing to Gender Equality and Women's Empowerment (GEWE) based on percentage entered above and total project budget is **US \$ 637406.31**. Can you confirm that this is correct? *

Correct Incorrect

If it is incorrect, please enter the *budget amount* allocated to GEWE in US Dollars *

Amount expended to date on efforts contributing to gender equality or women's empowerment is **US \$ 329797.96**. Is this correct? *

Correct Incorrect

If it is incorrect, please enter the *expenditure to date* on GEWE in US dollars *

ATTACH PROJECT EXCEL BUDGET SHOWING CURRENT APPROXIMATE EXPENDITURE. *

The templates for the budget are available [here](#)

PBF Secretariat_Annual Reporting Nov 2025 (Edits)-17_0_36.xlsx



Project Markers

Please select the Gender Marker Associated with this project *

- Score 1 for projects that contribute in some way to gender equality, but not significantly (less than 30% of the total budget for GEWE)
- Score 2 for projects that have gender equality as a significant objective and allocate between 30 and 79% of the total project budget to GEWE
- Score 3 for projects that have gender equality as a principal objective and allocate at least 80% of the total project budget to Gender Equality and Women's Empowerment (GEWE)

Please select the Risk Marker Associated with this project *

- Risk marker 0 = low risk to achieving outcomes
- Risk marker 1 = medium risk to achieving outcomes
- Risk marker 2 = high risk to achieving outcomes

Please select the PBF Focus Area associated with this project *

- (1.1) Security Sector Reform
- (1.2) Rule of Law
- (1.3) Demobilisation, Disarmament and Reintegration
- (1.4) Political Dialogue
- (2.1) National reconciliation
- (2.2) Democratic Governance
- (2.3) Conflict prevention/management
- (3.1) Employment
- (3.2) Equitable access to social services
- (4.1) Strengthening of essential national state capacity
- (4.2) Extension of state authority/Local Administration
- (4.3) Governance of peacebuilding resources (including PBF Secretariats)

Is the project part of one or more PBF priority windows? *

Select all that apply

- Gender promotion initiative
- Youth promotion initiative
- Transition from UN or regional peacekeeping or special political missions
- Cross-border or regional project
- None

Steering Committee and Government engagement

Does the project have an active steering committee/ project board? *

- Yes
- No

If yes, please indicate how many times the Project Steering Committee has met over the last 6 months?

Please limit your response to 3000 characters

1

Please provide a brief description of any engagement that the project has had with the government over the last 6 months. Please indicate what level of government the project has been engaging with.

Please limit your response to 3000 characters

Over the past 11 months, the PBF Secretariat has maintained regular and structured engagement with the Government of The Gambia at both strategic and technical levels, primarily through established Peacebuilding Fund (PBF) coordination and oversight mechanisms.

At the strategic and policy level, engagement has taken place through the PBF Joint Steering Committee (JSC), co-chaired by the Secretary to Cabinet and Head of the Civil Service and the United Nations Resident Coordinator. During the June 2025 JSC meeting, the Government was represented by the National Security Adviser, alongside senior officials from central government institutions and civil society representatives. The meeting reviewed progress across the PBF portfolio, discussed the implementation of recommendations from the 2023 Portfolio Evaluation, and provided strategic guidance on emerging peacebuilding priorities. These engagements ensured alignment of PBF objectives with national peacebuilding, governance, and transitional justice priorities.

At the technical and coordination level, the PBF Secretariat organized and participated in portfolio technical discussions, coordination meetings, and preparatory engagements for the September 2025 JSC field monitoring mission and the PBSO partner technical visit to the Gambia held in December 2025. These engagements involved senior technical officials and focal points from relevant government institutions and CSOs responsible for implementation, oversight, and coordination, reinforcing national ownership, accountability, and results-based management.

To further strengthen coordination, the PBF Portfolio Technical Committee (PTC) was expanded to include technical representation from JSC member institutions, in line with recommendations from previous JSC meetings. A second PTC retreat, organized by the Secretariat in October, focused on 2025 priorities and contributed to improved understanding of portfolio projects, enhanced coordination, and strengthened synergies within and across projects to support sustainability and impact.

The JSC membership comprises the UN Country Team, Government representatives, development partners and donor countries, regional organizations, international financial institutions (including the World Bank), and civil society organizations, ensuring a whole-of-system approach to peacebuilding coordination and oversight.

PART I: OVERALL PROJECT PROGRESS

NOTES FOR COMPLETING THE REPORT:

- Avoid acronyms and UN jargon, use general /common language.
- Report on what has been achieved in the reporting period, not what the project aims to do.
- Be as concrete as possible. Avoid theoretical, vague or conceptual discourse.
- Ensure the analysis and project progress assessment is gender and age sensitive.

Please rate the implementation status of the following preliminary/preparatory activities

Contracting of partners *

- Not Started
- Initiated
- Partially Completed
- Completed
- Not Applicable

Staff Recruitment *

- Not Started
- Initiated
- Partially Completed
- Completed
- Not Applicable

Collection of baselines *

- Not Started
- Initiated
- Partially Completed
- Completed
- Not Applicable

Identification of beneficiaries *

- Not Started
- Initiated
- Partially Completed
- Completed
- Not Applicable

Provide any additional descriptive information relating to the status of the project, including whether preliminary/preparatory activities have been completed (i.e. contracting of partners, staff recruitment, etc.)

Please limit your response to 3000 characters

The staff recruitment for all five PBF secretariat Positions were completed and personnel onboard:

- **PBF Programme Coordinator,**
- **M&E Specialist,**
- **Project Associate admin and finance**
- **Knowledge Management officer**
- **Driver**

Note: In line with the new policy phasing out all SC contracts by 22 December 2025, the Driver position will be transitioned from an SC modality to a Fixed-Term Appointment (FTA). The position has been re-advertised accordingly, and the recruitment process is currently ongoing, with completion expected within the first quarter of 2026.

Describe overall progress under each Outcome made during the reporting period (*for June reports: January-June; for November reports: January-December (anticipated); for final reports: full project duration*). Do not list individual activities. If the project is starting to make/has made a difference at the outcome level, provide specific evidence for the progress (quantitative and qualitative) and explain how it impacts the broader political and peacebuilding context.

Is the project on track for the timely completion of outputs as indicated in the workplan? *

- Yes
- No

If no, please provide an explanation
Please limit your response to 6000 characters

*

Project progress summary

Please limit your response to 6000 characters

During the period under review, the Peacebuilding Fund (PBF) Secretariat continued to significantly consolidate national collaboration and coordination to maximise and sustained coherent peacebuilding milestones in The Gambia. Such efforts and initiatives by the Secretariat have brought together most of the relevant actors on platforms and decision-making bodies which enhanced collaboration and coherent of the PBF supported initiatives in country. Below is a comprehensive summary of the progress made from January to November 2025.

The PBF Joint Steering Committee (JSC) continued to provide strategic oversight of the portfolio, with its most recent meeting held on 25 June 2025. The meeting convened representatives from the Government, UN Country Team, development partners, regional organizations, international financial institutions, and civil society. Government participation was led by the National Security Adviser from the Office of the President, alongside senior officials from key institutions. The JSC reviewed portfolio progress, discussed implementation of Portfolio Evaluation recommendations, and provided strategic guidance on emerging peacebuilding priorities, ensuring alignment with national governance, peacebuilding, and transitional justice objectives. Members also received updates on ongoing projects, the Strategic Results Framework (SRF 2024–2028), and key portfolio processes such as the Country Spotlight.

At the technical level, the PBF Secretariat facilitated portfolio coordination and engagement meetings, including support to the UK mission in April 2025, the JSC joint monitoring visit in September 2025, and the PBSO Partner Technical Mission in December 2025. These engagements strengthened accountability, coordination, and national ownership of the portfolio.

To further enhance coordination, the Portfolio Technical Committee (PTC) was expanded to include technical representatives from JSC member institutions. A second PTC retreat, held in October, reviewed project implementation progress, identified PBF priorities for 2025, and strengthened stakeholder understanding, coordination, and synergies across projects to support sustainability and impact.

PBSO High-Level Partner Mission to Gambia successfully facilitated by the PBF secretariat.

The PBF Secretariat successfully facilitated two PBF missions (UK Mission and PBSO Partner Mission) that visited the Gambia in 2025, providing coordination and logistical support for meetings with government representatives, civil society, and UN implementing partners. These engagements allowed the two mission delegations to review progress and challenges within The Gambia's PBF-supported peacebuilding portfolio.

From 8–12 December 2025, a PBF Partner Mission led by the Peacebuilding Support Office (PBSO), with representatives from the Peacebuilding Commission, donor partners, and the UN system, undertook a technical mission to The Gambia at a critical juncture in the country's democratic transition. The mission reviewed the cumulative impact of PBF support since 2017 and identified priorities for the next phase of peacebuilding engagement as the country approaches the 2026 elections.

The mission confirmed strong national ownership and trust in the UN and the PBF, with senior government counterparts highlighting the Fund's catalytic role in advancing transitional justice, strengthening institutional coordination, supporting early security sector reforms, and expanding civic space for women, youth, and civil society. At the outcome level, PBF investments were found to have contributed to more institutionalized peacebuilding processes, particularly in relation to post-TRRC mechanisms, community-based conflict prevention, and cross-border cooperation.

Field engagements in the Upper, Central, and Lower River Regions demonstrated emerging local capacities for dialogue, early warning, and social cohesion, while also underscoring the need for consolidation and sustainability. Strategically, the mission highlighted the importance of leveraging The Gambia's recent World Bank Turn Around Allocation (TAA) status to embed peacebuilding priorities into broader governance and development reforms through strengthened UN-IFI collaboration.

Overall, the mission reaffirmed the PBF's comparative advantage as a catalytic instrument, linking national reforms, local peace dividends, and international financing to support a resilient and inclusive transition.

UK Mission

A key takeaway from the UK mission was the need to reorient focus toward critical national transition priorities such as transitional justice, governance reforms, and institutional strengthening rather than primarily on community-level social cohesion and livelihood activities. This feedback is informing ongoing portfolio discussions

and shaping future project development to ensure alignment with the country's transitional agenda.

During the reporting period, the PBF Secretariat provided technical guidance to OHCHR, UNDP, and UNFPA in finalizing the Public Accountability and Civic Space proposal valued at \$2.5 million, following extensive consultations with government, partners, and the UNCT. The Secretariat strengthened project reporting through training sessions in May and October 2025 on updated PBF reporting templates, improving results-based reporting, quality, and timeliness of submissions. Continuous oversight support was provided through review of narrative and financial reports, facilitation of tranche disbursements and No-Cost Extensions, and uploading reports to Kobo and MPTFO platforms.

Monitoring, evaluation, and learning efforts included joint monitoring visits across the regions, support to project evaluations, and portfolio-wide data collection, including the Country Spotlight exercise. Initial baseline data collection for the Strategic Results Framework began and will continue in early 2026.

Indicate any additional analysis on how Gender Equality and Women's Empowerment and/or Youth Inclusion and Responsiveness has been ensured by the project to date

Please limit your response to 3000 characters

In line with recommendations from the portfolio evaluation, the PBF Secretariat adopted an inclusive and proactive approach to ensure gender equality, women's empowerment, and youth inclusion are central to all PBF interventions.

Gender sensitivity is systematically integrated throughout the project cycle, from concept note development to final implementation plans, ensuring potential disparities are identified and addressed for equitable outcomes. Women's civil society and youth organizations are actively engaged during consultations, guaranteeing their perspectives inform project design and execution.

The Secretariat collaborates closely with UN gender focal points to embed gender considerations in all project reviews, consultations, and evaluations. Efforts also prioritize women's and youth participation in workshops, training sessions, and decision-making forums, fostering meaningful contributions to peacebuilding initiatives.

Gender and youth inclusion indicators are incorporated into project monitoring and evaluation frameworks, ensuring that peacebuilding outcomes are achieved through a gender-responsive and youth-inclusive lens.

Is the project 1+ year in implementation?

Yes

No

FOR PROJECTS 1+ YEAR IN IMPLEMENTATION ONLY:

Is the project demonstrating outcome-level peacebuilding results?

Outcome-level peacebuilding results entail results achieved at the societal or structural level, including changed attitudes, behaviours or institutions.

Yes

No

If yes, please provide concrete examples of such peacebuilding results

Please limit your response to 6000 characters

The PBF Secretariat continued to advance outcome-level peacebuilding results by strengthening inclusive collaboration and coordination among peacebuilding stakeholders in The Gambia, ensuring that diverse actors including government institutions, civil society, and women and youth-led organizations, actively shape PBF priorities. Through sustained consultations, planning sessions, and joint design processes, the Secretariat facilitated inclusive participation from government institutions, civil society (including women's and youth led organizations), and development partners.

These inclusive processes contributed to a shift in institutional behaviour, with UN agencies continually adopting more joint programming approaches and engaging diverse stakeholders, including vulnerable groups in project design and implementation. This has increased ownership, enhanced relevance of interventions, and laid the foundation for more sustainable peacebuilding outcomes. The Secretariat:

- **Continuous technical support in planning, design, and implementation improved the quality and alignment of new peacebuilding initiatives with national priorities.**
- **Strengthened M&E systems, including baselines and evaluations of projects, supporting data collection and analysis for informed evidence-based programming and cross-learning.**
- **Targeted capacity-building initiatives improved results orientation (reporting) and fostered stronger collaboration across implementing partners.**

Overall, the improved coordination and evidence-informed decision-making supported a transition from fragmented initiatives toward more coherent, portfolio-level approaches. Improvements in monitoring and learning practices further reinforced accountability and adaptive management, enabling stakeholders to better use lessons learned to inform policy dialogue and PBF programming. Collectively, these changes have strengthened the peacebuilding ecosystem in The Gambia, contributing to more resilient institutions and inclusive processes especially on transitional justice supporting long-term sustainability.

The continued operationalization of the PBF Joint Steering Committee (JSC) and Technical Committee have improved institutional capacity for a more coordinated decision-making and strategic oversight of peacebuilding investments. Co-chaired by the Government and UN, and inclusive of civil society, development partners, and the private sector, these mechanisms have institutionalized participatory decision-making and fostered greater accountability in peacebuilding governance.

- **Regular JSC and technical committee meetings enhanced coordination, accelerated decision-making, and improved alignment of portfolio priorities.**
- **Stakeholder representation including women, youth, and marginalized voices ensured that peacebuilding processes reflect diverse perspectives and needs.**
- **JSC decisions endorsed key portfolio instruments, such as the 2024–2028 Strategic Results Framework, yearly strategic priority areas, and new proposals development, reinforcing shared strategic direction.**

With the facilitation of regular consultations, joint planning, and collective monitoring through the JSC and projects, the PBF Secretariat fostered a culture of collaboration and accountability among peacebuilding stakeholders. These practices enhanced coherence of interventions and strengthened their responsiveness to the priorities of the Gambian people.

This collaborative approach has increased the visibility and credibility of PBF interventions, directly contributing to catalytic financing such as the EU's €9 million Great Project, expanding transitional justice programming initiated by the PBF. The secretariat:

- **Continued to support the Country Spotlight exercise and national consultations to generate evidence of peacebuilding impact, particularly on transitional justice.**
- **Expanded dissemination of results and lessons learned, enabling stronger advocacy and informed resource mobilization.**
- **Promoted gender equality and youth inclusion as cross-cutting priorities, ensuring that peacebuilding processes are inclusive and equitable.**

If yes, please provide sources or references (including links) as evidence of peacebuilding results, or submit them as additional attachments.

Evidence may be quantitative or qualitative but needs to demonstrate progress against outcome indicators in the project results framework. Sources may include project surveys (such as perception surveys), monitoring reports, government documents, or other knowledge products that have been developed by the project.

File attachment

Draft Report- PBF Partners Mission to The Gambia_8-12 Dec 2025-11_32_16.pdf



PART II: PROJECT RESULTS FRAMEWORK

How many OUTCOMES does this project have *

1 2 3 4 5 more than 5.

Please write out the project outcomes as they are in the project results framework found in the project document

Outcome 1: *

Enhanced portfolio and project level programming through effective support from PBF Secretariat on strategic planning, design, implementation, M&E, partnerships and cross learning

Outcome 2: *

Operational PBF Joint Steering Committee supporting strategic guidance, monitoring and advocacy around PBF projects

Outcome 3: *

Improved visibility and evidence-based advocacy to support catalytic effects

Outcome 4: *

Outcome 5: *

Outcome 6: *

Outcome 7: *

Outcome 8: *

Additional Outcomes *

If the project has more than 8 outcomes, please enumerate the remaining outcomes here

INDICATOR BASED PERFORMANCE ASSESSMENT

Using the Project Results Framework as per the approved project document or any amendments, provide an update on the achievement of all **outcome** and **output** indicators in the table below.

- Where it has not been possible to collect data on indicators, state this and provide any explanation.
- Provide gender and age disaggregated data. (500 characters max per entry)

» Outcome 1: Enhanced portfolio and project level programming through effective support from PBF Secretariat on strategic planning, design, implementation, M&E, partnerships and cross learning

O C 1	Outcome Indicator s	Indicator Baseline	End of Project Indicator Target	Indicator progress for reporting period	Indicator progress since project's start	Reasons for Variance/ Delay (if any)
1. 1	Indicator 1.1 Level of compliance of all PBF projects in The Gambia ensured through the operations of the PBF Secretariat for optimum peacebuilding impact	Secretariat is in place and functional	Improved coordination and understanding of PBF procedures by concerned stakeholders and enhanced synergies between projects.	On Track(JSC/PTC operational, coordination meetings on and improved PBF understanding among stakeholders observed	On track	
1. 2	Indicator 1.2 Level of stakeholders' satisfaction with the PBF Secretariat	Medium	High	high	On Track	
1. 3						
1. 4						

1. 5						
---------	--	--	--	--	--	--

How many outputs does outcome 1 have?

1 2 3 **4** 5 more than 5.

Please list all outputs for outcome 1

Output 1.1

All PBF projects leverage PBF comparative advantage and comply with PBF project management standards in close collaboration with RCO, UNCT/AFPs and PBSO

Output 1.2

Strengthened portfolio-level support and multi-stakeholder cooperation

Output 1.3

Sustained coordination, information-sharing and synergy-building with key partners

Output 1.4

Continuous support to project/portfolio-level monitoring and evaluation

Output 1.5

Other Outputs

If Outcome 1 has more than 5 outputs, please enumerate the remaining outputs here

For each output, and using the, project results framework, provide an update on the progress made against all output indicators

» Output 1.1: All PBF projects leverage PBF comparative advantage and comply with PBF project management standards in close collaboration with RCO, UNCT/AFP and PBSO

1. 1	Output Indicators <i>Describe the indicator</i>	Indicator Baseline <i>State the baseline value of the indicator</i>	End of Project Indicator Target <i>State the target value of the indicator at the end of the project</i>	Indicator progress for reporting period <i>State the current value of the indicator for the reporting period</i>	Indicator progress since project's start <i>State the current cumulative value of the indicator since the start of the project</i>	Reasons for Variance/ Delay (if any) <i>Explain why the indicator is off track or has changed, where relevant</i>
1. 1. 1	Indicator 1.1.2 # of training or consultation sessions organized or facilitated by the PBF secretariat for project teams on quality design, impl, M&E and result reporting of PBF funded projects.	3 consultations on 2022 projects design targeting UN, govt & CSOs	5 consultations targeting PBF project teams & partners on design, impl, M&E and reporting of PBF funded projects	5 consultations including spotlights for 2025	17 consultations- (The secretariat has intensified engagement with govt and CSOs, DPG, IFIs besides the UN agencies)	
1. 1. 2	Indicator 1.1.3 # technical support missions or consultants on PBF programming received	3 (2 mission & 1 consultant - 2019 to date)	2	2 (support missions for 2025)	4	

<p>1. 1. 3</p>	<p>Indicator 1.1.5 implementing partners and other key partners engaged and capacitated on the PBF portfolio and requirements now with better understanding willingness to take ownership</p>	<p>3</p>	<p>15 (govt department and CSOs)</p>	<p>7</p>	<p>70 (Govt depts and CSOs engaged through consultations workshops and meetings)</p>	
<p>1. 1. 4</p>	<p>Indicator 1.1.6 # of agencies staff and partners capacitated on conflict-sensitive approach, M&E and gender sensitive peacebuilding programming</p>	<p>10</p>	<p>25</p>	<p>20</p>	<p>35- reached on M&E and gender</p>	
<p>1. 1. 5</p>	<p>Indicator 1.1.7 # of PBF country briefs or political situation analysis updates provided to PBSO in collaboration with the PDA.</p>	<p>1 yearly brief and regular updates</p>	<p>yearly brief and series of regular updates</p>	<p>1 (2025)</p>	<p>3 (yearly briefs)</p>	

» **Output 1.2: Strengthened portfolio-level support and multi-stakeholder cooperation**

1. 2	Output Indicators <i>Describe the indicator</i>	Indicator Baseline <i>State the baseline value of the indicator</i>	End of Project Indicator Target <i>State the target value of the indicator at the end of the project</i>	Indicator progress for reporting period <i>State the current value of the indicator for the reporting period</i>	Indicator progress since project's start <i>State the current cumulative value of the indicator since the start of the project</i>	Reasons for Variance/ Delay (if any) <i>Explain why the indicator is off track or has changed, where relevant</i>
1. 2. 1	Indicator 1.2.1 Gambia peacebuilding priority areas for investment in the new eligibility phase identified through multistage consultations with stakeholders and in lin with eligibility request/ SRF (govt, CSOs UNCT)	0	at least 2 consultations organized for any prioritization process (partners, UNCT levels)	SRF with 4 priority areas validated and approved by JSC	SRF validated (2-SRF and PBF priority areas consultations with national stakeholder & UNCT conducted in Nov & Dec 2023)	
1. 2. 2	Indicator 1.2.2 # of ongoing conflict analysis supported with gov't and CSOs	1CDA report 2018 (updated 2019)	contributes to the next CDA update 2023 2024 and overarching peacebuilding indicators	Supported design and Printed 120 copies of the full report and abridged versions for distribution/popularization	designed and printed 120 copies during the validation workshop	

<p>1. 2. 3</p>	<p>Indicator 1.2.3 PBF portfolio evaluation and re eligibility assessment and renewal process for the Gambia supported</p>	<p>Gambia eligible up to 2023</p>	<p>A Portfolio evaluation and re eligibility assessment supported. Re eligibility package prepared in consultation with all stakeholders, leading to new eligibility granted. A PBF SRF prepared in consultation with all stakeholders.</p>	<p>Evaluation completed and re eligibility declared until Dec 2028</p>	<p>Evaluation completed and re eligibility declared until Dec 2028</p>	
<p>1. 2. 4</p>						
<p>1. 2. 5</p>						

» Output 1.3: Sustained coordination, information-sharing and synergy-building with key partners

1. 3	Output Indicators <i>Describe the indicator</i>	Indicator Baseline <i>State the baseline value of the indicator</i>	End of Project Indicator Target <i>State the target value of the indicator at the end of the project</i>	Indicator progress for reporting period <i>State the current value of the indicator for the reporting period</i>	Indicator progress since project's start <i>State the current cumulative value of the indicator since the start of the project</i>	Reasons for Variance/ Delay (if any) <i>Explain why the indicator is off track or has changed, where relevant</i>
1. 3. 1	Indicator 1.3.1 Joint Government UN-Donor Steering Committee on PBF established and regular meetings instituted.	0 (no JSC solely on PBF yet, PBF matters used to be discussed under the UNDAF SC)	JSC established and at least 2 PBF JSC meetings a yr	2 (1 meeting held the Dec 2024 and 1 on 25th June 2025)	JSC established, 5 meetings held so far (2023-June 2025)	
1. 3. 2	Indicator 1.3.2 A Technical Coordination Committee (UN agencies, Govt, CSOs and PBF) established and meetings instituted	0	Committee set up and meets quarterly, # of key synergies created	TC expanded to mirror the JSC and 3 Meeting held, 1 in Oct 2025	Established TC was expanded in 2025 and 7 meetings have been held so far	
1. 3. 3	Indicator 1.3.2 # of PBF learning workshops organized for synergy building and learnings from projects	2 (2020 and 2022)	1 annual learning workshop/retreat 2023	1 (Oct 2025)	3 Dec 2024 /May 2025/Oct 2025	

<p>1. 3. 4</p>	<p>Indicator 1.3.4 A summary booklet of PBF projects' results and lessons produced and disseminated through the annual retreat and other forums</p>	<p>1 printed and disseminated during 2022 retreat</p>	<p>1 booklet per year</p>	<p>0</p>	<p>0</p>	<p>newsletter published, booklet will be developed this year</p>
<p>1. 3. 5</p>						

» **Output 1.4: Continuous support to project/portfolio-level monitoring and evaluation**

1. 4	Output Indicators <i>Describe the indicator</i>	Indicator Baseline <i>State the baseline value of the indicator</i>	End of Project Indicator Target <i>State the target value of the indicator at the end of the project</i>	Indicator progress for reporting period <i>State the current value of the indicator for the reporting period</i>	Indicator progress since project's start <i>State the current cumulative value of the indicator since the start of the project</i>	Reasons for Variance/ Delay (if any) <i>Explain why the indicator is off track or has changed, where relevant</i>
1. 4. 1	Indicator 1.4.2 # of projects' M&E plans/activities (baselines, CBM, field visit missions, and final evaluation) supported or organized by the secretariat	4	5	3 PBF missions (UK/Partners) and JSC visit supported	8 field visits supported 9 evaluations supports (I4P, PTRRC, SRF, WPL, cross border, Portfolio Ev, Gov, youth project& rule of law)	
1. 4. 2	Indicator 1.4.3. Timely submission of quality reports ensured through timely follow up, reviews, feedback to projects.	100% of projects are submitted on time	100% timely submission ensured	Achieved	Achieved	

1. 4. 3	Indicator 1.4.4: Timely submission of annual strategic report after consultation with government, CSOs and UNCT and with analysis of progress against the PBF SRF	2 reports submitted (2020 &2021)	Target: annually	1 Dec 2025	3	
1. 4. 4	Indicator 1.4.5 # of dialogue sessions facilitated between the JSC and sampled beneficiary communities through CBM	0	1	1 facilitated 2025	2(JSC visit facilitated	
1. 4. 5	Indicator 1.4.6 Improved data on country level peacebuilding indicators		Target: Secretariat and partners ensure accessible data on key peacebuilding issues	SRF data collection started	SRF data collection started and Projects /Portfolio evaluations reports in place	

» **Output 1.5:**

1. 5	Output Indicators <i>Describe the indicator</i>	Indicator Baseline <i>State the baseline value of the indicator</i>	End of Project Indicator Target <i>State the target value of the indicator at the end of the project</i>	Indicator progress for reporting period <i>State the current value of the indicator for the reporting period</i>	Indicator progress since project's start <i>State the current cumulative value of the indicator since the start of the project</i>	Reasons for Variance/Delay (if any) <i>Explain why the indicator is off track or has changed, where relevant</i>
1. 5. 1						
1. 5. 2						
1. 5. 3						
1. 5. 4						
1. 5. 5						

» Outcome 2: Operational PBF Joint Steering Committee supporting strategic guidance, monitoring and advocacy around PBF projects

O C 2	Outcome Indicators	Indicator Baseline	End of Project Indicator Target	Indicator progress for reporting period	Indicator progress since project's start	Reasons for Variance/ Delay (if any)
2. 1	Indicator 2.1 Evidence of increased engagement and enhanced strategic guidance role of the Joint Steering Committee on the PBF portfolio in the Gambia.	medium level	High level	3 endorsements made by JSC meeting in the Dec 2024,	several decisions and approvals taking by the JSC and approving of portfolio related decisions	
2. 2	Indicator 2.2 No of JSC Meetings and Field Monitoring Visits organised	0	2 JSC meeting and a visit	1 meeting in June 2025 and 1 JSC field facilitated	5 JSC meetings were held, November 2023 and Feb, Dec 2024, June 2025	
2. 3						
2. 4						
2. 5						

How many outputs does outcome 2 have?

1

2

3

4

5

more than 5.

Please list all outputs for outcome 2

Output 2.1

Support the Steering Committee and other relevant partners (including at the technical level) to ensure supervision and monitoring of PBF portfolio

Output 2.2

Output 2.3

Output 2.4

Output 2.5

Other Outputs

If Outcome 2 has more than 5 outputs, please enumerate the remaining outputs here

For each output, and using the, project results framework, provide an update on the progress made against all output indicators

» Output 2.1: Support the Steering Committee and other relevant partners (including at the technical level) to ensure supervision and monitoring of PBF portfolio

2. 1	Output Indicators <i>Describe the indicator</i>	Indicator Baseline <i>State the baseline value of the indicator</i>	End of Project Indicator Target <i>State the target value of the indicator at the end of the project</i>	Indicator progress for reporting period <i>State the current value of the indicator for the reporting period</i>	Indicator progress since project's start <i>State the current cumulative value of the indicator since the start of the project</i>	Reasons for Variance/ Delay (if any) <i>Explain why the indicator is off track or has changed, where relevant</i>
2. 1. 1	Indicator 2.1.1 # of meetings and PBF priorities /proposals assessed, and strategic decisions taken at the level of the Joint Steering	0	2 meetings and decisions taken	3 endorsements in Dec meeting	6 Decisions - SRF, public Acc concept note and 2025 priorities = endorsed; Climate land conflict project =Endorsed SSR project = Endorsed Cost Extension of the PBF secretariat project, including support to 50% salary of the Senior HR Officer at the RCO =Endorsed The Road map for the re eligibility process= Endorsed	

2. 1. 2	Indicator 2.1.2 # of M&E missions facilitated for Joint Steering Committee members to assess PBF portfolio implementations progress	0	2	1 mission in sept	2 missions facilitated in Oct 2023, Sept 2025	
2. 1. 3	Indicator 2.1.3 capacity needs assessment for JSC and training(s) facilitated	0	25 persons trained	members oriented	2 targeted presentations to enhance understanding of the PBF Portfolio conducted for members	
2. 1. 4	2.1.4: # of PBF monitoring and PBC missions supported	ongoing	Target: 1 Monitoring/Techni missions supported	2 PBSO mission supported 2025 (UK/partner missions)	2	
2. 1. 5						

» **Output 2.2:**

2. 2	Output Indicators <i>Describe the indicator</i>	Indicator Baseline <i>State the baseline value of the indicator</i>	End of Project Indicator Target <i>State the target value of the indicator at the end of the project</i>	Indicator progress for reporting period <i>State the current value of the indicator for the reporting period</i>	Indicator progress since project's start <i>State the current cumulative value of the indicator since the start of the project</i>	Reasons for Variance/ Delay (if any) <i>Explain why the indicator is off track or has changed, where relevant</i>
2. 2. 1						
2. 2. 2						
2. 2. 3						
2. 2. 4						
2. 2. 5						

» **Output 2.3:**

2. 3	Output Indicators <i>Describe the indicator</i>	Indicator Baseline <i>State the baseline value of the indicator</i>	End of Project Indicator Target <i>State the target value of the indicator at the end of the project</i>	Indicator progress for reporting period <i>State the current value of the indicator for the reporting period</i>	Indicator progress since project's start <i>State the current cumulative value of the indicator since the start of the project</i>	Reasons for Variance/ Delay (if any) <i>Explain why the indicator is off track or has changed, where relevant</i>
2. 3. 1						
2. 3. 2						
2. 3. 3						
2. 3. 4						
2. 3. 5						

» **Output 2.4:**

2. 4	Output Indicators <i>Describe the indicator</i>	Indicator Baseline <i>State the baseline value of the indicator</i>	End of Project Indicator Target <i>State the target value of the indicator at the end of the project</i>	Indicator progress for reporting period <i>State the current value of the indicator for the reporting period</i>	Indicator progress since project's start <i>State the current cumulative value of the indicator since the start of the project</i>	Reasons for Variance/Delay (if any) <i>Explain why the indicator is off track or has changed, where relevant</i>
2. 4. 1						
2. 4. 2						
2. 4. 3						
2. 4. 4						
2. 4. 5						

» **Output 2.5:**

2. 5	Output Indicators <i>Describe the indicator</i>	Indicator Baseline <i>State the baseline value of the indicator</i>	End of Project Indicator Target <i>State the target value of the indicator at the end of the project</i>	Indicator progress for reporting period <i>State the current value of the indicator for the reporting period</i>	Indicator progress since project's start <i>State the current cumulative value of the indicator since the start of the project</i>	Reasons for Variance/Delay (if any) <i>Explain why the indicator is off track or has changed, where relevant</i>
2. 5. 1						
2. 5. 2						
2. 5. 3						
2. 5. 4						
2. 5. 5						

» **Outcome 3: Improved visibility and evidence-based advocacy to support catalytic effects**

O C 3	Outcome Indicators	Indicator Baseline	End of Project Indicator Target	Indicator progress for reporting period	Indicator progress since project's start	Reasons for Variance/ Delay (if any)
3.1	Indicator 3.1 Evidence of increased in visibility and collaboration of the PBF programme with stakeholders and more catalytic effects registered.	minimal interaction with other potential donors	enhanced interaction with World Bank, EU and other potential donors through RC donor forums with partners showcasing the achievements of the PBF fund	Specific engagements with donors in the JSC meetings/field visits and outreach meetings with donor countries (UK, EU, US, France) have increased awareness among stakeholders	consultations on the Spotlight, project design and SSR consultation were attended by World Bank and Germany. Participated in the JSC monitoring visit.	
3.2	Indicator 3.2 No of donor forums/pledging events organized	0	1	0		not yet organized
3.3						
3.4						
3.5						

How many outputs does outcome 3 have?

1

2

3

4

5

more than 5.

Please list all outputs for outcome 3

Output 3.1

Develop a communication strategy to promote visibility of the PBF activities and results in the country and among a range of stakeholders

Output 3.2

Output 3.3

Output 3.4

Output 3.5

Other Outputs

If Outcome 3 has more than 5 outputs, please enumerate the remaining outputs here

For each output, and using the, project results framework, provide an update on the progress made against all output indicators

» Output 3.1: Develop a communication strategy to promote visibility of the PBF activities and results in the country and among a range of stakeholders

3. 1	Output Indicators <i>Describe the indicator</i>	Indicator Baseline <i>State the baseline value of the indicator</i>	End of Project Indicator Target <i>State the target value of the indicator at the end of the project</i>	Indicator progress for reporting period <i>State the current value of the indicator for the reporting period</i>	Indicator progress since project's start <i>State the current cumulative value of the indicator since the start of the project</i>	Reasons for Variance/ Delay (if any) <i>Explain why the indicator is off track or has changed, where relevant</i>
3.1.1	Indicator 3.1.1 Indicator 3a: Evidence of increased in visibility and collaboration of the PBF programme with stakeholders and more catalytic effects registered.	Ad-hoc plan in use	1 strategy developed with details on communication products	Strategy Developed	Knowledge Mgt and Communication strategy developed; and being implemented. PBF Sec closely working with UNCG	
3.1.2	Indicator 3.1.2 Evidence of online and offline articles and stories, videos, social media post, TV and radio spots, billboard,	200 booklets of projects results, branded materials - field jackets, notebooks, pens, with logos etc	produce online and offline products	2 online articles published; visibility materials bought.	3 online result publication, 5 social media posts of joint PBF projects, created, and posted	

<p>3. 1. 3</p>	<p>Indicator 3.1.3 Evidence of conference organized for networking and partnership building for catalytic effects</p>	<p>None</p>	<p>1 conference</p>	<p>0</p>	<p>No conference yet. But many of the donor countries' representatives and bodies such as the EU, UK Germany and WB are either in the JSC or participating in PBF meeting and consultations donor forum not yet organize</p>	
<p>3. 1. 4</p>						
<p>3. 1. 5</p>						

» **Output 3.2:**

3. 2	Output Indicators <i>Describe the indicator</i>	Indicator Baseline <i>State the baseline value of the indicator</i>	End of Project Indicator Target <i>State the target value of the indicator at the end of the project</i>	Indicator progress for reporting period <i>State the current value of the indicator for the reporting period</i>	Indicator progress since project's start <i>State the current cumulative value of the indicator since the start of the project</i>	Reasons for Variance/Delay (if any) <i>Explain why the indicator is off track or has changed, where relevant</i>
3. 2. 1						
3. 2. 2						
3. 2. 3						
3. 2. 4						
3. 2. 5						

» **Output 3.3:**

3. 3	Output Indicators <i>Describe the indicator</i>	Indicator Baseline <i>State the baseline value of the indicator</i>	End of Project Indicator Target <i>State the target value of the indicator at the end of the project</i>	Indicator progress for reporting period <i>State the current value of the indicator for the reporting period</i>	Indicator progress since project's start <i>State the current cumulative value of the indicator since the start of the project</i>	Reasons for Variance/Delay (if any) <i>Explain why the indicator is off track or has changed, where relevant</i>
3. 3. 1						
3. 3. 2						
3. 3. 3						
3. 3. 4						
3. 3. 5						

» **Output 3.4:**

3. 4	Output Indicators <i>Describe the indicator</i>	Indicator Baseline <i>State the baseline value of the indicator</i>	End of Project Indicator Target <i>State the target value of the indicator at the end of the project</i>	Indicator progress for reporting period <i>State the current value of the indicator for the reporting period</i>	Indicator progress since project's start <i>State the current cumulative value of the indicator since the start of the project</i>	Reasons for Variance/Delay (if any) <i>Explain why the indicator is off track or has changed, where relevant</i>
3. 4. 1						
3. 4. 2						
3. 4. 3						
3. 4. 4						
3. 4. 5						

» **Output 3.5:**

3. 5	Output Indicators <i>Describe the indicator</i>	Indicator Baseline <i>State the baseline value of the indicator</i>	End of Project Indicator Target <i>State the target value of the indicator at the end of the project</i>	Indicator progress for reporting period <i>State the current value of the indicator for the reporting period</i>	Indicator progress since project's start <i>State the current cumulative value of the indicator since the start of the project</i>	Reasons for Variance/Delay (if any) <i>Explain why the indicator is off track or has changed, where relevant</i>
3. 5. 1						
3. 5. 2						
3. 5. 3						
3. 5. 4						
3. 5. 5						

» Outcome 4:

O C 4	Outcome Indicator s	Indicator Baseline	End of Project Indicator Target	Indicator progress for reporting period	Indicator progress since project's start	Reasons for Variance/ Delay (if any)
4. 1						
4. 2						
4. 3						
4. 4						
4. 5						

How many outputs does outcome 4 have?

1 2 3 4 5 more than 5.

Please list all outputs for outcome 4

Output 4.1

Output 4.2

Output 4.3

Output 4.4

Output 4.5

Other Outputs

If Outcome 4 has more than 5 outputs, please enumerate the remaining outputs here

For each output, and using the, project results framework, provide an update on the progress made against all output indicators

» **Output 4.1:**

4. 1	Output Indicators <i>Describe the indicator</i>	Indicator Baseline <i>State the baseline value of the indicator</i>	End of Project Indicator Target <i>State the target value of the indicator at the end of the project</i>	Indicator progress for reporting period <i>State the current value of the indicator for the reporting period</i>	Indicator progress since project's start <i>State the current cumulative value of the indicator since the start of the project</i>	Reasons for Variance/ Delay (if any) <i>Explain why the indicator is off track or has changed, where relevant</i>
4. 1. 1						
4. 1. 2						
4. 1. 3						
4. 1. 4						
4. 1. 5						

» **Output 4.2:**

4. 2	Output Indicators <i>Describe the indicator</i>	Indicator Baseline <i>State the baseline value of the indicator</i>	End of Project Indicator Target <i>State the target value of the indicator at the end of the project</i>	Indicator progress for reporting period <i>State the current value of the indicator for the reporting period</i>	Indicator progress since project's start <i>State the current cumulative value of the indicator since the start of the project</i>	Reasons for Variance/ Delay (if any) <i>Explain why the indicator is off track or has changed, where relevant</i>
4. 2. 1						
4. 2. 2						
4. 2. 3						
4. 2. 4						
4. 2. 5						

» **Output 4.3:**

4. 3	Output Indicators <i>Describe the indicator</i>	Indicator Baseline <i>State the baseline value of the indicator</i>	End of Project Indicator Target <i>State the target value of the indicator at the end of the project</i>	Indicator progress for reporting period <i>State the current value of the indicator for the reporting period</i>	Indicator progress since project's start <i>State the current cumulative value of the indicator since the start of the project</i>	Reasons for Variance/ Delay (if any) <i>Explain why the indicator is off track or has changed, where relevant</i>
4. 3. 1						
4. 3. 2						
4. 3. 3						
4. 3. 4						
4. 3. 5						

» **Output 4.4:**

4. 4	Output Indicators <i>Describe the indicator</i>	Indicator Baseline <i>State the baseline value of the indicator</i>	End of Project Indicator Target <i>State the target value of the indicator at the end of the project</i>	Indicator progress for reporting period <i>State the current value of the indicator for the reporting period</i>	Indicator progress since project's start <i>State the current cumulative value of the indicator since the start of the project</i>	Reasons for Variance/ Delay (if any) <i>Explain why the indicator is off track or has changed, where relevant</i>
4. 4. 1						
4. 4. 2						
4. 4. 3						
4. 4. 4						
4. 4. 5						

» **Output 4.5:**

4. 5	Output Indicators <i>Describe the indicator</i>	Indicator Baseline <i>State the baseline value of the indicator</i>	End of Project Indicator Target <i>State the target value of the indicator at the end of the project</i>	Indicator progress for reporting period <i>State the current value of the indicator for the reporting period</i>	Indicator progress since project's start <i>State the current cumulative value of the indicator since the start of the project</i>	Reasons for Variance/Delay (if any) <i>Explain why the indicator is off track or has changed, where relevant</i>
4. 5. 1						
4. 5. 2						
4. 5. 3						
4. 5. 4						
4. 5. 5						

If the project has more than 4 outcomes, use this space to describe progress on progress on indicators for the remaining outcomes *

PART III: Cross-Cutting Issues

Is the project planning any significant events in the next six months? (eg. national dialogues, youth congresses, film screenings, etc.)

If yes, please state how many, and for each, provide the approximate date of the event and a brief description, including its key objectives, target audience and location (if known)

Events	Event Description	Tentative Date	Location	Target Audience	Event Objectives (900 characters)
Event 1	PBF Joint Steering Committee	March 2026	Conference Centre	UN, Govt, CSOs, development partners	Bring together JSC members deliberate on PBF Portfolio matters and take decisions
Event 2	JSC monitoring field visit	July 2026	Across the country	JSC, beneficiaries' communities and institutions	JSC to interact with direct beneficiaries to assess the effects of the PBF on them
Event 3	PTC Meeting	Feb 2026	Hotel	Expanded PTC	Speed up delivery and build synergy
Event 4					

Human Impact

This section is about the human impact of the project. Please state key stakeholders (including but not limited to: Civil Society Organizations, Beneficiaries, etc.) of the project, and for each, please briefly describe:

- i. The challenges/problem they faced prior to the project implementation
- ii. The impact of the project in their lives
- iii. Provide, where possible, a quote or testimonial from a representative of each stakeholder group

This is an optional question. You may leave it unanswered if not relevant

Human Impact	Type of stakeholder	What has been the impact of the project on their lives?	Provide, where possible, a quote or testimonial from the stakeholder
1	CSOs	Through the support of the PBF Secretariat, stakeholder consultations particularly with civil society organizations (CSOs) have significantly improved. CSOs are more engaged from the early stages of project conception through to evaluation, ensuring their full participation in all PBF processes. This inclusive approach has strengthened ownership of the PBF portfolio in The Gambia, with CSOs demonstrating strong interest and commitment to peacebuilding initiatives.	During the PBF Technical Committee retreat, the association of CSOs (TANGO) expressed appreciation for being meaningfully included in the process. Michael Oko Davies, Executive Director of Public-Private Integrity (PPI), emphasized during consultations for the new Accountability Project that “such a consultative approach, bringing all stakeholders on board, is essential in identifying the issues at hand and finding the right solutions. For PPI, this is a great opportunity to network with relevant partners, especially on accountability and transparency.”
2	UN Project team	The PBF Secretariat continued to provided technical support and organized reporting trainings every May and October to strengthen the capacity of new project teams working on PBF-funded initiatives. These sessions were particularly valuable for personnel drafting project reports for the first time, as they clarified reporting requirements, improved understanding of the updated reporting template, and built confidence in producing results-oriented reports.	Participants praised the trainings for their timeliness and relevance. “This part of the retreat is very useful for me, as this will be my first time reporting on the PBF,” said Bridget, ActionAid Project Manager (May 2025). “The session is timely and very useful, especially the section on the online version; now I am confident I can draft a better PBF report,” added Alexia (May 2024). Pushpa, a new UNFPA staff member, also noted that the training provided her with a clear understanding of PBF reporting expectations and steps.
3			

4

In addition to the stakeholder specific impact described above, please use this space to describe any additional human impact that the project has had.

Please limit your response to 4000 characters.

With the technical and coordination support of the PBF Secretariat, The Gambia successfully established a PBF Portfolio Joint Steering Committee (JSC) -a multi-stakeholder platform mandated to guide strategic oversight, decision-making and approvals for PBF-funded initiatives. This inclusive committee, comprising representatives from government, the UN, civil society, and development partners, has become a key driver of national ownership and oversight of peacebuilding efforts.

As a result of its operationalization, to date, the JSC has taken strategic decisions that directly shape The Gambia's peacebuilding trajectory. These include:

Endorsement of transformative initiatives such as the Climate Land Conflict Project and the Security Sector Reform Project.

Approval of a cost extension for the PBF Secretariat Project, ensuring continuity of technical support, including funding 50% of the Senior Human Rights Officer post in the Resident Coordinator's Office.

Adoption of a roadmap for the PBF re-eligibility process and endorsement of The Gambia's re-eligibility request package for submission to PBSO.

Approval of the PBF Secretariat annual work plan, aligning resources with national peacebuilding priorities.

This functional governance mechanism, nurtured by the Secretariat's continuous support, has strengthened national coordination, enhanced accountability, and deepened stakeholder participation, ensuring that PBF initiatives in The Gambia are nationally owned and impactful.

You can also upload upto 3 files in various formats (picture files, powerpoint, pdf, video, etc.) to illustrate the human impact of the project

OPTIONAL

File 1

OPTIONAL

REPORT-PBF Portfolio TC Retreat 12-14 May-2025-14_51_26.pdf



File 2

OPTIONAL

Draft Report - Joint Monitoring Visit to UN & PBF Project Sites - 29 Sep-01 Oct-15_0_27.pdf



File 3

OPTIONAL

Click here to upload file. (< 10MB)

You can also add upto 3 links to online resources which illustrate the human impact of the project

OPTIONAL

Link 1

OPTIONAL

<https://www.un.org/peacebuilding/content/pbf-expert-level-partners-visit-gambia-dec-2025>

Link 2

OPTIONAL

Link 3

OPTIONAL

Please tick the applicable change based on above narrative.

How we worked:

*

Please select up to 3.

- Enhanced digitization
- Innovative ways of working
- Mobilized additional resources
- Improved or initiated policy frameworks
- Strengthened capacities
- Partnered with with local/grassroots Civil Society Organizations
- Expanding coalitions & galvanizing political will
- Strengthened partnerships with IFIs
- Strengthened partnerships with UN Agencies

Please explain one of the selected options

Please limit your response to 3000 characters.

Leveraging external capacities through online platforms (OUNV) for communications and research support

Please explain one of the selected options

Please limit your response to 3000 characters.

Supported the Resident Coordinator’s office’s efforts to enable UN partnerships with the WB’s TAA for the Gambia

Please explain one of the selected options

Please limit your response to 3000 characters.

The PBF has strengthen partnership and joint programming among the UN agencies as more than 90% of the PBF funded projects were jointly developed and implemented by either two or three UN agencies

Who are we working with *

- Strengthened partnerships with IFIs
- Strengthened partnerships between UN Agencies
- Partnered with local civil society organizations
- Partnered with local academia
- Partnered with sub-national entities
- Partnered with national entities
- Partnered with local volunteers

Please explain

Please limit your response to 3000 characters

Support to the implementation of the TAA project;

The PBF has strengthen partnership and joint programming amongst the UN agencies as more than 90% of the PBF funded projects were jointly developed and implement by either two or three agencies]

PBF secretariat directly works with the DSPD on all PBF matters in the Country]

Leave No one Behind

Select all beneficiaries targeted with the PBF resources as evidenced by the narrative *

Mandatory

- Unemployed persons
- Minorities (e.g. race, ethnicity, linguistic, religion, etc.)
- Indigenous communities
- Persons with Disabilities
- Persons affected by violence (including GBV)
- Women
- Youth
- Children
- Minorities related to sexual orientation and/or gender identity and expression
- People living in and around border areas
- Persons affected by natural disasters
- Persons affected by armed conflicts
- Internally displaced persons, refugees or migrants

PART IV: Monitoring, Evaluation and Compliance

» Monitoring

Please list key monitoring activities undertaken in the reporting period *

Please limit your response to 3000 characters.

- 1. **PBSO Partner Mission to the Gambia**
- 2 **UK M&E mission to the Gambia**
- 3. **Ad hoc monitoring field visit to PBF projects sites across the country**
- 4. **Supported the I4P project to conduct Monitoring visit to project sites in Foni.**

Do outcome indicators have baselines? *

If only some of the outcome indicators have baselines, select 'yes'

- Yes
- No

If yes, please provide a brief description. If not, explain why not and when they will be available. *

Please limit your response to 3000 characters.

The outcome indicators had their baselines, most of which were identified during the project development and one indicator that did not have a baseline relating to stakeholders' satisfaction with the PBF secretariat, was done through a short informal perception survey targeting the different stakeholder the PBF secretariat worked with.

Elaborate on what sources of evidence have been used to report on indicators (and are available upon request)

Please limit your response to 3000 characters.

Copies of regular briefs/readouts/minutes of meetings, reports, emails on timely submissions, MPTFO records Minutes of the JSC meetings and field visit reports Report of review and consultation meetings, Reports of technical committee meetings, reports of trainings facilitated by the secretariat.

*

Has the project launched outcome level data collection initiatives? e.g. perception surveys

Perception survey is a formal collection of information from a randomly selected sample of respondents through their responses to standardized questions. See PBF Guidance Note for more information [link](#)

- Yes
- No

*

Please provide a brief description

Please limit your response to 3000 characters.

*

Has the project used or established community feedback mechanisms?

Community feedback mechanism, or community-based monitoring, is an organized system for communities of participants to monitor the local effects and impact of an intervention. Ideally, this system empowers the community to express whether their expectations are being met and to provide suggestions to decision-makers for possible (re)focusing. See PBF Guidance Note for more information. [link](#)

- Yes
- No

*

Please provide a brief description

Please limit your response to 3000 characters.

While a formal community feedback mechanism is not yet fully established, the PBF Secretariat has incorporated community-based monitoring through field visits. These visits allowed direct engagement with beneficiaries, enabling them to raise concerns and propose solutions, which were subsequently addressed with implementing partners. For example: • During a visit to the Sanda watering point, farmers requested that trench digging and pipe laying be completed before planting season to avoid crop damage and resistance from landowners. • In previous visits to Bisari and Sinchu Gundo watering points, communities highlighted that trough heights were too high for calves. This concern was raised with FAO and corrective measures were explored. These ad hoc feedback practices have ensured community voices are heard and project teams respond, but they remain informal and need strengthening into a structured feedback mechanism. Moreso, the 2025 JSC field visit has enabled decision makers to directly interact with beneficiaries and have strongly express the enthusiasm to better the implementation for a more impactful PBF in the Gambia.

*

» **Evaluation**

Is the project on track to conduct its evaluation? *

- Yes
- No
- Not Applicable

Evaluation budget (in USD) included in the project budget: *

Response required

0

If project will end in next six months, is your upcoming evaluation on track?

- Yes
- No
- Not Applicable

Please describe the preparations

Please limit your response to 3000 characters.

Contact information	Name	Organization	Job title	Email
Please mention the focal person responsible for sharing the final evaluation report with the PBF:				

» Catalytic Effect

Catalytic Effect (financial): Has the project mobilized additional non-PBF financial resources since the project's start? *

- Yes
 No

How many funders has the project received additional non-PBF funding from **since the project started**? *

Catalytic Effect (non-financial): Has the project enabled or created a larger or longer-term peacebuilding change to occur, in addition to the direct project changes? Please refer to PBF Catalytic Effect Guidelines for more information. *

- Yes
 No

If yes, please select the relevant option below: *

- Some catalytic effect
 Significant catalytic effect

If relevant, please describe how the project has had a (non-financial) catalytic effect, i.e. removed barriers to unblock stalled political, institutional or other peacebuilding processes at different levels in a country, and/or created the conditions to establish new processes to do so *

Please limit your response to 3000 characters.

The Secretariat through the project supported the RC's consultations during the visits of the DSG and SRSG on the constitutional process and social cohesion in the country.

Sustainability

Please describe any steps that have been taken to ensure the sustainability of peacebuilding gains, including any mechanisms, platforms, networks and socio-economic initiatives supported, beyond the duration of the project

Please limit your response to 3000 characters.

Sustainability: Please describe any steps that have been taken to ensure the sustainability of peacebuilding gains, including any mechanisms, platforms, networks and socio-economic initiatives supported, beyond the duration of the project (3000 characters):

The PBF Secretariat has taken deliberate steps to ensure that peacebuilding results achieved under the PBF portfolio are sustained beyond the lifespan of individual projects. Central to this approach is strong government buy-in and inclusive stakeholder participation, which have been embedded in all phases of the project cycle, from idea generation and design to implementation and monitoring. This participatory model ensured national ownership of both the processes and outcomes, reducing reliance on external support and increasing local commitment to maintaining results.

- The establishment and operationalization of the PBF JSC had created a permanent, multi-stakeholder governance mechanism that provides strategic oversight, policy alignment, and coordination of peacebuilding efforts. This platform, co-chaired by the Government and UN, includes representatives from civil society, development partners, and the private sector, ensuring inclusive decision-making and continuity beyond individual projects.
- The Secretariat has supported national CSOs and local peace actors to strengthen their institutional capacity, enabling them to continue peacebuilding initiatives independently. CSOs have demonstrated increased ownership of PBF supported initiatives, including active participation in transitional justice, governance reforms, and community reconciliation processes.
- The PBF Secretariat has facilitated capacity building for project teams on results-based reporting, monitoring and evaluation, and conflict sensitivity. These skills remain within institutions beyond the life of individual projects, improving long-term planning and performance.
- Lessons learned from project evaluations and monitoring have been shared with government partners and CSOs, informing the design of new policies and interventions.

Collectively, these steps have built local capacity, strengthened institutions, and empowered communities to sustain peacebuilding gains beyond the duration of PBF supported projects.

Are there any other issues concerning project implementation that you want to share, including any capacity needs of the recipient organizations?

Please limit your response to 3000 characters.

Monitoring and Oversight Activities

Please describe any key event related to monitoring and oversight. Please click next if no activities have yet taken place.

Events include Steering Committee meetings, Monitoring visits, Third party monitoring, Community based monitoring, any data collection, Perception or other survey findings, evaluation reports, audit or investigations.

Monitoring and oversight activities	Name of the Event	Summary	Key Findings
Event 1	The PBF secretariat ad hoc monitoring visit to PBF project sites	The new Coordinator with M&E visited various sites in LRR, CRR and URR	The monitoring visit observed both completed and stalled interventions. Follow-up meetings were subsequently held with the project team to review implementation challenges and agree on corrective actions, particularly for the stalled activities
Event 2	UK mission to Gambia	As part UK annual visit to PBF funded countries. In the 2025 the UK was in the Gambia and the team visit relevant government institutions and projects sites.	The mission is inclined toward supporting major national-level transitions, with comparatively less emphasis on community-level social cohesion interventions
Event 3	Supported the I4P projects sites	The I4P project team along sites its government partners and implementing CSO embarked on Monitoring visit to project sites in Foni	The communication breakdown concerning the remaining project activities and the utilization of project-provided equipment has been resolved.
Event 4	PBSO Partner Mission to the Gambia	Seven Donor countries to PBF visited Country in December 2025 to assessed impact of the fund to the Gambia -	-The mission commended the achievements of the PBF portfolio, noting strong national ownership and active stakeholder participation in implementation. It also emphasized the need to prioritize support to key national transition processes in future programming, particularly in light of current financial constraints.
Event 5			

Event 6			
Event 7			
Event 8			

Final Steps

- Please save a PDF copy of the form by clicking on the *Printer* icon on the top right corner of the page.
- A dialogue box will appear: Please select the A4 size and portrait orientation.
- Click "prepare" and save the document as a PDF.
- (If on first attempt, the generated page is not readable, close the pop up page and go back to the first page of the online form using the "Return to Beginning" option and try to print the PDF version from there)
- After printing the PDF version, please submit the report in the last page of the form. You can use the "Go to End" button in the bottom right corner.
- In compliance with our reporting requirements, **please upload the PDF version of the report as well as your *financial report in excel format* to the MPTF-O Gateway.**

If you encounter any difficulty in filling the form or generating the print-out for MPTFO Gateway, please contact Gabriel Velastegui gabriel.velasteguimoya@un.org

Thank You. You have finished the report. Please Click on the SUBMIT button below. When the report is submitted, a confirmation note will appear on a yellow banner on top of the page. This can take a few seconds.