

PBF June 2026 Project Progress Report



**PEACEBUILDING
FUND** 

PROJECT OVERVIEW

Thank you for taking the time to complete the PBF Progress report. For projects with more than one recipient, please consult among co-recipients prior to filling out the form to ensure collaboration on the responses. If you have any questions or require technical assistance in filling out the form, please send an email to gabriel.velastegui@un.org

Click Next below to start

» Report Submission

Type of report *

- Semi-annual
- Annual
- Final
- Other

Date of submission of report *

2026-05-19

Name and title of person submitting the report *

Peacebuilding and Human Rights in Support of Durable Solutions for IDPs and Affected Communities: The Right to Adequate Housing in Port Sudan

E-mail of person submitting the report *

mutaz.nugud@un.org

Name and title of person who approved the report *

Mutaz Nugud, National Program Coordinator

Have all fund recipients for this project contributed to the report? *

Yes

No

Did PBF Secretariat or RCO focal point review the report? *

You should normally ensure that the PBF Secretariat or the PBF focal point have an opportunity to review.

Yes

No

Not Applicable

» Project Information and Geographical Scope

Is this a cross-border project? *

Yes No

Please select the geographical region in which the project is implemented

- Asia and the Pacific
- Central & Southern Africa
- East Africa
- Europe and Central Asia
- Global
- Latin America and the Caribbean
- Middle East and North Africa
- West Africa

Country of project implementation *

- Ethiopia
- Kenya
- Madagascar
- Mozambique
- Somalia
- South Sudan
- Sudan
- Other, Specify

Other, please specify *

.....

Project Title *

- 00121172: PBF secretariat and peacebuilding project Sudan
- 00125917: Strengthening the Political and Peacebuilding Role of Women in Sudan's Transition
- 00125403: Support to the Sudanese Peace Process
- 00131661: Peacebuilding and Human Rights in Support of Durable Solutions for IDPs and Affected Communities: The Right to Adequate Housing in West Darfur
- 00133949: Strengthening Capacities for Peace and Social Cohesion in Kassala and Red Sea States Sudan
- 00133950: Strengthening the Security - Climate Nexus in Gedaref Sudan
- 00140081: Empowering Women Civil Society Leaders as Peacebuilders
- 00140082: Empowerment of youth for peace and effective political participation Red Sea State Sudan.
- Other, Specify

Write the 8 digit MPTFO number and Project Title exactly as it appears in the Project Document *

EXAMPLE: 00118938: Community-based prevention of violence and social cohesion using innovation for young people in displaced and host communities

.....

Please select the geographical region(s) in which the project is implemented *

If the project you are looking for does not appear in the following question, please make sure that you have selected the correct regions. A limited number of cross border projects span multiple geographic regions. For example, a cross border project between Niger and Chad spans both West Africa and Central & Southern Africa

- Asia and the Pacific
 Central & Southern Africa
 East Africa
 Europe and Central Asia
 Global
 Latin America and the Caribbean
 Middle East and North Africa
 West Africa

Please select the title of the project for which you are submitting the report *

Write the 8 digit MPTFO numbers and Project Title exactly as it appears in the Project Document *

EXAMPLE: 00129699/700: Supporting Cross-Border Cooperation for Increased Community Resilience and Social Cohesion in The Gambia and Senegal

Please select the countries where this project is being implemented *

Other, Please specify *

Project Start Date (Date of first transfer) *

2022-04-29

Project End Date *

2025-12-28

Has this project received an extension? *

- YES, Cost Extension
 YES, No Cost Extension
 YES, Both Cost and No Cost Extensions
 NO, No Extensions

Will this project be requesting an extension? *

- YES, Cost Extension
 YES, No Cost Extension
 YES, Both Cost and No Cost Extensions
 NO, No Extensions

Will this project be submitting a Fund Transfer Request (FTR) in the next six months? *

- Yes
 No

If so, around which month do you expect to submit the request? *

- January
- February
- March
- April
- May
- June
- July
- August
- September
- October
- November
- December

Is the current project end date within 6 months? *

- Yes
- No

Is funding disbursed either into a national or regional trust fund? *

- Yes
- No

If yes, please select which *

- National Trust Fund
- Regional Trust Fund

Recipients

Is the convening agency a UN agency or a non UN entity? *

- UN entity
 Non-UN Entity

Please select the convening agency recipient *

- UNDP: United Nations Development Programme IOM: International Organization for Migration
 UNICEF: United Nations Children's Fund
 OHCHR: Office of the United Nations High Commissioner for Human Rights
 UNWOMEN: United Nations Entity for Gender Equality and the Empowerment of Women
 UNHCR: United Nations High Commissioner for Refugees UNFPA: United Nations Population Fund
 FAO: Food and Agriculture Organization WFP: World Food Programme
 UNHABITAT: United Nations Human Settlements Programme
 UNESCO: United Nations Educational, Scientific and Cultural Organization
 UNEP: United Nations Environment Programme ILO: International Labour Organization
 WHO: World Health Organization PAHO/WHO
 UNCDF: United Nations Capital Development Fund UNODC: United Nations Office on Drugs and Crime
 UNOPS: United Nations Office for Project Services
 UNIDO: United Nations Industrial Development Organization ITC: International Trade Centre
 UNDPO Other, Specify

Other, Please specify *

Are there other recipients for this project? *

- No other recipients
 Yes, other UN recipients only
 Yes, other non-UN recipients only
 Yes, both UN and non-UN recipients

Please select other UN recipients *

Select all that apply

- UNDP: United Nations Development Programme IOM: International Organization for Migration
- UNICEF: United Nations Children's Fund
- OHCHR: Office of the United Nations High Commissioner for Human Rights
- UNWOMEN: United Nations Entity for Gender Equality and the Empowerment of Women
- UNHCR: United Nations High Commissioner for Refugees UNFPA: United Nations Population Fund
- FAO: Food and Agriculture Organization WFP: World Food Programme
- UNHABITAT: United Nations Human Settlements Programme
- UNESCO: United Nations Educational, Scientific and Cultural Organization
- UNEP: United Nations Environment Programme ILO: International Labour Organization
- WHO: World Health Organization PAHO/WHO
- UNCDF: United Nations Capital Development Fund UNODC: United Nations Office on Drugs and Crime
- UNOPS: United Nations Office for Project Services
- UNIDO: United Nations Industrial Development Organization ITC: International Trade Centre
- UN Department of Peace Operations Other, Specify

Other, Please specify *

Please select other non-UN recipients

- ACTED
 Action Aid UK
 AAITG (ActionAid the Gambia)
- AEDE
 African Centre for the Constructive Resolution of Disputes (ACCORD)
- Agence de Coopération et de Recherche pour le Développement (ACORD)
- American Friends Service Committee (AFSC)
 Avocats Sans Frontières
- Avocats Sans Frontières Belgium
 Avocats sans frontières Canada
 Ayuda en Accion
- BIRN - Balkan Investigative Reporting Network
 BIOM -Youth Ecological Movemen
- CARE International UK
 Centre d'étude et de coopération internationale (CECI) - BF
- Christian Aid Ireland
 COIPRODEN
 Concern Worldwide
- Conexion Guatemala
 COOPI - Cooperazione Internazionale
 CORD Burundi
- CORDAID
 Corporacion Sisma Mujer
 CRS - Catholic Relief Services
- DanChurchAid
 Danish Refugee Council
 EQUITAS
- Fund for Congolese Women
 Fundacion Estudios Superior (FESU)
 Fundación Mi Sangre (FMS)
- Fundación Nacional para el Desarrollo de Honduras (FUNADEH)
 Fundación para la Libertad de Prensa (FLIP)
- Geneva Centre for Security Sector Governance (DCAF)
 HELVETAS Swiss Intercooperation
- Humanity & Inclusion (HI)
 ICTJ (International Center for Transitional Justice)
- Instituto Holandes para Democracia Multipartidaria (NIMD)
 Integrity Watch
- International Alert
 International Rescue Committee
 Interpeace
- Kvinna till Kvinna Foundation
 Life and Peace Institute (LPI)
- MDG-EISA - Institut Electoral pour une Démocratie Durable en Afrique (EISA), bureau de Madagascar
- Mercy Corps
 MLAL - ProgettoMondo
 MSIS-TATAO
- NIMD (Netherlands Institute for Multiparty Democracy)
 Nonviolent Peaceforce
- Norwegian Refugee Council (NRC)
 Nile Sustainable Development Organization - NSDO
- OCNH-Organisation des Citoyens pour une Nouvelle Haïti
 OIKOS
- ONG Adkoul - ONG Adkoul
 ONG AZHAR
 OXFAM
- Peace Direct
 Plan International
 PNG UN Country Fund
- Red de Instituciones por los Derechos de la Niñez
 ROI - Roza Otunbayeva Initiati
- Saferworld
 Sampan'Asa Momba ny Fampandrosoana (SAF/FJKM)
- Save the Children
 Search for Common Ground (SFCG)
- SIHA (Strategic Initiative for Women in the Horn of Africa)
 SismaMujer
- SOS Sahel Sudan
 Stichting Impunity Watch
 Tearfund
- The Carter Center, Inc.
 Trocaire
 War Child
- War Childhood Museum (WCM)
 World Vision International
 World Vision Myanmar
- ZOA
 blank_placeholder
 Other, Please specify

Other, Please specify

*

Implementing Partners

To how many implementing partners has the project transferred money **since the project's start ?**

4

To how many implementing partners has the project transferred money **during this calendar period ?**

(for June reports: January-June;

for November reports: January-December (anticipated);

for final reports: full project duration)

4

Please list all of the project's implementing partners and the amounts (in USD) transferred to each, both since the project's start, and specifically during this calendar period

Please select the type of organisation which best describes the type of implementing partner *

- National youth CSO
- National women's CSO
- Other National CSO
- Subnational youth CSO
- Subnational women's CSO
- Other subnational CSO
- Regional CSO
- Regional Organisation
- International NGO
- Governmental entity
- National women's and youth CSO
- Subnational women's and youth CSO
- Other

Other, Please specify

What is the name of the Implementing Partner *

Triangle Generation Humanitaire (TGH)

What is the planned total amount (in USD) for the **overall duration of the project** to be disbursed to this implementing partner? *

Please use a dot (.) as decimal separator, instead of a comma (,)

238480.59

What is the total amount (in USD) disbursed to the implementing partner **since the project's start?** *

Please use a dot (.) as decimal separator, instead of a comma (,)

238479.59

What is the total amount (in USD) disbursed to the implementing partner **during this calendar period?**

*

Please use a dot (.) as decimal separator, instead of a comma (,)

0

Briefly describe the main activities carried out by the Implementing Partner during this calendar period

*

Please limit your response to 1500 characters

The partnership with TGH in Darfur was discontinued in October 2023 due to the ongoing conflict and the pervasive insecurity. The budget of the partnership agreement was revised, and the unspent fund of USD 131.022.92 was identified and refunded in 2023.

2

Please list all of the project's implementing partners and the amounts (in USD) transferred to each, both since the project's start, and specifically during this calendar period

Please select the type of organisation which best describes the type of implementing partner

*

- National youth CSO
- National women's CSO
- Other National CSO
- Subnational youth CSO
- Subnational women's CSO
- Other subnational CSO
- Regional CSO
- Regional Organisation
- International NGO
- Governmental entity
- National women's and youth CSO
- Subnational women's and youth CSO
- Other

Other, Please specify

What is the name of the Implementing Partner

*

Norwegian Refugee Council (NRC)

What is the planned total amount (in USD) for the **overall duration of the project** to be disbursed to this implementing partner? *

Please use a dot (.) as decimal separator, instead of a comma (,)

261602.85

What is the total amount (in USD) disbursed to the implementing partner **since the project's start**? *

Please use a dot (.) as decimal separator, instead of a comma (,)

261602.85

What is the total amount (in USD) disbursed to the implementing partner **during this calendar period**? *

Please use a dot (.) as decimal separator, instead of a comma (,)

0

Briefly describe the main activities carried out by the Implementing Partner during this calendar period *

Please limit your response to 1500 characters

The partnership with NRC in Darfur was discontinued in October 2023 due to the ongoing conflict and the pervasive insecurity. The budget of the partnership agreement was revised, and the unspent fund of USD 57,690.52 was identified and refunded in 2023.

3

Please list all of the project's implementing partners and the amounts (in USD) transferred to each, both since the project's start, and specifically during this calendar period

Please select the type of organisation which best describes the type of implementing partner *

- National youth CSO
- National women's CSO
- Other National CSO
- Subnational youth CSO
- Subnational women's CSO
- Other subnational CSO
- Regional CSO
- Regional Organisation
- International NGO
- Governmental entity
- National women's and youth CSO
- Subnational women's and youth CSO
- Other

Other, Please specify

National Non Government Organization.

What is the name of the Implementing Partner

Rural Community Development Organization (RCDO)

What is the planned total amount (in USD) for the **overall duration of the project** to be disbursed to this implementing partner?

Please use a dot (.) as decimal separator, instead of a comma (,)

349574

What is the total amount (in USD) disbursed to the implementing partner **since the project's start?**

Please use a dot (.) as decimal separator, instead of a comma (,)

349575

What is the total amount (in USD) disbursed to the implementing partner **during this calendar period?**

Please use a dot (.) as decimal separator, instead of a comma (,)

0

Briefly describe the main activities carried out by the Implementing Partner during this calendar period

Please limit your response to 1500 characters

Support the stabilization of participatory urban planning and spatial analysis through:

- **Establish, train, and capacitate technical staff of local authorities, youth focal points, and community leaders.**
- **Support communities in the most vulnerable neighborhoods to identify and prioritize their action plan.**
- **Identify the most vulnerable neighborhoods to risk of conflict according to the Rapid City Profiling (RCP).**
- **Train and capacitate community representatives, including youth males and females, on using Rapid Urban Sector Profiling for Sustainability (RUSPS) and data collection.**
- **Train and capacitate existing community-based associations to manage the allocated community support projects of the community actions and cross-cutting issues, including gender social cohesion.**

Please list all of the project's implementing partners and the amounts (in USD) transferred to each, both since the project's start, and specifically during this calendar period

Please select the type of organisation which best describes the type of implementing partner *

- National youth CSO
- National women's CSO
- Other National CSO
- Subnational youth CSO
- Subnational women's CSO
- Other subnational CSO
- Regional CSO
- Regional Organisation
- International NGO
- Governmental entity
- National women's and youth CSO
- Subnational women's and youth CSO
- Other

Other, Please specify

National Non Government Organization.

What is the name of the Implementing Partner *

JASMAR Human Security Organization (JASMAR).

What is the planned total amount (in USD) for the **overall duration of the project** to be disbursed to this implementing partner? *

Please use a dot (.) as decimal separator, instead of a comma (,)

805100

What is the total amount (in USD) disbursed to the implementing partner **since the project's start?** *

Please use a dot (.) as decimal separator, instead of a comma (,)

805100

What is the total amount (in USD) disbursed to the implementing partner **during this calendar period**? *

Please use a dot (.) as decimal separator, instead of a comma (,)

0

Briefly describe the main activities carried out by the Implementing Partner during this calendar period *

Please limit your response to 1500 characters

- Establish and support youth committees on community reconciliation between IDPs and host communities
- Conduct awareness sessions and community sensitization plans on peaceful co-existence
- Carry out recreational activities to promote social cohesion, aiming to engage and empower male and female youth through grassroots peacebuilding in their communities
- Conduct visits to identify sites for rehabilitation/extension
- Carry out the community support projects

Financial Reporting

» Delivery by Recipient

Please enter the total amounts in full US dollars allocated to each recipient organization

Please enter the original budget amount, amount transferred to date and estimated expenditure by recipient.

Please make sure you enter the correct amount. All values should be entered in **US Dollars**

For cross-border projects, group the amounts by agency, even if different country offices are involved. You will have the opportunity to share a more detailed budget in the next section.

Recipients <small>Please enter the total budget as is in the project document in US Dollars</small>	Total Project Budget (in full US \$) <small>Please enter the total amount transferred to each recipient to date in US Dollars</small>	Transfers to date (in full US \$) <small>Please enter the approximate amount spent to date in US dollars</small>	Expenditure to date (in full US \$) <small>(calculated automatically)</small>	Implementation rate as a percentage of total budget <small>(calculated automatically)</small>
UNHABITAT: United Nations Human Settlements Programme	2200000.00	2200000.00	2196420.77	99.84%

	*	*	*	%
UNHCR: United Nations High Commission er for Refugees	1800000.00	1800000.00	1789458.80	99.41%
	*	*	*	%
	*	*	*	%
	*	*	*	%
	*	*	*	%
	*	*	*	%
	*	*	*	%
	*	*	*	%
	*	*	*	%
	*	*	*	%
	*	*	*	%
	*	*	*	%
	*	*	*	%
	*	*	*	%

	*	*	*	%
	*	*	*	%
	*	*	*	%
TOTAL	4000000	4000000	3985879.57	99.6 5%

The approximate implementation rate as percentage of total project budget based on the values entered in the above matrix is **99.65%**. Can you confirm that this is correct? *

Correct Incorrect

If it is incorrect, please enter the approximate implementation rate as a % *

» **Gender-responsive Budgeting**

Indicate what **percentage (%)** of the budget contributes to gender equality or women's empowerment (GEWE) as per the project document? *

33.72

The dollar amount of the budget contributing to Gender Equality and Women's Empowerment (GEWE) based on percentage entered above and total project budget is **US \$ 1348800**. Can you confirm that this is correct? *

Correct Incorrect

If it is incorrect, please enter the *budget amount* allocated to GEWE in US Dollars *

1348655.84

Amount expended to date on efforts contributing to gender equality or women's empowerment is **US \$ 1344038.59**. Is this correct? *

Correct Incorrect

If it is incorrect, please enter the *expenditure to date* on GEWE in US dollars *

ATTACH PROJECT EXCEL BUDGET SHOWING CURRENT APPROXIMATE EXPENDITURE. *

The templates for the budget are available [here](#)

Joint PBF RAH Port Sudan Financial Report May 2026 (version 1)-7_33_16.xlsx



Project Markers

Please select the Gender Marker Associated with this project *

- Score 1 for projects that contribute in some way to gender equality, but not significantly (less than 30% of the total budget for GEWE)
- Score 2 for projects that have gender equality as a significant objective and allocate between 30 and 79% of the total project budget to GEWE
- Score 3 for projects that have gender equality as a principal objective and allocate at least 80% of the total project budget to Gender Equality and Women's Empowerment (GEWE)

Please select the Risk Marker Associated with this project *

- Risk marker 0 = low risk to achieving outcomes
- Risk marker 1 = medium risk to achieving outcomes
- Risk marker 2 = high risk to achieving outcomes

Please select the PBF Focus Area associated with this project *

- (1.1) Security Sector Reform
- (1.2) Rule of Law
- (1.3) Demobilisation, Disarmament and Reintegration
- (1.4) Political Dialogue
- (2.1) National reconciliation
- (2.2) Democratic Governance
- (2.3) Conflict prevention/management
- (3.1) Employment
- (3.2) Equitable access to social services
- (4.1) Strengthening of essential national state capacity
- (4.2) Extension of state authority/Local Administration
- (4.3) Governance of peacebuilding resources (including PBF Secretariats)

Is the project part of one or more PBF priority windows? *

Select all that apply

- Gender promotion initiative
- Youth promotion initiative
- Transition from UN or regional peacekeeping or special political missions
- Cross-border or regional project
- None

Steering Committee and Government engagement

Does the project have an active steering committee/ project board? *

- Yes
- No

If yes, please indicate how many times the Project Steering Committee has met over the last 6 months?

Please limit your response to 3000 characters

Over the project's full duration (April 2022 – December 2025), the Project Steering Committee met regularly to guide implementation across both phases. During the West Darfur era, 2 meetings were held for the steering committee, while several meetings were held with the stakeholders. During the Port Sudan phase alone, 14 meetings were conducted; across the full lifecycle, coordination meetings were held consistently to align strategy, review progress, and adapt to changing conflict dynamics. These meetings ensured continuous oversight, coordination between UN-Habitat, UNHCR, and implementing partners, and alignment with government priorities.

Please provide a brief description of any engagement that the project has had with the government over the last 6 months. Please indicate what level of government the project has been engaging with.

Please limit your response to 3000 characters

Throughout the project, the project engaged with both state- and locality-level government actors across West Darfur and Red Sea State. In West Darfur, engagement focused on collaboration with the Ministry of Urban Planning and native administration structures on Housing, Land, and Property (HLP) issues. In the Port Sudan phase, the project convened a series of structured meetings with the Red Sea State Governor’s Office, the Ministry of Infrastructure and Urban Planning, the Ministry of Education, the Ministry of Social Welfare, the Port Sudan Locality Authority, and other key institutions. These engagements strengthened institutional ownership, ensured alignment with public policies, and facilitated the integration of project outputs into government systems, thereby laying the foundation for sustainable, government-anchored implementation.

PART I: OVERALL PROJECT PROGRESS

NOTES FOR COMPLETING THE REPORT:

- Avoid acronyms and UN jargon, use general /common language.
- Report on what has been achieved in the reporting period, not what the project aims to do.
- Be as concrete as possible. Avoid theoretical, vague or conceptual discourse.
- Ensure the analysis and project progress assessment is gender and age sensitive.

Please rate the implementation status of the following preliminary/preparatory activities

Contracting of partners

- Not Started
 Initiated
 Partially Completed
 Completed
 Not Applicable

Staff Recruitment

- Not Started
 Initiated
 Partially Completed
 Completed
 Not Applicable

Collection of baselines

- Not Started
 Initiated
 Partially Completed
 Completed
 Not Applicable

Identification of beneficiaries

- Not Started
 Initiated
 Partially Completed
 Completed
 Not Applicable

Provide any additional descriptive information relating to the status of the project, including whether preliminary/preparatory activities have been completed (i.e. contracting of partners, staff recruitment, etc.)

Please limit your response to 3000 characters

During the initial phase in West Darfur (2022–2023), preparatory activities included establishing partnerships with implementing organizations, recruiting technical staff, and initiating HLP-related activities. The project also conducted early engagement with community leaders and native administration, laying the foundation for participatory approaches to land management and conflict resolution. These preparatory efforts were disrupted by the outbreak of conflict in April 2023, project, prompting the project to be reprogrammed to Port Sudan.

The reprogrammed project in Red Sea State (Port Sudan) completed all preparatory steps required for its effective implementation. UN-Habitat extended its Agreement of Cooperation with RCDO through 28 December 2025, and the scope was adjusted to align with the revised context and activities in Port Sudan. RCDO established a dedicated office in the region and completed the recruitment of local staff and consultants to carry out the planned activities. Both RCDO and UN-Habitat secured office spaces, equipment, and logistical frameworks that fully supported field operations.

In August 2024, UN-Habitat formally launched its operational presence in Port Sudan, deploying on-the-ground project staff and receiving continuous technical and managerial support from its Regional Office in Cairo. Stakeholder engagement efforts were successfully prioritized and implemented to ensure alignment and collaboration. UN-Habitat and UNHCR engaged national authorities, including the Governor of Red Sea State and the Minister of Urban Planning and Infrastructure, securing buy-in, consulting on priorities, and establishing strong partnerships. Preliminary dialogues with host communities, IDPs, and community leaders were completed, ensuring that local needs were incorporated and inclusivity promoted in the project design.

Programmatic planning advanced as planned, with the PBF formally endorsing the reprogramming request on 7 August 2024. The project successfully targeted the four most vulnerable urban neighbourhoods in Port Sudan, which host IDPs, displaced populations, male and female youth, technical staff from the Ministry of Infrastructure and Urban Development, and youth networks in Port Sudan. Activities—including Community Needs Analysis, Action Planning, and Community Support Project implementation—were successfully conducted and tailored to the Red Sea State context, integrating conflict-sensitive methodologies to enhance social cohesion.

Monitoring and reporting structures were fully operational, with remote oversight facilitated by UN-Habitat's Cairo office and UNHCR HQ to overcome mobility challenges. Community-led monitoring frameworks were embedded and effectively utilized, ensuring accountability and local ownership. UNHCR's field team worked closely with partners, engaging authorities and communities throughout implementation.

Drone attacks on critical infrastructure in Port Sudan since May 2025 have temporarily disrupted operations; however, the effective implementation of business continuity plans has fully mitigated the associated risks, ensuring uninterrupted delivery of project activities.

Describe overall progress under each Outcome made during the reporting period (*for June reports: January-June; for November reports: January-December (anticipated); for final reports: full project duration*). Do not list individual activities. If the project is starting to make/has made a difference at the outcome level, provide specific evidence for the progress (quantitative and qualitative) and explain how it impacts the broader political and peacebuilding context.

Is the project on track for the timely completion of outputs as indicated in the workplan? *

Yes

No

If no, please provide an explanation *

Please limit your response to 6000 characters

Project progress summary

Please limit your response to 6000 characters

The project was implemented in two phases: an initial phase in West Darfur (2022–2023) and a reprogrammed phase in Port Sudan (2024–2025). Across both phases, the project contributed to peacebuilding outcomes by strengthening institutional capacity, improving access to services, and promoting social cohesion between internally displaced persons (IDPs) and host communities.

During the West Darfur phase, the project strengthened Housing, Land, and Property (HLP) systems and cooperation between government institutions and native administration. This contributed to increased trust among community leaders and enabled shared approaches to durable solutions. In Tabarek, approximately 6,000 plots were allocated for IDP reintegration, with land identified and demarcated through project support. These results demonstrate early institutional and community-level outcomes, particularly improved coordination on land governance and dispute resolution, and directly informed the design of the Port Sudan phase.

Over the full duration of the project (2022–2025), the project made a substantive contribution to peacebuilding outcomes by strengthening institutional capacity, improving access to services, and promoting peaceful coexistence between IDPs and host communities across both the West Darfur and Port Sudan phases.

At the community level, the project contributed to improved interaction between IDPs and host communities. Evidence from monitoring and evaluation findings shows that in three out of four targeted neighbourhoods, community members reported positive interaction between groups. This indicates measurable progress toward peaceful coexistence. The remaining neighbourhood reported continued tensions linked to pressure on basic services, highlighting that unresolved service gaps remain a key conflict driver.

These changes were supported by:

- Establishment of Community Reconciliation Committees (CRCs), which resolved 10 disputes and facilitated dialogue
 - Youth-led initiatives and recreational activities engaging over 1,500 participants, creating neutral spaces for interaction
 - Livelihood interventions, where IDPs and host community members jointly participated in cooperatives
- These interventions contributed to reducing mistrust and competition by building shared social and economic relationships. In fragile urban contexts such as Port Sudan, where tensions are driven by economic hardship and service inequality, these outcomes are significant as they directly address underlying conflict drivers.

At the institutional level, the project contributed to strengthening the capacity for conflict-sensitive urban planning and governance. The development of a city-wide urban profile and neighbourhood profiles, combined with the establishment of a GIS-based urban digitisation centre, enabled authorities to better identify service gaps and prioritise interventions.

A total of 30 technical staff were trained, forming a core group capable of applying these tools beyond the project period. The establishment of an Urban Observatory core and formal cooperation agreements reflects a shift towards data-driven planning systems.

Evidence from validation and evaluation workshops confirms that:

- Government institutions have begun applying project tools and recommendations
- Authorities initiated steps to establish an Urban Observatory and data systems
- 85% of stakeholders rated the quality and usefulness of project data outputs positively

These changes represent structural peacebuilding outcomes, as improved planning systems reduce risks of conflict linked to inequitable service distribution.

The project also contributed to reducing service-related tensions through Community Support Projects (CSPs):

- Approximately 30,000 people benefited from improved water and sanitation services
- Schools and community centres were rehabilitated

By addressing priority needs identified through participatory planning, these interventions reduced grievances linked to marginalisation and exclusion.

Livelihood interventions further strengthened social cohesion by creating shared economic opportunities, including youth and women's cooperatives. These initiatives reduced dependency, promoted collaboration, and demonstrated that economic integration can support peaceful coexistence.

Despite these achievements, some limitations affected overall performance:

- Baseline and endline data for several outcome indicators could not be collected due to conflict and reprogramming

- Some West Darfur activities were discontinued
- Outcome-level measurement remained partly qualitative

However, findings from monitoring, perception surveys, and evaluation workshops consistently indicate that the project contributed to both community-level behavioural change and institutional-level transformation. Overall, the project demonstrates that integrated urban planning, combined with livelihood support and participatory governance, can effectively address conflict drivers and support peacebuilding in displacement-affected urban settings.

Indicate any additional analysis on how Gender Equality and Women's Empowerment and/or Youth Inclusion and Responsiveness has been ensured by the project to date

Please limit your response to 3000 characters

The project demonstrated a strong commitment to gender equality and youth inclusion, achieving high levels of participation and targeted impact across its interventions. Gender equality was systematically integrated into project design and implementation, with a focus on both participation and economic empowerment. Women's leadership and participation were strengthened through initiatives such as Women Urban Labs, which engaged over 55 participants, including women leaders, youth, IDPs, and women with disabilities. These platforms enabled women to contribute to urban planning discussions and co-develop recommendations, reinforcing their role in peacebuilding processes. Across capacity-building activities, women's participation reached 55% in urban profiling and vulnerability mapping workshops, while the Core Technical Team maintained 50% female representation, including in leadership roles.

The project also advanced women's economic empowerment, supporting 40 vulnerable women (25 IDPs and 15 host community members) through livelihood training, provision of equipment, and establishment of a cooperative. This enabled women to transition towards income generation and reduced economic vulnerability, which is a key driver of social tension. Women's participation also exceeded expectations in vocational training, reaching 80%, significantly above initial targets.

Youth inclusion was a central pillar of the project. Youth-led peacebuilding initiatives engaged both displaced and host community youth through theatre, arts, digital media, and community dialogue. A total of 50 youth (23 male, 27 female) were trained and organized into the Youth Media Platforms for Peace Association, which continues to promote peace messaging and social cohesion. Youth participation was intentionally balanced across gender and community groups, contributing to inclusive engagement.

The project also promoted joint economic activities, including a leather craftsmanship cooperative that brought together 27 displaced and 23 host community youth, fostering collaboration and reducing social divides through shared livelihoods.

At the community level, inclusive participation was further reflected in recreational and social activities, where 54% of 1,597 participants were women and girls, contributing to improved social interaction in safe and inclusive spaces. Despite these achievements, challenges remained. Cultural barriers limited women's participation in certain public spaces, and disability inclusion was not systematically tracked. In addition, outcome-level measurement of gender and youth impacts requires strengthening.

Overall, the project demonstrates that integrating gender equality and youth inclusion into urban planning, livelihoods, and social cohesion activities can significantly contribute to peacebuilding outcomes by addressing structural inequalities and fostering inclusive participation.

Is the project 1+ year in implementation?

- Yes
- No

FOR PROJECTS 1+ YEAR IN IMPLEMENTATION ONLY:

Is the project demonstrating outcome-level peacebuilding results? *

Outcome-level peacebuilding results entail results achieved at the societal or structural level, including changed attitudes, behaviours or institutions.

- Yes
- No

If yes, please provide concrete examples of such peacebuilding results *

Please limit your response to 6000 characters

Overall, the project achieved outcome-level peacebuilding results at both institutional and community levels, despite operating in a highly constrained conflict environment. These results are assessed based on a combination of qualitative evidence, stakeholder feedback, and available monitoring data.

In West Darfur, the project contributed to institutional-level change by strengthening collaboration between government authorities and native administration on Housing, Land, and Property (HLP) issues. This enabled more inclusive land allocation processes and improved mechanisms for resolving land-related disputes affecting internally displaced persons.

In Port Sudan, the project contributed to improved social cohesion between internally displaced persons (IDPs) and host communities. Evidence indicates that in three out of four targeted neighbourhoods, community members reported positive interaction, reflecting progress toward peaceful coexistence.

At the structural level, the project contributed to strengthening governance systems through the adoption of urban profiling methodologies, the establishment of an urban observatory, and the integration of geographic information system (GIS)-based planning tools within local government institutions. These changes improved the transparency and effectiveness of planning processes and reduced the risk of conflict associated with unequal service distribution.

However, broader societal-level transformation remained constrained by ongoing conflict dynamics and the inability to collect baseline and endline data, limiting the quantitative measurement of outcome-level change.

If yes, please provide sources or references (including links) as evidence of peacebuilding results, or submit them as additional attachments.

Evidence may be quantitative or qualitative but needs to demonstrate progress against outcome indicators in the project results framework. Sources may include project surveys (such as perception surveys), monitoring reports, government documents, or other knowledge products that have been developed by the project.

File attachment

[Click here to upload file. \(< 10MB\)](#)

PART II: PROJECT RESULTS FRAMEWORK

How many OUTCOMES does this project have *

1 2 3 **4** 5 more than 5.

Please write out the project outcomes as they are in the project results framework found in the project document

Outcome 1:

Peaceful coexistence is enhanced among IDPs, returnees, nomads, and non-displaced communities in conflict affected areas, including areas of displacement and return

Outcome 2:

Peaceful coexistence is promoted, and tension is reduced between IDPs and host communities through joint analysis and prioritization of needs as well as planning and implementation of community action plans including community support projects, ensuring that most vulnerable neighborhoods are targeted through urban planning approach and spatial analysis.

Outcome 3:

Self-reliance of IDPs, returnees, nomads, and non-displaced communities, particularly male and female youth, enhanced through capacity development on self-help reconstruction using ISSB, which will inform the national and state housing policy framework.

Outcome 4:

Durable solutions for IDPs, returnees, nomads, and non-displaced communities in West Darfur advanced through enhancement of Housing, Land, and Property (HLP) rights and improved access to civil documentation.

Outcome 5:

Outcome 6:

Outcome 7:

Outcome 8:

Additional Outcomes *

If the project has more than 8 outcomes, please enumerate the remaining outcomes here

INDICATOR BASED PERFORMANCE ASSESSMENT

Using the Project Results Framework as per the approved project document or any amendments, provide an update on the achievement of all **outcome** and **output** indicators in the table below.

- Where it has not been possible to collect data on indicators, state this and provide any explanation.
- Provide gender and age disaggregated data. (500 characters max per entry)

» Outcome 1: Peaceful coexistence is enhanced among IDPs, returnees, nomads, and non-displaced communities in conflict affected areas, including areas of displacement and return

O C 1	Outcome Indicator s	Indicator Baseline	End of Project Indicator Target	Indicator progress for reporting period	Indicator progress since project's start	Reasons for Variance/ Delay (if any)
1. 1	Indicator 1.1 % of women (disaggregated by age and tribe) in target areas who report increased participation in community- based structures contributing to peaceful coexistence	TBC	TBC	N/A	N/A	Due to the disruption caused by the conflict that broke out in April 2023, collecting base- and end-line data was no longer feasible and the project has re- programmed.
1. 2	Indicator 1.2 % of community members (disaggregated by age, gender, and tribe) in target areas who report positive interactions with individuals from disputing communities	TBC	TBC	N/A	N/A	Due to the disruption caused by the conflict that broke out in April 2023, collecting base- and end-line data was no longer feasible and the project has re- programmed

1.3	Indicator 1.3 % of community members (disaggregated by age, gender, and tribe) in target areas who report positive engagement with police	TBC	TBC	N/A	N/A	Due to the disruption caused by the conflict that broke out in April 2023, collecting base- and end-line data was no longer feasible and the project has re-programmed
1.4						
1.5						

How many outputs does outcome 1 have?

1 2 **3** 4 5 more than 5.

Please list all outputs for outcome 1

Output 1.1

Dialogue and collaborative problem solving between I DPs, returnees, nomads, and non-displaced communities enhanced through community-based structures and reconciliation events. (UNHCR)

Output 1.2

Social cohesion and inter-communal tolerance promoted through youth-led community art and recreational micro-projects. (UNHCR)

Output 1.3

Relations between police and local communities improved through increased engagement of community members (i.e., I DPs, returnees, nomads, and non-displaced) using community-oriented policing approach. (UNHCR)

Output 1.4

Output 1.5

Other Outputs

If Outcome 1 has more than 5 outputs, please enumerate the remaining outputs here

For each output, and using the, project results framework, provide an update on the progress made against all output indicators

» Output 1.1: Dialogue and collaborative problem solving between I DPs, returnees, nomads, and non-displaced communities enhanced through community-based structures and reconciliation events. (UNHCR)

1. 1	Output Indicators <i>Describe the indicator</i>	Indicator Baseline <i>State the baseline value of the indicator</i>	End of Project Indicator Target <i>State the target value of the indicator at the end of the project</i>	Indicator progress for reporting period <i>State the current value of the indicator for the reporting period</i>	Indicator progress since project's start <i>State the current cumulative value of the indicator since the start of the project</i>	Reasons for Variance/ Delay (if any) <i>Explain why the indicator is off track or has changed, where relevant</i>
1.1.1	Indicator 1.1.1 # of inclusive CRCs, including with representation of women and youth, established, and supported	0	4	4	4	N/A Completed
1.1.2	Indicator 1.1.2 # of disputes resolved by CRCs	0	10	10	10	N/A Completed
1.1.3	Indicator 1.1.3 #of community-level dialogue and reconciliation events implemented, including with the involvement of women and youth	0	10	10	10	N/A Completed

1. 1. 4						
1. 1. 5						

» Output 1.2: Social cohesion and inter-communal tolerance promoted through youth-led community art and recreational micro-projects. (UNHCR)

1. 2	Output Indicators <i>Describe the indicator</i>	Indicator Baseline <i>State the baseline value of the indicator</i>	End of Project Indicator Target <i>State the target value of the indicator at the end of the project</i>	Indicator progress for reporting period <i>State the current value of the indicator for the reporting period</i>	Indicator progress since project's start <i>State the current cumulative value of the indicator since the start of the project</i>	Reasons for Variance/ Delay (if any) <i>Explain why the indicator is off track or has changed, where relevant</i>
1. 2. 1	Indicator 1.2.1 # of youth-led consultations with target communities, including with the active leadership and participation of young women	0	1	1	1	
1. 2. 2	Indicator 1.2.2 # of youth-led community art and/or recreational micro-projects implemented	0	N/A	0	0	Activity was put on hold as a result of the conflict
1. 2. 3						
1. 2. 4						
1. 2. 5						

» Output 1.3: Relations between police and local communities improved through increased engagement of community members (i.e., I DPs, returnees, nomads, and non-displaced) using community-oriented policing approach. (UNHCR)

1. 3	Output Indicators <i>Describe the indicator</i>	Indicator Baseline <i>State the baseline value of the indicator</i>	End of Project Indicator Target <i>State the target value of the indicator at the end of the project</i>	Indicator progress for reporting period <i>State the current value of the indicator for the reporting period</i>	Indicator progress since project's start <i>State the current cumulative value of the indicator since the start of the project</i>	Reasons for Variance/ Delay (if any) <i>Explain why the indicator is off track or has changed, where relevant</i>
1. 3. 1	Indicator 1.3.1 # of gender-sensitive capacity building trainings on Community-Oriented Policing (COP) delivered	0	1	1	1 session held in Krinding, El Geneina. 16 police participants (15 M, 1F); 15 community members (10 M, 5 F)	N/A Completed
1. 3. 2						
1. 3. 3						
1. 3. 4						
1. 3. 5						

» **Output 1.4:**

1. 4	Output Indicators <i>Describe the indicator</i>	Indicator Baseline <i>State the baseline value of the indicator</i>	End of Project Indicator Target <i>State the target value of the indicator at the end of the project</i>	Indicator progress for reporting period <i>State the current value of the indicator for the reporting period</i>	Indicator progress since project's start <i>State the current cumulative value of the indicator since the start of the project</i>	Reasons for Variance/ Delay (if any) <i>Explain why the indicator is off track or has changed, where relevant</i>
1. 4. 1						
1. 4. 2						
1. 4. 3						
1. 4. 4						
1. 4. 5						

» **Output 1.5:**

1. 5	Output Indicators <i>Describe the indicator</i>	Indicator Baseline <i>State the baseline value of the indicator</i>	End of Project Indicator Target <i>State the target value of the indicator at the end of the project</i>	Indicator progress for reporting period <i>State the current value of the indicator for the reporting period</i>	Indicator progress since project's start <i>State the current cumulative value of the indicator since the start of the project</i>	Reasons for Variance/ Delay (if any) <i>Explain why the indicator is off track or has changed, where relevant</i>
1. 5. 1						
1. 5. 2						
1. 5. 3						
1. 5. 4						
1. 5. 5						

» **Outcome 2: Peaceful coexistence is promoted, and tension is reduced between IDPs and host communities through joint analysis and prioritization of needs as well as planning and implementation of community action plans including community support projects, ensuring that most vulnerable neighborhoods are targeted through urban planning approach and spatial analysis.**

O C 2	Outcome Indicator s	Indicator Baseline	End of Project Indicator Target	Indicator progress for reporting period	Indicator progress since project's start	Reasons for Variance/ Delay (if any)
2. 1	Indicator 2.1 % of IDPs and host community members living in target urban neighborhoods report positive interactions with individuals from disputing communities	0	50	30 3 Neighbourhoods out of 4 reported positive interaction between IDPs and host community (HC). This finding indicates that the project contributed to improved interaction between IDPs and host communities, reflecting progress toward peaceful coexistence. The remaining neighbourhood highlights that unresolved service gaps— particularly in health and education— continue to drive tensions.	30 3 Neighbourhoods out of 4 reported positive interaction between IDPs and host community (HC). This finding indicates that the project contributed to improved interaction between IDPs and host communities, reflecting progress toward peaceful coexistence. The remaining neighbourhood highlights that unresolved service gaps— particularly in health and education— continue to drive tensions	

2. 2						
2. 3						
2. 4						
2. 5						

How many outputs does outcome 2 have?

1 2 3 **4** 5 more than 5.

Please list all outputs for outcome 2

Output 2.1

Guiding principles and policy on IDPs return, reintegration and resettlements developed and adopted among key stakeholders, clarifying Housing, Land, and Property (HLP) rights for Krinding IDP camp population.

Output 2.2

Stabilization measures are better targeted through participatory urban planning and spatial analysis.

Output 2.3

Priority actions are identified through community action planning in most vulnerable neighbourhoods.

Output 2.4

Priority actions are planned, implemented, and monitored jointly by the communities in the most vulnerable neighbourhoods

Output 2.5

Other Outputs

If Outcome 2 has more than 5 outputs, please enumerate the remaining outputs here

For each output, and using the, project results framework, provide an update on the progress made against all output indicators

» Output 2.1: Guiding principles and policy on IDPs return, reintegration and resettlements developed and adopted among key stakeholders, clarifying Housing, Land, and Property (HLP) rights for Krinding IDP camp population.

2.1	Output Indicators <i>Describe the indicator</i>	Indicator Baseline <i>State the baseline value of the indicator</i>	End of Project Indicator Target <i>State the target value of the indicator at the end of the project</i>	Indicator progress for reporting period <i>State the current value of the indicator for the reporting period</i>	Indicator progress since project's start <i>State the current cummulative value of the indicator since the start of the project</i>	Reasons for Variance/ Delay (if any) <i>Explain why the indicator is off track or has changed, where relevant</i>
2.1.1						
2.1.2	Indicator 2.1.2 # State and Locality authorities personnel trained on HLP issues	0	40	0	26 personnel of the State and locality authorities received five training and capacity building sessions on HLP, including extended on-the-job training	The activity was previously in progress but was canceled during the project reprogramming due to the conflict

<p>2. 1. 3</p>	<p>Indicator 2.1.3 % of IDP's, returnees, non- displaced communities, and nomads (disaggregated by gender and age) who report improved understandings on HLP rights</p>	<p>0</p>	<p>50%</p>	<p>85%</p>	<p>85%</p>	<p>The initial phase of the restructured programme was dedicated to establishing foundational methodologies, collecting comprehensive baseline data—including on Housing, Land, and Property(HLP) rights—and enhancing stakeholder capacity. In the evaluation workshop 85% of respondents appreciated the quality and content of the digital mapping of Port Sudan. Plausibility of thematic maps and service distribution is highly commended</p>
<p>2. 1. 4</p>						
<p>2. 1. 5</p>						

» **Output 2.2: Stabilization measures are better targeted through participatory urban planning and spatial analysis.**

2. 2	Output Indicators <i>Describe the indicator</i>	Indicator Baseline <i>State the baseline value of the indicator</i>	End of Project Indicator Target <i>State the target value of the indicator at the end of the project</i>	Indicator progress for reporting period <i>State the current value of the indicator for the reporting period</i>	Indicator progress since project's start <i>State the current cumulative value of the indicator since the start of the project</i>	Reasons for Variance/ Delay (if any) <i>Explain why the indicator is off track or has changed, where relevant</i>
2. 2. 1	Indicator 2.2.1 #Number of assessments conducted on the impact of displacement in urban settings and social coexistence.	0	1	1	1	Activities were completed and validated. The findings of the assessment was incorporated as an integral component of the Rapid City Profiling Report
2. 2. 2	Indicator 2.2.2 #Number of locality staff, Representatives of 2 host communities, and 2 IDPs communities trained on spatial data collection (disaggregated by gender and age).	0	19	19	19 total, including 9 technical locality staff and 10 from the IDPs and host communities (8 M, 11 F) participated in data collection training workshop	

<p>2. 2. 3</p>	<p>Indicator 2.2.3 # of local authorities staff trained on the geo-spatial mapping and data collection tools</p>	<p>0</p>	<p>30</p>	<p>30</p>	<p>30 A 30-member technical team (18 M, 12 F) completed an intensive two- week workshop on urban profiling methodologies, gaining foundational skills in GIS applications and spatial data collection. Training covered GIS fundamentals, QGIS software navigation, basic map creation, vector data management, attribute data analysis, and map projection techniques</p>	
------------------------	---	-----------------	------------------	------------------	---	--

<p>2. 2. 4</p>	<p>Indicator 2.2.4 # of local authorities with the geo-spatial mapping incorporated in the planning system and procedures</p>	<p>0</p>	<p>1</p>	<p>1</p>	<p>1</p> <p>The core technical team (CTT) from Port Sudan locality (30 members), representing the Ministry of Infrastructure and Urban Planning (MoIUP) (13), Locality Administrative Authority (7), Federal Survey (2), and Education Institutions (8). An urban digitization center has been established and equipped, and a two-week GIS training program has been completed</p>	
<p>2. 2. 5</p>	<p>Indicator 2.2.5 A set of recommendations adopted by the local authorities and key stakeholders.</p>	<p>0</p>	<p>1</p>	<p>1</p>	<p>1</p>	

» Output 2.3: Priority actions are identified through community action planning in most vulnerable neighbourhoods.

2. 3	Output Indicators <i>Describe the indicator</i>	Indicator Baseline <i>State the baseline value of the indicator</i>	End of Project Indicator Target <i>State the target value of the indicator at the end of the project</i>	Indicator progress for reporting period <i>State the current value of the indicator for the reporting period</i>	Indicator progress since project's start <i>State the current cumulative value of the indicator since the start of the project</i>	Reasons for Variance/ Delay (if any) <i>Explain why the indicator is off track or has changed, where relevant</i>
2. 3. 1	Indicator 2.3.1 Number of community action plans developed.	0	4	8 neighborhoods profiles are developed, including 4 action plans	8 neighborhoods profiles are developed, including 4 action plans	An additional 4 neighbourhoods were included
2. 3. 2	Indicator 2.3.2 #Number of neighbourhood profiles developed	0	4	8 neighborhood profiles are developed	8 neighborhood profiles are developed	An additional 4 neighbourhoods were included
2. 3. 3	Indicator 2.3.3 Number of community members trained on RUSPS methodology (disaggregated by sex and community group)	0	50	50	50 local authorities' staff (30 M, 20 F) were trained, and 23 representatives from 7 neighborhoods joined the data collection training sessions.	
2. 3. 4	Indicator 2.3.4 # of community support projects supported and established	0	13	13	13	In total, 13 CSPs are planned. Currently, all 13 projects are fully implemented.

2.						
3.						
5						
.....

» Output 2.4: Priority actions are planned, implemented, and monitored jointly by the communities in the most vulnerable neighbourhoods

2. 4	Output Indicators <i>Describe the indicator</i>	Indicator Baseline <i>State the baseline value of the indicator</i>	End of Project Indicator Target <i>State the target value of the indicator at the end of the project</i>	Indicator progress for reporting period <i>State the current value of the indicator for the reporting period</i>	Indicator progress since project's start <i>State the current cumulative value of the indicator since the start of the project</i>	Reasons for Variance/ Delay (if any) <i>Explain why the indicator is off track or has changed, where relevant</i>
2. 4. 1	Indicator 2.4.1 Number of community members involved in planning, monitoring, and implementation of support projects (disaggregated by age, gender, and community)	0	20	20	20	Activity completed
2. 4. 2	Indicator 2.4.2 Number of community action plans (mini projects) through community grant implemented	0	4	4	4	Activity completed

<p>2. 4. 3</p>	<p>Indicator 2.4.3 #At least one social media platform is established functional and managed by youth from the targeted communities</p>	<p>0</p>	<p>1</p>	<p>1 All trained youth members (50 participants: 23 males and 27 females) specializing in different areas of theatre, art, peace messages, and music were united under the newly registered Youth Media Platforms for Peace Association. The association was established in collaboration with the Ministry of Education's Department of Youth and Culture and received essential equipment to sustain ongoing peace advocacy activities.</p>	<p>1 All trained youth members (50 participants: 23 males and 27 females) specializing in different areas of theatre, art, peace messages, and music were united under the newly registered Youth Media Platforms for Peace Association. The association was established in collaboration with the Ministry of Education's Department of Youth and Culture and received essential equipment to sustain ongoing peace advocacy activities.</p>	<p>Activity completed</p>
<p>2. 4. 4</p>						
<p>2. 4. 5</p>						

» **Output 2.5:**

2. 5	Output Indicators <i>Describe the indicator</i>	Indicator Baseline <i>State the baseline value of the indicator</i>	End of Project Indicator Target <i>State the target value of the indicator at the end of the project</i>	Indicator progress for reporting period <i>State the current value of the indicator for the reporting period</i>	Indicator progress since project's start <i>State the current cumulative value of the indicator since the start of the project</i>	Reasons for Variance/ Delay (if any) <i>Explain why the indicator is off track or has changed, where relevant</i>
2. 5. 1						
2. 5. 2						
2. 5. 3						
2. 5. 4						
2. 5. 5						

» **Outcome 3: Self-reliance of IDPs, returnees, nomads, and non-displaced communities, particularly male and female youth, enhanced through capacity development on self-help reconstruction using ISSB, which will inform the national and state housing policy framework.**

O C 3	Outcome Indicators	Indicator Baseline	End of Project Indicator Target	Indicator progress for reporting period	Indicator progress since project's start	Reasons for Variance/Delay (if any)
3.1	% of IDPs, returnees, nomads, and non-displaced households considering ISSB self-help construction as a viable option for their housing	TBD	TBD	N/A	N/A	Outcome reprogrammed
3.2	# of trained IDPs, TBD returnees, nomads, and non-displaced youth (male and female) who put in practice the acquired ISSB skills in the construction of pilot housing and other structures	TBD	TBD	N/A	N/A	Outcome reprogrammed

3. 3	% of targeted community members (disaggregated by age, gender, and tribe) who indicate improved social cohesion due to utilization of shared public facilities by different communities.	TBD	TBD	N/A	N/A	Outcome reprogrammed
3. 4						
3. 5						

How many outputs does outcome 3 have?

- 1 2 3 **4** 5 more than 5.

Please list all outputs for outcome 3

Output 3.1

IDP, returnee, nomadic, and non-displaced male and female youth acquired skills for Interlocking Stabilized Soil Block (ISSB) production and construction technique for the self-help housing (re)construction

Output 3.2

Trained male and female youth engaged in (re)construction of self-help housing - using ISSB technology - for the most vulnerable IDP, returnee, nomadic and non-displaced households

Output 3.3

Diverse community members (I DPs, returnees, nomads, and non-displaced communities) in target areas of return and/or local integration brought together through the identification and construction of shared public facilities.

Output 3.4

Self-help housing construction by ISSB practices reflected to the national housing frameworks

Output 3.5

Other Outputs

If Outcome 3 has more than 5 outputs, please enumerate the remaining outputs here

For each output, and using the, project results framework, provide an update on the progress made against all output indicators

» **Output 3.1: IDP, returnee, nomadic, and non-displaced male and female youth acquired skills for Interlocking Stabilized Soil Block (ISSB) production and construction technique for the self-help housing (re)construction**

3.1	Output Indicators <i>Describe the indicator</i>	Indicator Baseline <i>State the baseline value of the indicator</i>	End of Project Indicator Target <i>State the target value of the indicator at the end of the project</i>	Indicator progress for reporting period <i>State the current value of the indicator for the reporting period</i>	Indicator progress since project's start <i>State the current cumulative value of the indicator since the start of the project</i>	Reasons for Variance/Delay (if any) <i>Explain why the indicator is off track or has changed, where relevant</i>
3.1.1	# of IDPs, returnees, nomads, and non-displaced youth {disaggregated by gender and age) trained as trainers of ISSB production techniques.	0	308	TBC	104	8-day TOT on ISSB production was conducted. The ToT sessions trained 104 young men and women (59 men and 35 women from community members, 10 participants from technical institutions) to be trainers. Activity was put on hold as a result of the conflict. Activity has no longer feasible, project has reprogrammed.

<p>3. 1. 2</p>	<p>#ofl DPs, returnees, nomads, and non-displaced youth {disaggregated by gender and age) trained as trainers on ISSB construction techniques including ferro-cement channel for roofing</p>	<p>0</p>	<p>154</p>	<p>TBC</p>	<p>35</p>	<p>7-day TOT on ISSB construction and ferro-cement casting and installation was conducted. The ToT sessions trained 35 male and female youth (22 male and 13 female) to be trainers. Activity was put on hold as a result of the conflict. Activity has no longer feasible, project has reprogrammed.</p>
<p>3. 1. 3</p>	<p>#of IDPs, returnees, nomads, and non-displaced youth {disaggregated by gender and age) trained on ISSB production and construction techniques and ferro-cement channel for roofing</p>	<p>0</p>	<p>600</p>	<p>TBC</p>	<p>TBC</p>	<p>Activity was in preparatory stage prior to the conflict. Activity had been put on hold as a result of the conflict. project has reprogrammed.</p>
<p>3. 1. 4</p>						
<p>3. 1. 5</p>						

» Output 3.2: Trained male and female youth engaged in (re)construction of self-help housing - using ISSB technology - for the most vulnerable IDP, returnee, nomadic and non-displaced households

3. 2	Output Indicators <i>Describe the indicator</i>	Indicator Baseline <i>State the baseline value of the indicator</i>	End of Project Indicator Target <i>State the target value of the indicator at the end of the project</i>	Indicator progress for reporting period <i>State the current value of the indicator for the reporting period</i>	Indicator progress since project's start <i>State the current cummulative value of the indicator since the start of the project</i>	Reasons for Variance/ Delay (if any) <i>Explain why the indicator is off track or has changed, where relevant</i>
3. 2. 1	# of self-help pilot housing units (re) constructed and handed over to most vulnerable households {beneficiaries disaggregated by gender, age, and vulnerability factors {female-headed households, disability, etc)}	0	45	TBC	TBC	SSB techniques were disseminated among the communities and plans for pilot housing in support of vulnerable families were discussed. Preparation for self-help housing was completed with the provision of 50 SSB manual machines and 2Hydro-formmachines. Activity had been put on hold as a result of the conflict. Project has reprogrammed.

<p>3. 2. 2</p>	<p>Small scale community-based enterprise for ISSB production and construction established</p>	<p>0</p>	<p>5</p>	<p>TBC</p>	<p>TBC</p>	<p>Two community committees were formed out of SSB trainees and obtained registration as entities, with plans to further train youth, assist in rehabilitation of community infrastructure and pilot self-help construction. Activity had been put on hold as a result of the conflict. Project has reprogrammed.</p>
<p>3. 2. 3</p>						
<p>3. 2. 4</p>						
<p>3. 2. 5</p>						

» Output 3.3: Diverse community members (I DPs, returnees, nomads, and non-displaced communities) in target areas of return and/or local integration brought together through the identification and construction of shared public facilities.

3. 3	Output Indicators <i>Describe the indicator</i>	Indicator Baseline <i>State the baseline value of the indicator</i>	End of Project Indicator Target <i>State the target value of the indicator at the end of the project</i>	Indicator progress for reporting period <i>State the current value of the indicator for the reporting period</i>	Indicator progress since project's start <i>State the current cumulative value of the indicator since the start of the project</i>	Reasons for Variance/ Delay (if any) <i>Explain why the indicator is off track or has changed, where relevant</i>
3. 3. 1	# of shared, public facilities identified and constructed.	0	1	TBC	TBC	Activity did not start. Activity had been put on hold as a result of the conflict. Project has reprogrammed.
3. 3. 2						
3. 3. 3						
3. 3. 4						
3. 3. 5						

» Output 3.4: Self-help housing construction by ISSB practices reflected to the national housing frameworks

3. 4	Output Indicators <i>Describe the indicator</i>	Indicator Baseline <i>State the baseline value of the indicator</i>	End of Project Indicator Target <i>State the target value of the indicator at the end of the project</i>	Indicator progress for reporting period <i>State the current value of the indicator for the reporting period</i>	Indicator progress since project's start <i>State the current cumulative value of the indicator since the start of the project</i>	Reasons for Variance/ Delay (if any) <i>Explain why the indicator is off track or has changed, where relevant</i>
3. 4. 1	Lessons learned from the ISSB self-help housing construction documented and shared with relevant stakeholders.	0	1	TBC	TBC	Activity did not start. Activity had been put on hold as a result of the conflict. Project has reprogrammed.
3. 4. 2						
3. 4. 3						
3. 4. 4						
3. 4. 5						

» **Output 3.5:**

3. 5	Output Indicators <i>Describe the indicator</i>	Indicator Baseline <i>State the baseline value of the indicator</i>	End of Project Indicator Target <i>State the target value of the indicator at the end of the project</i>	Indicator progress for reporting period <i>State the current value of the indicator for the reporting period</i>	Indicator progress since project's start <i>State the current cumulative value of the indicator since the start of the project</i>	Reasons for Variance/ Delay (if any) <i>Explain why the indicator is off track or has changed, where relevant</i>
3. 5. 1						
3. 5. 2						
3. 5. 3						
3. 5. 4						
3. 5. 5						

» **Outcome 4: Durable solutions for IDPs, returnees, nomads, and non-displaced communities in West Darfur advanced through enhancement of Housing, Land, and Property (HLP) rights and improved access to civil documentation.**

O C 4	Outcome Indicators	Indicator Baseline	End of Project Indicator Target	Indicator progress for reporting period	Indicator progress since project's start	Reasons for Variance/ Delay (if any)
4.1	Indicator 4.1 % of national, humanitarian, and development actors (disaggregated by gender, sector, and organisation) who indicate improved awareness and understanding of Housing, Land, and Property (HLP) issues in Darfur	TBD	TBD	N/A	N/A	Outcome reprogrammed
4.2	Indicator 4.2 % of community members (disaggregated by age, gender, and tribe) in target areas who report improved awareness of HLP rights and/or civil documentation	TBD	TBD	N/A	N/A	Outcome reprogrammed

4.3	Indicator 4.3 % of community members (disaggregated by age, gender, and tribe) in target areas who report improved access to HLP and/or civil documentation	TBD	TBD	N/A	N/A	Outcome reprogrammed
4.4						
4.5						

How many outputs does outcome 4 have?

1 2 3 4 5 more than 5.

Please list all outputs for outcome 4

Output 4.1

National, humanitarian, and development policies on Housing, Land, and Property (HLP) rights in Darfur enhanced through thematic studies and policy roundtables on HLP restitution and compensation; regularisation of IDP camps/sites; and women's HLP rights. (UNHCR/UN Habitat)

Output 4.2

Realisation of individual HLP rights, as well as provision of civil documentation, supported through information, counselling, and legal assistance (UNHCR)

Output 4.3

Output 4.4

Output 4.5

Other Outputs

If Outcome 4 has more than 5 outputs, please enumerate the remaining outputs here

For each output, and using the, project results framework, provide an update on the progress made against all output indicators

» Output 4.1: National, humanitarian, and development policies on Housing, Land, and Property (HLP) rights in Darfur enhanced through thematic studies and policy roundtables on HLP restitution and compensation; regularisation of IDP camps/sites; and women's HLP rights. (UNHCR/UN Habitat)

4. 1	Output Indicators <i>Describe the indicator</i>	Indicator Baseline <i>State the baseline value of the indicator</i>	End of Project Indicator Target <i>State the target value of the indicator at the end of the project</i>	Indicator progress for reporting period <i>State the current value of the indicator for the reporting period</i>	Indicator progress since project's start <i>State the current cumulative value of the indicator since the start of the project</i>	Reasons for Variance/ Delay (if any) <i>Explain why the indicator is off track or has changed, where relevant</i>
4.1.1	Indicator 4.1.1 Number of HLP-related reports published and disseminated	0	N/A	N/A		
4.1.2						
4.1.3						
4.1.4						
4.1.5						

» **Output 4.2: Realisation of individual HLP rights, as well as provision of civil documentation, supported through information, counselling, and legal assistance (UNHCR)**

4. 2	Output Indicators <i>Describe the indicator</i>	Indicator Baseline <i>State the baseline value of the indicator</i>	End of Project Indicator Target <i>State the target value of the indicator at the end of the project</i>	Indicator progress for reporting period <i>State the current value of the indicator for the reporting period</i>	Indicator progress since project's start <i>State the current cumulative value of the indicator since the start of the project</i>	Reasons for Variance/ Delay (if any) <i>Explain why the indicator is off track or has changed, where relevant</i>
4. 2. 1	Indicator 4.2.1 # of trainings for the West Darfur Civil Registry	0	1	1	1	Completed
4. 2. 2	Indicator 4.2.2 #of individuals, disaggregated by age and gender, who receive counselling and legal assistance on civil documentation	0	473	473	473	Completed
4. 2. 3	Indicator 4.2.3 #of individuals, disaggregated by age and gender, who receive counselling and legal assistance on HLP.	0	61 (60% men; 40% women)	61 completed in previous reporting period	61 (60% men; 40% women)	completed in previous reporting period
4. 2. 4						

4. 2. 5						
---------------	--	--	--	--	--	--

» **Output 4.3:**

4. 3	Output Indicators <i>Describe the indicator</i>	Indicator Baseline <i>State the baseline value of the indicator</i>	End of Project Indicator Target <i>State the target value of the indicator at the end of the project</i>	Indicator progress for reporting period <i>State the current value of the indicator for the reporting period</i>	Indicator progress since project's start <i>State the current cumulative value of the indicator since the start of the project</i>	Reasons for Variance/ Delay (if any) <i>Explain why the indicator is off track or has changed, where relevant</i>
4. 3. 1						
4. 3. 2						
4. 3. 3						
4. 3. 4						
4. 3. 5						

» **Output 4.4:**

4. 4	Output Indicators <i>Describe the indicator</i>	Indicator Baseline <i>State the baseline value of the indicator</i>	End of Project Indicator Target <i>State the target value of the indicator at the end of the project</i>	Indicator progress for reporting period <i>State the current value of the indicator for the reporting period</i>	Indicator progress since project's start <i>State the current cumulative value of the indicator since the start of the project</i>	Reasons for Variance/ Delay (if any) <i>Explain why the indicator is off track or has changed, where relevant</i>
4. 4. 1						
4. 4. 2						
4. 4. 3						
4. 4. 4						
4. 4. 5						

» **Output 4.5:**

4. 5	Output Indicators <i>Describe the indicator</i>	Indicator Baseline <i>State the baseline value of the indicator</i>	End of Project Indicator Target <i>State the target value of the indicator at the end of the project</i>	Indicator progress for reporting period <i>State the current value of the indicator for the reporting period</i>	Indicator progress since project's start <i>State the current cumulative value of the indicator since the start of the project</i>	Reasons for Variance/ Delay (if any) <i>Explain why the indicator is off track or has changed, where relevant</i>
4. 5. 1						
4. 5. 2						
4. 5. 3						
4. 5. 4						
4. 5. 5						
<p>If the project has more than 4 outcomes, use this space to describe progress on progress on indicators for the remaining outcomes *</p>						

PART III: Cross-Cutting Issues

Is the project planning any significant events in the next six months? (eg. national dialogues, youth congresses, film screenings, etc.)

If yes, please state how many, and for each, provide the approximate date of the event and a brief description, including its key objectives, target audience and location (if known)

Events	Event Description	Tentative Date	Location	Target Audience	Event Objectives (900 characters)
Event 1					
Event 2					
Event 3					
Event 4					

Human Impact

This section is about the human impact of the project. Please state key stakeholders (including but not limited to: Civil Society Organizations, Beneficiaries, etc.) of the project, and for each, please briefly describe:

- i. The challenges/problem they faced prior to the project implementation
- ii. The impact of the project in their lives
- iii. Provide, where possible, a quote or testimonial from a representative of each stakeholder group

This is an optional question. You may leave it unanswered if not relevant

Human Impact	Type of stakeholder	What has been the impact of the project on their lives?	Provide, where possible, a quote or testimonial from the stakeholder

1	Shamaa NGO	<p>The intervention restored dignity and stability for displaced single mothers and orphaned children by rehabilitating the deteriorated shelters at the Shama Center, transforming unsafe living conditions into a secure and functional space that provided safety, privacy, and a sense of home. At the heart of the initiative were 40 vulnerable women—25 internally displaced mothers and 15 from the host community—who benefited directly from improved shelter and livelihood support. Through vocational training in food processing, business management, cooperative formation, and the distribution of start-up toolkits, the project empowered these women to move from dependency toward sustainable income generation. The establishment of cooperative businesses and linkages with private markets further strengthened their long-term economic resilience. By intentionally integrating displaced women with their host community counterparts, the initiative fostered social cohesion and reduced tensions, transforming previous stigma and rejection into cooperation. Shared training and joint livelihood activities created a platform where economic interdependence replaced division, reinforcing peaceful coexistence. The improved shelter environment, coupled with structured vocational engagement, also contributed to psychological recovery. Mothers and children who once faced trauma and uncertainty regained a sense of stability, hope, and emotional well-being, reflected in children's renewed joy and mothers'</p>	<p>Mama Nour, the head of Shama'a Organization, whose scope focuses on supporting vulnerable single mothers. indicated, "It is one of the most favourite days of my life. UN-Habitat and its donors are not giving me a start-up kit—it's giving me a complete manufacturing factory." Following [FB2.1][MH2.2] support from the project, Mama Nour expanded the organization's activities into a structured livelihood initiative, integrating vulnerable women from both IDP and host communities into shared economic activities. This contributed to improved economic resilience and strengthened social cohesion at the community level.</p>
---	------------	---	--

restored sense of purpose. Finally, the intervention strengthened the Shama Center as an institution, upgrading its facilities and embedding it within broader peacebuilding and livelihood frameworks. What was once a ruined structure evolved into a functional, recognized hub capable of delivering essential services and sustaining positive change for vulnerable women and children in Port Sudan.

2

3

4

In addition to the stakeholder specific impact described above, please use this space to describe any additional human impact that the project has had.

Please limit your response to 4000 characters.

You can also upload upto 3 files in various formats (picture files, powerpoint, pdf, video, etc.) to illustrate the human impact of the project

OPTIONAL

File 1

OPTIONAL

Empowering women and youth through income-generating -10_23_50.pdf



File 2

OPTIONAL

Story-Women Focused Activities-Sudan-10_24_17.pdf



File 3

OPTIONAL

Mama Nour's Story-12_5_39.pdf



You can also add upto 3 links to online resources which illustrate the human impact of the project

OPTIONAL

Link 1

OPTIONAL

Link 2

OPTIONAL

Link 3

OPTIONAL

Please tick the applicable change based on above narrative.

How we worked:

*

Please select up to 3.

- Enhanced digitization
- Innovative ways of working
- Mobilized additional resources
- Improved or initiated policy frameworks
- Strengthened capacities
- Partnered with with local/grassroots Civil Society Organizations
- Expanding coalitions & galvanizing political will
- Strengthened partnerships with IFIs
- Strengthened partnerships with UN Agencies

Please explain one of the selected options

Please limit your response to 3000 characters.

UN-HABITAT and UNHCR have engaged local NGOs to support the implementation of the project: the Rural Community Development Organization (RCDO) and JASMAR Human Security Organization.

Please explain one of the selected options

Please limit your response to 3000 characters.

UN-HABITAT and UNHCR have strengthened their cooperation as part of this project. Regular meetings to coordinate actions under this project take place, building on each agency's specific expertise. Joint missions and community consultations are being planned to ensure the project meets its intended outcomes.

Please explain one of the selected options

Please limit your response to 3000 characters.

Who are we working with *

- Strengthened partnerships with IFIs
- Strengthened partnerships between UN Agencies
- Partnered with local civil society organizations
- Partnered with local academia
- Partnered with sub-national entities
- Partnered with national entities
- Partnered with local volunteers

Please explain

Please limit your response to 3000 characters

UN-OCHA is currently advocating for support for the Shama Center, which serves single mothers. In addition to UN-Habitat, the International Organization for Migration (IOM) has also extended its support to the center. Additional engagement from other UN agencies is anticipated soon.

The project capacitated and collaborated with the youth network associations at the neighbourhood level to participate in the Neighbourhood Profiling Exercise.

Leave No one Behind

Select all beneficiaries targeted with the PBF resources as evidenced by the narrative *

Mandatory

- Unemployed persons
- Minorities (e.g. race, ethnicity, linguistic, religion, etc.)
- Indigenous communities
- Persons with Disabilities
- Persons affected by violence (including GBV)
- Women
- Youth
- Children
- Minorities related to sexual orientation and/or gender identity and expression
- People living in and around border areas
- Persons affected by natural disasters
- Persons affected by armed conflicts
- Internally displaced persons, refugees or migrants

PART IV: Monitoring, Evaluation and Compliance

» Monitoring

Please list key monitoring activities undertaken in the reporting period *

Please limit your response to 3000 characters.

Due to conflict-related disruptions and the reprogramming of the project, the monitoring and evaluation approach was adapted to a mixed-methods design. This combined quantitative tracking of outputs with qualitative tools to assess outcome-level changes.

Project monitoring relied on structured perception surveys, Key Informant Interviews (KIIs), Focus Group Discussions (FGDs), and validation workshops. These methods enabled the collection of both quantitative and qualitative data to assess progress against the results framework.

Quantitative indicators, particularly output-level indicators (such as the number of trainings, infrastructure projects, and participants), were measured through project monitoring records and implementation tracking.

Qualitative tools, including KIIs and FGDs, were primarily used to assess outcome-level indicators related to social cohesion, perceptions of services, and interaction between internally displaced persons (IDPs) and host communities. In particular, these tools were used to assess Outcome Indicator 2.1 on positive interaction between communities.

Findings from validation and evaluation workshops were used to triangulate results and validate conclusions, particularly where quantitative data were limited.

Do outcome indicators have baselines? *

If only some of the outcome indicators have baselines, select 'yes'

Yes

No

If yes, please provide a brief description. If not, explain why not and when they will be available. *

Please limit your response to 3000 characters.

1. Poverty & development marginalization 2. Uneven development patterns & open borders 3. Lack of basic services
Past studies did not translate into policy. To ensure success, the project was required to: • Implementers were involved from the design stage. • All stakeholders (government, academia, grassroots) were engaged. • Youth training and teamwork were prioritized. • The project was designed for sustainability and replicability. • Social diversity was respected. • Measurable indicators for impact were defined. **Primary Data Collection: Two levels:** • At the neighborhood level, community leaders, key persons, and representatives of social groups were engaged. • At the urban level, technical staff in institutions (such as the Ministry of Infrastructure and planning departments) were consulted. **Strategies under current conditions:** • The use of secondary data was maximized, and gaps were filled with primary data. • Discreet consultations were conducted, while ethnic and political terms were avoided. • Narratives were gathered from neutral individuals. • Structured MCQ surveys were administered to youth, women, and vulnerable groups; questionnaires were kept to one page with cross-check questions. • KIIs and focus groups were limited to small, trusted circles, and combined meetings with political or ethnic leaders were avoided. • A three-level Likert scale was applied for clarity. • Familiar, offline-friendly tools (Kobo, Excel, SPSS) were used for data entry and analysis.

Elaborate on what sources of evidence have been used to report on indicators (and are available upon request) *

Please limit your response to 3000 characters.

The main sources of evidence used to report on indicators included: - Project monitoring records (training records, participation data, CSP implementation data) - Structured perception surveys conducted with community members - Key Informant Interviews (KIIs) with community leaders and government representatives - Focus Group Discussions (FGDs) with beneficiaries - Validation and evaluation workshop results These sources were selected to ensure both quantitative and qualitative evidence could be used to assess project performance against the results framework.

Has the project launched outcome level data collection initiatives? e.g. perception surveys *

Perception survey is a formal collection of information from a randomly selected sample of respondents through their responses to standardized questions. See PBF Guidance Note for more information [link](#)

Yes

No

Please provide a brief description *

Please limit your response to 3000 characters.

The project implemented structured perception surveys using simple multiple-choice questionnaires and Likert-scale responses. These surveys were designed to capture community perceptions related to social cohesion, service access, and interaction between IDPs and host communities. The surveys were complemented by qualitative data collected through KIIs and FGDs to better understand community dynamics and validate findings. Despite limitations in baseline and endline data collection due to the conflict and project reprogramming, the combination of qualitative and quantitative methods provided sufficient evidence to assess overall project performance and contribution to peacebuilding outcomes. These findings were further validated through stakeholder consultations and evaluation workshops.

Has the project used or established community feedback mechanisms? *

Community feedback mechanism, or community-based monitoring, is an organized system for communities of participants to monitor the local effects and impact of an intervention. Ideally, this system empowers the community to express whether their expectations are being met and to provide suggestions to decision-makers for possible (re)focusing. See PBF Guidance Note for more information. [link](#)

Yes

No

Please provide a brief description *

Please limit your response to 3000 characters.

» Evaluation

Is the project on track to conduct its evaluation? *

- Yes
- No
- Not Applicable

Evaluation budget (in USD) included in the project budget: *

Response required

15940

If project will end in next six months, is your upcoming evaluation on track?

- Yes
- No
- Not Applicable

Please describe the preparations

Please limit your response to 3000 characters.

The evaluation workshop brought together key institutional partners, including the Ministry of Infrastructure and Urban Planning (MoIUP), the Port Sudan Locality Administrative Authority, the University of Red Sea, the Federal Survey Unit, and the Ministry of Social Welfare's Department of Youth and Culture. Local government representatives from Red Sea State's three Administrative Units also participated to ensure broad geographic representation and to facilitate knowledge exchange across administrative boundaries. Community participation formed the cornerstone of the evaluation process, with displaced populations and host community members invited to share their lived experiences, assess project relevance to their needs, and provide feedback on social cohesion outcomes. Women leaders, youth representatives, and vulnerable groups, including single mothers who had participated in livelihood initiatives, contributed gender-responsive and inclusive perspectives to the evaluation. Civil society organizations, local NGOs, and community-based organizations that had supported project implementation also participated, ensuring diverse voices shaped the assessment.

Contact information	Name	Organization	Job title	Email
Please mention the focal person responsible for sharing the final evaluation report with the PBF:	Wael Al Ashhab	UN-Habitat	Country Program Representative.	wael.alashhhab@un.org

» Catalytic Effect

Catalytic Effect (financial): Has the project mobilized additional non-PBF financial resources since the project's start? *

- Yes
 No

How many funders or grants has the project received additional non-PBF funding from **since the project started**? *

1

If yes, please indicate name of all funding agencies and respective amounts of additional non-PBF funding support that has been leveraged by the project **since it started**, as well as specifically **during this reporting period**

Please enter each funding agent and their contributions separately

Name of Funder *

EU - Since the project started and during this reporting period.

Amount mobilized since project's start (USD) *

Please use a dot (.) as decimal separator, instead of a comma (,)

1300000

Amount mobilized during reporting period (USD) *

Please use a dot (.) as decimal separator, instead of a comma (,)

1299999

Catalytic Effect (non-financial): Has the project enabled or created a larger or longer-term peacebuilding change to occur, in addition to the direct project changes? Please refer to PBF Catalytic Effect Guidelines for more information. *

- Yes
 No

If yes, please select the relevant option below: *

- Some catalytic effect
 Significant catalytic effect

If relevant, please describe how the project has had a (non-financial) catalytic effect, i.e. removed barriers to unblock stalled political, institutional or other peacebuilding processes at different levels in a country, and/or created the conditions to establish new processes to do so

Please limit your response to 3000 characters.

Sustainability

Please describe any steps that have been taken to ensure the sustainability of peacebuilding gains, including any mechanisms, platforms, networks and socio-economic initiatives supported, beyond the duration of the project

Please limit your response to 3000 characters.

The project established several mechanisms to support the sustainability of peacebuilding gains beyond the project period, particularly through institutional capacity building, integration of tools into government systems, and strengthening community-based structures. These mechanisms provide a foundation for continued impact, although sustainability remains influenced by the broader conflict context.

Following the outbreak of conflict in April 2023 and the reprogramming of the project from West Darfur to Port Sudan, sustainability was reinforced through adaptive implementation. The project strengthened institutional capacities by transferring knowledge from the West Darfur phase and building on existing expertise. This cross-regional approach supported continuity of implementation and reinforced the application of conflict-sensitive methodologies.

Institutional sustainability has been strengthened through the formal Agreement of Cooperation (AoC) with the Port Sudan Locality Authority, which ensured the transfer of the urban digitization centre and associated Geographic Information System (GIS) tools into government systems. Trained technical staff continue to apply these methodologies, and local authorities have demonstrated ownership by expanding urban profiling to additional neighbourhoods. This has institutionalized evidence-based urban planning within local government frameworks.

At the community level, sustainability is supported through structures established by the project, including Community Reconciliation Committees, youth platforms, and livelihood cooperatives. These mechanisms contributed to strengthening dialogue, economic cooperation, and interaction between internally displaced persons (IDPs) and host communities, providing a basis for continued social cohesion.

Sustainability remains subject to significant risks, particularly the ongoing conflict, the limited financial capacity of local authorities, and the potential turnover of trained personnel. These factors may affect the ability to fully maintain and scale project results without continued support from development partners.

Overall, the project established sustainable foundations for peacebuilding by strengthening institutional capacity for conflict-sensitive urban planning, supporting mechanisms for community participation and dialogue, and promoting economic cooperation between internally displaced persons (IDPs) and host communities. These gains contribute to addressing key drivers of tension, including service inequality and economic vulnerability. While the project has created a strong basis for continued impact, the long-term sustainability of these results will depend on continued institutional commitment, availability of resources, and the broader stability of the operating environment.

Are there any other issues concerning project implementation that you want to share, including any capacity needs of the recipient organizations?

Please limit your response to 3000 characters.

Monitoring and Oversight Activities

Please describe any key event related to monitoring and oversight. Please click next if no activities have yet taken place.

Events include Steering Committee meetings, Monitoring visits, Third party monitoring, Community based monitoring, any data collection, Perception or other survey findings, evaluation reports, audit or investigations.

Monitoring and oversight activities	Name of the Event	Summary	Key Findings
Event 1			
Event 2			
Event 3			
Event 4			
Event 5			
Event 6			
Event 7			
Event 8			

Final Steps

- Please save a PDF copy of the form by clicking on the *Printer* icon on the top right corner of the page.
- A dialogue box will appear: Please select the A4 size and portrait orientation.
- Click "prepare" and save the document as a PDF.
- (If on first attempt, the generated page is not readable, close the pop up page and go back to the first page of the online form using the "Return to Beginning" option and try to print the PDF version from there)
- After printing the PDF version, please submit the report in the last page of the form. You can use the "Go to End" button in the bottom right corner.
- In compliance with our reporting requirements, **please upload the PDF version of the report as well as your *financial report in excel format* to the MPTF-O Gateway.**

If you encounter any difficulty in filling the form or generating the print-out for MPTFO Gateway, please contact Gabriel Velastegui gabriel.velasteguimoya@un.org

Thank You. You have finished the report. Please Click on the SUBMIT button below. When the report is submitted, a confirmation note will appear on a yellow banner on top of the page. This can take a few seconds.