

PBF June 2026 Project Progress Report



PEACEBUILDING FUND



PROJECT OVERVIEW

Thank you for taking the time to complete the PBF Progress report. For projects with more than one recipient, please consult among co-recipients prior to filling out the form to ensure collaboration on the responses. If you have any questions or require technical assistance in filling out the form, please send an email to gabriel.velastegui@un.org

Click Next below to start

» Report Submission

Type of report *	<input type="radio"/> Semi-annual <input type="radio"/> Annual <input checked="" type="radio"/> Final <input type="radio"/> Other
Date of submission of report *	2026-06-13
Name and title of person submitting the report *	EDWARD AMPRATWUM, PROGRAMME SPECIALIST, UNDP
E-mail of person submitting the report *	edward.ampratwum@undp.org
Name and title of person who approved the report *	SHAIMA HUSSEIN, DEPUTY RESIDENT REPRESENTATIVE
Have all fund recipients for this project contributed to the report? *	<input checked="" type="radio"/> Yes <input type="radio"/> No
Did PBF Secretariat or RCO focal point review the report? *	<i>You should normally ensure that the PBF Secretariat or the PBF focal point have an opportunity to review.</i> <input checked="" type="radio"/> Yes <input type="radio"/> No <input type="radio"/> Not Applicable

» Project Information and Geographical Scope

Is this a cross-border project? *	<input type="radio"/> Yes <input checked="" type="radio"/> No
-----------------------------------	---

Please select the geographical region in which the project is implemented

- Asia and the Pacific Central & Southern Africa East Africa
 Europe and Central Asia Global Latin America and the Caribbean
 Middle East and North Africa West Africa

Country of project implementation

- Benin Burkina Faso Cote D'Ivoire
 Gambia Ghana Guinea
 Guinea-Bissau Liberia Mali
 Mauritania Niger Nigeria
 Senegal Sierra Leone Togo
 Other, Specify

Other, please specify

Project Title

- 00140299: Enhancing social cohesion and social contract through empowerment of women and youth in three northern regions of Ghana.
 Other, Specify
 00087604: Appui aux Consultations Nationales sur le processus et les mecanismes de Reconciliation Nationale en Guinee (CoNARGui)

Write the 8 digit MPTFO number and Project Title exactly as it appears in the Project Document

EXAMPLE: 00118938: Community-based prevention of violence and social cohesion using innovation for young people in displaced and host communities

Please select the geographical region(s) in which the project is implemented

If the project you are looking for does not appear in the following question, please make sure that you have selected the correct regions. A limited number of cross border projects span multiple geographic regions. For example, a cross border project between Niger and Chad spans both West Africa and Central & Southern Africa

- Asia and the Pacific Central & Southern Africa East Africa
 Europe and Central Asia Global Latin America and the Caribbean
 Middle East and North Africa West Africa

Please select the title of the project for which you are submitting the report

Write the 8 digit MPTFO numbers and Project Title exactly as it appears in the Project Document

EXAMPLE: 00129699/700: Supporting Cross-Border Cooperation for Increased Community Resilience and Social Cohesion in The Gambia and Senegal

Please select the countries where this project is being implemented

Other, Please specify

Project Start Date (Date of first transfer)

2023-09-06

Project End Date

2026-03-01

Has this project received an extension?

- YES, Cost Extension
 YES, No Cost Extension
 YES, Both Cost and No Cost Extensions
 NO, No Extensions

Will this project be requesting an extension?

- YES, Cost Extension
 YES, No Cost Extension
 YES, Both Cost and No Cost Extensions
 NO, No Extensions

Will this project be submitting a Fund Transfer Request (FTR) in the next six months?

- Yes
 No

If so, around which month do you expect to submit the request? *

- | | | |
|-------------------------------|--------------------------------|---------------------------------|
| <input type="radio"/> January | <input type="radio"/> February | <input type="radio"/> March |
| <input type="radio"/> April | <input type="radio"/> May | <input type="radio"/> June |
| <input type="radio"/> July | <input type="radio"/> August | <input type="radio"/> September |
| <input type="radio"/> October | <input type="radio"/> November | <input type="radio"/> December |

Is the current project end date within 6 months? *

- Yes
 No

Is funding disbursed either into a national or regional trust fund? *

- Yes
 No

If yes, please select which *

- National Trust Fund
 Regional Trust Fund

Recipients

Is the convening agency a UN agency or a non UN entity? *

- UN entity
 Non-UN Entity

Please select the convening agency recipient *

- UNDP: United Nations Development Programme IOM: International Organization for Migration UNICEF: United Nations Children's Fund
 OHCHR: Office of the United Nations High Commissioner for Human Rights UNWOMEN: United Nations Entity for Gender Equality and the Empowerment of Women
 UNHCR: United Nations High Commissioner for Refugees UNFPA: United Nations Population Fund FAO: Food and Agriculture Organization
 WFP: World Food Programme UNHABITAT: United Nations Human Settlements Programme
 UNESCO: United Nations Educational, Scientific and Cultural Organization UNEP: United Nations Environment Programme
 ILO: International Labour Organization WHO: World Health Organization PAHO/WHO
 UNCDF: United Nations Capital Development Fund UNODC: United Nations Office on Drugs and Crime UNOPS: United Nations Office for Project Services
 UNIDO: United Nations Industrial Development Organization ITC: International Trade Centre UNDPO
 Other, Specify

Other, Please specify *

Are there other recipients for this project? *

- No other recipients
 Yes, other UN recipients only
 Yes, other non-UN recipients only
 Yes, both UN and non-UN recipients

Please select other UN recipients *

Select all that apply

- UNDP: United Nations Development Programme IOM: International Organization for Migration UNICEF: United Nations Children's Fund
 OHCHR: Office of the United Nations High Commissioner for Human Rights UNWOMEN: United Nations Entity for Gender Equality and the Empowerment of Women
 UNHCR: United Nations High Commissioner for Refugees UNFPA: United Nations Population Fund FAO: Food and Agriculture Organization
 WFP: World Food Programme UNHABITAT: United Nations Human Settlements Programme
 UNESCO: United Nations Educational, Scientific and Cultural Organization UNEP: United Nations Environment Programme
 ILO: International Labour Organization WHO: World Health Organization PAHO/WHO
 UNCDF: United Nations Capital Development Fund UNODC: United Nations Office on Drugs and Crime UNOPS: United Nations Office for Project Services
 UNIDO: United Nations Industrial Development Organization ITC: International Trade Centre UN Department of Peace Operations
 Other, Specify

Other, Please specify *

Please select other non-UN recipients *

- | | | |
|--|--|--|
| <input type="checkbox"/> ACTED | <input type="checkbox"/> Action Aid UK | <input type="checkbox"/> AAITG (ActionAid the Gambia) |
| <input type="checkbox"/> AEDE | <input type="checkbox"/> African Centre for the Constructive Resolution of Disputes (ACCORD) | |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Agence de Coopération et de Recherche pour le Développement (ACORD) | <input type="checkbox"/> American Friends Service Committee (AFSC) | |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Avocats Sans Frontières | <input type="checkbox"/> Avocats Sans Frontières Belgium | <input type="checkbox"/> Avocats sans frontières Canada |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Ayuda en Accion | <input type="checkbox"/> BIRN - Balkan Investigative Reporting Network | <input type="checkbox"/> BIOM -Youth Ecological Movemen |
| <input type="checkbox"/> CARE International UK | <input type="checkbox"/> Centre d'étude et de coopération internationale (CECI) - BF | <input type="checkbox"/> Christian Aid Ireland |
| <input type="checkbox"/> COIPRODEN | <input type="checkbox"/> Concern Worldwide | <input type="checkbox"/> Conexion Guatemala |
| <input type="checkbox"/> COOPI - Cooperazione Internazionale | <input type="checkbox"/> CORD Burundi | <input type="checkbox"/> CORDAID |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Corporacion Sisma Mujer | <input type="checkbox"/> CRS - Catholic Relief Services | <input type="checkbox"/> DanChurchAid |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Danish Refugee Council | <input type="checkbox"/> EQUITAS | <input type="checkbox"/> Fund for Congolese Women |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Fundacion Estudios Superior (FESU) | <input type="checkbox"/> Fundación Mi Sangre (FMS) | |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Fundación Nacional para el Desarrollo de Honduras (FUNADEH) | <input type="checkbox"/> Fundación para la Libertad de Prensa (FLIP) | |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Geneva Centre for Security Sector Governance (DCAF) | <input type="checkbox"/> HELVETAS Swiss Intercooperation | <input type="checkbox"/> Humanity & Inclusion (HI) |
| <input type="checkbox"/> ICTJ (International Center for Transitional Justice) | <input type="checkbox"/> Instituto Holandes para Democracia Multipartidaria (NIMD) | <input type="checkbox"/> Integrity Watch |
| <input type="checkbox"/> International Alert | <input type="checkbox"/> International Rescue Committee | <input type="checkbox"/> Interpeace |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Kvinna till Kvinna Foundation | <input type="checkbox"/> Life and Peace Institute (LPI) | |
| <input type="checkbox"/> MDG-EISA - Institut Electoral pour une Démocratie Durable en Afrique (EISA), bureau de Madagascar | <input type="checkbox"/> Mercy Corps | |
| <input type="checkbox"/> MLAL - ProgettoMondo | <input type="checkbox"/> MSIS-TATAO | <input type="checkbox"/> NIMD (Netherlands Institute for Multiparty Democracy) |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Nonviolent Peaceforce | <input type="checkbox"/> Norwegian Refugee Council (NRC) | <input type="checkbox"/> Nile Sustainable Development Organization - NSDO |
| <input type="checkbox"/> OCNH-Organisation des Citoyens pour une Nouvelle Haïti | <input type="checkbox"/> OIKOS | <input type="checkbox"/> ONG Adkoul - ONG Adkoul |
| <input type="checkbox"/> ONG AZHAR | <input type="checkbox"/> OXFAM | <input type="checkbox"/> Peace Direct |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Plan International | <input type="checkbox"/> PNG UN Country Fund | <input type="checkbox"/> Red de Instituciones por los Derechos de la Niñez |
| <input type="checkbox"/> ROI - Roza Otunbayeva Initiati | <input type="checkbox"/> Saferworld | <input type="checkbox"/> Sampan'Asa Momba ny Fampandrosoana (SAF/FJKM) |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Save the Children | <input type="checkbox"/> Search for Common Ground (SFCG) | <input type="checkbox"/> SIHA (Strategic Initiative for Women in the Horn of Africa) |
| <input type="checkbox"/> SismaMujer | <input type="checkbox"/> SOS Sahel Sudan | <input type="checkbox"/> Stichting Impunity Watch |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Tearfund | <input type="checkbox"/> The Carter Center, Inc. | <input type="checkbox"/> Trocaire |
| <input type="checkbox"/> War Child | <input type="checkbox"/> War Childhood Museum (WCM) | <input type="checkbox"/> World Vision International |
| <input type="checkbox"/> World Vision Myanmar | <input type="checkbox"/> ZOA | <input type="checkbox"/> blank_placeholder |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Other, Please specify | | |

Other, Please specify *

Implementing Partners

To how many implementing partners has the project transferred money **since the project's start** ?

16

To how many implementing partners has the project transferred money **during this calendar period** ?

(for June reports: January-June;

for November reports: January-December (anticipated);

for final reports: full project duration)

16

Please list all of the project's implementing partners and the amounts (in USD) transferred to each, both since the project's start, and specifically during this calendar period

Please select the type of organisation which best describes the type of implementing partner *

- National youth CSO
- National women's CSO
- Other National CSO
- Subnational youth CSO
- Subnational women's CSO
- Other subnational CSO
- Regional CSO
- Regional Organisation
- International NGO
- Governmental entity
- National women's and youth CSO
- Subnational women's and youth CSO
- Other

Other, Please specify

What is the name of the Implementing Partner *

National Peace Council

What is the planned total amount (in USD) for the **overall duration of the project** to be disbursed to this implementing partner? *

Please use a dot (.) as decimal separator, instead of a comma (,)

360000

What is the total amount (in USD) disbursed to the implementing partner **since the project's start**? *

Please use a dot (.) as decimal separator, instead of a comma (,)

322297.46

What is the total amount (in USD) disbursed to the implementing partner **during this calendar period**? *

Please use a dot (.) as decimal separator, instead of a comma (,)

0

Briefly describe the main activities carried out by the Implementing Partner during this calendar period *

Please limit your response to 1500 characters

The National Peace Council (NPC), under the Peacebuilding Fund, implemented targeted interventions to strengthen community-based conflict prevention, social cohesion, and inclusive governance across project areas. Through coordinated engagement, NPC improved collaboration among community actors, local authorities, and security institutions, laying a solid foundation for integrated peacebuilding. It strengthened the capacities of traditional and religious leaders and community peace actors, enhancing their skills in mediation, negotiation, and dispute resolution.

NPC also reinforced local peace infrastructure by establishing and operationalizing 24 Community Peace Committees and other mediation platforms, improving early response to emerging tensions. Multi-stakeholder engagements and awareness initiatives targeted youth and women, involving political actors, security agencies, and community leaders to address electoral violence, hate speech, misinformation, and intergenerational tensions, while promoting peaceful civic participation.

Community-level fora brought together traditional, religious, and local government actors to address human rights issues, harmful gender norms, and farmer-herder conflicts, strengthening inclusive decision-making and locally driven solutions. NPC further enhanced leadership and advocacy capacities of women and youth groups, empowering them as peace champions. Overall, these efforts engaged over 250 leaders and contributed to stronger peace systems, improved collaboration, and greater community resilience.

Please list all of the project's implementing partners and the amounts (in USD) transferred to each, both since the project's start, and specifically during this calendar period

Please select the type of organisation which best describes the type of implementing partner *

- National youth CSO
- National women's CSO
- Other National CSO
- Subnational youth CSO
- Subnational women's CSO
- Other subnational CSO
- Regional CSO
- Regional Organisation
- International NGO
- Governmental entity
- National women's and youth CSO
- Subnational women's and youth CSO
- Other

Other, Please specify

What is the name of the Implementing Partner *

National Development Planning Commission

What is the planned total amount (in USD) for the **overall duration of the project** to be disbursed to this implementing partner? *

Please use a dot (.) as decimal separator, instead of a comma (,)

64563.08

What is the total amount (in USD) disbursed to the implementing partner **since the project's start**? *

Please use a dot (.) as decimal separator, instead of a comma (,)

33413.51

What is the total amount (in USD) disbursed to the implementing partner **during this calendar period**? *

Please use a dot (.) as decimal separator, instead of a comma (,)

0

Briefly describe the main activities carried out by the Implementing Partner during this calendar period *

Please limit your response to 1500 characters

The National Development Planning Commission (NDPC) has played a strategic role in strengthening the integration of peacebuilding into national and sub-national development planning systems. The Commission supported the development eight district investment strategies, ensuring that local development priorities reflect conflict-sensitive, inclusive, and development-oriented approaches.

A key contribution of NDPC was the development of a Toolkit for Investment Development Strategy, in partnership with UNDP and supported by the Peacebuilding Fund. The toolkit provides a structured, step-by-step framework, tools, and resources to guide Metropolitan, Municipal and District Assemblies (MMDAs) in identifying and attracting investment opportunities, and in developing investment strategies aligned to their local economic potential.

Through the application of this toolkit, eight (8) selected districts were supported to develop their investment development strategies, enabling them to systematically identify priority sectors, assess investment readiness, and design actionable strategies to attract investments and improve domestic revenue mobilization. The toolkit has been integrated into the guidelines for the development of District Medium Term Development plans and annual action plans, ensuring sustainability and institutionalization of results.

Please list all of the project's implementing partners and the amounts (in USD) transferred to each, both since the project's start, and specifically during this calendar period

Please select the type of organisation which best describes the type of implementing partner *

- National youth CSO
- National women's CSO
- Other National CSO
- Subnational youth CSO
- Subnational women's CSO
- Other subnational CSO
- Regional CSO
- Regional Organisation
- International NGO
- Governmental entity
- National women's and youth CSO
- Subnational women's and youth CSO
- Other

Other, Please specify

What is the name of the Implementing Partner *

North East Regional Coordinating Council

What is the planned total amount (in USD) for the **overall duration of the project** to be disbursed to this implementing partner? *

Please use a dot (.) as decimal separator, instead of a comma (,)

81248.97

What is the total amount (in USD) disbursed to the implementing partner **since the project's start**? *

Please use a dot (.) as decimal separator, instead of a comma (,)

81248.97

What is the total amount (in USD) disbursed to the implementing partner **during this calendar period**? *

Please use a dot (.) as decimal separator, instead of a comma (,)

0

Briefly describe the main activities carried out by the Implementing Partner during this calendar period *

Please limit your response to 1500 characters

The North East Regional Coordinating Council (RCC), under the PBF project in Ghana, implemented targeted interventions to strengthen local governance, accountability, and peacebuilding using rights-based and inclusive approaches. Early engagement with CSOs and stakeholders in Nalerigu established strong coordination, linking systems strengthening with community advocacy for integrated delivery.

Using the Human Rights-Based Approach (HRBA) Manual and Safeguarding Security Training Guide, the RCC built the capacities of local authorities, security personnel, and service providers in inclusive planning, gender- and youth-responsive safeguarding, community policing, and rights-based service delivery. This strengthened adherence to principles of non-discrimination, participation, and accountability, while improving coordination and referral systems for equitable access to services and justice.

The RCC also organized social dialogue forums and town hall meetings involving security agencies, traditional and religious leaders, and women and youth groups. These addressed gender-based violence, social cohesion, and local security concerns, while strengthening early warning systems and promoting peaceful resolution of land, tribal, and chieftaincy disputes. The PBF-Dash platform enhanced accountability and citizen feedback, while pre-election sensitization campaigns promoted peaceful participation. Overall, these efforts strengthened inclusive governance and community resilience.

Please list all of the project's implementing partners and the amounts (in USD) transferred to each, both since the project's start, and specifically during this calendar period

Please select the type of organisation which best describes the type of implementing partner *

- National youth CSO
- National women's CSO
- Other National CSO
- Subnational youth CSO
- Subnational women's CSO
- Other subnational CSO
- Regional CSO
- Regional Organisation
- International NGO
- Governmental entity
- National women's and youth CSO
- Subnational women's and youth CSO
- Other

Other, Please specify

What is the name of the Implementing Partner *

Upper East Regional Coordinating Council

What is the planned total amount (in USD) for the **overall duration of the project** to be disbursed to this implementing partner? *

Please use a dot (.) as decimal separator, instead of a comma (,)

110141.08

What is the total amount (in USD) disbursed to the implementing partner **since the project's start**? *

Please use a dot (.) as decimal separator, instead of a comma (,)

110141.08

What is the total amount (in USD) disbursed to the implementing partner **during this calendar period**? *

Please use a dot (.) as decimal separator, instead of a comma (,)

0

Briefly describe the main activities carried out by the Implementing Partner during this calendar period *

Please limit your response to 1500 characters

The Upper East Regional Coordinating Council (RCC), under the PBF project, strengthened inclusive governance, accountable service delivery, and peacebuilding across Garu, Bawku West, and Bongo districts through integrated institutional and community interventions. Early inception engagements improved stakeholder coordination, enabling collaboration among local authorities, security institutions, civil society, and community leaders. Using the Human Rights-Based Approach (HRBA) Manual and Safeguarding Security Training Guide, the RCC built the capacities of governance actors, service providers, and security personnel in inclusive planning, gender- and youth-responsive safeguarding, community policing, and rights-based service delivery. This enhanced institutional accountability, responsiveness, and coordination. Social dialogue fora and town hall meetings brought together security agencies, traditional and religious leaders, and women and youth groups to address gender-based violence, youth inclusion, social cohesion, and local security issues. These platforms strengthened early warning systems, built trust, and supported the peaceful resolution of land, tribal, and chieftaincy disputes. The PBF-Dash platform improved citizen feedback, transparency, and service delivery coordination. Additional support to health, social welfare, and justice sectors strengthened referral pathways and service access. Pre-election peace campaigns promoted non-violence and civic responsibility, contributing to peaceful elections. Overall, the interventions enhanced inclusive governance, social cohesion, and community resilience.

Please list all of the project's implementing partners and the amounts (in USD) transferred to each, both since the project's start, and specifically during this calendar period

Please select the type of organisation which best describes the type of implementing partner *

- National youth CSO
- National women's CSO
- Other National CSO
- Subnational youth CSO
- Subnational women's CSO
- Other subnational CSO
- Regional CSO
- Regional Organisation
- International NGO
- Governmental entity
- National women's and youth CSO
- Subnational women's and youth CSO
- Other

Other, Please specify

What is the name of the Implementing Partner *

Upper West Regional Coordinating Council

What is the planned total amount (in USD) for the **overall duration of the project** to be disbursed to this implementing partner? *

Please use a dot (.) as decimal separator, instead of a comma (,)

82879.35

What is the total amount (in USD) disbursed to the implementing partner **since the project's start**? *

Please use a dot (.) as decimal separator, instead of a comma (,)

82879.35

What is the total amount (in USD) disbursed to the implementing partner **during this calendar period**? *

Please use a dot (.) as decimal separator, instead of a comma (,)

0

Briefly describe the main activities carried out by the Implementing Partner during this calendar period *

Please limit your response to 1500 characters

The Upper West Regional Coordinating Council (RCC), under the PBF project, implemented integrated peacebuilding and governance interventions to strengthen trust between communities and public institutions in Wa West and Sissala West districts. Early engagements improved coordination among government actors, security agencies, civil society, and community leaders, enabling a shared understanding of project goals. Using the Human Rights-Based Approach (HRBA) Manual and Safeguarding Security Training Guide, the RCC built capacities of governance actors, security personnel, service providers, and community representatives in inclusive planning, rights-based governance, gender- and youth-responsive safeguarding, and referral systems. These efforts strengthened application of accountability, participation, and non-discrimination in public service delivery. Social dialogue fora and peace awareness engagements brought together security actors, traditional and religious leaders, and women and youth groups to address gender-based violence, social cohesion, and community security concerns. These platforms strengthened collaboration, reinforced early warning mechanisms, and promoted peaceful conflict resolution. The RCC also supported validation of an assessment on women and youth participation, informing advocacy to address exclusion. The PBF-Dash platform improved citizen feedback and accountability, while capacity-building enhanced service coordination. Overall, interventions strengthened inclusion, trust, and resilience in local governance systems.

Please list all of the project's implementing partners and the amounts (in USD) transferred to each, both since the project's start, and specifically during this calendar period

Please select the type of organisation which best describes the type of implementing partner *

- National youth CSO
- National women's CSO
- Other National CSO
- Subnational youth CSO
- Subnational women's CSO
- Other subnational CSO
- Regional CSO
- Regional Organisation
- International NGO
- Governmental entity
- National women's and youth CSO
- Subnational women's and youth CSO
- Other

Other, Please specify

What is the name of the Implementing Partner *

Upper East Regional Peace Council

What is the planned total amount (in USD) for the **overall duration of the project** to be disbursed to this implementing partner? *

Please use a dot (.) as decimal separator, instead of a comma (,)

12000

What is the total amount (in USD) disbursed to the implementing partner **since the project's start**? *

Please use a dot (.) as decimal separator, instead of a comma (,)

11259.3

What is the total amount (in USD) disbursed to the implementing partner **during this calendar period**? *

Please use a dot (.) as decimal separator, instead of a comma (,)

0

Briefly describe the main activities carried out by the Implementing Partner during this calendar period *

Please limit your response to 1500 characters

During the project phase, the UPPER EAST REGIONAL PEACE COUNCIL, through Community Peace Committees, implemented a series of localized peacebuilding activities. In Kugri, women's dialogue forums addressed competition over shea-nut collection, while an additional dialogue engaged Fulbe, refugees, and host community members on conflict prevention and early warning. Farmer-herder mediation sessions were conducted in Siisi and Denugu to mitigate land-use tensions and avert violence.

Security-civilian dialogues were organized in Widnaba and Sapelliga to strengthen trust and cooperation between communities and security actors. In Kopella, community leaders and Fulbe representatives engaged in a stakeholder dialogue promoting peaceful coexistence.

The project also facilitated sensitization sessions on religious tolerance, and women and youth inclusion in leadership and decision-making processes in Bongo-Soe. In Feo, awareness activities focused on border security, and the risks associated with hate speech, religious intolerance, and discrimination. A youth, women, and border security dialogue was held in Namoo to reinforce trust and enhance collective security collaboration.

These engagements collectively contributed to conflict prevention, strengthened community cohesion, and enhanced early warning and response mechanisms across targeted communities.

Please list all of the project's implementing partners and the amounts (in USD) transferred to each, both since the project's start, and specifically during this calendar period

Please select the type of organisation which best describes the type of implementing partner *

- National youth CSO
- National women's CSO
- Other National CSO
- Subnational youth CSO
- Subnational women's CSO
- Other subnational CSO
- Regional CSO
- Regional Organisation
- International NGO
- Governmental entity
- National women's and youth CSO
- Subnational women's and youth CSO
- Other

Other, Please specify

What is the name of the Implementing Partner *

Upper West Regional Peace Council

What is the planned total amount (in USD) for the **overall duration of the project** to be disbursed to this implementing partner? *

Please use a dot (.) as decimal separator, instead of a comma (,)

38000

What is the total amount (in USD) disbursed to the implementing partner **since the project's start**? *

Please use a dot (.) as decimal separator, instead of a comma (,)

36124.86

What is the total amount (in USD) disbursed to the implementing partner **during this calendar period**? *

Please use a dot (.) as decimal separator, instead of a comma (,)

0

Briefly describe the main activities carried out by the Implementing Partner during this calendar period *

Please limit your response to 1500 characters

During the project implementation period, the partner facilitated targeted peacebuilding and governance engagements. Establishment of 6 additional Community Peace Committees. Community sensitization on hate speech and exclusion resulted in heightened awareness among 400 participants (240 men, 160 women), strengthened public commitment to tolerance, and reinforced collaboration among youth, women groups, traditional and religious leaders, and local authorities. Traditional and religious leaders committed to promoting inclusion and discouraging divisive rhetoric.

In addition, a total of 253 participants (138 men and 115 women) took part in the natural resource governance dialogue, which strengthened mutual understanding between duty bearers and community members, enhanced awareness of legal processes for resolving land and resource grievances, and secured commitments to transparent, consultative, and collaborative resource management.

Please list all of the project's implementing partners and the amounts (in USD) transferred to each, both since the project's start, and specifically during this calendar period

Please select the type of organisation which best describes the type of implementing partner *

- National youth CSO
- National women's CSO
- Other National CSO
- Subnational youth CSO
- Subnational women's CSO
- Other subnational CSO
- Regional CSO
- Regional Organisation
- International NGO
- Governmental entity
- National women's and youth CSO
- Subnational women's and youth CSO
- Other

Other, Please specify

What is the name of the Implementing Partner *

North East Regional Peace Council

What is the planned total amount (in USD) for the **overall duration of the project** to be disbursed to this implementing partner? *

Please use a dot (.) as decimal separator, instead of a comma (,)

24000

What is the total amount (in USD) disbursed to the implementing partner **since the project's start**? *

Please use a dot (.) as decimal separator, instead of a comma (,)

23804.26

What is the total amount (in USD) disbursed to the implementing partner **during this calendar period**? *

Please use a dot (.) as decimal separator, instead of a comma (,)

0

Briefly describe the main activities carried out by the Implementing Partner during this calendar period *

Please limit your response to 1500 characters

The North East Regional Peace Committee through the Community Peace Committee convened nine stakeholder engagements with religious leaders, traditional authorities, and youth and women groups to prevent hate speech and counter negative narratives. These sessions supported collaborative dialogue, strengthened community leadership roles in peace promotion, and enhanced early warning efforts.

In addition, the NERPC facilitated social cohesion activities, including drumming and dancing, to foster inter-ethnic tolerance and cooperation. These cultural peace initiatives were implemented across three communities by three CPCs in Nakpanduri, Yunyoo, and Gbalo, contributing to improved communal relations and mutual understanding.

Please list all of the project's implementing partners and the amounts (in USD) transferred to each, both since the project's start, and specifically during this calendar period

Please select the type of organisation which best describes the type of implementing partner *

- National youth CSO
- National women's CSO
- Other National CSO
- Subnational youth CSO
- Subnational women's CSO
- Other subnational CSO
- Regional CSO
- Regional Organisation
- International NGO
- Governmental entity
- National women's and youth CSO
- Subnational women's and youth CSO
- Other

Other, Please specify

What is the name of the Implementing Partner *

Centre for Democratic Development Ghana

What is the planned total amount (in USD) for the **overall duration of the project** to be disbursed to this implementing partner? *

Please use a dot (.) as decimal separator, instead of a comma (,)

326785.95

What is the total amount (in USD) disbursed to the implementing partner **since the project's start**? *

Please use a dot (.) as decimal separator, instead of a comma (,)

285617.71

What is the total amount (in USD) disbursed to the implementing partner **during this calendar period**? *

Please use a dot (.) as decimal separator, instead of a comma (,)

0

Briefly describe the main activities carried out by the Implementing Partner during this calendar period *

Please limit your response to 1500 characters

The capacity of local government officials, traditional authorities, and religious leaders was enhanced through targeted training sessions on gender-responsive participatory planning, inclusive local economic development, and conflict management. These sessions provided practical tools to integrate gender perspectives into district planning, promote equitable economic initiatives, and adopt conflict-sensitive approaches in community engagement. As a result, participants reported improved understanding of inclusive governance, stronger coordination with community stakeholders, and greater capacity to mediate disputes and foster social cohesion.

10

Please list all of the project's implementing partners and the amounts (in USD) transferred to each, both since the project's start, and specifically during this calendar period

Please select the type of organisation which best describes the type of implementing partner *

- National youth CSO
- National women's CSO
- Other National CSO
- Subnational youth CSO
- Subnational women's CSO
- Other subnational CSO
- Regional CSO
- Regional Organisation
- International NGO
- Governmental entity
- National women's and youth CSO
- Subnational women's and youth CSO
- Other

Other, Please specify	
What is the name of the Implementing Partner West Africa Network for Peacebuilding Ghana	*
What is the planned total amount (in USD) for the overall duration of the project to be disbursed to this implementing partner? <i>Please use a dot (.) as decimal separator, instead of a comma (,)</i> 135165.9	*
What is the total amount (in USD) disbursed to the implementing partner since the project's start ? <i>Please use a dot (.) as decimal separator, instead of a comma (,)</i> 122157.07	*
What is the total amount (in USD) disbursed to the implementing partner during this calendar period ? <i>Please use a dot (.) as decimal separator, instead of a comma (,)</i> 0	*
Briefly describe the main activities carried out by the Implementing Partner during this calendar period <i>Please limit your response to 1500 characters</i> WANEP implemented a set of integrated peacebuilding interventions aimed at strengthening early warning systems, enhancing civilian-security collaboration, and promoting social cohesion in at-risk communities. Through coordinated engagements, the organization facilitated inclusive dialogue platforms between security agencies and community stakeholders, improving trust, information sharing, and joint responses to emerging conflict risks. The interventions strengthened community-based early warning and response mechanisms by building the capacities of both security actors and civilian networks, including local informants, to identify and respond to conflict triggers. Multi-stakeholder engagements, reflection meetings, and cross-sector coordination enhanced collaboration between communities and security institutions, contributing to more responsive and coordinated peace and security efforts. WANEP also expanded public awareness and outreach through media sensitization and community engagement approaches, promoting peaceful coexistence, civic responsibility, and shared roles in maintaining security. These combined efforts contributed to improved community-security relations, increased inclusion of women and youth in peace processes, and strengthened local systems for conflict prevention and social cohesion.	*
Please list all of the project's implementing partners and the amounts (in USD) transferred to each, both since the project's start, and specifically during this calendar period	
Please select the type of organisation which best describes the type of implementing partner <input type="radio"/> National youth CSO <input type="radio"/> National women's CSO <input type="radio"/> Other National CSO <input type="radio"/> Subnational youth CSO <input type="radio"/> Subnational women's CSO <input type="radio"/> Other subnational CSO <input type="radio"/> Regional CSO <input type="radio"/> Regional Organisation <input type="radio"/> International NGO <input type="radio"/> Governmental entity <input type="radio"/> National women's and youth CSO <input checked="" type="radio"/> Subnational women's and youth CSO <input type="radio"/> Other	*
Other, Please specify	
What is the name of the Implementing Partner HOLISTIC DEVELOPMENT ORGANISATION	*
What is the planned total amount (in USD) for the overall duration of the project to be disbursed to this implementing partner? <i>Please use a dot (.) as decimal separator, instead of a comma (,)</i> 60000	*
What is the total amount (in USD) disbursed to the implementing partner since the project's start ? <i>Please use a dot (.) as decimal separator, instead of a comma (,)</i> 60000	*

<p>What is the total amount (in USD) disbursed to the implementing partner during this calendar period?</p> <p><i>Please use a dot (.) as decimal separator, instead of a comma (,)</i></p> <p>0</p>	*
<p>Briefly describe the main activities carried out by the Implementing Partner during this calendar period</p> <p><i>Please limit your response to 1500 characters</i></p> <p>During the reporting period, HOLIDO implemented livelihood and peacebuilding interventions in nine communities across Chereponi, Bunkpurugu-Nakpanduri, and Yunyoo-Nasuan Districts. The organization supported 15 young artisans (13 women, 2 men) with startup equipment and refresher training in dressmaking and hairdressing. In total, 65 beneficiaries (61 women, 4 men) in agro-processing and petty trading received business support and capacity building. Additional support included branding, marketing, coaching, mentorship, and financial education.</p> <p>HOLIDO formed 10 Savings with Education (SwE) groups, engaging 278 members (235 women, 43 men). The SwE model fostered both financial literacy and peace dialogue at the community level. 20 facilitators (10 women, 10 men) and 20 community volunteers (10 women, 10 men) were trained in peacebuilding, gender inclusion, and PVE awareness.</p> <p>In agriculture, 10 dry-season farmers (7 women, 3 men) were trained in climate-smart practices and supported with inputs. Furthermore, 30 farmers (16 women, 14 men) were trained in small ruminant management and received 60 goats. To ensure sustainability, veterinary services and monitoring were provided.</p>	*
<p>Please list all of the project's implementing partners and the amounts (in USD) transferred to each, both since the project's start, and specifically during this calendar period</p>	12
<p>Please select the type of organisation which best describes the type of implementing partner</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <input type="radio"/> National youth CSO <input type="radio"/> National women's CSO <input type="radio"/> Other National CSO <input type="radio"/> Subnational youth CSO <input type="radio"/> Subnational women's CSO <input type="radio"/> Other subnational CSO <input type="radio"/> Regional CSO <input type="radio"/> Regional Organisation <input type="radio"/> International NGO <input type="radio"/> Governmental entity <input type="radio"/> National women's and youth CSO <input checked="" type="radio"/> Subnational women's and youth CSO <input type="radio"/> Other 	*
<p>Other, Please specify</p>	
<p>What is the name of the Implementing Partner</p> <p>META FOUNDATION</p>	*
<p>What is the planned total amount (in USD) for the overall duration of the project to be disbursed to this implementing partner?</p> <p><i>Please use a dot (.) as decimal separator, instead of a comma (,)</i></p> <p>59867.48</p>	*
<p>What is the total amount (in USD) disbursed to the implementing partner since the project's start?</p> <p><i>Please use a dot (.) as decimal separator, instead of a comma (,)</i></p> <p>59867.48</p>	*

What is the total amount (in USD) disbursed to the implementing partner **during this calendar period**? *
Please use a dot (.) as decimal separator, instead of a comma (,)
0

Briefly describe the main activities carried out by the Implementing Partner during this calendar period *
Please limit your response to 1500 characters
META Foundation implemented key activities across nine communities in Garu, Bawku West, and Bongo districts. After community sensitization that reached 486 participants, 240 beneficiaries (comprising 150 women and 90 youth) were profiled and selected for livelihood support. A total of 190 individuals (120 women, 70 youth) were trained in agro-processing, basket weaving, tailoring, hairdressing, guinea fowl and goat rearing, dry-season farming, phone repairs, and soap making.
Essential start-up inputs and tools were distributed, including 30 sewing machines and 15 hair dryers to 45 women, soap-making kits to 25 women and youth, 30 women received basket weaving materials, and 25 youth received phone repair toolkits. Additionally, 50 women and youth (15 women and 10 youth in goat rearing; 25 youth in guinea fowl rearing) were targeted for livestock support.
Four women and youth groups (80 members: approx. 60 women, 20 youth) received vegetable seeds and water pumps to boost dry-season farming. Signposts were erected for project visibility. Monitoring showed strong community support despite challenges such as insecurity in Bawku and high demand exceeding resources. Overall, the project advanced inclusive local development, empowered marginalized women and youth, and strengthened resilience through practical livelihood interventions.

13

Please list all of the project's implementing partners and the amounts (in USD) transferred to each, both since the project's start, and specifically during this calendar period

Please select the type of organisation which best describes the type of implementing partner *

- National youth CSO
- National women's CSO
- Other National CSO
- Subnational youth CSO
- Subnational women's CSO
- Other subnational CSO
- Regional CSO
- Regional Organisation
- International NGO
- Governmental entity
- National women's and youth CSO
- Subnational women's and youth CSO
- Other

Other, Please specify

What is the name of the Implementing Partner *
CAPACITY ENHANCEMENT AND COMMUNITY SUPPORT

What is the planned total amount (in USD) for the **overall duration of the project** to be disbursed to this implementing partner? *
Please use a dot (.) as decimal separator, instead of a comma (,)
60000

What is the total amount (in USD) disbursed to the implementing partner **since the project's start**? *
Please use a dot (.) as decimal separator, instead of a comma (,)
60000

What is the total amount (in USD) disbursed to the implementing partner **during this calendar period**? *
Please use a dot (.) as decimal separator, instead of a comma (,)
0

Briefly describe the main activities carried out by the Implementing Partner during this calendar period *
Please limit your response to 1500 characters
CAPECS implemented key components of the Livelihoods for Cohesion (L4C) project across six communities in Wa West and Sissala West districts. A total of 160 beneficiaries (124 females, 36 males) were selected through a transparent process involving Community Livelihood Improvement Committees (CLICs). The selection included three female asylum seekers from the Zini Refugee Camp.
Beneficiaries were grouped into eight VSLAs and trained in savings principles, group management, and governance. Weekly mentoring ensured proper savings operations and record-keeping. Livelihood assets including sewing machines, hairdressing kits, weaving tools, baking and processing equipment, and livestock were distributed to beneficiaries. Local artisans supplied part of the tools, boosting community economies.
Business development and financial literacy training was conducted by the Ghana Enterprise Agency, with 160 beneficiaries and CLIC members participating. Additional sessions focused on branding, pricing, and registration. Most beneficiaries have begun using their tools and generating income.

14

Please list all of the project's implementing partners and the amounts (in USD) transferred to each, both since the project's start, and specifically during this calendar period

Please select the type of organisation which best describes the type of implementing partner *

- National youth CSO
- National women's CSO
- Other National CSO
- Subnational youth CSO
- Subnational women's CSO
- Other subnational CSO
- Regional CSO
- Regional Organisation
- International NGO
- Governmental entity
- National women's and youth CSO
- Subnational women's and youth CSO
- Other

Other, Please specify

What is the name of the Implementing Partner *

Plan Parenthood Association of Ghana (PPAG)

What is the planned total amount (in USD) for the **overall duration of the project** to be disbursed to this implementing partner? *

Please use a dot (.) as decimal separator, instead of a comma (,)

75446.28

What is the total amount (in USD) disbursed to the implementing partner **since the project's start**? *

Please use a dot (.) as decimal separator, instead of a comma (,)

61893.45

What is the total amount (in USD) disbursed to the implementing partner **during this calendar period**? *

Please use a dot (.) as decimal separator, instead of a comma (,)

0

Briefly describe the main activities carried out by the Implementing Partner during this calendar period *

Please limit your response to 1500 characters

Under the PBF project in Ghana, Planned Parenthood Association of Ghana provided strategic coordination, technical oversight, and institutional strengthening support to Civil Society Organization partners implementing activities across the project districts. The organization supported partners to develop results-focused workplans aligned with project objectives and sustainability priorities, while strengthening coordination and synergy among implementing actors.

To enhance programme quality, accountability, and results tracking, PPAG conducted capacity-building sessions on monitoring and evaluation frameworks, reporting tools, report writing, and financial management for sub-implementing partners. Regular field monitoring and technical support visits further strengthened implementation quality by assessing progress, identifying operational gaps, and supporting adaptive management responses to improve programme delivery and reporting processes.

These interventions strengthened the institutional capacity of CSO partners to implement rights-based and results-oriented peacebuilding interventions, improved accountability and reporting systems, and contributed to more coordinated, sustainable, and impactful project implementation across the targeted districts.

Please list all of the project's implementing partners and the amounts (in USD) transferred to each, both since the project's start, and specifically during this calendar period

Please select the type of organisation which best describes the type of implementing partner *

- National youth CSO
- National women's CSO
- Other National CSO
- Subnational youth CSO
- Subnational women's CSO
- Other subnational CSO
- Regional CSO
- Regional Organisation
- International NGO
- Governmental entity
- National women's and youth CSO
- Subnational women's and youth CSO
- Other

Other, Please specify

What is the name of the Implementing Partner *

Youth Harvest Foundation Ghana

What is the planned total amount (in USD) for the **overall duration of the project** to be disbursed to this implementing partner? *

Please use a dot (.) as decimal separator, instead of a comma (,)

86555.68

What is the total amount (in USD) disbursed to the implementing partner **since the project's start**? *

Please use a dot (.) as decimal separator, instead of a comma (,)

105774.46

What is the total amount (in USD) disbursed to the implementing partner **during this calendar period**? *

Please use a dot (.) as decimal separator, instead of a comma (,)

55898.3

Briefly describe the main activities carried out by the Implementing Partner during this calendar period *

Please limit your response to 1500 characters

Youth Harvest Foundation Ghana (YHFG), under the PBF project in the Upper East and North East Regions, strengthened citizen participation, accountability, and inclusive governance across six districts through community mobilization and social accountability interventions. Initial engagements mobilized traditional, religious, and community leaders, as well as women and youth groups, fostering ownership and participation in peacebuilding and governance processes. Community leaders were trained as champions against discriminatory gender and socio-cultural norms, leading local sensitization efforts to promote gender equality, youth inclusion, and peaceful coexistence. Women and youth groups also received training in human rights-based budgeting and advocacy, improving their engagement in district planning and accountability processes. District Development Actors Forums (DDAFs) provided inclusive platforms for dialogue between citizens and district assemblies, enabling communities—especially women and youth—to influence development priorities and address issues such as unemployment, infrastructure gaps, and service delivery challenges. To enhance transparency, YHFG introduced the “My District, Our Development” platform, combining community and radio engagement to support development monitoring and accountability. The digitalization of Client Service Units further improved responsiveness to citizen feedback. Overall, these interventions strengthened participation, accountability, and social cohesion.

Please list all of the project's implementing partners and the amounts (in USD) transferred to each, both since the project's start, and specifically during this calendar period

Please select the type of organisation which best describes the type of implementing partner *

- National youth CSO
- National women's CSO
- Other National CSO
- Subnational youth CSO
- Subnational women's CSO
- Other subnational CSO
- Regional CSO
- Regional Organisation
- International NGO
- Governmental entity
- National women's and youth CSO
- Subnational women's and youth CSO
- Other

Other, Please specify

What is the name of the Implementing Partner *

ProNet North

What is the planned total amount (in USD) for the **overall duration of the project** to be disbursed to this implementing partner? *

Please use a dot (.) as decimal separator, instead of a comma (,)

151598.59

What is the total amount (in USD) disbursed to the implementing partner **since the project's start**? *

Please use a dot (.) as decimal separator, instead of a comma (,)

69313.38

What is the total amount (in USD) disbursed to the implementing partner **during this calendar period**? *

Please use a dot (.) as decimal separator, instead of a comma (,)

26921.25

Briefly describe the main activities carried out by the Implementing Partner during this calendar period *

Please limit your response to 1500 characters

Under the PBF project in Ghana, ProNet North strengthened women and youth participation in peacebuilding, social accountability, and inclusive local governance across Wa West and Sissala West districts in the Upper West Region. Through women-youth-security dialogues and District Development Actors Forums (DDAFs), the project created inclusive platforms for women, youth, traditional leaders, local authorities, and security actors to engage on community priorities, local governance, and peacebuilding concerns.

Capacity-building interventions equipped women, youth leaders, traditional and religious leaders, community radio representatives, and local governance actors with skills in Alternative Dispute Resolution (ADR), human rights-based approaches, advocacy, and budget analysis to strengthen their participation in decision-making and accountability processes.

Advocacy and dialogue sessions also promoted action against discriminatory gender and socio-cultural norms, while supporting community-led responses to exclusion, marginalization, and potential conflict through the establishment of local standing committees.

The interventions strengthened collaboration between communities and district authorities, increased the confidence and participation of women and youth in governance and peacebuilding processes, and enhanced social cohesion within previously fragile communities. Monitoring findings further indicate increased inclusion of minority groups, including Fulbe communities, in community meetings and local development initiatives, reflecting growing application of human rights-based and inclusive approaches at the community level.

Financial Reporting

» Delivery by Recipient

Please enter the total amounts in full US dollars allocated to each recipient organization

Please enter the original budget amount, amount transferred to date and estimated expenditure by recipient.

Please make sure you enter the correct amount. All values should be entered in US Dollars

For cross-border projects, group the amounts by agency, even if different country offices are involved. You will have the opportunity to share a more detailed budget in the next section.

Recipients	Total Project Budget (in full US \$) <i>Please enter the total budget as is in the project document in US Dollars</i>	Transfers to date (in full US \$) <i>Please enter the total amount transferred to each recipient to date in US Dollars</i>	Expenditure to date (in full US \$) <i>Please enter the approximate amount spent to date in US dollars</i>	Implementation rate as a percentage of total budget <i>(calculated automatically)</i>
UNDP: United Nations Development Programme	1999980	1999980	1999765.45	99.99%
				%
UNFPA: United Nations Population Fund	999981	999981	999273.17	99.93%
				%
				%
				%
				%
				%
				%
				%
				%
				%
				%
				%

	*	*	*	%
	*	*	*	%
TOTAL	2999961	2999961	2999038.62	99.97%

The approximate implementation rate as percentage of total project budget based on the values entered in the above matrix is **99.97%**. Can you confirm that this is correct? *

Correct Incorrect

If it is incorrect, please enter the approximate implementation rate as a % *

» Gender-responsive Budgeting

Indicate what **percentage (%)** of the budget contributes to gender equality or women's empowerment (GEWE) as per the project document? *

66.42

The dollar amount of the budget contributing to Gender Equality and Women's Empowerment (GEWE) based on percentage entered above and total project budget is **US \$ 1992574.1**. Can you confirm that this is correct? *

Correct Incorrect

If it is incorrect, please enter the *budget amount* allocated to GEWE in US Dollars *

Amount expended to date on efforts contributing to gender equality or women's empowerment is **US \$ 1991961.45**. Is this correct? *

Correct Incorrect

If it is incorrect, please enter the *expenditure to date* on GEWE in US dollars *

ATTACH PROJECT EXCEL BUDGET SHOWING CURRENT APPROXIMATE EXPENDITURE. *

The templates for the budget are available [here](#)

03062026 Annual_Copy of Financial Report_Copy of Copy of Annex D PBF Project progress report updated for annual report 04112025-7_48_22.xlsx



Project Markers

Please select the Gender Marker Associated with this project *

- Score 1 for projects that contribute in some way to gender equality, but not significantly (less than 30% of the total budget for GEWE)
- Score 2 for projects that have gender equality as a significant objective and allocate between 30 and 79% of the total project budget to GEWE
- Score 3 for projects that have gender equality as a principal objective and allocate at least 80% of the total project budget to Gender Equality and Women's Empowerment (GEWE)

Please select the Risk Marker Associated with this project *

- Risk marker 0 = low risk to achieving outcomes
- Risk marker 1 = medium risk to achieving outcomes
- Risk marker 2 = high risk to achieving outcomes

Please select the PBF Focus Area associated with this project *

- (1.1) Security Sector Reform
- (1.2) Rule of Law
- (1.3) Demobilisation, Disarmament and Reintegration
- (1.4) Political Dialogue
- (2.1) National reconciliation
- (2.2) Democratic Governance
- (2.3) Conflict prevention/management
- (3.1) Employment
- (3.2) Equitable access to social services
- (4.1) Strengthening of essential national state capacity
- (4.2) Extension of state authority/Local Administration
- (4.3) Governance of peacebuilding resources (including PBF Secretariats)

Is the project part of one or more PBF priority windows? *

Select all that apply

- Gender promotion initiative
- Youth promotion initiative
- Transition from UN or regional peacekeeping or special political missions
- Cross-border or regional project
- None

Steering Committee and Government engagement

Does the project have an active steering committee/ project board? *

- Yes
- No

If yes, please indicate how many times the Project Steering Committee has met over the last 6 months?

Please limit your response to 3000 characters

The project has an active and functional Project Steering Committee (PSC) since inception that provided strategic oversight and guidance throughout implementation. The PSC met 4 times during the project duration; reviewed and approved annual workplans and budgets and undertook field monitoring for project impact assessment.

Over the last 12 months, the PSC met twice, with the Final Project Steering Committee Meeting held on 13 February 2026 at the field. The meeting was chaired by the UN Resident Coordinator and co-chaired by the Ministry of Local Government, Decentralization and Rural Development, with participation from government institutions, such as the National Peace Council, Ministry of Gender Children and Social Protection (MoGCSP), Ministry of Youth, Northern Development Authority, UN agencies, development partners, and civil society representatives, including Network for Women's Rights in Ghana (NETRIGHT) and African Youth Network on Population and Development (AfriYAN) Ghana.

As part of its oversight, the PSC during the last meeting reviewed overall project performance, reflected on the Steering Committee's field visit, and validated key results achieved under the project, including strengthened community-level peace structures and inclusive participation of women and youth. The Committee provided strategic recommendations to guide project close-out, sustainability, and future programming. These included:

strengthening national and local ownership by further mainstreaming project gains into existing government systems and policies;

improving sustainability through consolidation of community peace committees and institutionalization of accountability mechanisms, including enhanced use of the monitoring dashboard;

documenting lessons learned, standard operating procedures, and results to inform potential scale-up and transition; and

advancing preparations for a potential Phase II, including engagement with donors and partners, development of policy briefs, and sharing of investment plans and toolkits. The PSC's guidance during this meeting played a critical role in shaping transition strategies, endorsing priority follow-up actions, and ensuring alignment of the project's outcomes with Peacebuilding Fund objectives and national development frameworks.

Provide any additional descriptive information relating to the status of the project, including whether preliminary/preparatory activities have been completed (i.e. contracting of partners, staff recruitment, etc.)

Please limit your response to 3000 characters

The project since inception has been progressing steadily, with all preliminary and preparatory activities successfully completed. Key milestones achieved include:

Human resources and partnerships: Two project staff (National UN Volunteer and Monitoring and Evaluation (M&E) Officer) were timeously recruited in addition to existing staff to support the effective implementation of the project.

Implementing Partners (IPs): All relevant Implementing Partners (IPs) were formally contracted and actively supported the implementation of activities throughout the project life span. The IPs consisted of both government and Civil Society Organisations (CSOs) as outlined below:

National Peace Council, WANEP-Ghana, the Regional Coordinating Councils of Upper East, Upper West, and North East, WANEP-Ghana, CDD-Ghana, Youth Harvest Foundation, and ProNet North, Planned Parenthood Association of Ghana, Holistic Development Organisation, Meta Foundation, Capacity Enhancement and Community Support (CAPECS), Community Targeting and Alignment: Project communities were selected in close consultation with key stakeholders including the Ministry of Local Government, Regional Coordinating Councils, District Assemblies, Women and Youth Groups and traditional structures. This ensured strategic alignment with complementary initiatives such as the World Bank's Gulf of Guinea Northern Regions Social Cohesion (SOCO) Project and USAID interventions in northern Ghana. Additionally, the close collaboration with the decentralized structures ensured that the selected border communities were the very hotspots of localised and slipover conflicts that needed interventions resulting in tangible reduction in tensions in the selected areas.

In the course of the project a joint partners meeting was held to ensure synergies across interventions by government and CSOs in the implementing localities. This facilitated a cohesive approach to achieving results, resource efficiency, financial and reporting accuracy in line with the results framework and documentation of best practices.

Describe overall progress under each Outcome made during the reporting period (*for June reports: January-June; for November reports: January-December (anticipated); for final reports: full project duration*). Do not list individual activities. If the project is starting to make/has made a difference at the outcome level, provide specific evidence for the progress (quantitative and qualitative) and explain how it impacts the broader political and peacebuilding context.

Is the project on track for the timely completion of outputs as indicated in the workplan?

- Yes
 No

If no, please provide an explanation

Please limit your response to 6000 characters

Project progress summary

Please limit your response to 6000 characters

Outcome 1: Local governance institutions, actors, and customary structures became more inclusive, responsive, accountable, and effective in service delivery.

The project significantly strengthened community capacity for peaceful conflict management. A total of 415 community members (including women, youth, traditional leaders, and persons with disabilities) enhanced skills in mediation, negotiation, and conflict analysis, with evidence of improved confidence, neutrality, and a shift from adjudication to mediation practices. In parallel, 448 community and security stakeholders strengthened joint early warning and response capacities, improving trust, communication, and information-sharing critical for preventing conflict and violent extremism.

The establishment and operationalization of 24 Community Peace Committees (CPCs), supported by the National Peace Council, strengthened local peace infrastructure. CPCs now serve as effective platforms for mediation, early warning, and conflict monitoring, particularly in remote and border communities, reducing dispute resolution delays and enhancing social cohesion.

Inclusive governance was reinforced through engagement of 253 traditional and religious leaders and capacity strengthening of 280 local leaders on human rights, gender equality, and inclusive decision-making. District-level accountability was further enhanced through community action planning, peer learning, and strengthened sub-district structures. Institutional capacity improved through development of an HRBA Planning Manual and training of 118 local government staff, resulting in 70% HRBA compliance in MTDPs and more inclusive, conflict-sensitive planning.

Service delivery was strengthened through training of 298 service providers across health, security, justice, and social services, improving coordination, referral pathways, and access to GBV and SRHR services. Innovations such as hotlines (established in four districts) enhanced access and responsiveness. Digital accountability tools, including a Service Delivery Dashboard and Citizens' Scorecard, supported by training of 284 stakeholders and sensitization of 812 community members, improved transparency and responsiveness, resolving 65% of submitted complaints and strengthening public confidence.

Outcome 2: Women and youth demonstrated greater resilience and meaningful participation in governance, peacebuilding, and economic development.

Evidence-based assessments informed targeted interventions addressing structural barriers to participation. A total of 814 women and youth strengthened leadership and civic engagement capacities, with active participation in district planning and budgeting processes. Platforms such as the District Development Actors Forum and "My District, Our Development" institutionalized citizen-state engagement, resulting in integration of community priorities into development plans and improved accountability.

These platforms reduced intergenerational tensions, amplified marginalized voices, and promoted social cohesion, including cross-border dialogue with Burkina Faso.

Youth engagement was further strengthened through Democracy and Governance Learning Cafés and training of 178 emerging political actors (63.3% women, 42.7% youth), enhancing issue-based participation, collaboration, and resilience to political manipulation.

A key achievement was the development and integration of District Investment Strategies into MTDPs across eight districts, strengthening local economic governance and sustainability. The project also supported national consultations toward Ghana's Youth, Peace and Security (YPS) Action Plan, engaging 425 stakeholders across four regions.

Outcome 3: Improved collaboration between state and non-state actors strengthened early warning systems and conflict prevention.

The project enhanced civilian-security coordination through capacity-building and dialogue, with 487 stakeholders improving competencies in PVE, ADR, HRBA, and early warning. Community-security trust was strengthened through Women-Youth-Security dialogues, social dialogue fora, and town hall meetings involving 986 participants, which improved coordination, clarified roles, and supported district-level engagement roadmaps.

As a result, communities and security actors improved their ability to detect and respond to risks such as land disputes, chieftaincy tensions, and domestic violence.

Targeted interventions in border and conflict-prone communities further strengthened capacities of 448 stakeholders, including Fulbe leaders and security personnel, enhancing joint response readiness and trust in security institutions.

A gender-responsive Safeguarding Security Guideline was developed and operationalized, with 246 personnel from security institutions strengthening rights-based, conflict-sensitive approaches. Step-down trainings expanded reach and sustainability.

Peacebuilding efforts were further reinforced through peace campaigns, dialogues, and outreach activities ahead of the 2024 elections, promoting non-violence, social cohesion, and peaceful participation. These interventions contributed to reduced tensions and strengthened early warning systems.

Interactive accountability platforms engaged 494 stakeholders, improving dialogue, trust, and conflict prevention planning. Sustainability was reinforced through the co-creation of Peace Symbols across all districts, serving as visible commitments to non-violence, social cohesion, and shared identity.

Overall, the project delivered significant peacebuilding gains, strengthened institutional capacities, and embedded inclusive, rights-based approaches across governance and security systems. The Project Steering Committee validated progress and emphasized sustainability, national ownership, and scale-up in future phases. (Choosing Peace in Northern Ghana)

Indicate any additional analysis on how Gender Equality and Women's Empowerment and/or Youth Inclusion and Responsiveness has been ensured by the project to date

Please limit your response to 3000 characters

The project positioned gender equality and youth inclusion as central to sustainable development, peacebuilding, and inclusive governance. From inception, targeted capacity-building ensured women and youth were active participants in decision-making and accountability processes, though structural barriers particularly entrenched gender norms and generational hierarchies continue to constrain full representation.

Participation data showed 40.1% female and 59.9% male engagement, with strong youth representation. Deliberate efforts increased inclusion, with women and youth comprising over 65% of participants in community dialogues and training activities. In regions such as Upper West, youth leaders were actively engaged, strengthening their role in peacebuilding and governance.

However, disparities persist, particularly in leadership structures. Women's participation in traditional and religious leadership trainings remained low (e.g., 6 of 30 in Chereponi; 7 of 40 and 34 in Bunkpurugu-Nakpanduri and Yunyoo Nasuan; and 8 of 32 in Bawku West). Similarly, female representation in service provider leadership remains limited (e.g., 23 of 107 in the North East region). These trends reflect deep-rooted socio-cultural constraints and validate the project's focus on addressing discriminatory norms.

To respond, the project implemented corrective measures to increase inclusion across social dialogue platforms, town halls, District Development Actors Forums (DDAFs), and district planning processes. The DDAFs alone engaged over 370 stakeholders, with strong representation of women and youth, enhancing participatory governance and community voice in development planning.

Capacity-building interventions equipped women and youth with skills in human rights-based approaches (HRBA), advocacy, negotiation, and budget analysis, strengthening their confidence and ability to engage district assemblies and influence planning and budgeting processes. Women were encouraged to raise gender and service delivery issues, improving accountability and responsiveness.

Despite these gains, representation gaps remain at higher institutional levels, with only 6.7% women and 17.5% youth participating in certain governance trainings, reflecting male-dominated leadership structures. The project therefore intensified advocacy with district assemblies and traditional authorities to promote more inclusive representation.

Gender-responsive approaches were also integrated across governance and service delivery systems. Strengthened referral pathways and citizen feedback mechanisms improved responsiveness to the needs of women, youth, and vulnerable groups, while enabling communities to demand accountability.

Overall, the project has advanced more inclusive, rights-based governance systems, strengthened participation and social cohesion, and improved community resilience, while continuing to address persistent structural barriers to gender equality and youth inclusion.

Is the project 1+ year in implementation?

Yes

No

FOR PROJECTS 1+ YEAR IN IMPLEMENTATION ONLY:

Is the project demonstrating outcome-level peacebuilding results? *

Outcome-level peacebuilding results entail results achieved at the societal or structural level, including changed attitudes, behaviours or institutions.

Yes

No

If yes, please provide concrete examples of such peacebuilding results *

Please limit your response to 6000 characters

Building infrastructures for peace is critical for the promotion and maintenance of peace. In Ghana, the National Peace Council (NPC) and its Regional Offices play a significant role in mediating conflict and fostering peace in the communities. Their role is especially crucial in remote areas, including the more vulnerable border communities. UNDP through the Peacebuilding Fund project, in collaboration with the North East, Upper East and Upper West Regional peace councils, successfully established a total of eighteen (18) Community Peace Committees (CPCs) across 24 projects targeted communities to lead in peace mediation processes and conflict resolution in their communities as well as neighbouring communities. Through the Peacebuilding Fund project, all 18 established CPCs members capacity have been enhanced to support peacebuilding processes, social cohesion, PVE and Early warning signs in their communities. The CPCs were supported to develop and implement their community action plans as this is critical in support cohesion in the communities. The CPCs are critical in remote communities, where they play an important role in mediating potential conflict and strengthening social cohesion among all community members. The new CPCs have quickly become essential sources of intelligence on conflict monitoring and reporting early warning signs to other key stakeholders to help prevent conflicts and escalations. The CPCs' role in these dialogues has led to gradual improvement in local governance and in fostering a culture of peace. The establishment of the CPCs has reduced the waiting time and has strengthened access to mediation efforts for local communities in these regions. This is especially key in areas that are difficult to reach and rely on community-level mediation efforts to resolve conflict. As an example, the CPC in the bongo-soe community, together with the regional peace council to prevent the indigenes and the Fulbes around the community. Through effective information sharing, traditional leaders and assembly members proactively engaged with security agencies, providing timely intelligence that informed interventions such as in the cases of Gberuk and Gbaingbani ultimately facilitating constructive dialogue between conflicting parties. The enactment of bye-laws emerged as a practical outcome of stakeholder collaboration, exemplified by the consensus reached at the Mambabga chief's palace. The project contributed to significant outcome-level changes in social cohesion, trust-building, and inclusive governance within at-risk border communities in Ghana. Initial assessments and community engagements revealed mistrust, limited communication, and weak collaboration between civilians and security institutions. In response, the project facilitated 24 town hall and community peace and trust-building forums across seven communities, engaging 1,473 participants, including community leaders, women, youth, and security actors such as the Ghana Police Service, Immigration Service, and National Intelligence Bureau. These sustained dialogue platforms strengthened transparency, accountability, and mutual understanding by creating safe spaces for communities to raise concerns related to security responsiveness, access to justice, and border management.

As a result, relationships between communities and security institutions improved considerably, leading to increased information sharing, stronger community cooperation with security actors, and enhanced confidence in local peace and security structures. The project also contributed to structural shifts in social inclusion and representation, demonstrated by the appointment of a Fulbe youth to a leadership role within a local governance committee for the first time in one of the participating communities, helping to address long-standing exclusion and ethnic biases.

At the societal level, targeted rights-based orientation and sensitization sessions for traditional leaders and District Development Actors Forum (DDAF) members contributed to positive changes in attitudes and practices relating to gender equality and social inclusion. Traditional leaders increasingly demonstrated support for women's empowerment, including the allocation of land for women's farming activities in Yongyun, while DDAF members independently developed and implemented community action plans to address discriminatory gender norms and stereotypes through engagements with schools, churches, mosques, and other community groups. The changes have strengthened community ownership of peacebuilding processes, more inclusive local governance structures, and evolving social norms that support peaceful coexistence, equity, and resilience.

If yes, please provide sources or references (including links) as evidence of peacebuilding results, or submit them as additional attachments. *

Evidence may be quantitative or qualitative but needs to demonstrate progress against outcome indicators in the project results framework. Sources may include project surveys (such as perception surveys), monitoring reports, government documents, or other knowledge products that have been developed by the project.

https://undp-my.sharepoint.com/:f:/r/personal/hamza_sisse_undp_org/Documents/UNDP%20CO/PEACEBUILDING%20FUND/2026/PBF%20-%20IMPACT%20VIDEO%27S?csf=1&web=1&e=cuMCCU

File attachment

[Click here to upload file. \(< 10MB\)](#)

PART II: PROJECT RESULTS FRAMEWORK

How many OUTCOMES does this project have *

1 2 **3** 4 5 more than 5.

Please write out the project outcomes as they are in the project results framework found in the project document

Outcome 1: *

Local governance institutions, actors, and customary governance structures are more inclusive, responsive, committed and accountable to deliver on their mandate for quality services.

Outcome 2: *

Women and youth are more resilient to conflict triggers and fully and meaningfully participate in peace building, local governance and economic development processes.

Outcome 3: *

State actors and non-state actors positively collaborate through the use of early warning systems to prevent conflict

Outcome 4: *

Outcome 5: *

Outcome 6:	*
Outcome 7:	*
Outcome 8:	*
Additional Outcomes <i>If the project has more than 8 outcomes, please enumerate the remaining outcomes here</i>	*

INDICATOR BASED PERFORMANCE ASSESSMENT

Using the Project Results Framework as per the approved project document or any amendments, provide an update on the achievement of all **outcome** and **output** indicators in the table below.

- Where it has not been possible to collect data on indicators, state this and provide any explanation.
- Provide gender and age disaggregated data. (500 characters max per entry)

» Outcome 1: Local governance institutions, actors, and customary governance structures are more inclusive, responsive, committed and accountable to deliver on their mandate for quality services.

OC1	Outcome Indicators	Indicator Baseline	End of Project Indicator Target	Indicator progress for reporting period	Indicator progress since project's start	Reasons for Variance/ Delay (if any)
1.1	<p>Indicator 1a</p> <p>The level of public confidence in the delivery of quality basic services in the target districts disaggregated by sex and age.</p> <p>1. Not Confident</p> <p>2. Moderately confident</p> <p>3. Confident</p> <p>4. Very confident</p>	<p>Community members</p> <p>Male = 2</p> <p>Female = 3</p> <p>Youth</p> <p>Male = 2</p> <p>Female = 2</p>	<p>Community members</p> <p>Male = 3</p> <p>Female = 4</p> <p>Youth</p> <p>Male = 3</p> <p>Female = 3</p>	<p>Community members</p> <p>Male = 3</p> <p>Female = 3</p> <p>Youth</p> <p>Male = 2</p> <p>Female = 3</p>		
1.2	<p>Indicator 1b</p> <p>Percentage of community members (m/f) in the targeted districts expressing improvements in local government accountability, and responsiveness.</p>	<p>Accountability</p> <p>% of Male = 38</p> <p>% of Female = 50.5</p> <p>Responsiveness:</p> <p>% of Male = 35.8</p> <p>% of Female = 50.5</p>	<p>Accountability: % of Male = 50</p> <p>% of Female = 60</p> <p>Responsiveness:</p> <p>% of Male = 50</p> <p>% of Female = 60</p>	<p>Accountability:</p> <p>% of Male = 75.5</p> <p>% of Female = 72.3</p> <p>Responsiveness:</p> <p>% of Male = 74.3</p> <p>% of Female = 67.4</p>		
1.3						
1.4						
1.5						

How many outputs does outcome 1 have?

1 2 3 4 5 more than 5.

Please list all outputs for outcome 1

Output 1.1

Capacity of local governance actors and traditional/religious leaders enhanced in gender-responsive participatory planning, local economic development and conflict management.

Output 1.2

Systems and processes for dialogue enabled to foster collaboration among local government institutions, customary governance structures and community representatives for inclusive decision-making.

Output 1.3

Accountability mechanisms developed/enhanced for local authorities' increased transparency in delivering inclusive and equitable public services.

Output 1.4

Output 1.5

Other Outputs

If Outcome 1 has more than 5 outputs, please enumerate the remaining outputs here

For each output, and using the, project results framework, provide an update on the progress made against all output indicators

» **Output 1.1: Capacity of local governance actors and traditional/religious leaders enhanced in gender-responsive participatory planning, local economic development and conflict management.**

1.1	Output Indicators <i>Describe the indicator</i>	Indicator Baseline <i>State the baseline value of the indicator</i>	End of Project Indicator Target <i>State the target value of the indicator at the end of the project</i>	Indicator progress for reporting period <i>State the current value of the indicator for the reporting period</i>	Indicator progress since project's start <i>State the current cumulative value of the indicator since the start of the project</i>	Reasons for Variance/ Delay (if any) <i>Explain why the indicator is off track or has changed, where relevant</i>
1.1.1	Extent to which local government actors and customary governance structures demonstrate improved knowledge and skills on participatory planning and responsive governance. Scale: 1. Not at all 2. Very little 3. Some what 4. Great Extent	3. Some what	4. Great Extent	4. Great Extent		
1.1.2	Number of partnership mechanisms in place for investment in targeted local communities including any direct collaboration with WB and USAID projects.	12 (At least one per district)	16 (At least 2 per district)	24		
1.1.3	Number of Traditional Authorities (TA) and Religious Leaders (RL) implementing gender-transformative initiatives in their communities to eliminate discriminatory gender and socio-cultural norms.	TA = 2 RE = 1	TA: 8 (At least one per district) RE: 16 (At least 2 per district)	TA = 19; RE = 16		

1.1.4						
1.1.5						

» **Output 1.2: Systems and processes for dialogue enabled to foster collaboration among local government institutions, customary governance structures and community representatives for inclusive decision-making.**

1.2	Output Indicators <i>Describe the indicator</i>	Indicator Baseline <i>State the baseline value of the indicator</i>	End of Project Indicator Target <i>State the target value of the indicator at the end of the project</i>	Indicator progress for reporting period <i>State the current value of the indicator for the reporting period</i>	Indicator progress since project's start <i>State the current cumulative value of the indicator since the start of the project</i>	Reasons for Variance/ Delay (if any) <i>Explain why the indicator is off track or has changed, where relevant</i>
1.2.1	Indicator 1.2.1 Number of Peace Committees (PC) engaging stakeholders to address political vigilantism, electoral violence and reduce intergenerational tensions.	13 Peace Committees	16 Peace Committees	24 Peace Committees		
1.2.2	Indicator 1.2.2 Number of community radio stations, and religious entities that disallow the use of hate speech and other inciteful ideas and messages on their network/platform.	# of radio stations = 26 # of religious entities = 109	# of radio stations = 30 # of religious entities = 120	# of radio stations = 26 # of religious entities = 113		
1.2.3	Indicator 1.2.3 Number of incidents of political violence reported and addressed in communities.	# of incidents report = 6 # of incidents addressed = 5	# of incidents report = 10 # of incidents addressed = 10	# of incidents report = 12 # of incidents addressed = 10		
1.2.4						
1.2.5						

» **Output 1.3: Accountability mechanisms developed/enhanced for local authorities' increased transparency in delivering inclusive and equitable public services.**

1.3	Output Indicators <i>Describe the indicator</i>	Indicator Baseline <i>State the baseline value of the indicator</i>	End of Project Indicator Target <i>State the target value of the indicator at the end of the project</i>	Indicator progress for reporting period <i>State the current value of the indicator for the reporting period</i>	Indicator progress since project's start <i>State the current cumulative value of the indicator since the start of the project</i>	Reasons for Variance/ Delay (if any) <i>Explain why the indicator is off track or has changed, where relevant</i>
1.3.1	Indicator 1.3.1 Level of Community Members (M/F) awareness and use of compliant mechanisms put in place in beneficiary district for improved public services delivery. Scale: 1. Low (Not Aware) 2. Moderate (Aware, but not using it) 3. High (Aware and using it)	Women = 1 Youth = 1	Women = 3 Youth = 3	Women = 3 Youth = 3		
1.3.2	Indicator 1.3.2 Number of women and youth who are able to access information on available public services.	% women =75 % Youth = 71	% women =85 % Youth = 85	% women = 71.4 (5,169 women) % Youth = 75.0 (3,804 youth)		
1.3.3						
1.3.4						
1.3.5						

» Output 1.4:

1.4	Output Indicators <i>Describe the indicator</i>	Indicator Baseline <i>State the baseline value of the indicator</i>	End of Project Indicator Target <i>State the target value of the indicator at the end of the project</i>	Indicator progress for reporting period <i>State the current value of the indicator for the reporting period</i>	Indicator progress since project's start <i>State the current cumulative value of the indicator since the start of the project</i>	Reasons for Variance/ Delay (if any) <i>Explain why the indicator is off track or has changed, where relevant</i>
1.4.1						
1.4.2						
1.4.3						
1.4.4						
1.4.5						

» Output 1.5:

1.5	Output Indicators <i>Describe the indicator</i>	Indicator Baseline <i>State the baseline value of the indicator</i>	End of Project Indicator Target <i>State the target value of the indicator at the end of the project</i>	Indicator progress for reporting period <i>State the current value of the indicator for the reporting period</i>	Indicator progress since project's start <i>State the current cumulative value of the indicator since the start of the project</i>	Reasons for Variance/ Delay (if any) <i>Explain why the indicator is off track or has changed, where relevant</i>
1.5.1						
1.5.2						
1.5.3						
1.5.4						
1.5.5						

» Outcome 2: Women and youth are more resilient to conflict triggers and fully and meaningfully participate in peace building, local governance and economic development processes.

OC2	Outcome Indicators	Indicator Baseline	End of Project Indicator Target	Indicator progress for reporting period	Indicator progress since project's start	Reasons for Variance/ Delay (if any)
2.1	Indicator 2a Percentage of women and youth (m/f) as a proportion of the total number of people participating in local development planning.	% of women = 17.6 % of Youth (Male) = 19.54 % of Youth (Female) = 1.1	% of women = 30 % of Youth (Male) = 25 % of Youth (Female) = 15	% of women = 40.3 % of Youth (Male) = 40% % of Youth (Female) = 30.5		
2.2	Indicator 2b Percent of women and youth who feel included in decision-making and peace building processes.	% of women = 57.9 % of Youth (Male) = 54.3 % Youth (female) = 30	% of women = 60 % of Youth (Male) = 60 % Youth (female) = 40	% of women = 61.8 % of Youth (Male) = 52.9 % Youth (female) = 49.7		
2.3						
2.4						
2.5						
How many outputs does outcome 2 have?						
1 <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 2 3 4 5 more than 5.						
Please list all outputs for outcome 2						
Output 2.1 Capacity of women and youth enhanced to participate and engage in critical development issues, decision-making and peace building processes.						
Output 2.2 Women and youth groups/networks enabled to access livelihood skills, employment and entrepreneurial opportunities						
Output 2.3						

Output 2.4

Output 2.5

Other Outputs
If Outcome 2 has more than 5 outputs, please enumerate the remaining outputs here

For each output, and using the, project results framework, provide an update on the progress made against all output indicators

» **Output 2.1: Capacity of women and youth enhanced to participate and engage in critical development issues, decision-making and peace building processes.**

2.1	Output Indicators <i>Describe the indicator</i>	Indicator Baseline <i>State the baseline value of the indicator</i>	End of Project Indicator Target <i>State the target value of the indicator at the end of the project</i>	Indicator progress for reporting period <i>State the current value of the indicator for the reporting period</i>	Indicator progress since project's start <i>State the current cumulative value of the indicator since the start of the project</i>	Reasons for Variance/ Delay (if any) <i>Explain why the indicator is off track or has changed, where relevant</i>
2.1.1	Indicator 2.1.1 Number of women and youth groups and CSOs leading community initiatives on peace and social cohesion enabled by the PBF project disaggregated by sex	# of women group = 0 # of youth groups = 0	# of women's group = 16 # of youth groups = 16	# of women group = 28 # of youth groups = 32		
2.1.2	Indicator 2.1.2 Number of women and youth meaningfully participating in political and decision-making processes in their districts disaggregated by sex	# of women = 0 # of youth male = 0 # of youth female = 0	# of women = 80 # of youth male = 80 # of youth female = 40	# of women = 105 # of youth male = 78 # of youth female = 37		
2.1.3	Indicator 2.1.3 Proportion of women and youth candidates contesting for leadership and other decision-making positions in their Communities /Districts enabled by the PBF project.	Proportion of women = 0 Proportion of youth = 0	Proportion of women = 10 Proportion of youth = 10	Proportion of women = 9.4 Proportion of youth = 8.8		
2.1.4						
2.1.5						

» Output 2.2: Women and youth groups/networks enabled to access livelihood skills, employment and entrepreneurial opportunities

2.2	Output Indicators <i>Describe the indicator</i>	Indicator Baseline <i>State the baseline value of the indicator</i>	End of Project Indicator Target <i>State the target value of the indicator at the end of the project</i>	Indicator progress for reporting period <i>State the current value of the indicator for the reporting period</i>	Indicator progress since project's start <i>State the current cumulative value of the indicator since the start of the project</i>	Reasons for Variance/ Delay (if any) <i>Explain why the indicator is off track or has changed, where relevant</i>
2.2.1	Indicator 2.2.1 Number of private sector organizations engaged and providing financing options and business development support to youth and women led businesses.	# = 13	# = 18	# = 13		
2.2.2	Indicator 2.2.2 Number of women and youth accessing job and livelihood opportunities as a result of this project's intervention	# of women = 0 # of youth = 0	# of women = 150 # of youth = 150	# of women = 495 # of youth = 310		
2.2.3						
2.2.4						
2.2.5						

» Output 2.3:

2.3	Output Indicators <i>Describe the indicator</i>	Indicator Baseline <i>State the baseline value of the indicator</i>	End of Project Indicator Target <i>State the target value of the indicator at the end of the project</i>	Indicator progress for reporting period <i>State the current value of the indicator for the reporting period</i>	Indicator progress since project's start <i>State the current cumulative value of the indicator since the start of the project</i>	Reasons for Variance/ Delay (if any) <i>Explain why the indicator is off track or has changed, where relevant</i>
2.3.1						
2.3.2						
2.3.3						
2.3.4						
2.3.5						

» Output 2.4:

2.4	Output Indicators <i>Describe the indicator</i>	Indicator Baseline <i>State the baseline value of the indicator</i>	End of Project Indicator Target <i>State the target value of the indicator at the end of the project</i>	Indicator progress for reporting period <i>State the current value of the indicator for the reporting period</i>	Indicator progress since project's start <i>State the current cumulative value of the indicator since the start of the project</i>	Reasons for Variance/ Delay (if any) <i>Explain why the indicator is off track or has changed, where relevant</i>
2.4.1						
2.4.2						
2.4.3						
2.4.4						
2.4.5						

» Output 2.5:

2.5	Output Indicators <i>Describe the indicator</i>	Indicator Baseline <i>State the baseline value of the indicator</i>	End of Project Indicator Target <i>State the target value of the indicator at the end of the project</i>	Indicator progress for reporting period <i>State the current value of the indicator for the reporting period</i>	Indicator progress since project's start <i>State the current cumulative value of the indicator since the start of the project</i>	Reasons for Variance/ Delay (if any) <i>Explain why the indicator is off track or has changed, where relevant</i>
2.5.1						
2.5.2						
2.5.3						
2.5.4						
2.5.5						

» Outcome 3: State actors and non-state actors positively collaborate through the use of early warning systems to prevent conflict

OC3	Outcome Indicators	Indicator Baseline	End of Project Indicator Target	Indicator progress for reporting period	Indicator progress since project's start	Reasons for Variance/ Delay (if any)
3.1	Indicator 3a Proportion of early action measures adopted to manage current and emerging conflict.	0%	30%	37.5%		
3.2	Indicator 3b Level of satisfaction of community members (M/F) in the targeted communities on effectiveness of early warning and responsiveness mechanisms. Scale: 1. Not at all satisfied 2. Slightly satisfied. 3. Neutral 4. Satisfied 5. Very satisfied	Male = 3-Neutral Female = 3-Neutral	Male = 4 - Satisfied Female = 4 Satisfied	Male = 4 - Satisfied Female = 4 - satisfied		
3.3						
3.4						
3.5						

How many outputs does outcome 3 have?

1 2 3 4 5 more than 5.

Please list all outputs for outcome 3

Output 3.1
Security agencies and other relevant actors have enhanced capacities to respect human rights and foster peace and social cohesion

Output 3.2
Platforms for dialogues between relevant security agencies, justice sector actors and non-state actors (religious and traditional authorities, women and youth groups, media and civil society) enabled and facilitated for early response, peace and social cohesion.

Output 3.3

Output 3.4

Output 3.5

Other Outputs
If Outcome 3 has more than 5 outputs, please enumerate the remaining outputs here

For each output, and using the, project results framework, provide an update on the progress made against all output indicators

» Output 3.1: Security agencies and other relevant actors have enhanced capacities to respect human rights and foster peace and social cohesion

3.1	Output Indicators <i>Describe the indicator</i>	Indicator Baseline <i>State the baseline value of the indicator</i>	End of Project Indicator Target <i>State the target value of the indicator at the end of the project</i>	Indicator progress for reporting period <i>State the current value of the indicator for the reporting period</i>	Indicator progress since project's start <i>State the current cumulative value of the indicator since the start of the project</i>	Reasons for Variance/ Delay (if any) <i>Explain why the indicator is off track or has changed, where relevant</i>
3.1.1	Indicator 3.1.1 Number of reported peaceful engagements between security agency and target communities.	# = 1	16 (At least 2 per districts)	93 engagements		
3.1.2						
3.1.3						
3.1.4						
3.1.5						

» **Output 3.2: Platforms for dialogues between relevant security agencies, justice sector actors and non-state actors (religious and traditional authorities, women and youth groups, media and civil society) enabled and facilitated for early response, peace and social cohesion.**

3.2	Output Indicators <i>Describe the indicator</i>	Indicator Baseline <i>State the baseline value of the indicator</i>	End of Project Indicator Target <i>State the target value of the indicator at the end of the project</i>	Indicator progress for reporting period <i>State the current value of the indicator for the reporting period</i>	Indicator progress since project's start <i>State the current cumulative value of the indicator since the start of the project</i>	Reasons for Variance/ Delay (if any) <i>Explain why the indicator is off track or has changed, where relevant</i>
3.2.1	Indicator 3.2.1 Percentage implementation of early warning action plans for conflict prevention per target community.	0%	50%	62%		
3.2.2	Indicator 3.2.2 Number of joint initiatives in the targeted district between youth organizations and security agencies per year to promote social cohesion.	# = 1	16 (At least 2 per districts)	# =59		
3.2.3						
3.2.4						
3.2.5						

» Output 3.3:

3.3	Output Indicators <i>Describe the indicator</i>	Indicator Baseline <i>State the baseline value of the indicator</i>	End of Project Indicator Target <i>State the target value of the indicator at the end of the project</i>	Indicator progress for reporting period <i>State the current value of the indicator for the reporting period</i>	Indicator progress since project's start <i>State the current cumulative value of the indicator since the start of the project</i>	Reasons for Variance/ Delay (if any) <i>Explain why the indicator is off track or has changed, where relevant</i>
3.3.1						
3.3.2						
3.3.3						
3.3.4						
3.3.5						

» Output 3.4:

3.4	Output Indicators <i>Describe the indicator</i>	Indicator Baseline <i>State the baseline value of the indicator</i>	End of Project Indicator Target <i>State the target value of the indicator at the end of the project</i>	Indicator progress for reporting period <i>State the current value of the indicator for the reporting period</i>	Indicator progress since project's start <i>State the current cumulative value of the indicator since the start of the project</i>	Reasons for Variance/ Delay (if any) <i>Explain why the indicator is off track or has changed, where relevant</i>
3.4.1						
3.4.2						
3.4.3						
3.4.4						
3.4.5						

» **Output 3.5:**

3.5	Output Indicators <i>Describe the indicator</i>	Indicator Baseline <i>State the baseline value of the indicator</i>	End of Project Indicator Target <i>State the target value of the indicator at the end of the project</i>	Indicator progress for reporting period <i>State the current value of the indicator for the reporting period</i>	Indicator progress since project's start <i>State the current cumulative value of the indicator since the start of the project</i>	Reasons for Variance/ Delay (if any) <i>Explain why the indicator is off track or has changed, where relevant</i>
3.5.1						
3.5.2						
3.5.3						
3.5.4						
3.5.5						

» **Outcome 4:**

OC4	Outcome Indicators	Indicator Baseline	End of Project Indicator Target	Indicator progress for reporting period	Indicator progress since project's start	Reasons for Variance/ Delay (if any)
4.1						
4.2						
4.3						
4.4						
4.5						

How many outputs does outcome 4 have?

1 2 3 4 5 more than 5.

Please list all outputs for outcome 4

Output 4.1

Output 4.2

Output 4.3

Output 4.4

Output 4.5

Other Outputs
If Outcome 4 has more than 5 outputs, please enumerate the remaining outputs here

For each output, and using the, project results framework, provide an update on the progress made against all output indicators

» **Output 4.1:**

4.1	Output Indicators <i>Describe the indicator</i>	Indicator Baseline <i>State the baseline value of the indicator</i>	End of Project Indicator Target <i>State the target value of the indicator at the end of the project</i>	Indicator progress for reporting period <i>State the current value of the indicator for the reporting period</i>	Indicator progress since project's start <i>State the current cumulative value of the indicator since the start of the project</i>	Reasons for Variance/ Delay (if any) <i>Explain why the indicator is off track or has changed, where relevant</i>
4.1.1						
4.1.2						
4.1.3						
4.1.4						
4.1.5						

» **Output 4.2:**

4.2	Output Indicators <i>Describe the indicator</i>	Indicator Baseline <i>State the baseline value of the indicator</i>	End of Project Indicator Target <i>State the target value of the indicator at the end of the project</i>	Indicator progress for reporting period <i>State the current value of the indicator for the reporting period</i>	Indicator progress since project's start <i>State the current cumulative value of the indicator since the start of the project</i>	Reasons for Variance/ Delay (if any) <i>Explain why the indicator is off track or has changed, where relevant</i>
4.2.1						
4.2.2						
4.2.3						
4.2.4						
4.2.5						

» Output 4.3:

4.3	Output Indicators <i>Describe the indicator</i>	Indicator Baseline <i>State the baseline value of the indicator</i>	End of Project Indicator Target <i>State the target value of the indicator at the end of the project</i>	Indicator progress for reporting period <i>State the current value of the indicator for the reporting period</i>	Indicator progress since project's start <i>State the current cumulative value of the indicator since the start of the project</i>	Reasons for Variance/ Delay (if any) <i>Explain why the indicator is off track or has changed, where relevant</i>
4.3.1						
4.3.2						
4.3.3						
4.3.4						
4.3.5						

» Output 4.4:

4.4	Output Indicators <i>Describe the indicator</i>	Indicator Baseline <i>State the baseline value of the indicator</i>	End of Project Indicator Target <i>State the target value of the indicator at the end of the project</i>	Indicator progress for reporting period <i>State the current value of the indicator for the reporting period</i>	Indicator progress since project's start <i>State the current cumulative value of the indicator since the start of the project</i>	Reasons for Variance/ Delay (if any) <i>Explain why the indicator is off track or has changed, where relevant</i>
4.4.1						
4.4.2						
4.4.3						
4.4.4						
4.4.5						

» Output 4.5:

4.5	Output Indicators <i>Describe the indicator</i>	Indicator Baseline <i>State the baseline value of the indicator</i>	End of Project Indicator Target <i>State the target value of the indicator at the end of the project</i>	Indicator progress for reporting period <i>State the current value of the indicator for the reporting period</i>	Indicator progress since project's start <i>State the current cumulative value of the indicator since the start of the project</i>	Reasons for Variance/ Delay (if any) <i>Explain why the indicator is off track or has changed, where relevant</i>
4.5.1						
4.5.2						
4.5.3						
4.5.4						
4.5.5						

If the project has more than 4 outcomes, use this space to describe progress on progress on indicators for the remaining outcomes *

PART III: Cross-Cutting Issues

Is the project planning any significant events in the next six months? (eg. national dialogues, youth congresses, film screenings, etc.)
If yes, please state how many, and for each, provide the approximate date of the event and a brief description, including its key objectives, target audience and location (if known)

Events	Event Description	Tentative Date	Location	Target Audience	Event Objectives (900 characters)

Event 1	Project launch	The project was launched in Ghana on 30th May 2024	Tamale, Northern Region	<p>70 key Government and Civil Society partners attended the project launch. They included the Northern Regional</p> <p>Minister the Deputy Minister for Interior, the Chief Director of the Ministry of National Security, 3</p> <p>Regional Coordinating Directors representing the Regional Ministers from the 3 implementing</p> <p>regions, 5 out of the 8 District Chief Executives from the implementing districts, Staff of the Regional</p> <p>Coordinating Councils and District Assemblies, Security Personnel, Traditional Leaders, Civil Society Partners and the media. Also present was the UN Resident Coordinator for Ghana, as well as the Country Representatives of UNDP and UNFPA</p>	The launch created a platform to introduce the project concept, objectives, and expected outcomes to a cross-section of high-level national stakeholders to facilitate national visibility and ownership of the project.
Event 2	Joint Steering committee field visit	February 2026	Upper West Region (Wa)/ Wa West District	Project Steering Committee members/ Upper West Regional Coordinating Council (Regional Coordinating Director, Director of Gender, Peace Council, Finance, IT) Representative of ProNet North, CAPECS), UNFPA/UNDP technical team members	To monitor project implementation in the field for firsthand information on project achievement and results and to officially advocate for government adoption and integration of project interventions into national plans through discussions with the Upper West Regional coordinating council. Additionally, the field monitoring also afforded the steering committee and the programme technical team the opportunity to engage community leaders on their ownership and sustainability of the programme achievement at the community level.
Event 3	Launch of Peace Symbol	February 2026	North East (Bumpkrugu, Yooyun, Chereponi) Upper West (Wechaiu, Gwollu) and Upper East (Bongo, Zebilla, Garu)	A cross-section of district assembly representatives including DCES, Community members, Chiefs, Queen mothers, Youth groups, PWDs etc.	Between December 2025 and February 2026, the peace symbols were unveiled and formally handed to District Assemblies as emblems of peace social cohesions and peaceful co-existence. The symbols were to serve the purpose of the communities' ownership and sustainability of the project gains.

Event 4	Regional workshops on Partner and link local government institutions (Business Advisory Centers) with the private sector and CSOs to provide flexible financing options and business development support to catalyze investments for existing women and youth led businesses and identified local value chains including micro-saving initiatives (such as village savings and loan associations) to reduce vulnerability and exclusion.	June-July 2025	Upper East Upper West North East	Private Sector GEPA GIPC Regional Coordinating Directors, Regional and District Planners, Directors of MMDA's, Security personnel, community members, women and youth group leaders, traditional and religious leaders	Foster collaboration between local government authorities and the private sector to identify and capitalize on investment opportunities in the Upper East, Upper West, and North East regions. Create a platform for district assemblies to present their identified investment opportunities to private sector actors and CSOs, encouraging collaboration to unlock investment potential. Promote the investment potential of the regions to attract private sector investments in key sectors 4. Support districts in improving resource mobilization and income generation through partnerships to unlock investment in strategic sectors at the local level.
----------------	--	----------------	--	--	---

Human Impact

This section is about the human impact of the project. Please state key stakeholders (including but not limited to: Civil Society Organizations, Beneficiaries, etc.) of the project, and for each, please briefly describe:

- i. The challenges/problem they faced prior to the project implementation
- ii. The impact of the project in their lives
- iii. Provide, where possible, a quote or testimonial from a representative of each stakeholder group

This is an optional question. You may leave it unanswered if not relevant

Human Impact	Type of stakeholder	What has been the impact of the project on their lives?	Provide, where possible, a quote or testimonial from the stakeholder
1	Youth Leader in the YPS - Nap consultation	Amina, a 24-year-old youth leader from a community affected by the Bawku conflict, stood to share her experience during the protection pillar discussion. She described how young women in her area face sexual and gender-based violence but have nowhere safe to report incidents because police stations are far away, and cultural norms discourage speaking out about such violations. She explained that youth don't trust security agencies because they feel police are biased along ethnic lines and won't protect them.	After the session, Amina, Superintendent Abubakar, and three other youth participants exchanged contacts and began planning. Within two weeks, they had organized a joint youth-police dialogue in Amina's community, bringing together 40 young people and five police officers for an open conversation about protection challenges and solutions. The police learned about specific routes where young women face harassment, times when youth feel most vulnerable, and cultural barriers preventing crime reporting. Youth gained insight into police resource constraints, operational challenges, and a genuine commitment to serve communities.
2	Stakeholder in the YPS - Nap consultation	Superintendent Abubakar acknowledged that the police had failed to build trust with youth, particularly young women. He admitted that officers often don't understand the specific vulnerabilities youth face and sometimes treat young people as potential troublemakers rather than partners in community safety. He committed, in front of the 65 participants, to work with Amina and other youth leaders to establish mobile legal aid clinics and safe reporting mechanisms in conflict-affected communities.	'These young people are not the problem; they are part of the solution. If we had included them in our security planning years ago, we might have prevented some of the conflicts we're dealing with now.'
3	Traditional Leader from FEO	Now a champion and advocate for civilian-security collaboration to prevent violent extremism through PBF dialogues with communities members and security agencies	'I used to hate the security personnel with passion and did not want to have anything to do with them. But due to the project objective of improving civilian-security collaboration to prevent violent extremism, I now love them because I understand their work and will continue to collaborate with them to fight crime in my community and Bongo District as a whole'. —Agambolka Felicia, Queen mother, Feo Community

Livelihood beneficiary form Upper West

Provision of legitimate livelihood of legitimate livelihood

The project has empowered him not only as a business owner but also as a mentor, contributing to youth employment and skills development in his community. "Before the intervention, my boys had to wait on me when I travel to work because I go with the essential tools, but now they can work in my absence, and this has increased my income." Thanks to the project, Linus has strengthened his business and now trains six apprentices, adding one more to his team.

Transition from illegal mining to sustainable livelihood: One beneficiary, formerly engaged in illegal mining (galamsey), has successfully transitioned to a legitimate trade in welding through the support of the project. He shared that he is currently the only welder in his community and surrounding areas, providing essential fabrication services and serving as a role model for other young people seeking sustainable alternatives to illegal mining.

In addition to the stakeholder specific impact described above, please use this space to describe any additional human impact that the project has had.
Please limit your response to 4000 characters.

Who are we working with *

- Strengthened partnerships with IFIs
- Strengthened partnerships between UN Agencies
- Partnered with local civil society organizations
- Partnered with local academia
- Partnered with sub-national entities
- Partnered with national entities
- Partnered with local volunteers

Please explain

Please limit your response to 3000 characters

Leave No one Behind

Select all beneficiaries targeted with the PBF resources as evidenced by the narrative *

Mandatory

- Unemployed persons
- Minorities (e.g. race, ethnicity, linguistic, religion, etc.)
- Indigenous communities
- Persons with Disabilities
- Persons affected by violence (including GBV)
- Women
- Youth
- Children
- Minorities related to sexual orientation and/or gender identity and expression
- People living in and around border areas
- Persons affected by natural disasters
- Persons affected by armed conflicts
- Internally displaced persons, refugees or migrants

PART IV: Monitoring, Evaluation and Compliance

» Monitoring

Please list key monitoring activities undertaken in the reporting period *

Please limit your response to 3000 characters.

Multiple field monitoring visits were conducted throughout the project to provide technical support to partners, promote the adoption of interventions, and encourage shifts in local norms and practices within decentralized and traditional governance systems. A key endline visit by the Project Steering Committee to Wa West District (Upper West Region) consolidated project gains. The Committee observed the use of a service delivery dashboard to address community concerns and recommended its integration into existing local accountability frameworks to enhance sustainability.

Engagements with traditional leaders highlighted the project's contribution to peace, unity, and strengthened community cohesion. Interactions with beneficiaries—including livelihood participants, Community Peace Councils, and DDAF members—demonstrated improved participation in decision-making and district planning processes, as well as strengthened inclusion of minority groups such as the Fulbe, fostering trust and peaceful coexistence.

Inception and monitoring visits were undertaken across the three regions to strengthen understanding of the project's theory of change and its alignment with national systems. Additional monitoring across districts (Garu, Bawku West, Bunkpurugu, Yunyoo, Bongo) focused on transparency, accountability in local governance, and security-civilian dialogue initiatives. Observations from training sessions with traditional and religious leaders emphasized the need to increase women's participation through deliberate outreach and flexible arrangements and recommended the use of participatory adult learning approaches.

Monitoring also revealed limited sustained engagement by government actors during training sessions, highlighting the need for stronger government-civil society collaboration to ensure long-term ownership and continuity of capacity-building outcomes.

UNFPA-led joint monitoring missions involving national stakeholders (e.g. Ministries of Finance, Health, Gender, NDPC) confirmed increased awareness among community leaders on GBV and harmful practices, as well as improved collaboration among stakeholders to promote women's and girls' rights.

Further visits to Bunkpurugu, Zini, Widnaba, and Sapilliga assessed the impact of livelihood interventions and gender-responsive initiatives, while monitoring missions across the Upper East, Upper West, and North East regions reviewed livelihood and peacebuilding activities, community peace structures, and capacity development for women and youth in political participation and issue-based campaigns.

Do outcome indicators have baselines? *

If only some of the outcome indicators have baselines, select 'yes'

- Yes
- No

If yes, please provide a brief description. If not, explain why not and when they will be available. *

Please limit your response to 3000 characters.

Baseline and endline completed as well as perception survey was conducted. All outcome-level indicators for the project have clearly defined and fully established baseline values, derived from the comprehensive baseline assessment conducted in 2024 using 2023 as the reference year. The assessment applied a rigorous mixed-methods approach, combining household surveys, key informant interviews, and focus group discussions to generate reliable and high-quality data across all three outcome areas. At Outcome 1 level, baseline indicators provide a clear picture of the initial performance of local governance systems, showing moderate to relatively strong levels of public confidence in service delivery and measurable perceptions of accountability and responsiveness. At Outcome 2 level, the baseline establishes existing patterns of participation and inclusion of women and youth in local governance and peacebuilding processes, providing a solid benchmark for tracking improvements over time. For Outcome 3, baseline values confirm the initial status of coordination and early warning systems for conflict prevention, including a zero baseline for the adoption of early action measures and neutral satisfaction levels regarding the effectiveness of existing mechanisms. Together, these outcome-level baselines provide a comprehensive and evidence-based benchmark across governance, participation, and conflict prevention, enabling systematic tracking of progress and measurement of project results throughout the implementation period

Elaborate on what sources of evidence have been used to report on indicators (and are available upon request) *

Please limit your response to 3000 characters.

Activity report, monitoring reports and perception survey. The primary source is a perception-based survey, which provided quantitative and qualitative data on key outcome areas such as governance performance, participation, and satisfaction with services. This was complemented by key informant interviews and focus group discussions, which helped validate and explain survey findings. In addition, a draft final evaluation report has been used to synthesize results and assess progress against baseline values. Together, these sources ensure that reported indicators are supported by credible, triangulated, and verifiable evidence.

Has the project launched outcome level data collection initiatives? e.g. perception surveys *

Perception survey is a formal collection of information from a randomly selected sample of respondents through their responses to standardized questions. See PBF Guidance Note for more information [link](#)

- Yes
 No

Please provide a brief description *

Please limit your response to 3000 characters.

perception survey completed

Has the project used or established community feedback mechanisms? *

Community feedback mechanism, or community-based monitoring, is an organized system for communities of participants to monitor the local effects and impact of an intervention. Ideally, this system empowers the community to express whether their expectations are being met and to provide suggestions to decision-makers for possible (re)focusing. See PBF Guidance Note for more information. [link](#)

- Yes
 No

Please provide a brief description *

Please limit your response to 3000 characters.

Yes. The project has established and utilized community feedback mechanisms as part of its participatory and accountability approach. Through structured community engagement platforms such as community dialogues, peace forums, and interactions facilitated by civil society partners beneficiaries were able to express concerns, share feedback, and contribute to decision-making processes. In addition, the operationalization of the PBF-Dash citizen feedback and complaints platform, as well as complementary mechanisms such as service hotlines, provided formal channels for communities to relay feedback and grievances to duty-bearers, thereby strengthening transparency, responsiveness, and citizen participation.

» Evaluation

Is the project on track to conduct its evaluation? *

- Yes
 No
 Not Applicable

Evaluation budget (in USD) included in the project budget: *

Response required

50000

If project will end in next six months, is your upcoming evaluation on track? *

- Yes
 No
 Not Applicable

Please describe the preparations

Please limit your response to 3000 characters.

Evaluation completed

Contact information

Name

Organization

Job title

Email

Please mention the focal person responsible for sharing the final evaluation report with the PBF:	Jennifer Asuako	UNDP	Program Analyst and head of PMSU	jennifer.asuako@undp.org
---	-----------------	------	----------------------------------	--------------------------

» Catalytic Effect

<p>Catalytic Effect (financial): Has the project mobilized additional non-PBF financial resources since the project's start? *</p> <p><input checked="" type="radio"/> Yes</p> <p><input type="radio"/> No</p>
<p>How many funders or grants has the project received additional non-PBF funding from since the project started? *</p> <p>4</p>
<p>If yes, please indicate name of all funding agencies and respective amounts of additional non-PBF funding support that has been leveraged by the project since it started, as well as specifically during this reporting period *</p> <p><i>Please enter each funding agent and their contributions separately</i></p>
<p>Name of Funder *</p> <p>UNDP</p>
<p>Amount mobilized since project's start (USD) *</p> <p><i>Please use a dot (.) as decimal separator, instead of a comma (,)</i></p> <p>700000</p>
<p>Amount mobilized during reporting period (USD) *</p> <p><i>Please use a dot (.) as decimal separator, instead of a comma (,)</i></p> <p>140000</p>
<p>If yes, please indicate name of all funding agencies and respective amounts of additional non-PBF funding support that has been leveraged by the project since it started, as well as specifically during this reporting period *</p> <p><i>Please enter each funding agent and their contributions separately</i></p>
<p>Name of Funder *</p> <p>US STATE DEPARTMENT</p>
<p>Amount mobilized since project's start (USD) *</p> <p><i>Please use a dot (.) as decimal separator, instead of a comma (,)</i></p> <p>400000</p>
<p>Amount mobilized during reporting period (USD) *</p> <p><i>Please use a dot (.) as decimal separator, instead of a comma (,)</i></p> <p>0</p>
<p>If yes, please indicate name of all funding agencies and respective amounts of additional non-PBF funding support that has been leveraged by the project since it started, as well as specifically during this reporting period *</p> <p><i>Please enter each funding agent and their contributions separately</i></p>
<p>Name of Funder *</p> <p>EMBASSY OF JAPAN</p>
<p>Amount mobilized since project's start (USD) *</p> <p><i>Please use a dot (.) as decimal separator, instead of a comma (,)</i></p> <p>750000</p>
<p>Amount mobilized during reporting period (USD) *</p> <p><i>Please use a dot (.) as decimal separator, instead of a comma (,)</i></p> <p>400000</p>

1

2

3

4

If yes, please indicate name of all funding agencies and respective amounts of additional non-PBF funding support that has been leveraged by the project **since it started**, as well as specifically **during this reporting period**

Please enter each funding agent and their contributions separately

Name of Funder *

SALIENT FUND

Amount mobilized since project's start (USD) *

Please use a dot (.) as decimal separator, instead of a comma (,)

700000

Amount mobilized during reporting period (USD) *

Please use a dot (.) as decimal separator, instead of a comma (,)

350000

Catalytic Effect (non-financial): Has the project enabled or created a larger or longer-term peacebuilding change to occur, in addition to the direct project changes? Please refer to PBF Catalytic Effect Guidelines for more information. *

Yes

No

If yes, please select the relevant option below: *

Some catalytic effect

Significant catalytic effect

If relevant, please describe how the project has had a (non-financial) catalytic effect, i.e. removed barriers to unblock stalled political, institutional or other peacebuilding processes at different levels in a country, and/or created the conditions to establish new processes to do so *

Please limit your response to 3000 characters.

The PBF project continued to have catalytic effect on the ongoing national process to develop Ghana's National Action Plan for Youth Peace and Security (YPS). The process which was initiated by the Ministry of Youth and Sports then with the National Youth Authority and supported by UNDP, UNFPA, and other partners. Under the new government, a new ministry for youth; Ministry of Youth Development and Empowerment, has supported the agenda to develop the YPS NAP with the new leadership of the National Youth Authority fully supporting the processes. UNDP and UNFPA has continued to provide financial and technical support along with other partners such as Kofi Annan International Peace Keeping Training Center, GIZ, and the EU Commission. UNDP through this project has support 4 regions consultations for the NAP development.

The Ghana PBF project established a nationally anchored peace and security architecture linking community-level early warning systems to state response mechanisms, while catalyzing a formal MOU between UNDP and the Government of Ghana to operationalize a National Security Programme targeting Bawku and other conflict hotspots. It elevated localized conflicts into national strategic priorities, shaping high-level security policy and intervention frameworks, and enabled direct engagement with the Office of the President, thereby embedding peacebuilding into executive decision-making processes, while delivering measurable improvements in social cohesion, governance inclusion, and early warning effectiveness across northern Ghana.

Sustainability

Please describe any steps that have been taken to ensure the sustainability of peacebuilding gains, including any mechanisms, platforms, networks and socio-economic initiatives supported, beyond the duration of the project *

Please limit your response to 3000 characters.

The project has leverage on national systems and structures to ensure that the results achieved will be mainstreamed in the existing structures for long term effect. Consequently, the focus is on using more national implementing partners such as the Regional Coordinating and Peace Councils who are already in charge of the development agenda and peace dividend in the regions of implementation. The use of local CSOs operating in project areas for the livelihood programs in the long term will help to sustain the interventions and also monitoring together with the local government authorities.

Are there any other issues concerning project implementation that you want to share, including any capacity needs of the recipient organizations? *

Please limit your response to 3000 characters.

Monitoring and Oversight Activities

Please describe any key event related to monitoring and oversight. Please click next if no activities have yet taken place.

Events include Steering Committee meetings, Monitoring visits, Third party monitoring, Community based monitoring, any data collection, Perception or other survey findings, evaluation reports, audit or investigations.

Monitoring and oversight activities

Name of the Event

Summary

Key Findings

<p>Event 1</p>	<p>Project Steering Committee Field Monitoring Visit to Project Communities</p>	<p>Members of the Project Steering Committee undertook a field visit to selected project communities across the implementation regions to directly observe project results, interact with beneficiaries, and assess progress toward peacebuilding, inclusion, and sustainability. The visit included engagements with community members, traditional authorities, women and youth groups, Community Peace Committees, and local government representatives. The field visit informed strategic discussions during the final PSC meeting and provided evidence-based guidance for project transition and scale-up considerations.</p>	<p>Community members, particularly youth and women, demonstrated increased confidence and active participation in peacebuilding and local decision-making processes.</p> <p>Community Peace Committees were functioning effectively as trusted local mechanisms for mediation, early warning, and conflict prevention, reflecting strong local ownership.</p> <p>Improved civilian–security relations were observed, with communities reporting greater willingness to share early warning information with security agencies.</p> <p>Traditional authorities and Regional Coordinating Council representatives showed strong commitment, indicating effective government ownership and partnership.</p> <p>The Steering Committee emphasized the need to strengthen sustainability, institutionalize successful approaches, and scale up interventions under a potential second phase of the project.</p>
<p>Event 2</p>	<p>Steering Committee Meeting</p>	<p>Coordination and building partnerships on the ground</p>	<p>Workplan 2025 was reviewed and approved, NO-COST EXTENSION was also recommended for the project.</p>
<p>Event 3</p>	<p>Steering Committee meeting</p>	<p>Coordination and building partnerships on the ground</p>	<p>2025 progress report review and the need to develop a phase II for the project to ensure sustainability on some key activities</p>
<p>Event 4</p>	<p>Final Steering Committee meeting</p>	<p>The Final Project Steering Committee Meeting was held on 13 February 2026 at the Dellagio Hotel in Wa Country Office to review overall project performance, validate results achieved across outcomes, and provide strategic guidance on sustainability, transition, and potential scale-up.</p>	<p>The Steering Committee validated the project's achievements, particularly strengthened community peace structures, improved civilian–security collaboration, and increased participation of women and youth in peacebuilding and local governance.</p> <p>Community Peace Committees were recognized as effective and locally owned mechanisms for mediation, early warning, and conflict prevention, with strong potential for replication and scale-up.</p> <p>Improved trust between communities and security agencies was observed, enhancing information-sharing and early response to conflict risks.</p> <p>The Committee emphasized the importance of institutionalizing project gains, including integrating tools, frameworks, and approaches into government systems to ensure sustainability.</p> <p>Members recommended advancing transition and Phase II planning, strengthening national and local ownership, documenting lessons learned, and engaging donors and partners to support scale-up of successful interventions.</p>
<p>Event 5</p>			

Event 6			
Event 7			
Event 8			

Final Steps

- Please save a PDF copy of the form by clicking on the *Printer* icon on the top right corner of the page.
- A dialogue box will appear: Please select the A4 size and portrait orientation.
- Click "prepare" and save the document as a PDF.
- (If on first attempt, the generated page is not readable, close the pop up page and go back to the first page of the online form using the "Return to Beginning" option and try to print the PDF version from there)
- After printing the PDF version, please submit the report in the last page of the form. You can use the "Go to End" button in the bottom right corner.
- In compliance with our reporting requirements, **please upload the PDF version of the report as well as your financial report in excel format to the MPTF-O Gateway.**

If you encounter any difficulty in filling the form or generating the print-out for MPTFO Gateway, please contact Gabriel Velastegui gabriel.velastegui@un.org

Thank You. You have finished the report. Please Click on the SUBMIT button below. When the report is submitted, a confirmation note will appear on a yellow banner on top of the page. This can take a few seconds.