

PBF June 2026 Project Progress Report



**PEACEBUILDING
FUND** 

PROJECT OVERVIEW

Thank you for taking the time to complete the PBF Progress report. For projects with more than one recipient, please consult among co-recipients prior to filling out the form to ensure collaboration on the responses. If you have any questions or require technical assistance in filling out the form, please send an email to gabriel.velastegui@un.org

Click Next below to start

» Report Submission

Type of report *

- Semi-annual
 Annual
 Final
 Other

Date of submission of report *

2026-06-16

Name and title of person submitting the report *

Anastasia Perevalova, Project Design and Portfolio MEAL Consultant

E-mail of person submitting the report *

anastasia.perevalova@undp.org

Name and title of person who approved the report *

Gulzhigit Ermatov, PBF Secretariat Manager

Have all fund recipients for this project contributed to the report? *

Yes

No

Did PBF Secretariat or RCO focal point review the report? *

You should normally ensure that the PBF Secretariat or the PBF focal point have an opportunity to review.

Yes

No

Not Applicable

» Project Information and Geographical Scope

Is this a cross-border project? *

Yes No

Please select the geographical region in which the project is implemented

- Asia and the Pacific Central & Southern Africa East Africa
 Europe and Central Asia Global Latin America and the Caribbean
 Middle East and North Africa West Africa

Country of project implementation *

- Albania Bosnia and Herzegovina Kosovo (As per UNSCR 1244)
 Kyrgyzstan Moldova Montenegro
 North Macedonia Serbia Tajikistan
 Uzbekistan Other, Specify

Other, please specify *

Project Title *

- 00129739: Inclusive governance and shared identity for sustainable peace and development
 00130462: Supporting young people's peacebuilding participation in Kyrgyzstan: integrating a youth-led mental health and psychosocial support (MHPSS) approach
 00134228: PBF Secretariat Support to Joint Steering Committee and PRF projects
 00140010: Strengthening national capacities for conflict prevention and peacebuilding
 00140074: Blossoming Aigul - Capacitated Women Civil Society Organizations sustaining peace in Kyrgyzstan
 00140782: Enabling youth-inclusive political processes and promoting the political participation of young women and men from diverse backgrounds in Kyrgyzstan
 00140982: Women of Ferghana Valley at the Frontlines of Climate Resilience Adaptation and Peace
 00141101: Strengthening capacities of institutions and border communities of Kyrgyzstan for increased cooperation with Uzbekistan
 00141244: Empowering Youth to Building Bridges for Social Cohesion
 00141500: Empowering the state and municipal institutions and civil society to promote dialogue and a culture of peace
 Other, Specify

Write the 8 digit MPTFO number and Project Title exactly as it appears in the Project Document *

EXAMPLE: 00118938: Community-based prevention of violence and social cohesion using innovation for young people in displaced and host communities

Please select the geographical region(s) in which the project is implemented *

If the project you are looking for does not appear in the following question, please make sure that you have selected the correct regions. A limited number of cross border projects span multiple geographic regions. For example, a cross border project between Niger and Chad spans both West Africa and Central & Southern Africa

- | | | |
|---|--|--|
| <input type="checkbox"/> Asia and the Pacific | <input type="checkbox"/> Central & Southern Africa | <input type="checkbox"/> East Africa |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Europe and Central Asia | <input type="checkbox"/> Global | <input type="checkbox"/> Latin America and the Caribbean |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Middle East and North Africa | <input type="checkbox"/> West Africa | |

Please select the title of the project for which you are submitting the report *

Write the 8 digit MPTFO numbers and Project Title exactly as it appears in the Project Document *

EXAMPLE: 00129699/700: Supporting Cross-Border Cooperation for Increased Community Resilience and Social Cohesion in The Gambia and Senegal

Please select the countries where this project is being implemented *

Other, Please specify *

Project Start Date (Date of first transfer) *

2022-12-09

Project End Date *

2027-06-09

Has this project received an extension? *

- YES, Cost Extension
- YES, No Cost Extension
- YES, Both Cost and No Cost Extensions
- NO, No Extensions

Will this project be requesting an extension? *

- YES, Cost Extension
- YES, No Cost Extension
- YES, Both Cost and No Cost Extensions
- NO, No Extensions

Will this project be submitting a Fund Transfer Request (FTR) in the next six months? *

- Yes
- No

If so, around which month do you expect to submit the request? *

- | | | |
|-------------------------------|--------------------------------|---------------------------------|
| <input type="radio"/> January | <input type="radio"/> February | <input type="radio"/> March |
| <input type="radio"/> April | <input type="radio"/> May | <input type="radio"/> June |
| <input type="radio"/> July | <input type="radio"/> August | <input type="radio"/> September |
| <input type="radio"/> October | <input type="radio"/> November | <input type="radio"/> December |

Is the current project end date within 6 months? *

- Yes
- No

Is funding disbursed either into a national or regional trust fund? *

- Yes
- No

If yes, please select which *

- National Trust Fund
- Regional Trust Fund

Recipients

Is the convening agency a UN agency or a non UN entity? *

- UN entity
 Non-UN Entity

Please select the convening agency recipient *

- UNDP: United Nations Development Programme IOM: International Organization for Migration
 UNICEF: United Nations Children's Fund
 OHCHR: Office of the United Nations High Commissioner for Human Rights
 UNWOMEN: United Nations Entity for Gender Equality and the Empowerment of Women
 UNHCR: United Nations High Commissioner for Refugees UNFPA: United Nations Population Fund
 FAO: Food and Agriculture Organization WFP: World Food Programme
 UNHABITAT: United Nations Human Settlements Programme
 UNESCO: United Nations Educational, Scientific and Cultural Organization
 UNEP: United Nations Environment Programme ILO: International Labour Organization
 WHO: World Health Organization PAHO/WHO
 UNCDF: United Nations Capital Development Fund UNODC: United Nations Office on Drugs and Crime
 UNOPS: United Nations Office for Project Services
 UNIDO: United Nations Industrial Development Organization ITC: International Trade Centre
 UNDPO Other, Specify

Other, Please specify *

.....

Are there other recipients for this project? *

- No other recipients
 Yes, other UN recipients only
 Yes, other non-UN recipients only
 Yes, both UN and non-UN recipients

Please select other UN recipients

*

Select all that apply

- UNDP: United Nations Development Programme
- IOM: International Organization for Migration
- UNICEF: United Nations Children's Fund
- OHCHR: Office of the United Nations High Commissioner for Human Rights
- UNWOMEN: United Nations Entity for Gender Equality and the Empowerment of Women
- UNHCR: United Nations High Commissioner for Refugees
- UNFPA: United Nations Population Fund
- FAO: Food and Agriculture Organization
- WFP: World Food Programme
- UNHABITAT: United Nations Human Settlements Programme
- UNESCO: United Nations Educational, Scientific and Cultural Organization
- UNEP: United Nations Environment Programme
- ILO: International Labour Organization
- WHO: World Health Organization
- PAHO/WHO
- UNCDF: United Nations Capital Development Fund
- UNODC: United Nations Office on Drugs and Crime
- UNOPS: United Nations Office for Project Services
- UNIDO: United Nations Industrial Development Organization
- ITC: International Trade Centre
- UN Department of Peace Operations
- Other, Specify

Other, Please specify

*

.....

Please select other non-UN recipients

- ACTED
- AEDE
- Agence de Coopération et de Recherche pour le Développement (ACORD)
- American Friends Service Committee (AFSC)
- Avocats Sans Frontières
- Avocats Sans Frontières Belgium
- Avocats sans frontières Canada
- Ayuda en Accion
- BIRN - Balkan Investigative Reporting Network
- BIOM -Youth Ecological Movemen
- CARE International UK
- Centre d'étude et de coopération internationale (CECI) - BF
- Christian Aid Ireland
- COIPRODEN
- Concern Worldwide
- Conexion Guatemala
- COOPI - Cooperazione Internazionale
- CORD Burundi
- CORDAID
- Corporacion Sisma Mujer
- CRS - Catholic Relief Services
- DanChurchAid
- Danish Refugee Council
- EQUITAS
- Fund for Congolese Women
- Fundacion Estudios Superior (FESU)
- Fundación Mi Sangre (FMS)
- Fundación Nacional para el Desarrollo de Honduras (FUNADEH)
- Fundación para la Libertad de Prensa (FLIP)
- Geneva Centre for Security Sector Governance (DCAF)
- HELVETAS Swiss Intercooperation
- Humanity & Inclusion (HI)
- ICTJ (International Center for Transitional Justice)
- Instituto Holandes para Democracia Multipartidaria (NIMD)
- Integrity Watch
- International Alert
- International Rescue Committee
- Interpeace
- Kvinna till Kvinna Foundation
- Life and Peace Institute (LPI)
- MDG-EISA - Institut Electoral pour une Démocratie Durable en Afrique (EISA), bureau de Madagascar
- Mercy Corps
- MLAL - ProgettoMondo
- MSIS-TATAO
- NIMD (Netherlands Institute for Multiparty Democracy)
- Nonviolent Peaceforce
- Norwegian Refugee Council (NRC)
- Nile Sustainable Development Organization - NSDO
- OCNH-Organisation des Citoyens pour une Nouvelle Haïti
- OIKOS
- ONG Adkoul - ONG Adkoul
- ONG AZHAR
- OXFAM
- Peace Direct
- Plan International
- PNG UN Country Fund
- Red de Instituciones por los Derechos de la Niñez
- ROI - Roza Otunbayeva Initiati
- Saferworld
- Sampan'Asa Momba ny Fampandrosoana (SAF/FJKM)
- Save the Children
- Search for Common Ground (SFCG)
- SIHA (Strategic Initiative for Women in the Horn of Africa)
- SismaMujer
- SOS Sahel Sudan
- Stichting Impunity Watch
- Tearfund
- The Carter Center, Inc.
- Trocaire
- War Child
- War Childhood Museum (WCM)
- World Vision International
- World Vision Myanmar
- ZOA
- blank_placeholder
- Other, Please specify

Other, Please specify

*

Implementing Partners

To how many implementing partners has the project transferred money **since the project's start** ?

0

To how many implementing partners has the project transferred money **during this calendar period** ?

(for June reports: January-June;

for November reports: January-December (anticipated);

for final reports: full project duration)

Financial Reporting

» Delivery by Recipient

Please enter the total amounts in full US dollars allocated to each recipient organization

Please enter the original budget amount, amount transferred to date and estimated expenditure by recipient.

*Please make sure you enter the correct amount. All values should be entered in **US Dollars***

For cross-border projects, group the amounts by agency, even if different country offices are involved. You will have the opportunity to share a more detailed budget in the next section.

Recipients	Total Project Budget (in full US \$)	Transfers to date (in full US \$)	Expenditure to date (in full US \$)	Implementation rate as a percentage of total budget (calculated automatically)
	<i>Please enter the total budget as is in the project document in US Dollars</i>	<i>Please enter the total amount transferred to each recipient to date in US Dollars</i>	<i>Please enter the approximate amount spent to date in US dollars</i>	

UNDP: United Nations Development Programme	* 825864.4	* 825864.4	* 399302.0	48.35%
	*	*	*	%
	*	*	*	%
	*	*	*	%
	*	*	*	%
	*	*	*	%
	*	*	*	%
	*	*	*	%
	*	*	*	%
	*	*	*	%
	*	*	*	%
	*	*	*	%

	*	*	*	%
	*	*	*	%
	*	*	*	%
	*	*	*	%
TOTAL	825864.4	825864.4	399302	48.35%

The approximate implementation rate as percentage of total project budget based on the values entered in the above matrix is **48.35%**. Can you confirm that this is correct? *

Correct Incorrect

If it is incorrect, please enter the approximate implementation rate as a % *

» **Gender-responsive Budgeting**

Indicate what **percentage (%)** of the budget contributes to gender equality or women's empowerment (GEWE) as per the project document? *

46.760969

The dollar amount of the budget contributing to Gender Equality and Women's Empowerment (GEWE) based on percentage entered above and total project budget is **US \$ 386182.2**. Can you confirm that this is correct? *

Correct Incorrect

If it is incorrect, please enter the *budget amount* allocated to GEWE in US Dollars *

Amount expended to date on efforts contributing to gender equality or women's empowerment is **US \$ 186717.48**. Is this correct? *

Correct Incorrect

If it is incorrect, please enter the *expenditure to date* on GEWE in US dollars *

ATTACH PROJECT EXCEL BUDGET SHOWING CURRENT APPROXIMATE EXPENDITURE. *

The templates for the budget are available [here](#)

Secretariat Budget_Annex D_JUNE 2026_semi-annual-14_28_44.xlsx



Project Markers

Please select the Gender Marker Associated with this project *

- Score 1 for projects that contribute in some way to gender equality, but not significantly (less than 30% of the total budget for GEWE)
- Score 2 for projects that have gender equality as a significant objective and allocate between 30 and 79% of the total project budget to GEWE
- Score 3 for projects that have gender equality as a principal objective and allocate at least 80% of the total project budget to Gender Equality and Women's Empowerment (GEWE)

Please select the Risk Marker Associated with this project *

- Risk marker 0 = low risk to achieving outcomes
- Risk marker 1 = medium risk to achieving outcomes
- Risk marker 2 = high risk to achieving outcomes

Please select the PBF Focus Area associated with this project *

- (1.1) Security Sector Reform
- (1.2) Rule of Law
- (1.3) Demobilisation, Disarmament and Reintegration
- (1.4) Political Dialogue
- (2.1) National reconciliation
- (2.2) Democratic Governance
- (2.3) Conflict prevention/management
- (3.1) Employment
- (3.2) Equitable access to social services
- (4.1) Strengthening of essential national state capacity
- (4.2) Extension of state authority/Local Administration
- (4.3) Governance of peacebuilding resources (including PBF Secretariats)

Is the project part of one or more PBF priority windows? *

Select all that apply

- Gender promotion initiative
- Youth promotion initiative
- Transition from UN or regional peacekeeping or special political missions
- Cross-border or regional project
- None

Steering Committee and Government engagement

Does the project have an active steering committee/ project board? *

- Yes
- No

If yes, please indicate how many times the Project Steering Committee has met over the last 6 months?

Please limit your response to 3000 characters

None. The Steering Committee meeting is scheduled for June 23, 2026.

Please provide a brief description of any engagement that the project has had with the government over the last 6 months. Please indicate what level of government the project has been engaging with.

Please limit your response to 3000 characters

The PBF Secretariat, together with the Ministry of Foreign Affairs, convened and facilitated a meeting to collect feedback from relevant line ministries and government agencies on the UN Country Team’s concept for engagement in the Kyrgyz-Tajik borderlands. As a result, the concept was endorsed by the Ministry of Foreign Affairs.

Following this endorsement, the PBF Secretariat supported the process for selecting the UN entities that would receive the PBF allocation. Subsequently, and in line with the endorsed concept, a project concept was developed jointly with UNDP and UN Women, with the PBF Secretariat providing technical leadership. The project concept was prepared in response to PBPSO’s indication of interest in funding such an initiative within the current PBF eligibility period.

Seeking to ensure that the portfolio remains relevant to the peacebuilding context, promotes the sustainability of its results, and contributes to national policy processes, the PBF Secretariat facilitated joint planning between NARAIR and two PBF-funded projects. The discussions focused on aligning and coordinating the projects’ activities and ensuring their substantive contribution to the draft policy concept on religious affairs and interethnic relations, which is currently being developed under NARAIR’s leadership.

As the process currently stands, NARAIR and the team implementing the UNDP, UN Women and OHCHR project, “Empowering State and Municipal Institutions and Civil Society to Promote Dialogue and a Culture of Peace,” (UNDP, OHCHR, UN Women) have agreed to join forces in collecting feedback on the draft concept and providing expert support to its development.

The Secretariat also supported cooperation with national and local authorities through two field missions to the south of Kyrgyzstan, including a portfolio monitoring and results-harvesting mission and the organization of the UN RC’s mission to Osh and Batken provinces. The missions strengthened engagement with national counterparts and subnational authorities, including provincial and municipal administrations, city councils, border authorities and local institutions, while also bringing forward perspectives from communities, civil society, media, business associations, persons with disabilities, women and youth. This engagement helped generate an evidence and analytical base to inform the ongoing and upcoming UNSDCF process, including the CA and the evaluation, as well as the identification of future programming priorities and possible entry points for PBF support (see section below for details).

PART I: OVERALL PROJECT PROGRESS

NOTES FOR COMPLETING THE REPORT:

- Avoid acronyms and UN jargon, use general /common language.
- Report on what has been achieved in the reporting period, not what the project aims to do.
- Be as concrete as possible. Avoid theoretical, vague or conceptual discourse.
- Ensure the analysis and project progress assessment is gender and age sensitive.

Please rate the implementation status of the following preliminary/preparatory activities

Contracting of partners *

- Not Started Initiated Partially Completed
 Completed Not Applicable

Staff Recruitment *

- Not Started Initiated Partially Completed
 Completed Not Applicable

Collection of baselines *

- Not Started Initiated Partially Completed
 Completed Not Applicable

Identification of beneficiaries *

- Not Started Initiated Partially Completed
 Completed Not Applicable

Provide any additional descriptive information relating to the status of the project, including whether preliminary/preparatory activities have been completed (i.e. contracting of partners, staff recruitment, etc.)

Please limit your response to 3000 characters

A key milestone was the development and initial operationalization of the UN Country Team concept for engagement along the Kyrgyzstan-Tajikistan border, endorsed by the Government through the Ministry of Foreign Affairs in March 2026. Building on this strategic framework, the Secretariat supported the development of a new PBF project concept, "From Border Breakthrough to Peace Dividends", to be implemented by UNDP and UN Women, which was approved by PBPSO and is currently in the project proposal development phase.

During the same period, the new PRF project "Empowering the state and municipal institutions and civil society to promote dialogue and a culture of peace" was launched. Implemented by UNDP, OHCHR and UN Women, the project aims to strengthen collaboration and trust between state institutions, municipal authorities, and civil society.

Significant preparatory work was also undertaken for the Joint Steering Committee meeting planned for 23 June 2026. The meeting is expected to provide a strategic platform to review portfolio progress, validate new projects, strengthen national ownership and engage government, UN, donor and partner stakeholders around the current and future direction of PBF support.

Finally, the Secretariat contributed to the preparation of the joint evaluation process, through which the PBF Portfolio Review for 2021-2026 will be conducted in parallel with the UNSDCF 2023-2027 evaluation. The Secretariat supported the TOR drafting process and hiring of the national consultant to support the evaluation.

Describe overall progress under each Outcome made during the reporting period (*for June reports: January-June; for November reports: January-December (anticipated); for final reports: full project duration*). Do not list individual activities. If the project is starting to make/has made a difference at the outcome level, provide specific evidence for the progress (quantitative and qualitative) and explain how it impacts the broader political and peacebuilding context.

Is the project on track for the timely completion of outputs as indicated in the workplan? *

Yes

No

If no, please provide an explanation *

Please limit your response to 6000 characters

Project progress summary

Please limit your response to 6000 characters

Guided by the peacebuilding priorities outlined by the UN RC in the 2025 Kyrgyzstan Annual Strategic Report, the PBF Secretariat structured its work around two strategic directions: generating peace dividends from regional border settlements, and in-country social cohesion.

In relation to the first priority, the UN RC initiated and led a process with the UN Heads of Agencies to develop a common UN framework for engagement along the Kyrgyzstan-Tajikistan border. The concept was developed through consultations with national partners and the UNCT, and was informed by field observations and monitoring missions. It was endorsed by the Government in March 2026. The Border Concept identifies three main priorities for engagement: confidence-building and social cohesion; livelihood revival and shared prosperity; and accountable border governance and mobility. Across these priorities, the approach emphasizes women and youth leadership, local ownership, and the translation of the 2025 border agreements into tangible peace dividends for affected border communities.

Following the endorsement of the Border Concept, the RC engaged with PBPSO on the opportunity to support this timely priority through new PBF programming. A competitive call for proposals was launched, with selection criteria drawn from the priorities and logic of the Border Concept. The proposal submitted by UNDP and UN Women was selected. The project aims to translate the 2025 Kyrgyzstan-Tajikistan border agreements into tangible local peace dividends by strengthening gender-responsive confidence-building and community-level dialogue, while supporting catalytic, locally owned grants that generate visible cooperation, inclusive recovery and stronger foundations for sustained cross-border engagement.

Regarding the second strategic priority - social cohesion- the PBF Secretariat supported the unpacking and start-up process for the new project "Empowering the state and municipal institutions and civil society to promote dialogue and a culture of peace". This included facilitating coordination among the participating agencies to support a timely and effective launch. The unpacking process included a workshop to update the project's context analysis, jointly interpret and refine the theory of change, and adjust implementation approaches, activity sequencing, baseline design and planning in line with the evolving operating environment.

Two monitoring missions were conducted during the reporting period. The first mission focused on validating the findings of the PBF Portfolio Mid-Term Review, harvesting emerging results across the portfolio, and identifying portfolio-level lessons, good practices and areas requiring further attention. The second mission, conducted as part of the UNRC's visit to Osh and Batken, helped validate contextual considerations for the Border Concept and the Common Country Analysis, including emerging opportunities and sensitivities related to future engagement in border areas (see the monitoring section of the report).

As the portfolio moves towards the end of the current eligibility cycle, the Secretariat has also focused on consolidating evidence on peacebuilding results and impact across the portfolio. This included completing the PBF Strategic Results Framework Mid-Term Review, validating findings through field missions, developing a portfolio-level photo bank, and identifying new success stories. These materials contributed to the celebration of Peacebuilding Week at HQ level and informed the organization of a national-level Peacebuilding Week exhibition. The exhibition was designed to showcase portfolio best practices through community-led initiatives, with stories presented directly by community members to national partners, international partners, the private sector and IFIs.

In preparation for the end of the eligibility period, the e PBF Secretariat is supporting the joint initiative of the Resident Coordinator's Office and PBPSO's Design, Monitoring, Evaluation and Learning team to conduct an evaluation of Kyrgyzstan's PBF portfolio in conjunction with the ongoing UNSDCF evaluation. In this context, the Secretariat is supporting the overall process, including the development of the Terms of Reference and the recruitment of a national consultant.

The PBF Secretariat is also coordinating with PBPSO's UN-IFI Facility to prepare for the possible deployment of a member of the Surge Advisory Team to conduct a needs assessment and opportunity mapping related to the Kyrgyz-Taiik borderlands.

*

Indicate any additional analysis on how Gender Equality and Women's Empowerment and/or Youth Inclusion and Responsiveness has been ensured by the project to date

Please limit your response to 3000 characters

The UN Border Engagement Concept places strong emphasis on women, adolescents and youth as key agents of change and frames their participation, leadership and protection as cross-cutting principles for translating the 2025 Kyrgyzstan-Tajikistan border agreements into tangible peace and development dividends. The concept also identifies women's and young people's skills development, entrepreneurship and employment as central to livelihood revival and shared prosperity, while emphasizing their role in dialogue, community engagement, confidence-building and local peace infrastructures.

This approach was further validated during the UNRC's mission to Osh and Batken. The mission found that attitudes toward restoring relations with Tajik communities remain gendered and uneven. While many men expressed caution or opposition to renewed contacts, citing trauma, anger and grievances related to the escalations and perceived unfairness of some demarcation outcomes, women were more often open to restoring practical and business relations, particularly around apricot trade, livestock and other livelihood opportunities. This finding reinforces the relevance of women's economic empowerment and women-led practical cooperation as an entry point for confidence-building that is locally grounded and less politically confrontational.

Youth inclusion has also been considered as a central pathway for rebuilding trust across generations and communities. The Border Concept highlights the importance of youth exchanges, cultural and sports initiatives, education-based peacebuilding, media cooperation and youth entrepreneurship as feasible entry points for gradual restoration of contacts and mutual understanding. These approaches are particularly relevant in border communities where younger generations have experienced the effects of interrupted mobility, disrupted social ties and limited economic opportunities.

Disability inclusion has been addressed both as a principle and as an area requiring strengthened implementation. The monitoring mission identified disability inclusion as a critical gap across the portfolio, noting that persons with disabilities face compounded barriers, including inaccessible infrastructure, unemployment, stigma, limited participation in decision-making and lack of psychosocial support. This has informed the project's approach by underscoring the need to integrate accessibility, dedicated outreach and meaningful participation of persons with disabilities from the outset, rather than treating disability as a secondary or optional consideration. The UNRC's mission also reinforced the need to move from formal accessibility requirements toward meaningful participation of persons with disabilities in planning, service delivery and accountability.

These priorities have also informed the Secretariat's communication and visibility work. The majority of photos selected for the Peacebuilding Week exhibition largely focused on women, their initiatives and their personal stories, alongside youth-led initiatives and the inclusion of youth with disabilities.

*

Is the project 1+ year in implementation?

Yes

No

FOR PROJECTS 1+ YEAR IN IMPLEMENTATION ONLY:

Is the project demonstrating outcome-level peacebuilding results? *

Outcome-level peacebuilding results entail results achieved at the societal or structural level, including changed attitudes, behaviours or institutions.

Yes

No

If yes, please provide concrete examples of such peacebuilding results *

Please limit your response to 6000 characters

If yes, please provide sources or references (including links) as evidence of peacebuilding results, or submit them as additional attachments.

Evidence may be quantitative or qualitative but needs to demonstrate progress against outcome indicators in the project results framework. Sources may include project surveys (such as perception surveys), monitoring reports, government documents, or other knowledge products that have been developed by the project.

File attachment

[Click here to upload file. \(< 10MB\)](#)

PART II: PROJECT RESULTS FRAMEWORK

How many OUTCOMES does this project have *

1

2

3

4

5

more than 5.

Please write out the project outcomes as they are in the project results framework found in the project document

Outcome 1: *

The PBF programming is strengthened and relevant to peace priorities in the country through enhanced relevance, synergies between projects, effective, quality and timely coordination, monitoring and evaluation, reporting, as well as communication.

Outcome 2: *

Outcome 3: *

Outcome 4: *

Outcome 5:

*

Outcome 6:

*

Outcome 7:

*

Outcome 8:

*

Additional Outcomes

If the project has more than 8 outcomes, please enumerate the remaining outcomes here

*

INDICATOR BASED PERFORMANCE ASSESSMENT

Using the Project Results Framework as per the approved project document or any amendments, provide an update on the achievement of all **outcome** and **output** indicators in the table below.

- Where it has not been possible to collect data on indicators, state this and provide any explanation.
- Provide gender and age disaggregated data. (500 characters max per entry)

» **Outcome 1: The PBF programming is strengthened and relevant to peace priorities in the country through enhanced relevance, synergies between projects, effective, quality and timely coordination, monitoring and evaluation, reporting, as well as communication.**

O C 1	Outcome Indicator s	Indicator Baseline	End of Project Indicator Target	Indicator progress for reporting period	Indicator progress since project's start	Reasons for Variance/ Delay (if any)
1. 1	Annual Reports submitted within 10 days of the deadline with quality acceptable by PBSO review team.	0	5	0	9	
1. 2	Baseline survey for the SRF has been carried out.	0	1 (24/24)	+3	+20 out of 24	Some indicators such as "Degree of ethnic and religious tolerance" are sensitive for data collection in the context of Kyrgyzstan. In the coming period one more sub-indicator will be baselined.
1. 3						
1. 4						

1. 5						
---------	--	--	--	--	--	--

How many outputs does outcome 1 have?

1 **2** 3 4 5 more than 5.

Please list all outputs for outcome 1

Output 1.1
Output 1.1: The coordination, monitoring and evaluation, reporting and communication of the results of the PBF portfolio are carried out by the PBF Secretariat to allow for more effective implementation of peacebuilding interventions.

Output 1.2
Output 1.2: The JSC supported for strategic oversight and communication of the PBF portfolio in Kyrgyzstan

Output 1.3

Output 1.4

Output 1.5

Other Outputs
If Outcome 1 has more than 5 outputs, please enumerate the remaining outputs here

For each output, and using the, project results framework, provide an update on the progress made against all output indicators

» Output 1.1: Output 1.1: The coordination, monitoring and evaluation, reporting and communication of the results of the PBF portfolio are carried out by the PBF Secretariat to allow for more effective implementation of peacebuilding interventions.

1. 1	Output Indicators <i>Describe the indicator</i>	Indicator Baseline <i>State the baseline value of the indicator</i>	End of Project Indicator Target <i>State the target value of the indicator at the end of the project</i>	Indicator progress for reporting period <i>State the current value of the indicator for the reporting period</i>	Indicator progress since project's start <i>State the current cumulative value of the indicator since the start of the project</i>	Reasons for Variance/ Delay (if any) <i>Explain why the indicator is off track or has changed, where relevant</i>
1. 1. 1	Annual Reports submitted within 10 days of the deadline with quality acceptable by PBSO review team.	0	5	0	9	
1. 1. 2	Baseline survey for the SRF has been carried out.	0	1(24/24)	+3/24	+20/24	Some indicators such as "Degree of ethnic and religious tolerance" are sensitive for data collection in the context of Kyrgyzstan. In the coming period one more sub-indicator will be baselined

1. 1. 3	# workshops on conflict sensitivity, M&E standards, learning and adaptation are carried out.	0	6	0	8	
1. 1. 4						
1. 1. 5						

» **Output 1.2: Output 1.2: The JSC supported for strategic oversight and communication of the PBF portfolio in Kyrgyzstan**

1. 2	Output Indicators <i>Describe the indicator</i>	Indicator Baseline <i>State the baseline value of the indicator</i>	End of Project Indicator Target <i>State the target value of the indicator at the end of the project</i>	Indicator progress for reporting period <i>State the current value of the indicator for the reporting period</i>	Indicator progress since project's start <i>State the current cumulative value of the indicator since the start of the project</i>	Reasons for Variance/ Delay (if any) <i>Explain why the indicator is off track or has changed, where relevant</i>
1. 2. 1	# of PBF JSC meetings	0	6	0	3	
1. 2. 2	# of joint monitoring visits with participation of the JSC members	0	2	0	0	
1. 2. 3	The level of satisfaction with Secretariat's job among JSC and RUNOs	0	80%	Baseline not started	Baseline not started	Planned to be held together with the upcoming PBF JSC meeting.
1. 2. 4						
1. 2. 5						

» Output 1.3:

1. 3	Output Indicators <i>Describe the indicator</i>	Indicator Baseline <i>State the baseline value of the indicator</i>	End of Project Indicator Target <i>State the target value of the indicator at the end of the project</i>	Indicator progress for reporting period <i>State the current value of the indicator for the reporting period</i>	Indicator progress since project's start <i>State the current cumulative value of the indicator since the start of the project</i>	Reasons for Variance/ Delay (if any) <i>Explain why the indicator is off track or has changed, where relevant</i>
1. 3. 1						
1. 3. 2						
1. 3. 3						
1. 3. 4						
1. 3. 5						

» Output 1.4:

1. 4	Output Indicators <i>Describe the indicator</i>	Indicator Baseline <i>State the baseline value of the indicator</i>	End of Project Indicator Target <i>State the target value of the indicator at the end of the project</i>	Indicator progress for reporting period <i>State the current value of the indicator for the reporting period</i>	Indicator progress since project's start <i>State the current cumulative value of the indicator since the start of the project</i>	Reasons for Variance/ Delay (if any) <i>Explain why the indicator is off track or has changed, where relevant</i>
1. 4. 1						
1. 4. 2						
1. 4. 3						
1. 4. 4						
1. 4. 5						

» Output 1.5:

1. 5	Output Indicators <i>Describe the indicator</i>	Indicator Baseline <i>State the baseline value of the indicator</i>	End of Project Indicator Target <i>State the target value of the indicator at the end of the project</i>	Indicator progress for reporting period <i>State the current value of the indicator for the reporting period</i>	Indicator progress since project's start <i>State the current cumulative value of the indicator since the start of the project</i>	Reasons for Variance/ Delay (if any) <i>Explain why the indicator is off track or has changed, where relevant</i>
1. 5. 1						
1. 5. 2						
1. 5. 3						
1. 5. 4						
1. 5. 5						

» Outcome 2:

O C 2	Outcome Indicator s	Indicator Baseline	End of Project Indicator Target	Indicator progress for reporting period	Indicator progress since project's start	Reasons for Variance/ Delay (if any)
2. 1						
2. 2						
2. 3						
2. 4						
2. 5						

How many outputs does outcome 2 have?

1 2 3 4 5 more than 5.

Please list all outputs for outcome 2

Output 2.1

Output 2.2

Output 2.3

Output 2.4

Output 2.5

Other Outputs

If Outcome 2 has more than 5 outputs, please enumerate the remaining outputs here

For each output, and using the, project results framework, provide an update on the progress made against all output indicators

» **Output 2.1:**

2. 1	Output Indicators <i>Describe the indicator</i>	Indicator Baseline <i>State the baseline value of the indicator</i>	End of Project Indicator Target <i>State the target value of the indicator at the end of the project</i>	Indicator progress for reporting period <i>State the current value of the indicator for the reporting period</i>	Indicator progress since project's start <i>State the current cumulative value of the indicator since the start of the project</i>	Reasons for Variance/ Delay (if any) <i>Explain why the indicator is off track or has changed, where relevant</i>
2. 1. 1						
2. 1. 2						
2. 1. 3						
2. 1. 4						
2. 1. 5						

» Output 2.2:

2. 2	Output Indicators <i>Describe the indicator</i>	Indicator Baseline <i>State the baseline value of the indicator</i>	End of Project Indicator Target <i>State the target value of the indicator at the end of the project</i>	Indicator progress for reporting period <i>State the current value of the indicator for the reporting period</i>	Indicator progress since project's start <i>State the current cumulative value of the indicator since the start of the project</i>	Reasons for Variance/ Delay (if any) <i>Explain why the indicator is off track or has changed, where relevant</i>
2. 2. 1						
2. 2. 2						
2. 2. 3						
2. 2. 4						
2. 2. 5						

» Output 2.3:

2. 3	Output Indicators <i>Describe the indicator</i>	Indicator Baseline <i>State the baseline value of the indicator</i>	End of Project Indicator Target <i>State the target value of the indicator at the end of the project</i>	Indicator progress for reporting period <i>State the current value of the indicator for the reporting period</i>	Indicator progress since project's start <i>State the current cumulative value of the indicator since the start of the project</i>	Reasons for Variance/ Delay (if any) <i>Explain why the indicator is off track or has changed, where relevant</i>
2. 3. 1						
2. 3. 2						
2. 3. 3						
2. 3. 4						
2. 3. 5						

» Output 2.4:

2. 4	Output Indicators <i>Describe the indicator</i>	Indicator Baseline <i>State the baseline value of the indicator</i>	End of Project Indicator Target <i>State the target value of the indicator at the end of the project</i>	Indicator progress for reporting period <i>State the current value of the indicator for the reporting period</i>	Indicator progress since project's start <i>State the current cumulative value of the indicator since the start of the project</i>	Reasons for Variance/ Delay (if any) <i>Explain why the indicator is off track or has changed, where relevant</i>
2. 4. 1						
2. 4. 2						
2. 4. 3						
2. 4. 4						
2. 4. 5						

» Output 2.5:

2. 5	Output Indicators <i>Describe the indicator</i>	Indicator Baseline <i>State the baseline value of the indicator</i>	End of Project Indicator Target <i>State the target value of the indicator at the end of the project</i>	Indicator progress for reporting period <i>State the current value of the indicator for the reporting period</i>	Indicator progress since project's start <i>State the current cumulative value of the indicator since the start of the project</i>	Reasons for Variance/ Delay (if any) <i>Explain why the indicator is off track or has changed, where relevant</i>
2. 5. 1						
2. 5. 2						
2. 5. 3						
2. 5. 4						
2. 5. 5						

» Outcome 3:

O C 3	Outcome Indicator s	Indicator Baseline	End of Project Indicator Target	Indicator progress for reporting period	Indicator progress since project's start	Reasons for Variance/ Delay (if any)
3. 1						
3. 2						
3. 3						
3. 4						
3. 5						

How many outputs does outcome 3 have?

1 2 3 4 5 more than 5.

Please list all outputs for outcome 3

Output 3.1

Output 3.2

Output 3.3

Output 3.4

Output 3.5

Other Outputs

If Outcome 3 has more than 5 outputs, please enumerate the remaining outputs here

For each output, and using the, project results framework, provide an update on the progress made against all output indicators

» **Output 3.1:**

3. 1	Output Indicators <i>Describe the indicator</i>	Indicator Baseline <i>State the baseline value of the indicator</i>	End of Project Indicator Target <i>State the target value of the indicator at the end of the project</i>	Indicator progress for reporting period <i>State the current value of the indicator for the reporting period</i>	Indicator progress since project's start <i>State the current cumulative value of the indicator since the start of the project</i>	Reasons for Variance/ Delay (if any) <i>Explain why the indicator is off track or has changed, where relevant</i>
3. 1. 1						
3. 1. 2						
3. 1. 3						
3. 1. 4						
3. 1. 5						

» Output 3.2:

<p>3. 2</p> <p>.....</p>	<p>Output Indicators</p> <p><i>Describe the indicator</i></p> <p>.....</p>	<p>Indicator Baseline</p> <p><i>State the baseline value of the indicator</i></p> <p>.....</p>	<p>End of Project Indicator Target</p> <p><i>State the target value of the indicator at the end of the project</i></p> <p>.....</p>	<p>Indicator progress for reporting period</p> <p><i>State the current value of the indicator for the reporting period</i></p> <p>.....</p>	<p>Indicator progress since project's start</p> <p><i>State the current cumulative value of the indicator since the start of the project</i></p> <p>.....</p>	<p>Reasons for Variance/ Delay (if any)</p> <p><i>Explain why the indicator is off track or has changed, where relevant</i></p> <p>.....</p>
<p>3. 2. 1</p> <p>.....</p>						
<p>3. 2. 2</p> <p>.....</p>						
<p>3. 2. 3</p> <p>.....</p>						
<p>3. 2. 4</p> <p>.....</p>						
<p>3. 2. 5</p> <p>.....</p>						

» Output 3.3:

<p>3. 3</p> <p>.....</p>	<p>Output Indicators</p> <p><i>Describe the indicator</i></p> <p>.....</p>	<p>Indicator Baseline</p> <p><i>State the baseline value of the indicator</i></p> <p>.....</p>	<p>End of Project Indicator Target</p> <p><i>State the target value of the indicator at the end of the project</i></p> <p>.....</p>	<p>Indicator progress for reporting period</p> <p><i>State the current value of the indicator for the reporting period</i></p> <p>.....</p>	<p>Indicator progress since project's start</p> <p><i>State the current cumulative value of the indicator since the start of the project</i></p> <p>.....</p>	<p>Reasons for Variance/ Delay (if any)</p> <p><i>Explain why the indicator is off track or has changed, where relevant</i></p> <p>.....</p>
<p>3. 3. 1</p> <p>.....</p>						
<p>3. 3. 2</p> <p>.....</p>						
<p>3. 3. 3</p> <p>.....</p>						
<p>3. 3. 4</p> <p>.....</p>						
<p>3. 3. 5</p> <p>.....</p>						

» Output 3.4:

3. 4	Output Indicators <i>Describe the indicator</i>	Indicator Baseline <i>State the baseline value of the indicator</i>	End of Project Indicator Target <i>State the target value of the indicator at the end of the project</i>	Indicator progress for reporting period <i>State the current value of the indicator for the reporting period</i>	Indicator progress since project's start <i>State the current cumulative value of the indicator since the start of the project</i>	Reasons for Variance/Delay (if any) <i>Explain why the indicator is off track or has changed, where relevant</i>
3. 4. 1						
3. 4. 2						
3. 4. 3						
3. 4. 4						
3. 4. 5						

» Output 3.5:

<p>3. 5</p> <p>.....</p>	<p>Output Indicators</p> <p><i>Describe the indicator</i></p> <p>.....</p>	<p>Indicator Baseline</p> <p><i>State the baseline value of the indicator</i></p> <p>.....</p>	<p>End of Project Indicator Target</p> <p><i>State the target value of the indicator at the end of the project</i></p> <p>.....</p>	<p>Indicator progress for reporting period</p> <p><i>State the current value of the indicator for the reporting period</i></p> <p>.....</p>	<p>Indicator progress since project's start</p> <p><i>State the current cumulative value of the indicator since the start of the project</i></p> <p>.....</p>	<p>Reasons for Variance/ Delay (if any)</p> <p><i>Explain why the indicator is off track or has changed, where relevant</i></p> <p>.....</p>
<p>3. 5. 1</p> <p>.....</p>						
<p>3. 5. 2</p> <p>.....</p>						
<p>3. 5. 3</p> <p>.....</p>						
<p>3. 5. 4</p> <p>.....</p>						
<p>3. 5. 5</p> <p>.....</p>						

» Outcome 4:

O C 4	Outcome Indicator s	Indicator Baseline	End of Project Indicator Target	Indicator progress for reporting period	Indicator progress since project's start	Reasons for Variance/ Delay (if any)
4. 1						
4. 2						
4. 3						
4. 4						
4. 5						

How many outputs does outcome 4 have?

1 2 3 4 5 more than 5.

Please list all outputs for outcome 4

Output 4.1

Output 4.2

Output 4.3

Output 4.4

Output 4.5

Other Outputs

If Outcome 4 has more than 5 outputs, please enumerate the remaining outputs here

For each output, and using the, project results framework, provide an update on the progress made against all output indicators

» **Output 4.1:**

4. 1	Output Indicators <i>Describe the indicator</i>	Indicator Baseline <i>State the baseline value of the indicator</i>	End of Project Indicator Target <i>State the target value of the indicator at the end of the project</i>	Indicator progress for reporting period <i>State the current value of the indicator for the reporting period</i>	Indicator progress since project's start <i>State the current cummulative value of the indicator since the start of the project</i>	Reasons for Variance/ Delay (if any) <i>Explain why the indicator is off track or has changed, where relevant</i>
4. 1. 1						
4. 1. 2						
4. 1. 3						
4. 1. 4						
4. 1. 5						

» Output 4.2:

4. 2	Output Indicators <i>Describe the indicator</i>	Indicator Baseline <i>State the baseline value of the indicator</i>	End of Project Indicator Target <i>State the target value of the indicator at the end of the project</i>	Indicator progress for reporting period <i>State the current value of the indicator for the reporting period</i>	Indicator progress since project's start <i>State the current cumulative value of the indicator since the start of the project</i>	Reasons for Variance/ Delay (if any) <i>Explain why the indicator is off track or has changed, where relevant</i>
4. 2. 1						
4. 2. 2						
4. 2. 3						
4. 2. 4						
4. 2. 5						

» Output 4.3:

4. 3	Output Indicators <i>Describe the indicator</i>	Indicator Baseline <i>State the baseline value of the indicator</i>	End of Project Indicator Target <i>State the target value of the indicator at the end of the project</i>	Indicator progress for reporting period <i>State the current value of the indicator for the reporting period</i>	Indicator progress since project's start <i>State the current cumulative value of the indicator since the start of the project</i>	Reasons for Variance/ Delay (if any) <i>Explain why the indicator is off track or has changed, where relevant</i>
4. 3. 1						
4. 3. 2						
4. 3. 3						
4. 3. 4						
4. 3. 5						

» Output 4.4:

4. 4	Output Indicators <i>Describe the indicator</i>	Indicator Baseline <i>State the baseline value of the indicator</i>	End of Project Indicator Target <i>State the target value of the indicator at the end of the project</i>	Indicator progress for reporting period <i>State the current value of the indicator for the reporting period</i>	Indicator progress since project's start <i>State the current cumulative value of the indicator since the start of the project</i>	Reasons for Variance/ Delay (if any) <i>Explain why the indicator is off track or has changed, where relevant</i>
4. 4. 1						
4. 4. 2						
4. 4. 3						
4. 4. 4						
4. 4. 5						

» Output 4.5:

4. 5	Output Indicators <i>Describe the indicator</i>	Indicator Baseline <i>State the baseline value of the indicator</i>	End of Project Indicator Target <i>State the target value of the indicator at the end of the project</i>	Indicator progress for reporting period <i>State the current value of the indicator for the reporting period</i>	Indicator progress since project's start <i>State the current cumulative value of the indicator since the start of the project</i>	Reasons for Variance/ Delay (if any) <i>Explain why the indicator is off track or has changed, where relevant</i>
4. 5. 1						
4. 5. 2						
4. 5. 3						
4. 5. 4						
4. 5. 5						

If the project has more than 4 outcomes, use this space to describe progress on progress on indicators for the remaining outcomes *

PART III: Cross-Cutting Issues

Is the project planning any significant events in the next six months? (eg. national dialogues, youth congresses, film screenings, etc.)

If yes, please state how many, and for each, provide the approximate date of the event and a brief description, including its key objectives, target audience and location (if known)

Events	Event Description	Tentative Date	Location	Target Audience	Event Objectives (900 characters)
Event 1	PBF Joint Steering Committee	23 June 2026	Bishkek	JSC members, observers, RUNOs/NUNOs	The objective is to jointly review progress in the implementation of PBF-funded projects and present projects in the pipeline, for feedback and validation, to ensure national ownership.
Event 2	Peacebuilding Week Exhibition	23 June 2026	Bishkek	JSC members, observers, RUNOs/NUNOs, development partners and donors, private sector, community members	To celebrate the global UN Peacebuilding Week by showcasing contributions to peace in Kyrgyzstan through an exhibition with community members presenting the results.
Event 3	Technical and M&E workshop	End of July	Bishkek	RUNOs/NUNOs	A workshop focused on theories of change, strengthening direct peacebuilding impact, good practices in joint implementation, and strategic external messaging. In addition, to validate the mid-term review and review the progress towards PBF Strategic Results Framework.

Event 4					
--------------------	--	--	--	--	--

Human Impact

This section is about the human impact of the project. Please state key stakeholders (including but not limited to: Civil Society Organizations, Beneficiaries, etc.) of the project, and for each, please briefly describe:

- i. The challenges/problem they faced prior to the project implementation
- ii. The impact of the project in their lives
- iii. Provide, where possible, a quote or testimonial from a representative of each stakeholder group

This is an optional question. You may leave it unanswered if not relevant

Human Impact	Type of stakeholder	What has been the impact of the project on their lives?	Provide, where possible, a quote or testimonial from the stakeholder
1			
2			
3			
4			

In addition to the stakeholder specific impact described above, please use this space to describe any additional human impact that the project has had.

Please limit your response to 4000 characters.

You can also upload upto 3 files in various formats (picture files, powerpoint, pdf, video, etc.) to illustrate the human impact of the project

OPTIONAL

File 1

OPTIONAL

Click here to upload file. (< 10MB)

File 2

OPTIONAL

Click here to upload file. (< 10MB)

File 3

OPTIONAL

Click here to upload file. (< 10MB)

You can also add upto 3 links to online resources which illustrate the human impact of the project

OPTIONAL

Link 1

OPTIONAL

Link 2

OPTIONAL

Link 3

OPTIONAL

Please tick the applicable change based on above narrative.

How we worked:

*

Please select up to 3.

- Enhanced digitization
- Innovative ways of working
- Mobilized additional resources
- Improved or initiated policy frameworks
- Strengthened capacities
- Partnered with with local/grassroots Civil Society Organizations
- Expanding coalitions & galvanizing political will
- Strengthened partnerships with IFIs
- Strengthened partnerships with UN Agencies

Please explain one of the selected options

Please limit your response to 3000 characters.

The PBF Secretariat is coordinating with PBPSO's UN-IFI Facility to prepare for the possible deployment of a member of the Surge Advisory Team to conduct a needs assessment and opportunity mapping related to the Kyrgyz-Tajik borderlands

Please explain one of the selected options

Please limit your response to 3000 characters.

Through the UNRC meetings with UN Heads of Agencies, followed by technical RUNOs/NUNOs meetings and in the course of joint preparation for the upcoming Joint Steering Committee the messages of the importance of joint communication and implementation were consistently repeated

Please explain one of the selected options

Please limit your response to 3000 characters.

Who are we working with

*

- Strengthened partnerships with IFIs
- Strengthened partnerships between UN Agencies
- Partnered with local civil society organizations
- Partnered with local academia
- Partnered with sub-national entities
- Partnered with national entities
- Partnered with local volunteers

Please explain

Please limit your response to 3000 characters

1) Through the UNRC meetings with UN Heads of Agencies, followed by technical RUNOs/NUNOs meetings and in the course of joint preparation for the upcoming Joint Steering Committee the messages of the importance of joint communication and implementation were consistently repeated; 2) The PBF Secretariat continues to take part in the Peacebuilding Hub meeting, bridging UN agencies and CSOs; the Secretariat continues to technically support BIOM and Saferworld, NUNOs and continuously including them in project's activities and coordination processes

Leave No one Behind

Select all beneficiaries targeted with the PBF resources as evidenced by the narrative

*

Mandatory

- Unemployed persons
- Minorities (e.g. race, ethnicity, linguistic, religion, etc.)
- Indigenous communities
- Persons with Disabilities
- Persons affected by violence (including GBV)
- Women
- Youth
- Children
- Minorities related to sexual orientation and/or gender identity and expression
- People living in and around border areas
- Persons affected by natural disasters
- Persons affected by armed conflicts
- Internally displaced persons, refugees or migrants

PART IV: Monitoring, Evaluation and Compliance

» Monitoring

Please list key monitoring activities undertaken in the reporting period *

Please limit your response to 3000 characters.

1. Portfolio-level field monitoring mission (PBF Secretariat Manager and M&E Specialist) with the following objectives:

- Validate the findings of the PBF Strategic Results Framework mid-term review, with a specific focus on identifying best practices and recurring weaknesses across the portfolio.
- Pilot a coherent and feasible monitoring route for a potential PBF donor visit.
- Generate monitoring-based lessons learned and targeted recommendations for ongoing projects to strengthen their contribution to peacebuilding outcomes at both outcome and portfolio levels.
- Analyze the context for a potential Kyrgyzstan-Tajikistan project.

2. The UN Resident Coordinator's mission to Osh and Batken formed part of a wider field visit to the southern and western regions of the Kyrgyz Republic. The visit was intended to further familiarize the UNRC with the priorities and needs of the regions, inform the forthcoming Common Country Analysis and the design of the next UNSDCF beyond 2027, and deepen the UNCT's understanding of opportunities for engagement along the Kyrgyz-Tajik border, including in view of a possible new project supported by the UN Peacebuilding Fund.

3. Piloted the Every Day Peace Indicators (EPI) methodology within the new "Empowering Youth to Building Bridges for Social Cohesion" (UNICEF-UNESCO-UNFPA).

4. Held a Community-Based Monitoring lessons learned session for the new "Empowering the state and municipal institutions and civil society to promote dialogue and a culture of peace." (OHCHR, UN Women, UNDP) project.

Do outcome indicators have baselines? *

If only some of the outcome indicators have baselines, select 'yes'

Yes

No

If yes, please provide a brief description. If not, explain why not and when they will be available. *

Please limit your response to 3000 characters.

All expected results have baselines, except for one indicator that measures the level of satisfaction with PBF Secretariat's job among the JSC and RUNOs. This exercise is planned to be held within the framework of the next PBF JSC meeting in June

Elaborate on what sources of evidence have been used to report on indicators (and are available upon request) *

Please limit your response to 3000 characters.

PBF Strategic Results Framework, projects' baseline and endline studies, activity reports.

Has the project launched outcome level data collection initiatives? e.g. perception surveys *

Perception survey is a formal collection of information from a randomly selected sample of respondents through their responses to standardized questions. See PBF Guidance Note for more information [link](#)

Yes

No

Please provide a brief description

Please limit your response to 3000 characters.

*

Has the project used or established community feedback mechanisms?

Community feedback mechanism, or community-based monitoring, is an organized system for communities of participants to monitor the local effects and impact of an intervention. Ideally, this system empowers the community to express whether their expectations are being met and to provide suggestions to decision-makers for possible (re)focusing. See PBF Guidance Note for more information. [link](#)

Yes

No

*

Please provide a brief description

Please limit your response to 3000 characters.

*

» Evaluation

Is the project on track to conduct its evaluation?

Yes

No

Not Applicable

*

Evaluation budget (in USD) included in the project budget:

Response required

0

*

If project will end in next six months, is your upcoming evaluation on track?

Yes

No

Not Applicable

Please describe the preparations

Please limit your response to 3000 characters.

Contact information	Name	Organization	Job title	Email

Please mention the focal person responsible for sharing the final evaluation report with the PBF:				
---	--	--	--	--

» Catalytic Effect

Catalytic Effect (financial): Has the project mobilized additional non-PBF financial resources since the project's start? *

- Yes
- No

How many funders or grants has the project received additional non-PBF funding from **since the project started**? *

Catalytic Effect (non-financial): Has the project enabled or created a larger or longer-term peacebuilding change to occur, in addition to the direct project changes? Please refer to PBF Catalytic Effect Guidelines for more information. *

- Yes
- No

If yes, please select the relevant option below: *

- Some catalytic effect
- Significant catalytic effect

If relevant, please describe how the project has had a (non-financial) catalytic effect, i.e. removed barriers to unblock stalled political, institutional or other peacebuilding processes at different levels in a country, and/or created the conditions to establish new processes to do so *

Please limit your response to 3000 characters.

Sustainability

Please describe any steps that have been taken to ensure the sustainability of peacebuilding gains, including any mechanisms, platforms, networks and socio-economic initiatives supported, beyond the duration of the project

Please limit your response to 3000 characters.

As the PBF Secretariat is linked to the PBF investments in the country, its existence depends on the PBF financing, which in this current eligibility cycle ends in 2026.

Are there any other issues concerning project implementation that you want to share, including any capacity needs of the recipient organizations?

Please limit your response to 3000 characters.

Monitoring and Oversight Activities

Please describe any key event related to monitoring and oversight. Please click next if no activities have yet taken place.

Events include Steering Committee meetings, Monitoring visits, Third party monitoring, Community based monitoring, any data collection, Perception or other survey findings, evaluation reports, audit or investigations.

Monitoring and oversight activities	Name of the Event	Summary	Key Findings

<p>Event 1</p>	<p>Portfolio-level field monitoring mission (PBF Secretariat Manager and M&E Specialist)</p>	<p>The monitoring mission visited 12 project sites across four PBF projects to validate best practices, identify recurring weaknesses, generate monitoring-based lessons learned, and analyze the context for a potential Kyrgyzstan-Tajikistan project. The mission found strong evidence of sustained and catalytic results in several areas, particularly Self-Help Groups, climate-smart technology clusters, women's leadership, and selected PCC initiatives. At the same time, the portfolio remains affected by critical gaps, including weak disability inclusion, repeated support to the same beneficiaries, indirect peacebuilding focus, limited articulation of the peacebuilding rationale, and unresolved structural barriers to cross-border cooperation.</p>	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. The portfolio shows several strong examples of lasting results, especially through Self-Help Groups, women leaders, climate-smart technologies, and selected Public Consultation Councils. 2. Some initiatives have moved beyond project support. Communities are continuing activities, replicating technologies, and mobilizing their own resources. 3. The Uzgen landfill case stands out as one of the clearest examples of peacebuilding in practice, as it addressed a concrete local issue with interethnic conflict potential. 4. Climate-smart technologies have strong conflict prevention value, especially in areas affected by water scarcity. Some models have already been replicated by communities and national stakeholders. 5. Women leaders are playing an important role in mobilizing communities, including across ethnic lines, and linking livelihoods, skills development, and social cohesion. 6. Disability inclusion remains one of the main gaps. Persons with disabilities are still largely absent from project activities, decision-making, and grant support. 7. Some grants are reaching the same active beneficiaries repeatedly, while harder-to-reach groups, including isolated women, border youth, and persons with disabilities, remain less visible. 8. Many beneficiaries
-----------------------	--	--	---

describe what they received from the project, but not always why it matters for peacebuilding. This shows the need to communicate the project logic more clearly and design grants with a stronger link to conflict prevention.

9. The portfolio still leans strongly toward development and livelihoods. These are important, but projects need to engage more clearly with the conflict drivers they aim to address.

10. Cross-border cooperation at community level is promising, but it will remain limited unless trade barriers, legal frameworks, and border procedures are also addressed at policy level.

11. Mental health and psychosocial support emerged as an important need, particularly for conflict-affected youth, women, and persons with disabilities. It should be seen as a condition for meaningful participation, not as an additional activity.

<p>Event 2</p>	<p>The UN Resident Coordinator's mission to Osh and Batken</p>	<p>The UN RC's visit to Osh and Batken helped identify regional priorities and opportunities for future UN engagement, including under the next UNSDCF and possible PBF support. The visit confirmed that recent border agreements and the reopening of contacts have created an important political opening for peacebuilding, trade, mobility and community-level confidence building. At the same time, communities continue to face grievances linked to past violence, demarcation outcomes, disrupted social and economic ties, and caution around renewed cross-border contact. The mission also highlighted strong regional needs around climate adaptation, water management, livelihoods, women and youth entrepreneurship, disability inclusion, civic space, media resilience and support to returning migrants.</p>	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. The border settlement has created new opportunities for peacebuilding, trade and community-level confidence building, but trust remains fragile and needs to be rebuilt gradually. 2. Communities are interested in practical cooperation, especially around trade, livelihoods, cultural and sports exchanges, youth initiatives, women's entrepreneurship and shared services. 3. Views on restoring relations with Tajik communities remain mixed. Many men are still cautious or opposed due to trauma, anger and grievances, while women are more often open to restoring practical and business relations. 4. Cross-border engagement should start with non-politicized and practical issues, such as livelihoods, irrigation, DRR, climate-smart agriculture, community services and local economic cooperation. 5. Border communities need clear reassurance from authorities that peaceful contact, trade and exchanges are safe, supported and permitted. 6. Kara-Bak shows the economic cost of disrupted cross-border relations, especially for apricot farmers who lost access to Tajik traders and wider markets. 7. Climate-smart agriculture and water-efficient technologies are essential, given declining
-----------------------	--	--	---

harvests, water stress and the importance of natural resource management for stability.

8. Osh remains an important regional hub where inclusion, urban planning, diversity management and cross-border connectivity come together.

9. Disability inclusion needs to move beyond formal accessibility requirements toward meaningful participation of persons with disabilities in planning, services and accountability.

10. Civic space and media resilience remain sensitive areas. Practical entry points may include dialogue, LNOB, environmental rights, disability inclusion, community resilience and media self-sustainability.

11. Future UN programming should keep a strong regional lens and link peacebuilding, inclusive governance, climate adaptation and livelihoods as mutually reinforcing priorities.

12. Field-level findings should be connected to national-level policy dialogue, especially on safe cross-border contacts, customs procedures, border management and reassurance by security actors.

<p>Event 3</p>	<p>Piloting the Every Day Peace Indicators (EPI)</p>	<p>The project "Empowering Youth to Building Bridges for Social Cohesion" (UNICEF-UNESCO-UNFPA) piloted the EPI methodology to better understand how youth themselves define peace, social cohesion and constructive engagement with local authorities in their everyday lives. The pilot helped translate abstract project concepts into locally grounded signs of change, based on youth's own experiences and language. The harvested indicators show that youth associate peace with mutual support, reduced discrimination and anger, respect for different views, more equal treatment, stronger adult support, self-confidence, better communication, awareness of opportunities, and belief that change is possible.</p>	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. The EPI pilot helped make abstract concepts such as peacebuilding and social cohesion more practical and meaningful for youth. 2. Youth described positive change in relationships as being able to act as "a bridge", support each other, manage disagreements better, and accept that people have different interests and views. 3. Youth linked peace and social cohesion to reduced discrimination, less anger, less envy, and more equal treatment in everyday life. 4. Youth emphasized the importance of adults supporting them, including families, schools and local authorities. 5. For youth, better cooperation with authorities starts with being taken seriously as partners, not only as beneficiaries. 6. Youth also identified internal changes as important signs of progress, including self-confidence, self-esteem, calmness, openness, self-expression and belief in change. 7. Communication emerged as a key capacity. Youth highlighted the importance of being able to express their needs and communicate their concerns clearly. 8. Awareness of opportunities for cooperation with local authorities is still limited and needs to be strengthened through project activities.
-----------------------	---	--	---

<p>Event 4</p>	<p>Community-Based Monitoring lessons learned session</p>	<p>The session drew on lessons from the implementation of CBM under the “Strengthening national capacities for conflict prevention and peacebuilding: Meaningful civil society engagement for trust-building and social cohesion” project implemented by UNDP and UNESCO. It provided an opportunity to reflect on what worked, what should be adjusted, and how CBM can be better integrated into the design and implementation of the new project from the outset.</p>	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. CBM needs to be simple and practical to be used by community members. The initial methodology was too technical and theory-driven, while field testing showed the need for a simplified approach that non-specialists could understand and apply. 2. The legitimacy of CBM groups is critical. In several locations, participants raised questions about whether monitoring groups were formally authorized to collect information. An official letter or order from the relevant state body would help reduce uncertainty and strengthen confidence in the process. 3. CBM works better where local consultative structures are already active. Higher engagement was observed in Osh, Uzgen and Jalal-Abad, while Tokmok and Aidarken showed lower interest and weaker involvement. This suggests that ongoing project support can help activate local consultative mechanisms. 4. Monitoring social cohesion remains sensitive and difficult for non-specialists. Given the current capacity of group members, CBM should focus on practical issues, including the development of local consultative structures, their role in addressing community problems, and factors affecting their effectiveness. 5. CBM groups need continued support and capacity-building.
-----------------------	--	--	--

			Participants generally understood the questionnaire and monitoring process, but some faced difficulties with technical skills and data processing. Additional training, clearer visual guidance on roles and processes, and regular expert support would strengthen implementation.
Event 5			
Event 6			
Event 7			
Event 8			

Final Steps

- Please save a PDF copy of the form by clicking on the *Printer* icon on the top right corner of the page.
- A dialogue box will appear: Please select the A4 size and portrait orientation.
- Click "prepare" and save the document as a PDF.
- (If on first attempt, the generated page is not readable, close the pop up page and go back to the first page of the online form using the "Return to Beginning" option and try to print the PDF version from there)
- After printing the PDF version, please submit the report in the last page of the form. You can use the "Go to End" button in the bottom right corner.
- In compliance with our reporting requirements, **please upload the PDF version of the report as well as your financial report in excel format to the MPTF-O Gateway.**

If you encounter any difficulty in filling the form or generating the print-out for MPTFO Gateway, please contact Gabriel Velastegui gabriel.velasteguimoya@un.org

Thank You. You have finished the report. Please Click on the SUBMIT button below. When the report is submitted, a confirmation note will appear on a yellow banner on top of the page. This can take a few seconds.
