

# PBF June 2026 Project Progress Report



**PEACEBUILDING  
FUND** 

## PROJECT OVERVIEW

Thank you for taking the time to complete the PBF Progress report. For projects with more than one recipient, please consult among co-recipients prior to filling out the form to ensure collaboration on the responses. If you have any questions or require technical assistance in filling out the form, please send an email to [gabriel.velastegui@un.org](mailto:gabriel.velastegui@un.org)

Click Next below to start

## » Report Submission

Type of report \*

- Semi-annual  
 Annual  
 Final  
 Other

Date of submission of report \*

2026-06-03

Name and title of person submitting the report \*

**Pushpa Sunuwar, M&E Specialist**

E-mail of person submitting the report \*

**sunuwar@unfpa.org**

Name and title of person who approved the report \*

**Joy Michael, Gender and GBV Specialist**

Have all fund recipients for this project contributed to the report? \*

- Yes  
 No

Did PBF Secretariat or RCO focal point review the report? \*

*You should normally ensure that the PBF Secretariat or the PBF focal point have an opportunity to review.*

- Yes  
 No  
 Not Applicable

### » Project Information and Geographical Scope

Is this a cross-border project? \*

- Yes  No

Please select the geographical region in which the project is implemented

- Asia and the Pacific
- Europe and Central Asia
- Middle East and North Africa
- Central & Southern Africa
- Global
- West Africa
- East Africa
- Latin America and the Caribbean

Country of project implementation \*

- Benin
- Gambia
- Guinea-Bissau
- Mauritania
- Senegal
- Other, Specify
- Burkina Faso
- Ghana
- Liberia
- Niger
- Sierra Leone
- Cote D'Ivoire
- Guinea
- Mali
- Nigeria
- Togo

Other, please specify \*

Project Title

\*

- 00130106: Promoting peace and social cohesion through provision of mental health services and psychosocial well-being of SGBV survivors in The Gambia
- 00120496: Strengthening Community Access to Justice, Community Policing and Effective SGBV Response
- 00119440: Strengthening community coping mechanisms against risks of climate induced conflicts and to minimize gender related vulnerabilities and tensions in The Gambia
- 00119603: Strengthening inclusive citizen engagement for more accountable governance in The Gambia
- 00129530: Support to the implementation and monitoring of the Truth, Reconciliation and Reparations Commission (TRRC) recommendations in The Gambia
- 00124863: Young women and men as stakeholders in ensuring peaceful democratic processes and advocates for the prevention of violence and hate speech
- 00134234: Support to a PBF Secretariat in The Gambia to enhance coordination and peacebuilding impact
- 00134329: Strengthening the National Infrastructure for Peace to Promote Social Cohesion in The Gambia
- 00140137: Strengthening Civil Society Organizations to promote gender equality and women's empowerment through implementation of the Action Plan on UNSCR 1325 and the TRRC White Paper Recommendations
- 00140643: Empowering Communities in The Gambia to prevent mitigate and resolve land related conflicts exacerbated by climate change
- 00140771: Empowering Marginalized Young People for Inclusion in Civic Space and Local Infrastructures for Peace
- 00141024: Sustaining The Gambia's peaceful transition by supporting implementation of the Security Sector Reform Strategy
- Other, Specify

Write the 8 digit MPTFO number and Project Title exactly as it appears in the Project Document

\*

*EXAMPLE: 00118938: Community-based prevention of violence and social cohesion using innovation for young people in displaced and host communities*

Please select the geographical region(s) in which the project is implemented \*

If the project you are looking for does not appear in the following question, please make sure that you have selected the correct regions. A limited number of cross border projects span multiple geographic regions. For example, a cross border project between Niger and Chad spans both West Africa and Central & Southern Africa

- Asia and the Pacific
- Europe and Central Asia
- Middle East and North Africa
- Central & Southern Africa
- Global
- West Africa
- East Africa
- Latin America and the Caribbean

Please select the title of the project for which you are submitting the report \*

Write the 8 digit MPTFO numbers and Project Title exactly as it appears in the Project Document \*

EXAMPLE: 00129699/700: Supporting Cross-Border Cooperation for Increased Community Resilience and Social Cohesion in The Gambia and Senegal

Please select the countries where this project is being implemented \*

Other, Please specify \*

Project Start Date (Date of first transfer) \*

2023-04-10

Project End Date \*

2026-08-23

Has this project received an extension? \*

- YES, Cost Extension
- YES, No Cost Extension
- YES, Both Cost and No Cost Extensions
- NO, No Extensions

Will this project be requesting an extension? \*

- YES, Cost Extension
- YES, No Cost Extension
- YES, Both Cost and No Cost Extensions
- NO, No Extensions

Will this project be submitting a Fund Transfer Request (FTR) in the next six months? \*

- Yes
- No

If so, around which month do you expect to submit the request? \*

- |                               |                                |                                 |
|-------------------------------|--------------------------------|---------------------------------|
| <input type="radio"/> January | <input type="radio"/> February | <input type="radio"/> March     |
| <input type="radio"/> April   | <input type="radio"/> May      | <input type="radio"/> June      |
| <input type="radio"/> July    | <input type="radio"/> August   | <input type="radio"/> September |
| <input type="radio"/> October | <input type="radio"/> November | <input type="radio"/> December  |

Is the current project end date within 6 months? \*

- Yes
- No

Is funding disbursed either into a national or regional trust fund? \*

- Yes
- No

If yes, please select which \*

- National Trust Fund
- Regional Trust Fund

## Recipients

Is the convening agency a UN agency or a non UN entity? \*

- UN entity
- Non-UN Entity

Please select the convening agency recipient \*

- UNDP: United Nations Development Programme
- UNICEF: United Nations Children's Fund
- OHCHR: Office of the United Nations High Commissioner for Human Rights
- UNWOMEN: United Nations Entity for Gender Equality and the Empowerment of Women
- UNHCR: United Nations High Commissioner for Refugees
- UNFPA: United Nations Population Fund
- FAO: Food and Agriculture Organization
- IOM: International Organization for Migration
- WFP: World Food Programme
- UNHABITAT: United Nations Human Settlements Programme
- UNESCO: United Nations Educational, Scientific and Cultural Organization
- UNEP: United Nations Environment Programme
- ILO: International Labour Organization
- WHO: World Health Organization
- PAHO/WHO
- UNCDF: United Nations Capital Development Fund
- UNODC: United Nations Office on Drugs and Crime
- UNOPS: United Nations Office for Project Services
- UNIDO: United Nations Industrial Development Organization
- ITC: International Trade Centre
- UNDPO
- Other, Specify

Other, Please specify \*

.....

Are there other recipients for this project? \*

- No other recipients
- Yes, other UN recipients only
- Yes, other non-UN recipients only
- Yes, both UN and non-UN recipients

Please select other UN recipients

\*

Select all that apply

- UNDP: United Nations Development Programme
- UNICEF: United Nations Children's Fund
- OHCHR: Office of the United Nations High Commissioner for Human Rights
- UNWOMEN: United Nations Entity for Gender Equality and the Empowerment of Women
- UNHCR: United Nations High Commissioner for Refugees
- UNFPA: United Nations Population Fund
- FAO: Food and Agriculture Organization
- WFP: World Food Programme
- UNHABITAT: United Nations Human Settlements Programme
- UNESCO: United Nations Educational, Scientific and Cultural Organization
- UNEP: United Nations Environment Programme
- ILO: International Labour Organization
- WHO: World Health Organization
- PAHO/WHO
- UNCDF: United Nations Capital Development Fund
- UNODC: United Nations Office on Drugs and Crime
- UNOPS: United Nations Office for Project Services
- UNIDO: United Nations Industrial Development Organization
- ITC: International Trade Centre
- UN Department of Peace Operations
- Other, Specify

Other, Please specify

\*

## Please select other non-UN recipients

- ACTED
  Action Aid UK
  AAITG (ActionAid the Gambia)
- AEDE
  African Centre for the Constructive Resolution of Disputes (ACCORD)
- Agence de Coopération et de Recherche pour le Développement (ACORD)
- American Friends Service Committee (AFSC)
  Avocats Sans Frontières
- Avocats Sans Frontières Belgium
  Avocats sans frontières Canada
  Ayuda en Accion
- BIRN - Balkan Investigative Reporting Network
  BIOM -Youth Ecological Movemen
- CARE International UK
  Centre d'étude et de coopération internationale (CECI) - BF
- Christian Aid Ireland
  COIPRODEN
  Concern Worldwide
- Conexion Guatemala
  COOPI - Cooperazione Internazionale
  CORD Burundi
- CORDAID
  Corporacion Sisma Mujer
  CRS - Catholic Relief Services
- DanChurchAid
  Danish Refugee Council
  EQUITAS
- Fund for Congolese Women
  Fundacion Estudios Superior (FESU)
  Fundación Mi Sangre (FMS)
- Fundación Nacional para el Desarrollo de Honduras (FUNADEH)
  Fundación para la Libertad de Prensa (FLIP)
- Geneva Centre for Security Sector Governance (DCAF)
  HELVETAS Swiss Intercooperation
- Humanity & Inclusion (HI)
  ICTJ (International Center for Transitional Justice)
- Instituto Holandes para Democracia Multipartidaria (NIMD)
  Integrity Watch
- International Alert
  International Rescue Committee
  Interpeace
- Kvinna till Kvinna Foundation
  Life and Peace Institute (LPI)
- MDG-EISA - Institut Electoral pour une Démocratie Durable en Afrique (EISA), bureau de Madagascar
- Mercy Corps
  MLAL - ProgettoMondo
  MSIS-TATAO
- NIMD (Netherlands Institute for Multiparty Democracy)
  Nonviolent Peaceforce
- Norwegian Refugee Council (NRC)
  Nile Sustainable Development Organization - NSDO
- OCNH-Organisation des Citoyens pour une Nouvelle Haïti
  OIKOS
- ONG Adkoul - ONG Adkoul
  ONG AZHAR
  OXFAM
- Peace Direct
  Plan International
  PNG UN Country Fund
- Red de Instituciones por los Derechos de la Niñez
  ROI - Roza Otunbayeva Initiati
- Saferworld
  Sampan'Asa Momba ny Fampandrosoana (SAF/FJKM)
- Save the Children
  Search for Common Ground (SFCG)
- SIHA (Strategic Initiative for Women in the Horn of Africa)
  SismaMujer
- SOS Sahel Sudan
  Stichting Impunity Watch
  Tearfund
- The Carter Center, Inc.
  Trocaire
  War Child
- War Childhood Museum (WCM)
  World Vision International
  World Vision Myanmar
- ZOA
  blank\_placeholder
  Other, Please specify

Other, Please specify

\*

## Implementing Partners

To how many implementing partners has the project transferred money **since the project's start ?**

05

To how many implementing partners has the project transferred money **during this calendar period ?**

*(for June reports: January-June;*

*for November reports: January-December (anticipated);*

*for final reports: full project duration)*

01

Please list all of the project's implementing partners and the amounts (in USD) transferred to each, both since the project's start, and specifically during this calendar period

Please select the type of organisation which best describes the type of implementing partner \*

- National youth CSO
- National women's CSO
- Other National CSO
- Subnational youth CSO
- Subnational women's CSO
- Other subnational CSO
- Regional CSO
- Regional Organisation
- International NGO
- Governmental entity
- National women's and youth CSO
- Subnational women's and youth CSO
- Other

Other, Please specify

What is the name of the Implementing Partner \*

**The Association of Non-Governmental Organisation**

What is the planned total amount (in USD) for the **overall duration of the project** to be disbursed to this implementing partner? \*

*Please use a dot (.) as decimal separator, instead of a comma (,)*

344315.97

What is the total amount (in USD) disbursed to the implementing partner **since the project's start?** \*

*Please use a dot (.) as decimal separator, instead of a comma (,)*

344315.97

What is the total amount (in USD) disbursed to the implementing partner **during this calendar period**?

*Please use a dot (.) as decimal separator, instead of a comma (,)*

0

Briefly describe the main activities carried out by the Implementing Partner during this calendar period

*Please limit your response to 1500 characters*

**Conducted a CSO night, awarding CSOs for their work on the front of women and girls empowerment in The Gambia.**

Please list all of the project's implementing partners and the amounts (in USD) transferred to each, both since the project's start, and specifically during this calendar period

Please select the type of organisation which best describes the type of implementing partner

- National youth CSO
- National women's CSO
- Other National CSO
- Subnational youth CSO
- Subnational women's CSO
- Other subnational CSO
- Regional CSO
- Regional Organisation
- International NGO
- Governmental entity
- National women's and youth CSO
- Subnational women's and youth CSO
- Other

Other, Please specify

What is the name of the Implementing Partner

**Network against Gender Based Violence**

What is the planned total amount (in USD) for the **overall duration of the project** to be disbursed to this implementing partner?

*Please use a dot (.) as decimal separator, instead of a comma (,)*

637807.66

What is the total amount (in USD) disbursed to the implementing partner **since the project's start?** \*

*Please use a dot (.) as decimal separator, instead of a comma (,)*

542690.66

What is the total amount (in USD) disbursed to the implementing partner **during this calendar period?** \*

*Please use a dot (.) as decimal separator, instead of a comma (,)*

175000

Briefly describe the main activities carried out by the Implementing Partner during this calendar period \*

*Please limit your response to 1500 characters*

**NGBV has been fully involved in coordination, mentoring support, institutional strengthening and monitoring progress of the planned activities of CSOs under its umbrella.**

3

Please list all of the project's implementing partners and the amounts (in USD) transferred to each, both since the project's start, and specifically during this calendar period

Please select the type of organisation which best describes the type of implementing partner \*

- National youth CSO
- National women's CSO
- Other National CSO
- Subnational youth CSO
- Subnational women's CSO
- Other subnational CSO
- Regional CSO
- Regional Organisation
- International NGO
- Governmental entity
- National women's and youth CSO
- Subnational women's and youth CSO
- Other

Other, Please specify

What is the name of the Implementing Partner \*

**Ministry of Gender Children and Social Welfare**

What is the planned total amount (in USD) for the **overall duration of the project** to be disbursed to this implementing partner? \*

*Please use a dot (.) as decimal separator, instead of a comma (,)*

12472

What is the total amount (in USD) disbursed to the implementing partner **since the project's start**? \*

*Please use a dot (.) as decimal separator, instead of a comma (,)*

12472

What is the total amount (in USD) disbursed to the implementing partner **during this calendar period**? \*

*Please use a dot (.) as decimal separator, instead of a comma (,)*

0

Briefly describe the main activities carried out by the Implementing Partner during this calendar period. \*

*Please limit your response to 1500 characters*

**Jointly implemented initiatives with the above organisations. Supported International Women's Day event and FGM zero tolerance day.**

4

Please list all of the project's implementing partners and the amounts (in USD) transferred to each, both since the project's start, and specifically during this calendar period

Please select the type of organisation which best describes the type of implementing partner. \*

- National youth CSO
- National women's CSO
- Other National CSO
- Subnational youth CSO
- Subnational women's CSO
- Other subnational CSO
- Regional CSO
- Regional Organisation
- International NGO
- Governmental entity
- National women's and youth CSO
- Subnational women's and youth CSO
- Other

Other, Please specify

What is the name of the Implementing Partner

**ActionAid International the Gambia**

What is the planned total amount (in USD) for the **overall duration of the project** to be disbursed to this implementing partner?

*Please use a dot (.) as decimal separator, instead of a comma (,)*

284214

What is the total amount (in USD) disbursed to the implementing partner **since the project's start?**

*Please use a dot (.) as decimal separator, instead of a comma (,)*

284214

What is the total amount (in USD) disbursed to the implementing partner **during this calendar period?**

*Please use a dot (.) as decimal separator, instead of a comma (,)*

0

Briefly describe the main activities carried out by the Implementing Partner during this calendar period

*Please limit your response to 1500 characters*

**Conducted training on human rights based approach targeting members of 22 CSOs.  
Trained CSO board members on governance and board formation.  
Provided financial grants to four CSOs under its portfolio.**

Please list all of the project's implementing partners and the amounts (in USD) transferred to each, both since the project's start, and specifically during this calendar period

---

Please select the type of organisation which best describes the type of implementing partner \*

- National youth CSO
- National women's CSO
- Other National CSO
- Subnational youth CSO
- Subnational women's CSO
- Other subnational CSO
- Regional CSO
- Regional Organisation
- International NGO
- Governmental entity
- National women's and youth CSO
- Subnational women's and youth CSO
- Other

Other, Please specify

---

What is the name of the Implementing Partner \*

**Child fund International the Gambia**

---

What is the planned total amount (in USD) for the **overall duration of the project** to be disbursed to this implementing partner? \*

*Please use a dot (.) as decimal separator, instead of a comma (,)*

265441.06

---

What is the total amount (in USD) disbursed to the implementing partner **since the project's start?** \*

*Please use a dot (.) as decimal separator, instead of a comma (,)*

265441.06

---

What is the total amount (in USD) disbursed to the implementing partner **during this calendar period?** \*

*Please use a dot (.) as decimal separator, instead of a comma (,)*

0

Briefly describe the main activities carried out by the Implementing Partner during this calendar period \*

*Please limit your response to 1500 characters*

**Supported quality data collection and statistics on current data gaps on GEWE to promote advocacy and track progress.**

**Strengthened coordination with the Ministry of Gender, security units and reviewed existing tools and training modules on SGBV.**

**Developed database of donors and CSOs working on peace building.**

## Financial Reporting

### » Delivery by Recipient

**Please enter the total amounts in full US dollars allocated to each recipient organization**

Please enter the original budget amount, amount transferred to date and estimated expenditure by recipient.

*Please make sure you enter the correct amount. All values should be entered in **US Dollars***

For cross-border projects, group the amounts by agency, even if different country offices are involved. You will have the opportunity to share a more detailed budget in the next section.

<b>Recipients</b>	<b>Total Project Budget</b> (in full US \$)  <i>Please enter the total budget as is in the project document in US Dollars</i>	<b>Transfers to date</b> (in full US \$)  <i>Please enter the total amount transferred to each recipient to date in US Dollars</i>	<b>Expenditure to date</b> (in full US \$)  <i>Please enter the approximate amount spent to date in US dollars</i>	<b>Implementation rate as a percentage of total budget</b> (calculated automatically)
<b>UNFPA: United Nations Population Fund</b>	2500000 *	2500000 *	2258448 *	90.34%



	*	*	*	%
<b>TOTAL</b>	<b>2500000</b>	<b>2500000</b>	<b>2258448</b>	<b>90.34%</b>

The approximate implementation rate as percentage of total project budget based on the values entered in the above matrix is **90.34%**. Can you confirm that this is correct? \*

Correct  Incorrect

If it is incorrect, please enter the approximate implementation rate as a % \*

97

» **Gender-responsive Budgeting**

Indicate what **percentage (%)** of the budget contributes to gender equality or women's empowerment (GEWE) as per the project document? \*

99

The dollar amount of the budget contributing to Gender Equality and Women's Empowerment (GEWE) based on percentage entered above and total project budget is **US \$ 2475000**. Can you confirm that this is correct? \*

Correct  Incorrect

If it is incorrect, please enter the *budget amount* allocated to GEWE in US Dollars \*

2500000

Amount expended to date on efforts contributing to gender equality or women's empowerment is **US \$ 2235863.52**. Is this correct? \*

Correct  Incorrect

If it is incorrect, please enter the *expenditure to date* on GEWE in US dollars \*

2258448

ATTACH PROJECT EXCEL BUDGET SHOWING CURRENT APPROXIMATE EXPENDITURE. \*

The templates for the budget are available [here](#)

PBF\_GPI-Project Budget\_\_Expenditure Budget\_May\_2026 (1)-10\_14\_22.xlsx



### **Project Markers**

Please select the Gender Marker Associated with this project \*

- Score 1 for projects that contribute in some way to gender equality, but not significantly (less than 30% of the total budget for GEWE)
- Score 2 for projects that have gender equality as a significant objective and allocate between 30 and 79% of the total project budget to GEWE
- Score 3 for projects that have gender equality as a principal objective and allocate at least 80% of the total project budget to Gender Equality and Women's Empowerment (GEWE)

Please select the Risk Marker Associated with this project \*

- Risk marker 0 = low risk to achieving outcomes
- Risk marker 1 = medium risk to achieving outcomes
- Risk marker 2 = high risk to achieving outcomes

Please select the PBF Focus Area associated with this project \*

- (1.1) Security Sector Reform
- (1.2) Rule of Law
- (1.3) Demobilisation, Disarmament and Reintegration
- (1.4) Political Dialogue
- (2.1) National reconciliation
- (2.2) Democratic Governance
- (2.3) Conflict prevention/management
- (3.1) Employment
- (3.2) Equitable access to social services
- (4.1) Strengthening of essential national state capacity
- (4.2) Extension of state authority/Local Administration
- (4.3) Governance of peacebuilding resources (including PBF Secretariats)

Is the project part of one or more PBF priority windows? \*

*Select all that apply*

- Gender promotion initiative
- Youth promotion initiative
- Transition from UN or regional peacekeeping or special political missions
- Cross-border or regional project
- None

## Steering Committee and Government engagement

Does the project have an active steering committee/ project board? \*

- Yes
- No

If yes, please indicate how many times the Project Steering Committee has met over the last 6 months?

*Please limit your response to 3000 characters*

**During the reporting period, the Project Steering Committee convened to review key results and deliberate on implementation strategies. The Committee successfully approved the 2026 Annual Work Plan and a rational for a no-cost extension application to PBPSO, to ensure the completion of remaining activities and to provide the necessary support for the independent evaluation process.**

Please provide a brief description of any engagement that the project has had with the government over the last 6 months. Please indicate what level of government the project has been engaging with.

*Please limit your response to 3000 characters*

**Throughout the recent reporting periods, the project has maintained a strategic and active engagement with the Government of The Gambia at both the national and regional levels. At the national level, the Ministry of Gender, Children and Social Welfare (MoGCSW) continues to serve as a primary partner and chair of the Project Steering Committee (PSC).**

**A significant milestone in national-level engagement was reached during the Project Steering Committee meeting on February 25, 2026. Attended by key stakeholders from the MoGCSW, UNFPA, the GPI 2.0 Secretariat, and the Peacebuilding Fund (PBF) Secretariat, the session resulted in the formal approval of remaining year-three activities and a rationale for the no-cost extension application through August 2026. Furthermore, the Ministry reaffirmed its commitment to sustainability by pledging to integrate the capacitated CSOs with other government line ministries, ensuring that the project's impact on women and children endures beyond the implementation period.**

**At the sub-national level, the project successfully deepened its collaboration with the Governors of the West Coast (WCR), Lower River (LRR), and Upper River (URR) regions for programme implementation. Heavily supported by the MoGCSW, a high-level regional engagement with the Governor of URR was critical in securing the land required to construct the CSO House in Basse.**

**Collectively, these strategic partnerships have bridged the gap between government authorities and civil society, reinforcing the project's credibility and ensuring that civic voices are meaningfully integrated into national policy dialogues.**

## PART I: OVERALL PROJECT PROGRESS

### NOTES FOR COMPLETING THE REPORT:

- Avoid acronyms and UN jargon, use general /common language.
- Report on what has been achieved in the reporting period, not what the project aims to do.
- Be as concrete as possible. Avoid theoretical, vague or conceptual discourse.
- Ensure the analysis and project progress assessment is gender and age sensitive.

Please rate the implementation status of the following preliminary/preparatory activities

#### Contracting of partners \*

- |  |                                      |   |
|--|--------------------------------------|---|
| <input type="radio"/> Not Started          | <input type="radio"/> Initiated      | <input type="radio"/> Partially Completed |
| <input checked="" type="radio"/> Completed | <input type="radio"/> Not Applicable |   |

#### Staff Recruitment \*

- |  |                                      |   |
|--|--------------------------------------|---|
| <input type="radio"/> Not Started          | <input type="radio"/> Initiated      | <input type="radio"/> Partially Completed |
| <input checked="" type="radio"/> Completed | <input type="radio"/> Not Applicable |   |

## Collection of baselines \*

- Not Started
  Initiated
  Partially Completed
- Completed
  Not Applicable

## Identification of beneficiaries \*

- Not Started
  Initiated
  Partially Completed
- Completed
  Not Applicable

Provide any additional descriptive information relating to the status of the project, including whether preliminary/preparatory activities have been completed (i.e. contracting of partners, staff recruitment, etc.)

*Please limit your response to 3000 characters*

**The project successfully concluded all preliminary and preparatory activities, marking the transition from set-up to active implementation since 2023. Essential milestones, including partner contracting, staff recruitment, baseline data collection, and beneficiary identification—were fully achieved. The project's progress is characterized by the following key developments:**

**Strategic Partnership and Contracting:** Following a rigorous and competitive selection process, the project formally engaged 22 women-led and women-focused CSOs, consisting of 20 grassroots organizations and two umbrella bodies. These partnerships were solidified through the signing of MoUs and the collaborative development of detailed work plans, ensuring alignment between organizational goals and project objectives.

**Operational Inception and Capacity Diagnostics:** Operational implementation officially commenced in November 2023 with the induction of the project's technical staff. To establish a baseline for intervention, the project deployed the Organization Capacity Assessment Tool (OCAT) to conduct comprehensive diagnostics of the engaged CSOs. This process successfully mapped critical gaps across institutional, technical, financial, and governance frameworks, providing a roadmap for targeted support.

**Institutional Strengthening and Technical Training** Based on the OCAT findings, the project executed an extensive capacity-building program. By December 2025, 100% of the recommended technical training was delivered, reaching over 542 participants across the West Coast, Upper River, and Lower River Regions. To complement these skills, the project provided essential institutional resources, including hardware, accounting software, and dedicated mentorship to establish formal finance and audit policies.

The successful completion of these preparatory phases has established a resilient operational framework. By addressing institutional vulnerabilities and providing direct financial support, the project has effectively positioned these CSOs as a unified coalition capable of driving sustainable advocacy for gender equality and peacebuilding in The Gambia.

Additionally, an evaluation team comprising one international and one national consultant has been secured to assess the project's design, efficiency, and overall effectiveness in delivering outcomes aligned with its Theory of Change. This final evaluation will measure long-term sustainability, synergy with the SDGs, and alignment with national development and gender inclusion policies. Crucially, the assessment will review the project's impact on building civil society capacity and empowering women and girls within the frameworks of the TRRC and UNSCR 1325. Ultimately, it aims to capture key lessons for future post-conflict programming while determining the project's catalytic success in leveraging financial resources and unblocking stalled political processes.

Describe overall progress under each Outcome made during the reporting period (*for June reports: January-June; for November reports: January-December (anticipated); for final reports: full project duration*). Do not list individual activities. If the project is starting to make/has made a difference at the outcome level, provide specific evidence for the progress (quantitative and qualitative) and explain how it impacts the broader political and peacebuilding context.

---

Is the project on track for the timely completion of outputs as indicated in the workplan? \*

Yes

No

If no, please provide an explanation \*

*Please limit your response to 6000 characters*

---

## Project progress summary

*Please limit your response to 6000 characters*

During the reporting period, the project achieved significant milestones in advancing peacebuilding and fostering social cohesion across target regions. Central to this success is a localized funding model designed to shift agency to community actors. Specifically, 20 grassroots CSOs each received financial grants of 1 million GMD, disbursed in two strategic phases. This phased approach ensured that funding remained strictly contingent upon performance milestones and the successful implementation of gender-transformative interventions.

Beyond immediate outputs, the project has triggered a substantial catalytic effect. By rigorously strengthening the institutional frameworks of partners, particularly in financial governance and resource mobilization— the project has empowered these CSOs to leverage their enhanced credibility. This has already enabled them to secure additional non-PBF funding from external donors, marking a critical transition toward long-term financial sustainability and reducing dependency on single-source funding.

Through the dedicated efforts of its sub-grantees, the project generated the following achievements in fostering community stability and justice:

### Strengthening Social Cohesion and Conflict Mediation

The project supported mitigating localized tensions through targeted mediation and inclusive dialogue. Beakanyang facilitated three inter-community dialogues for 180 participants, leading to the formal establishment of three Community Protection Groups which now serve as frontline monitors for GBV and FGM. Simultaneously, to address deep-seated caste-based discrimination, the Movement for Social Justice (MSJ) trained 15 mediation champions and utilized edutainment tools, including inter-caste sports and radio broadcasts reaching over 2,000 listeners, to promote social integration. Furthermore, the Raise The Young Foundation addressed systemic drivers of instability by facilitating intergenerational dialogues that identified youth unemployment and political manipulation as primary triggers of regional conflict.

### Advancing the Women, Peace, and Security (WPS) Agenda

A cornerstone of this period was the formalization of women's leadership in peace processes. The project supported the launch of the Foni Kansala Women Mediators Network, bridging the gap between informal community caregiving and formal conflict resolution. This initiative reached 200 people through outreach events, while Peace Hub The Gambia conducted intensive mediation training for women across three Foni districts. Recognizing that time-poverty is a structural barrier to leadership, the Tumana Agency for Development (TAD) installed three community milling machines. This strategic intervention benefited 120 people and reduced manual labor time by over 80%, directly increasing women's capacity for civic engagement. At the protection level, the LRR Regional Network of Mother's Clubs oriented 107 women to serve as community safeguards, focusing on the prevention of teenage pregnancy and SGBV.

### Youth Leadership and Gender-Transformative Education

The project invested heavily in the next generation of leaders to challenge discriminatory social norms. Through the Sandu Youth Development Network (SYDN) and KEYCDA, the project reached over 700 students and staff, establishing six Gender Promotion Clubs. Evaluation data revealed a remarkable 70% positive shift in student attitudes toward harmful gender norms following these engagements. Innovative approaches by The Woman Boss and Youth Power Network International utilized drama and creative arts to engage over 200 tertiary and secondary students on the nuances of consent and healthy relationships. To consolidate these gains, Women Initiative Gambia (WIG) hosted residential empowerment camps, providing 105 young leaders with intensive training in Sexual and Reproductive Health and Rights (SRHR).

### Civic Engagement and Strategic Advocacy

Large-scale advocacy was deployed to shift cultural mindsets and institutionalize reporting mechanisms. NGBV and Basse Got Talent leveraged local language cinema to engage 450 community members on the health and human rights implications of FGM. To ensure high level buy-in, SYDN convened a Regional Stakeholders Summit for 100 leaders to address the underrepresentation of women in local decision-making authorities. Translating dialogue into

systemic change, KEYCDA established formal SGBV reporting protocols with 150 school and community stakeholders. Follow-up monitoring by Beakanyang confirmed the efficacy of these frameworks, noting a visible increase in community-led reporting and a gradual decline in the social acceptance of child marriage and FGM.

By seamlessly combining high-level policy advocacy with deep-rooted community interventions, GPI 2.0 has moved beyond mere technical compliance to foster a resilient, locally owned ecosystem for gender justice. The project has demonstrated that when local CSOs are sufficiently capacitated and funded, they become the most effective and sustainable drivers of peace, security, and equality.

Indicate any additional analysis on how Gender Equality and Women's Empowerment and/or Youth Inclusion and Responsiveness has been ensured by the project to date

*Please limit your response to 3000 characters*

The project ensured Gender Equality and Women's Empowerment (GEWE) and Youth Inclusion through a strategic, multifaceted approach that addressed structural barriers while fostering community-wide collaboration. To advance GEWE, the project prioritized economic transformation and the formalization of leadership roles. By providing community-based milling machines, the project reduced women's manual labor by 80%, while the establishment of women-led management committees transitioned participants from unpaid laborers into recognized economic decision-makers. Parallel initiatives formalized women's roles in peacebuilding, shifting them from informal peacemakers to recognized leaders within community security architectures. These efforts were reinforced by a commitment to survivor-centered justice and "positive masculinity" training, which engaged men and boys in rejecting patriarchal norms and toxic behaviors.

Simultaneously, the project championed youth inclusion by empowering young people as active change agents rather than passive recipients. The project established school-based gender promotion clubs and launched school caravan campaigns, utilizing peer-to-peer education to challenge harmful gender norms and protect the educational rights of pregnant students. Responsive to the specific vulnerabilities of adolescent girls, the project provided safe learning spaces for reproductive health education, while also utilizing edutainment and creative arts to offer youth a relatable platform for analyzing complex issues like SGBV. Finally, the project bridged the gap between traditional structures and the next generation through intergenerational dialogues, ensuring that youth voices are meaningfully integrated into governance and peacebuilding efforts to mitigate political manipulation and unemployment-driven conflict.

Is the project 1+ year in implementation?

- Yes
- No

**FOR PROJECTS 1+ YEAR IN IMPLEMENTATION ONLY:**

Is the project demonstrating outcome-level peacebuilding results?

*Outcome-level peacebuilding results entail results achieved at the societal or structural level, including changed attitudes, behaviours or institutions.*

- Yes
- No

If yes, please provide concrete examples of such peacebuilding results

*Please limit your response to 6000 characters*

**The project has successfully shifted the Gambian civic landscape from fragmented, isolated interventions to collective national action. A defining achievement of this period was the transition of CSOs into a cohesive coalition, most notably demonstrated during the high-level campaign to prevent the repeal of the FGM law. Through coordinated advocacy and direct lobbying of National Assembly Members, the project empowered CSOs to act as a definitive check on human rights rollbacks. This collective strength has institutionalized a new culture of accountability; following reports of FGM-related complications, this strengthened network prompted immediate government investigations, ensuring that gender-based injustices are met with a swift and transparent state response.**

**At the structural level, the project has driven reforms that move the national response to SGBV from reactive measures to proactive protection. By formalizing regular stakeholder dialogues, the project bridged critical gaps between law enforcement, healthcare providers, and community leaders. This has resulted in a streamlined, multi-sectoral referral pathway that ensures survivors receive comprehensive medical, legal, and psychosocial support. Complementing this is the decentralization of the civic space through the construction of the CSO House in Basse, which provides a physical hub for coordination in rural regions.**

**The project has catalyzed profound mindset shifts among traditional gatekeepers and youth, challenging the patriarchal norms that underpin conflict. Through innovative edutainment and school-based campaigns, the project has brought a positive shift in student attitudes toward gender norms. Notably, young men have begun actively challenging toxic peer behaviors, advocating instead for empathy and positive masculinity. These efforts extended to the household level, where targeted movie screenings transformed parents and elders into ambassadors of change. This behavioral shift was further evidenced by community leaders publicly vowing to break the culture of silence regarding SGBV, transitioning from viewing violence as a private family matter to recognizing it as a reportable crime.**

**On a structural level, the project successfully enhanced social cohesion by bridging long-standing community divides and formalizing women's leadership in peace architectures. High-impact initiatives, such as inter caste mediation and the provision of labor saving milling machines, significantly reduced communal conflicts while strengthening intergenerational cooperation. Crucially, the project challenged the male dominated monopoly on conflict resolution by formalizing the Foni Kansala Women Mediators Network. By securing official commitments from local authorities to integrate these women into formal conflict resolution frameworks, the project has validated women's informal roles as caregivers and transformed them into recognized, skilled mediators within their communities.**

**The sustainability of GPI 2.0 is rooted in the establishment of permanent community watchdog mechanisms and shifts in institutional behavior. In a direct challenge to exclusionary practices, school authorities influenced by the project have begun reintegrating teenage mothers into the classroom, defying the harmful tradition of school expulsions due to pregnancy. Furthermore, the creation of Community Protection Groups (CPGs) and the establishment of peer-led Gender Promotion Clubs in schools have institutionalized the monitoring and reporting of SGBV. These interventions ensure that the project's impact is not only widespread but deeply embedded in the social, educational, and physical infrastructure of the country.**

If yes, please provide sources or references (including links) as evidence of peacebuilding results, or submit them as additional attachments.

*Evidence may be quantitative or qualitative but needs to demonstrate progress against outcome indicators in the project results framework. Sources may include project surveys (such as perception surveys), monitoring reports, government documents, or other knowledge products that have been developed by the project.*

<https://www.facebook.com/share/p/1A4C3ftDHm/> <https://www.facebook.com/share/p/16ubfR295g/>  
<https://www.facebook.com/share/p/1EW74ke4rL/> <https://www.facebook.com/share/p/1ChETHe3jh/>  
<https://www.voicegambia.com/2024/05/14/network-against-gender-based-violence-ngbvs-position-papar-on-the-female-genital-mutilation-circumscission-fgm-c-matter/> <https://www.facebook.com/share/p/19EkGeekXN/?mibextid=wwXlfr> <https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=ZF2WttVJIAE>

File attachment

Click here to upload file. (< 10MB)

## PART II: PROJECT RESULTS FRAMEWORK

How many OUTCOMES does this project have \*

- 1
- 2
- 3
- 4
- 5
- more than 5.

Please write out the project outcomes as they are in the project results framework found in the project document

Outcome 1:

**Strengthened Institutional Capacity of CSOs for the implementation of the National Action Plan on UNSCR 1325 Recommendations.**

Outcome 2:

**Strengthened partnerships and improved coordination of peacebuilding/GEWE Stakeholders**

Outcome 3:

Outcome 4:

Outcome 5:

Outcome 6:

Outcome 7: \*

Outcome 8: \*

Additional Outcomes \*

*If the project has more than 8 outcomes, please enumerate the remaining outcomes here*

## INDICATOR BASED PERFORMANCE ASSESSMENT

Using the Project Results Framework as per the approved project document or any amendments, provide an update on the achievement of all **outcome** and **output** indicators in the table below.

- Where it has not been possible to collect data on indicators, state this and provide any explanation.
- Provide gender and age disaggregated data. (500 characters max per entry)

» **Outcome 1: Strengthened Institutional Capacity of CSOs for the implementation of the National Action Plan on UNSCR 1325 Recommendations.**

O C 1	<b>Outcome Indicators</b>	<b>Indicator Baseline</b>	<b>End of Project Indicator Target</b>	<b>Indicator progress for reporting period</b>	<b>Indicator progress since project's start</b>	<b>Reasons for Variance/ Delay (if any)</b>
1.1	Percentage of the supported organisations have established processes for programme coordination, monitoring, and financial management for improved programmes.	0	80% of CSOs Engaged (both grassroots and umbrella organisation) meet the set criteria for the next level of operations.	CSO's mid-term capacity assessment reflected that 95% of the supported organisations have established processes for programme coordination, monitoring, and financial management for improved programmes.	CSO's mid-term capacity assessment reflected that 95% of the supported organisations have established processes for programme coordination, monitoring, and financial management for improved programmes.	NA
1.2						
1.3						
1.4						
1.5						

How many outputs does outcome 1 have?

1    2    **3**    4    5    more than 5.

Please list all outputs for outcome 1

Output 1.1

**Women focused CSOs' identified, assessed, and targeted for improved capacity to implement gender related programmes and peacebuilding**

Output 1.2

**CSO's capacity enhanced to participate in indigenous conflict prevention and resolution processes**

Output 1.3

**Enhanced protection mechanisms to guarantee the rights and wellbeing of women and girls.**

Output 1.4

Output 1.5

Other Outputs

*If Outcome 1 has more than 5 outputs, please enumerate the remaining outputs here*

For each output, and using the, project results framework, provide an update on the progress made against all output indicators

**» Output 1.1: Women focused CSOs' identified, assessed, and targeted for improved capacity to implement gender related programmes and peacebuilding**

1. 1	Output Indicators <i>Describe the indicator</i>	Indicator Baseline <i>State the baseline value of the indicator</i>	End of Project Indicator Target <i>State the target value of the indicator at the end of the project</i>	Indicator progress for reporting period <i>State the current value of the indicator for the reporting period</i>	Indicator progress since project's start <i>State the current cumulative value of the indicator since the start of the project</i>	Reasons for Variance/ Delay (if any) <i>Explain why the indicator is off track or has changed, where relevant</i>
1. 1. 1	<b>TOR for CSOs' selections Finalized and used</b>	No	Yes	Completed	TORs for CSOs' selection finalised and used to onboard CSOs.	NA
1. 1. 2	<b>Number of CSOs and people that participated in the pre-selection's training conducted before the call for application.</b>	0	96	Completed	96 CSOs/CBOs and people (Basse- 39; Brikama- 24;Soma -33) actively participated in the 3 days pre selection training.	NA
1. 1. 3	<b>Number of applications received from CSOs for both levels.</b>	0	96	Completed	52 applications received. 63% Women led and Women focused; 29% Youth led and Youth focused; 8%others	Not all 96 CSOs submitted proposals.

<p>1. 1. 4</p>	<p>a. A need assessment report for umbrella organisations and CBOs with clear recommendations on capacity building produced</p> <p>b. Organizational development plans and implementation roadmap for both Umbrella and CBOs developed and in use</p>	<p>0</p> <p>No</p>	<p>1</p> <p>Yes</p>	<p>Completed</p> <p>Completed</p>	<p>A need assessment conducted to identify gaps and clear recommendations.</p> <p>Organizational development plans and implementation roadmaps developed for umbrella and CBOs.</p>	<p>NA</p>
<p>1. 1. 5</p>						

» **Output 1.2: CSO's capacity enhanced to participate in indigenous conflict prevention and resolution processes**

1. 2	Output Indicators <i>Describe the indicator</i>	Indicator Baseline <i>State the baseline value of the indicator</i>	End of Project Indicator Target <i>State the target value of the indicator at the end of the project</i>	Indicator progress for reporting period <i>State the current value of the indicator for the reporting period</i>	Indicator progress since project's start <i>State the current cumulative value of the indicator since the start of the project</i>	Reasons for Variance/ Delay (if any) <i>Explain why the indicator is off track or has changed, where relevant</i>
1. 2. 1	<b># of umbrella CSO and CBOs' staff trained on different thematic areas</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>23 CSOs and 65 staff trained</b>	<b>Completed</b>	<b>500 (women: 239; PWD:15) of 25 CSOs trained on different thematic areas.</b>	<b>The total reach isn't a single count and the same person from 25 CSOs received various training sessions.</b>
1. 2. 2	<b># of CSO's and focal persons reached through GBV/ gender orientation at both levels.</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>23 CSOs and staff</b>	<b>Completed</b>	<b>134 (women: 74; PWD:3) of 25 CSOs and focal persons reached through GBV/ gender orientation at both levels.</b>	<b>NA</b>

<p>1. 2. 3</p>	<p>a) Number of organisations that received and implemented financial grants on peacebuilding initiatives</p> <p>b) % of grant projects effectively implemented had value for money and on time</p>	<p>0</p> <p>0</p>	<p>a) 20 CSOs (Actual Grant recipients)</p> <p>b) 70% of grant effectively implemented had value for money and on time</p>	<p>a) 20 CSOs received grants of GMD 1,000,000.</p> <p>b) Will be reported after final evaluation.</p>	<p>a) 20 CSOs received grants of GMD 1,000,000.</p> <p>b) Will be reported after final evaluation.</p>	<p>NA</p>
<p>1. 2. 4</p>	<p>a) Number of Umbrella CSOs capacitated to receive, disburse, and monitor small grants to CBO's</p> <p>b) Evidence of better programme monitoring, financial management and programme coordination from Umbrella CSOs.</p>	<p>0</p> <p>0</p>	<p>a) 3 Umbrella Organizations</p> <p>b) Better programme monitoring, financial management and programme coordination from Umbrella CSOs.</p>	<p>a) Completed</p> <p>b) Umbrella CSOs are providing programme monitoring, financial management and coordination support to the CSOs.</p>	<p>a) 2 Umbrella CSOs capacitated to receive, disburse, and monitor small grants to 20 CBOs</p> <p>b) Umbrella CSOs are providing programme monitoring, financial management and coordination support to the CSOs.</p>	<p>NA</p>
<p>1. 2. 5</p>						

» **Output 1.3: Enhanced protection mechanisms to guarantee the rights and wellbeing of women and girls.**

1. 3	Output Indicators <i>Describe the indicator</i>	Indicator Baseline <i>State the baseline value of the indicator</i>	End of Project Indicator Target <i>State the target value of the indicator at the end of the project</i>	Indicator progress for reporting period <i>State the current value of the indicator for the reporting period</i>	Indicator progress since project's start <i>State the current cumulative value of the indicator since the start of the project</i>	Reasons for Variance/ Delay (if any) <i>Explain why the indicator is off track or has changed, where relevant</i>
1. 3. 1	<b>Existence of Training modules for law Office, judicial officers, Police, Drug Law Enforcement Agency, and Immigration validated and finalized and used in targeted trainings</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>1 module with 5 themes validated and 50 law enforcement officers trained on them</b>	<b>Completed</b>	<b>The module has been developed and validated and 42 (25 women) law enforcement officers were trained.</b>	<b>NA</b>
1. 3. 2	<b>Strengthened coordination at the Ministry of Gender with quarterly meeting of child welfare and gender units in the security agencies in areas of operations response to SGBV</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>3 annual meetings, 2 joint visit to facilities and follow ups made</b>	<b>Completed</b>	<b>A review meeting was conducted with all relevant stakeholders of SGBV and 30 representatives attended the meeting.</b>	<b>NA</b>

1. 3. 3	# of centers supported to scale up GBV services to survivors	0	3	Completed	12 one-stop centres are supported with periodic meetings and regular monitoring of services provided to survivors.	NA
1. 3. 4	Number and type of grants awarded and implemented by CSOs and CBOs based on the call for proposal	0	20 CSOs and CBOs	All 20 CSOs were awarded grants of GMD 1,000,000 in two phases to implement activities enhancing rights of women and girls.	All 20 CSOs were awarded grants of GMD 1,000,000 in two phases to implement activities enhancing rights of women and girls.	NA
1. 3. 5	Number of frontline staff (case workers, medical, psychosocial support, law enforcement, legal aid) trained and mobilised to improve the quality-of-service provision	25 Case Workers, 15 medical, 30 psychosocial, 00 police, 00 legal aid	40 frontline staff	Completed	38 frontline staff participated in GBV case conference workshop as a strategic platform to review and discuss complex GBV cases requiring multi-sectoral intervention.	NA

» **Output 1.4:**

1. 4	Output Indicators <i>Describe the indicator</i>	Indicator Baseline <i>State the baseline value of the indicator</i>	End of Project Indicator Target <i>State the target value of the indicator at the end of the project</i>	Indicator progress for reporting period <i>State the current value of the indicator for the reporting period</i>	Indicator progress since project's start <i>State the current cumulative value of the indicator since the start of the project</i>	Reasons for Variance/Delay (if any) <i>Explain why the indicator is off track or has changed, where relevant</i>
1. 4. 1						
1. 4. 2						
1. 4. 3						
1. 4. 4						
1. 4. 5						

» **Output 1.5:**

1. 5	Output Indicators <i>Describe the indicator</i>	Indicator Baseline <i>State the baseline value of the indicator</i>	End of Project Indicator Target <i>State the target value of the indicator at the end of the project</i>	Indicator progress for reporting period <i>State the current value of the indicator for the reporting period</i>	Indicator progress since project's start <i>State the current cumulative value of the indicator since the start of the project</i>	Reasons for Variance/Delay (if any) <i>Explain why the indicator is off track or has changed, where relevant</i>
1. 5. 1						
1. 5. 2						
1. 5. 3						
1. 5. 4						
1. 5. 5						

» Outcome 2: Strengthened partnerships and improved coordination of peacebuilding/GEWE Stakeholders

O C 2	Outcome Indicator s	Indicator Baseline	End of Project Indicator Target	Indicator progress for reporting period	Indicator progress since project's start	Reasons for Variance/ Delay (if any)
2. 1	Evidence of active advocacy, engagement, and partnership amongst CSOs in ensuring Gender equality and GBV elements of the TRRC white paper are fully implemented.	5 CSOs	10 CSOs	In response to the legal challenge against The Gambia's FGM ban, CSOs have formed a coalition to coordinate advocacy efforts and defend the current legislation before the Supreme Court in close coordination and lead of FLAG (Female Lawyers Association, Gambia).	In response to the legal challenge against The Gambia's FGM ban, CSOs have formed a coalition to coordinate advocacy efforts and defend the current legislation before the Supreme Court in close coordination and lead of FLAG (Female Lawyers Association, Gambia).	NA
2. 2						
2. 3						
2. 4						

2. 5						
---------	--	--	--	--	--	--

How many outputs does outcome 2 have?

1  2  3  4  5  more than 5.

Please list all outputs for outcome 2

Output 2.1  
**Strengthened cooperation of peacebuilding/GEWE stakeholders**

Output 2.2  
**Enhanced data collection and use for more effective advocacy and impact**

Output 2.3

Output 2.4

Output 2.5

Other Outputs  
*If Outcome 2 has more than 5 outputs, please enumerate the remaining outputs here*

For each output, and using the, project results framework, provide an update on the progress made against all output indicators

» **Output 2.1: Strengthened cooperation of peacebuilding/GEWE stakeholders**

2. 1	Output Indicators <i>Describe the indicator</i>	Indicator Baseline <i>State the baseline value of the indicator</i>	End of Project Indicator Target <i>State the target value of the indicator at the end of the project</i>	Indicator progress for reporting period <i>State the current value of the indicator for the reporting period</i>	Indicator progress since project's start <i>State the current cumulative value of the indicator since the start of the project</i>	Reasons for Variance/ Delay (if any) <i>Explain why the indicator is off track or has changed, where relevant</i>
2. 1. 1	Number of Collations or consortium empowered and capacitated to lead conversation and engagement on peacebuilding Gender equality and women and girl's empowerment.	0	4	A CSO coalition met four times till the reporting period to address FGM court case in Gambia with concrete action plans to prevent repeal of FGM law.	A CSO coalition met four times with the last in September to address FGM court case in Gambia with concrete action plans to prevent repeal of FGM law.	NA
2. 1. 2	# of CSOs peer to peer linkage networks strengthened for sharing on GEWE peacebuilding processes	0	3 peer to peer networks strengthened (3 umbrella and 20 CBOs)	4 peer to peer networks have been established for 22 CSOs under 2 umbrella organizations. The networks meet every quarter to discuss organizational development and GEWE issues.	4 peer to peer networks have been established for 22 CSOs under 2 umbrella organizations. The networks meet every quarter to discuss organizational development and GEWE issues.	NA

2. 1. 3 .....						
2. 1. 4 .....						
2. 1. 5 .....						

» **Output 2.2: Enhanced data collection and use for more effective advocacy and impact**

2. 2	Output Indicators <i>Describe the indicator</i>	Indicator Baseline <i>State the baseline value of the indicator</i>	End of Project Indicator Target <i>State the target value of the indicator at the end of the project</i>	Indicator progress for reporting period <i>State the current value of the indicator for the reporting period</i>	Indicator progress since project's start <i>State the current cumulative value of the indicator since the start of the project</i>	Reasons for Variance/ Delay (if any) <i>Explain why the indicator is off track or has changed, where relevant</i>
2. 2. 1	<b>GEWE data gaps filled to track progress on gender equality and GBV</b>	<b>GEWE data gap exists</b>	<b>Reduced GEWE data gaps</b>	<b>Completed</b>	<b>A study commenced on "The number of Gambian women in Government, NGOs, and international organizations to support advocacy on GEWE". Another study conducted on "Status of Women representation in local councils and the national assembly of the Gambia."</b>	<b>NA</b>
2. 2. 2	<b>A national e-portal and database for information sharing and alignment of intervention measures on peace initiatives. at place.</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>A database has been developed for information sharing</b>	<b>A database has been developed for information sharing</b>	<b>NA</b>

<p>2. 2. 3</p>	<p><b>Assessment report of the number of Gambian women in Government, NGO, and International Organizations validated and popularised</b></p>	<p><b>0</b></p>	<p><b>22</b></p>	<p><b>Completed</b></p>	<p><b>Assessment conducted on number of Gambian women in Government, NGO, and International Organizations to support advocacy on Gender Equality and Women Empowerment (GEWE).</b></p>	<p><b>NA</b></p>
<p>2. 2. 4</p>	<p><b>Donors and CSOs working on Peacebuilding, GBV and GEWE mapped, and database developed.</b></p>	<p><b>No</b></p>	<p><b>Yes</b></p>	<p><b>Completed</b></p>	<p><b>Donors and CSOs working on Peacebuilding, GBV and GEWE mapped, and database in place.</b></p>	<p><b>NA</b></p>
<p>2. 2. 5</p>						

» **Output 2.3:**

2. 3	Output Indicators <i>Describe the indicator</i>	Indicator Baseline <i>State the baseline value of the indicator</i>	End of Project Indicator Target <i>State the target value of the indicator at the end of the project</i>	Indicator progress for reporting period <i>State the current value of the indicator for the reporting period</i>	Indicator progress since project's start <i>State the current cumulative value of the indicator since the start of the project</i>	Reasons for Variance/Delay (if any) <i>Explain why the indicator is off track or has changed, where relevant</i>
2. 3. 1						
2. 3. 2						
2. 3. 3						
2. 3. 4						
2. 3. 5						

» **Output 2.4:**

2. 4	Output Indicators <i>Describe the indicator</i>	Indicator Baseline <i>State the baseline value of the indicator</i>	End of Project Indicator Target <i>State the target value of the indicator at the end of the project</i>	Indicator progress for reporting period <i>State the current value of the indicator for the reporting period</i>	Indicator progress since project's start <i>State the current cumulative value of the indicator since the start of the project</i>	Reasons for Variance/ Delay (if any) <i>Explain why the indicator is off track or has changed, where relevant</i>
2. 4. 1						
2. 4. 2						
2. 4. 3						
2. 4. 4						
2. 4. 5						

» **Output 2.5:**

2. 5	Output Indicators <i>Describe the indicator</i>	Indicator Baseline <i>State the baseline value of the indicator</i>	End of Project Indicator Target <i>State the target value of the indicator at the end of the project</i>	Indicator progress for reporting period <i>State the current value of the indicator for the reporting period</i>	Indicator progress since project's start <i>State the current cumulative value of the indicator since the start of the project</i>	Reasons for Variance/Delay (if any) <i>Explain why the indicator is off track or has changed, where relevant</i>
2. 5. 1						
2. 5. 2						
2. 5. 3						
2. 5. 4						
2. 5. 5						

» Outcome 3:

O C 3	Outcome Indicator s	Indicator Baseline	End of Project Indicator Target	Indicator progress for reporting period	Indicator progress since project's start	Reasons for Variance/ Delay (if any)
3. 1						
3. 2						
3. 3						
3. 4						
3. 5						

How many outputs does outcome 3 have?

1    2    3    4    5    more than 5.

Please list all outputs for outcome 3

Output 3.1

Output 3.2

Output 3.3

---

Output 3.4

---

Output 3.5

---

Other Outputs

*If Outcome 3 has more than 5 outputs, please enumerate the remaining outputs here*

---

For each output, and using the, project results framework, provide an update on the progress made against all output indicators

» **Output 3.1:**

3. 1	Output Indicators <i>Describe the indicator</i>	Indicator Baseline <i>State the baseline value of the indicator</i>	End of Project Indicator Target <i>State the target value of the indicator at the end of the project</i>	Indicator progress for reporting period <i>State the current value of the indicator for the reporting period</i>	Indicator progress since project's start <i>State the current cumulative value of the indicator since the start of the project</i>	Reasons for Variance/ Delay (if any) <i>Explain why the indicator is off track or has changed, where relevant</i>
3. 1. 1						
3. 1. 2						
3. 1. 3						
3. 1. 4						
3. 1. 5						

» **Output 3.2:**

3. 2	Output Indicators <i>Describe the indicator</i>	Indicator Baseline <i>State the baseline value of the indicator</i>	End of Project Indicator Target <i>State the target value of the indicator at the end of the project</i>	Indicator progress for reporting period <i>State the current value of the indicator for the reporting period</i>	Indicator progress since project's start <i>State the current cumulative value of the indicator since the start of the project</i>	Reasons for Variance/Delay (if any) <i>Explain why the indicator is off track or has changed, where relevant</i>
3. 2. 1						
3. 2. 2						
3. 2. 3						
3. 2. 4						
3. 2. 5						

» **Output 3.3:**

3. 3	Output Indicators <i>Describe the indicator</i>	Indicator Baseline <i>State the baseline value of the indicator</i>	End of Project Indicator Target <i>State the target value of the indicator at the end of the project</i>	Indicator progress for reporting period <i>State the current value of the indicator for the reporting period</i>	Indicator progress since project's start <i>State the current cumulative value of the indicator since the start of the project</i>	Reasons for Variance/Delay (if any) <i>Explain why the indicator is off track or has changed, where relevant</i>
3. 3. 1						
3. 3. 2						
3. 3. 3						
3. 3. 4						
3. 3. 5						

» **Output 3.4:**

3. 4	Output Indicators <i>Describe the indicator</i>	Indicator Baseline <i>State the baseline value of the indicator</i>	End of Project Indicator Target <i>State the target value of the indicator at the end of the project</i>	Indicator progress for reporting period <i>State the current value of the indicator for the reporting period</i>	Indicator progress since project's start <i>State the current cumulative value of the indicator since the start of the project</i>	Reasons for Variance/Delay (if any) <i>Explain why the indicator is off track or has changed, where relevant</i>
3. 4. 1						
3. 4. 2						
3. 4. 3						
3. 4. 4						
3. 4. 5						

» **Output 3.5:**

3. 5	Output Indicators <i>Describe the indicator</i>	Indicator Baseline <i>State the baseline value of the indicator</i>	End of Project Indicator Target <i>State the target value of the indicator at the end of the project</i>	Indicator progress for reporting period <i>State the current value of the indicator for the reporting period</i>	Indicator progress since project's start <i>State the current cumulative value of the indicator since the start of the project</i>	Reasons for Variance/Delay (if any) <i>Explain why the indicator is off track or has changed, where relevant</i>
3. 5. 1						
3. 5. 2						
3. 5. 3						
3. 5. 4						
3. 5. 5						

» Outcome 4:

O C 4	Outcome Indicator s	Indicator Baseline	End of Project Indicator Target	Indicator progress for reporting period	Indicator progress since project's start	Reasons for Variance/ Delay (if any)
4. 1						
4. 2						
4. 3						
4. 4						
4. 5						

How many outputs does outcome 4 have?

1    2    3    4    5    more than 5.

Please list all outputs for outcome 4

Output 4.1

Output 4.2

Output 4.3

---

Output 4.4

---

Output 4.5

---

Other Outputs

*If Outcome 4 has more than 5 outputs, please enumerate the remaining outputs here*

---

For each output, and using the, project results framework, provide an update on the progress made against all output indicators

» **Output 4.1:**

4. 1	Output Indicators <i>Describe the indicator</i>	Indicator Baseline <i>State the baseline value of the indicator</i>	End of Project Indicator Target <i>State the target value of the indicator at the end of the project</i>	Indicator progress for reporting period <i>State the current value of the indicator for the reporting period</i>	Indicator progress since project's start <i>State the current cumulative value of the indicator since the start of the project</i>	Reasons for Variance/ Delay (if any) <i>Explain why the indicator is off track or has changed, where relevant</i>
4. 1. 1						
4. 1. 2						
4. 1. 3						
4. 1. 4						
4. 1. 5						

» **Output 4.2:**

4. 2	Output Indicators <i>Describe the indicator</i>	Indicator Baseline <i>State the baseline value of the indicator</i>	End of Project Indicator Target <i>State the target value of the indicator at the end of the project</i>	Indicator progress for reporting period <i>State the current value of the indicator for the reporting period</i>	Indicator progress since project's start <i>State the current cumulative value of the indicator since the start of the project</i>	Reasons for Variance/Delay (if any) <i>Explain why the indicator is off track or has changed, where relevant</i>
4. 2. 1						
4. 2. 2						
4. 2. 3						
4. 2. 4						
4. 2. 5						

» **Output 4.3:**

4. 3	Output Indicators <i>Describe the indicator</i>	Indicator Baseline <i>State the baseline value of the indicator</i>	End of Project Indicator Target <i>State the target value of the indicator at the end of the project</i>	Indicator progress for reporting period <i>State the current value of the indicator for the reporting period</i>	Indicator progress since project's start <i>State the current cumulative value of the indicator since the start of the project</i>	Reasons for Variance/Delay (if any) <i>Explain why the indicator is off track or has changed, where relevant</i>
4. 3. 1						
4. 3. 2						
4. 3. 3						
4. 3. 4						
4. 3. 5						

» **Output 4.4:**

4. 4	Output Indicators <i>Describe the indicator</i>	Indicator Baseline <i>State the baseline value of the indicator</i>	End of Project Indicator Target <i>State the target value of the indicator at the end of the project</i>	Indicator progress for reporting period <i>State the current value of the indicator for the reporting period</i>	Indicator progress since project's start <i>State the current cumulative value of the indicator since the start of the project</i>	Reasons for Variance/Delay (if any) <i>Explain why the indicator is off track or has changed, where relevant</i>
4. 4. 1						
4. 4. 2						
4. 4. 3						
4. 4. 4						
4. 4. 5						

» **Output 4.5:**

4. 5	Output Indicators <i>Describe the indicator</i>	Indicator Baseline <i>State the baseline value of the indicator</i>	End of Project Indicator Target <i>State the target value of the indicator at the end of the project</i>	Indicator progress for reporting period <i>State the current value of the indicator for the reporting period</i>	Indicator progress since project's start <i>State the current cumulative value of the indicator since the start of the project</i>	Reasons for Variance/Delay (if any) <i>Explain why the indicator is off track or has changed, where relevant</i>
4. 5. 1						
4. 5. 2						
4. 5. 3						
4. 5. 4						
4. 5. 5						

If the project has more than 4 outcomes, use this space to describe progress on progress on indicators for the remaining outcomes \*

### PART III: Cross-Cutting Issues

Is the project planning any significant events in the next six months? (eg. national dialogues, youth congresses, film screenings, etc.)

**If yes, please state how many, and for each, provide the approximate date of the event and a brief description, including its key objectives, target audience and location (if known)**

Events	Event Description	Tentative Date	Location	Target Audience	Event Objectives (900 characters)
<p><b>Event 1</b></p>	<p>Final Evaluation Report Validation Workshop</p>	<p>Mid July</p>	<p>Banjul, Gambia</p>	<p>Implementing Partners, UN Agencies, Government, Media, CSOs, Development Partners</p>	<p>The primary objective of a validation workshop for a project's final evaluation report is to foster transparency, accuracy, and national ownership by presenting the draft findings, conclusions, and recommendations to key stakeholders for their review and feedback. This session serves as a critical quality assurance mechanism, allowing partners and beneficiaries to verify that the data reflects the reality on the ground, correct any factual inaccuracies, and build consensus on the project's impact. Ultimately, the workshop ensures that the final recommendations are feasible and provides a platform for stakeholders to collectively endorse the evaluation results, thereby facilitating the uptake of lessons learned for future programming.</p>
<p><b>Event 2</b></p>					

<b>Event 3</b>					
<b>Event 4</b>					

## Human Impact

This section is about the human impact of the project. Please state key stakeholders (including but not limited to: Civil Society Organizations, Beneficiaries, etc.) of the project, and for each, please briefly describe:

- i. The challenges/problem they faced prior to the project implementation
  - ii. The impact of the project in their lives
  - iii. Provide, where possible, a quote or testimonial from a representative of each stakeholder group
- This is an optional question. You may leave it unanswered if not relevant*

<b>Human Impact</b>	<b>Type of stakeholder</b>	<b>What has been the impact of the project on their lives?</b>	<b>Provide, where possible, a quote or testimonial from the stakeholder</b>

<p><b>1</b></p>	<p>Woman</p>	<p>Before the Tumana Agency for Development (TAD) through the GPI project, installed community coos milling machines, Mrs. Ceesay spent hours every day pounding millet by hand, leaving her exhausted and unable to earn an income. Now, as a member of the women-led management committee, the time she saves has allowed her to start a small vegetable garden. She proudly noted that the machine transformed her and other women from laborers into entrepreneurs and decision-makers.</p>	<p>“Before we had the coos milling machine, I spent several hours every single day pounding millet by hand. It was exhausting work that drained my energy and left me with no time for anything else. Today, everything has changed. I am now a member of the committee that manages the machine for our community. With the time I’ve saved, I have started my own vegetable garden and am finally able to contribute to our household income. This machine has done more than just grind grain; it has transformed us from laborers into entrepreneurs and decision-makers.”                  — Mrs. Jula Ceesay, Changhal Lankadi Community</p>
<p><b>2</b></p>			
<p><b>3</b></p>			
<p><b>4</b></p>			

In addition to the stakeholder specific impact described above, please use this space to describe any additional human impact that the project has had.

*Please limit your response to 4000 characters.*

**The project has been instrumental in exposing the devastating consequences of silence and disbelief within family units. In the Lower River Region (LRR), the Regional Network of Mother’s Clubs is actively dismantling these barriers. The urgency of this work is underscored by the story of a 16-year-old honors student whose academic success collapsed following harassment by a guardian—a plea for help that her mother initially dismissed. These cases highlight the life-saving importance of training caregivers to believe children and utilize formal reporting mechanisms to end the cycle of abuse.**

**The project successfully penetrated male-dominated spaces to challenge toxic social norms through edutainment. Following a drama performance by The Woman Boss, male students engaged in unprecedented peer dialogues regarding consent and healthy relationships. Many participants admitted these were topics they had never discussed, with one student noting that the session “opened our eyes to things we considered normal.” Similarly, in Foni Kansala, the Raise The Young Foundation hosted intergenerational dialogues that shifted community perspectives on marginalized youth. Rather than opting for exclusion, participants realized that reintegrating those influenced by conflict or destructive behavior is essential for restoring collective harmony.**

**Traditional leaders are evolving from gatekeepers into active advocates for gender equity. In Karantaba, Alkalo Chernu Sankareh observed that the introduction of a coos milling machine by the Tumana Agency for Development did more than just reduce manual labor; it fostered community peace. Men in the village now actively champion women’s leadership in managing the enterprise, recognizing that women’s economic agency provides a shared social and financial benefit to the entire village.**

**By creating safe spaces for sensitive discourse, the project bridged significant generational gaps. In Basse, Red Cross volunteers noted that Movement for Social Justice (MSJ) interventions allowed youth to discuss the caste system—a topic previously considered strictly off-limits. This newfound agency has empowered community members in Koina to independently organize self-awareness campaigns, proving that the project has successfully sparked a sustainable, community-led call for unity.**

You can also upload upto 3 files in various formats (picture files, powerpoint, pdf, video, etc.) to illustrate the human impact of the project

**OPTIONAL**

File 1

**OPTIONAL**

GTA\_Training to CSOs-11\_36\_25.jpeg



File 2

**OPTIONAL**

Milling Machine Installation by TAD-11\_36\_28.jpeg



File 3

**OPTIONAL**

CSO\_House-11\_42\_11.jpeg



You can also add upto 3 links to online resources which illustrate the human impact of the project

**OPTIONAL**

Link 1

**OPTIONAL**

<https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=sQPANZHsCpo>

Link 2

**OPTIONAL**

<https://www.facebook.com/share/p/1A4C3ftDHm/>

Link 3

**OPTIONAL**

<https://www.facebook.com/share/p/1EW74ke4rL/>

Please tick the applicable change based on above narrative.

How we worked:

\*

*Please select up to 3.*

- Enhanced digitization
- Innovative ways of working
- Mobilized additional resources
- Improved or initiated policy frameworks
- Strengthened capacities
- Partnered with with local/grassroots Civil Society Organizations
- Expanding coalitions & galvanizing political will
- Strengthened partnerships with IFIs
- Strengthened partnerships with UN Agencies

Please explain one of the selected options

*Please limit your response to 3000 characters.*

**The project employed a range of innovative and inclusive strategies to enhance community impact and sustainability. It successfully addressed sensitive social barriers by pivoting from traditional workshops to a multifaceted, community-led model. By integrating "edutainment," phased mentorship, and gender-segmented safe spaces, the project effectively challenged toxic masculinity and dismantled the culture of silence surrounding SGBV. A key pillar of its success was the localization of global agendas through Community Protection Groups and women-led enterprise governance, which transformed beneficiaries from passive recipients into active decision-makers.**

Please explain one of the selected options

*Please limit your response to 3000 characters.*

**In this reporting period, the project has catalyzed a transformative shift in the institutional strength of partner CSOs by professionalizing their operational and strategic frameworks. By adopting standardized, results-based reporting and data-driven narratives, partners have enhanced their visibility and secured greater donor confidence. This institutional growth was bolstered by the integration of M&E mechanisms to ensure transparency, alongside improved internal coordination protocols to streamline project execution. Beyond operational efficiency, the initiative prioritized sustainability through resource development, equipping networks such as the Mother's Clubs with standardized facilitation modules on critical issues like sexual health and positive masculinity, ensuring long-term capacity for community advocacy and child protection.**

Please explain one of the selected options

*Please limit your response to 3000 characters.*

**The project empowered local and grassroots civil society organizations through a structured, bottom-up strategy. By competitively selecting 20 women- and youth-focused organizations, the project implemented an innovative two-tier granting model that used larger umbrella bodies to cascade technical and financial support to isolated rural groups. Following comprehensive baseline capacity assessments, over 500 participants received tailored training and essential equipment (such as laptops and accounting software) to strengthen their institutional foundations. Backed by direct financial grants of GMD 1,000,000 each and connected through peer-to-peer networks, these grassroots organizations were successfully transformed from isolated actors into a professionalized, cohesive coalition capable of driving sustainable peacebuilding and gender-transformative interventions.**

Who are we working with \*

- Strengthened partnerships with IFIs
- Strengthened partnerships between UN Agencies
- Partnered with local civil society organizations
- Partnered with local academia
- Partnered with sub-national entities
- Partnered with national entities
- Partnered with local volunteers

## Please explain

*Please limit your response to 3000 characters*

**The project adopted a highly localized implementation model by utilizing umbrella networks like TANGO and NGBV to sub-grant grassroots interventions to local CSOs. Beyond driving community-level action, this partnership model actively strengthened the operational, reporting, and monitoring capacities of participating CSOs to build long-term donor confidence. By strategically leveraging each organization's unique grassroots expertise, the project successfully deployed targeted interventions across diverse thematic pillars, including women's leadership and mediation, economic empowerment, youth education, social justice, and creative arts edutainment.**

**The project strategically partnered with regional leadership—specifically the Governors of the Upper River, West Coast, and Lower River Regions—to advance its grassroots peacebuilding and development goals. Coordinated by the Ministry of Gender, Children and Social Welfare, this high-level subnational engagement was instrumental in securing land for regional CSO Houses, including a major facility in Basse.**

**The project implemented a multi-layered partnership model by engaging the Ministry of Gender, Children and Social Welfare (MoGCSW) for high-level governance alongside TANGO and NGBV as critical partners. The MoGCSW provided strategic oversight, co-steered the project, and supported securing government land for regional CSO Houses while ensuring long-term institutional sustainability. Likewise, TANGO and NGBV decentralized support by managing sub-grants and driving major institutional reforms. Critically, TANGO led the infrastructure development for the new CSO House in Basse, while NGBV unified the civic space to successfully defend national anti-FGM laws, collectively transforming isolated grassroots groups into a highly coordinated, professionalized coalition.**

## Leave No one Behind

Select all beneficiaries targeted with the PBF resources as evidenced by the narrative \*

### Mandatory

- Unemployed persons
- Minorities (e.g. race, ethnicity, linguistic, religion, etc.)
- Indigenous communities
- Persons with Disabilities
- Persons affected by violence (including GBV)
- Women
- Youth
- Children
- Minorities related to sexual orientation and/or gender identity and expression
- People living in and around border areas
- Persons affected by natural disasters
- Persons affected by armed conflicts
- Internally displaced persons, refugees or migrants

## PART IV: Monitoring, Evaluation and Compliance

### » Monitoring

Please list key monitoring activities undertaken in the reporting period \*

*Please limit your response to 3000 characters.*

- **Engaged the PSC, Project Team, and Partners to develop and review the annual work plan and strategy of Implementation.**
- **Developed monitoring tools and templates to track activities, collect human impact stories and programme quality assurance.**

Do outcome indicators have baselines? \*

*If only some of the outcome indicators have baselines, select 'yes'*

- Yes
- No

If yes, please provide a brief description. If not, explain why not and when they will be available. \*

*Please limit your response to 3000 characters.*

**Baseline data were sourced from secondary information and the project conducted capacity assessment of all CSOs to gauge their capacity gap and based on the assessment needs, capacity development plan was developed and being implemented.**

Elaborate on what sources of evidence have been used to report on indicators (and are available upon request) \*

*Please limit your response to 3000 characters.*

**Back to Office Reports, Meeting minutes, partner activity reports, and CSOs' capacity assessment reports are all means of verification provided to support indicator reporting.**

Has the project launched outcome level data collection initiatives? e.g. perception surveys \*

**Perception survey is a formal collection of information from a randomly selected sample of respondents through their responses to standardized questions. See PBF Guidance Note for more information [link](#)**

- Yes
- No

Please provide a brief description \*

*Please limit your response to 3000 characters.*

Has the project used or established community feedback mechanisms? \*

**Community feedback mechanism, or community-based monitoring, is an organized system for communities of participants to monitor the local effects and impact of an intervention. Ideally, this system empowers the community to express whether their expectations are being met and to provide suggestions to decision-makers for possible (re)focusing. See PBF Guidance Note for more information. [link](#)**

- Yes
- No

Please provide a brief description \*

*Please limit your response to 3000 characters.*

**The GPI 2.0 project effectively utilized and established strong community feedback mechanisms to enhance collaboration and responsiveness. Women-led and focused CSOs maintained a well-structured coordination system between umbrella CSOs and subcontracted partners, ensuring continuous monitoring and feedback integration into project activities. Additionally, peer-to-peer mentoring sessions were strategically planned among CSOs, strengthening their ability to address concerns raised through feedback. These sessions enhanced capacity-building efforts, ensuring that organizations could effectively respond to emerging issues. Quarterly reflection meetings served as a vital platform for umbrella CSOs to bring together all affiliated organizations. These meetings fostered knowledge-sharing, collaborative planning, and discussions on challenges, paving the way for a unified approach to achieving project goals. Furthermore, financial grants contributed to refining feedback processes, as subcontractors and umbrella CSOs continuously tracked group progress and provided technical support through training sessions and meetings. Lastly, the CSO coalition/network played a crucial role in improving overall communication and feedback mechanisms within the community, enabling smoother coordination and more effective responses to local needs. This integrated feedback structure ensured transparency, accountability, and adaptive learning throughout the GPI 2.0 project**

» Evaluation

Is the project on track to conduct its evaluation? \*

- Yes
- No
- Not Applicable

Evaluation budget (in USD) included in the project budget: \*

*Response required*

58000

If project will end in next six months, is your upcoming evaluation on track?

- Yes
- No
- Not Applicable

Please describe the preparations

*Please limit your response to 3000 characters.*

**In close collaboration with the PBF Secretariat and the PBPSO, the project team finalized a comprehensive ToR to guide the project's final evaluation. Following a competitive procurement process, the project has secured the services of a qualified consulting firm, deploying a dedicated team comprising one international lead consultant and one national consultant. Fieldwork and data collection are scheduled to commence in June, with the team expected to present preliminary findings and key insights by early July 2026.**

Contact information	Name	Organization	Job title	Email
Please mention the focal person responsible for sharing the final evaluation report with the PBF:	Joy Michael	UNFPA	Gender and GBV Specialist	jmichael@unfpa.org

» Catalytic Effect

Catalytic Effect (financial): Has the project mobilized additional non-PBF financial resources since the project's start? \*

- Yes
- No

How many funders or grants has the project received additional non-PBF funding from **since the project started**? \*

1

If yes, please indicate name of all funding agencies and respective amounts of additional non-PBF funding support that has been leveraged by the project **since it started**, as well as specifically **during this reporting period**

*Please enter each funding agent and their contributions separately*

Name of Funder \*

**Climate Change and Convergence Forum, IPAF/Samburu Women Trust, and FFT/FAO Phase 2**

Amount mobilized since project's start (USD) \*

*Please use a dot (.) as decimal separator, instead of a comma (,)*

6817.12

Amount mobilized during reporting period (USD) \*

*Please use a dot (.) as decimal separator, instead of a comma (,)*

0

Catalytic Effect (non-financial): Has the project enabled or created a larger or longer-term peacebuilding change to occur, in addition to the direct project changes? Please refer to PBF Catalytic Effect Guidelines for more information. \*

- Yes
- No

If yes, please select the relevant option below: \*

- Some catalytic effect
- Significant catalytic effect

If relevant, please describe how the project has had a (non-financial) catalytic effect, i.e. removed barriers to unblock stalled political, institutional or other peacebuilding processes at different levels in a country, and/or created the conditions to establish new processes to do so

*Please limit your response to 3000 characters.*

**The project achieved a significant non-financial catalytic impact by dismantling deeply entrenched institutional barriers and establishing formal, community-owned peacebuilding and governance mechanisms. It successfully transitioned women into formal, endorsed actors within local peace architectures through the Foni Kansala Women Mediators Network and women-led enterprise committees, while introducing Community Protection Groups to actively enforce laws against child marriage and FGM. Furthermore, the initiative unblocked stalled institutional and social processes by successfully advocating for the reintegration of pregnant students into schools, driving dialogue against caste-based discrimination, and securing formal commitments from traditional authorities to include women and youth in local decision-making.**

## Sustainability

Please describe any steps that have been taken to ensure the sustainability of peacebuilding gains, including any mechanisms, platforms, networks and socio-economic initiatives supported, beyond the duration of the project

*Please limit your response to 3000 characters.*

**The project has implemented comprehensive measures to ensure the sustainability of its peacebuilding and empowerment gains through individual capacity building, grassroots community engagement, and institutional strengthening.**

**To maintain continuous vigilance and advocacy, the project established permanent grassroots structures that institutionalize social cohesion. This includes the Foni Kansala Women Mediators Network to formalize women's roles in local conflict resolution, Community Protection Groups to monitor SGBV and harmful traditional practices, and school-based Gender Promotion Clubs. Additionally, trained community Champions, including traditional and religious leaders, are now equipped to independently lead local social justice initiatives long after the project's closure.**

**To directly address the financial drivers of gender inequality, the project introduced women-led community enterprises centered around coos milling machines. By training women in governance, financial record-keeping, and asset management, the initiative has shifted local perceptions of female leadership while ensuring the long-term economic viability of these community assets.**

**At the structural level, the project enhanced the operational and reporting capacities of 22 local CSOs through targeted training and sub-granting mechanisms. The construction of dedicated CSO houses further underscores efforts to decentralize and strengthen civil society coordination, ensuring a permanent, well-coordinated infrastructure for peacebuilding beyond the project's lifespan.**

Are there any other issues concerning project implementation that you want to share, including any capacity needs of the recipient organizations?

*Please limit your response to 3000 characters.*

**The long-term viability of the project's achievements rests on the assumption that the multi-stakeholder management committee will smoothly assume operational control of the newly constructed CSO House, ensuring its regular upkeep and optimal utilization by government, CSOs, and local communities. Additionally, it is assumed that the localized peer-to-peer mentorship networks have achieved sufficient operational independence to remain self-sustaining and collaborative without external facilitation. Finally, the post-closure success assumes that local stakeholders will continue to successfully navigate regional socio-cultural dynamics and align with national policy frameworks, maintaining the positive momentum established during the project lifecycle.**

## Monitoring and Oversight Activities

Please describe any key event related to monitoring and oversight. Please click next if no activities have yet taken place.  
 Events include Steering Committee meetings, Monitoring visits, Third party monitoring, Community based monitoring, any data collection, Perception or other survey findings, evaluation reports, audit or investigations.

Monitoring and oversight activities	Name of the Event	Summary	Key Findings
Event 1			
Event 2			
Event 3			
Event 4			
Event 5			
Event 6			
Event 7			
Event 8			

## Final Steps

- Please save a PDF copy of the form by clicking on the *Printer* icon on the top right corner of the page.
- A dialogue box will appear: Please select the A4 size and portrait orientation.
- Click "prepare" and save the document as a PDF.
- (If on first attempt, the generated page is not readable, close the pop up page and go back to the first page of the online form using the "Return to Beginning" option and try to print the PDF version from there)
- After printing the PDF version, please submit the report in the last page of the form. You can use the "Go to End" button in the bottom right corner.
- In compliance with our reporting requirements, **please upload the PDF version of the report as well as your *financial report in excel format* to the MPTF-O Gateway.**

*If you encounter any difficulty in filling the form or generating the print-out for MPTFO Gateway, please contact Gabriel Velastegui [gabriel.velasteguimoya@un.org](mailto:gabriel.velasteguimoya@un.org)*

Thank You. You have finished the report. Please Click on the SUBMIT button below. When the report is submitted, a confirmation note will appear on a yellow banner on top of the page. This can take a few seconds.