

# PBF June 2026 Project Progress Report



**PEACEBUILDING  
FUND** 

## PROJECT OVERVIEW

Thank you for taking the time to complete the PBF Progress report. For projects with more than one recipient, please consult among co-recipients prior to filling out the form to ensure collaboration on the responses. If you have any questions or require technical assistance in filling out the form, please send an email to [gabriel.velastegui@un.org](mailto:gabriel.velastegui@un.org)

Click Next below to start

## » Report Submission

Type of report \*

- Semi-annual
- Annual
- Final
- Other

Date of submission of report \*

2026-06-15

Name and title of person submitting the report \*

Melisa Durak, Joint UN Project Coordinator

E-mail of person submitting the report \*

m.durak@unesco.org

Name and title of person who approved the report \*

Sinisa Sesum, Head of Office, UNESCO BiH

Have all fund recipients for this project contributed to the report? \*

Yes

No

Did PBF Secretariat or RCO focal point review the report? \*

*You should normally ensure that the PBF Secretariat or the PBF focal point have an opportunity to review.*

Yes

No

Not Applicable

### » Project Information and Geographical Scope

Is this a cross-border project? \*

Yes

No

Please select the geographical region in which the project is implemented

- Asia and the Pacific       Central & Southern Africa       East Africa  
 Europe and Central Asia       Global       Latin America and the Caribbean  
 Middle East and North Africa       West Africa

Country of project implementation \*

- Albania       Bosnia and Herzegovina       Kosovo (As per UNSCR 1244)  
 Kyrgyzstan       Moldova       Montenegro  
 North Macedonia       Serbia       Tajikistan  
 Uzbekistan       Other, Specify

Other, please specify \*

Project Title \*

- 00134115: Sustaining peace and social cohesion in Bosnia and Herzegovina through enhanced inter-municipal and inter-entity cooperation on local services (SPSC)  
 00140352: Women lead the way towards peace and security in Bosnia and Herzegovina  
 00140395: Support to a PBF Secretariat in Bosnia and Herzegovina to enhance strategic direction coordination and peacebuilding impact  
 00140558: A more Equitable Society: Promoting Social Cohesion and Diversity in Bosnia and Herzegovina (Dialogue for Future 3 – DFF3)  
 00140815: PEACESTORY: Empowering Creative Peacebuilders & Educators  
 00140937: Building long lasting peace in BiH: Investment in the future  
 00141431: Partnerships for equality - No to hate speech and divisive narratives in Bosnia and Herzegovina  
 Other, Specify

Write the 8 digit MPTFO number and Project Title exactly as it appears in the Project Document \*

*EXAMPLE: 00118938: Community-based prevention of violence and social cohesion using innovation for young people in displaced and host communities*

Please select the geographical region(s) in which the project is implemented \*

*If the project you are looking for does not appear in the following question, please make sure that you have selected the correct regions. A limited number of cross border projects span multiple geographic regions. For example, a cross border project between Niger and Chad spans both West Africa and Central & Southern Africa*

- |   |  |  |
|---|--|--|
| <input type="checkbox"/> Asia and the Pacific         | <input type="checkbox"/> Central & Southern Africa | <input type="checkbox"/> East Africa                     |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Europe and Central Asia      | <input type="checkbox"/> Global                    | <input type="checkbox"/> Latin America and the Caribbean |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Middle East and North Africa | <input type="checkbox"/> West Africa               |  |

Please select the title of the project for which you are submitting the report \*

Write the 8 digit MPTFO numbers and Project Title exactly as it appears in the Project Document \*

*EXAMPLE: 00129699/700: Supporting Cross-Border Cooperation for Increased Community Resilience and Social Cohesion in The Gambia and Senegal*

Please select the countries where this project is being implemented \*

Other, Please specify \*

Project Start Date (Date of first transfer) \*

**2026-01-28**

Project End Date \*

**2029-01-28**

Has this project received an extension? \*

- YES, Cost Extension
- YES, No Cost Extension
- YES, Both Cost and No Cost Extensions
- NO, No Extensions

Will this project be requesting an extension? \*

- YES, Cost Extension
- YES, No Cost Extension
- YES, Both Cost and No Cost Extensions
- NO, No Extensions

Will this project be submitting a Fund Transfer Request (FTR) in the next six months? \*

- Yes
- No

If so, around which month do you expect to submit the request? \*

January

February

March

April

May

June

July

August

September

October

November

December

Is the current project end date within 6 months? \*

Yes

No

Is funding disbursed either into a national or regional trust fund? \*

Yes

No

If yes, please select which \*

National Trust Fund

Regional Trust Fund

## Recipients

Is the convening agency a UN agency or a non UN entity? \*

- UN entity  
 Non-UN Entity

Please select the convening agency recipient \*

- UNDP: United Nations Development Programme     IOM: International Organization for Migration  
 UNICEF: United Nations Children's Fund  
 OHCHR: Office of the United Nations High Commissioner for Human Rights  
 UNWOMEN: United Nations Entity for Gender Equality and the Empowerment of Women  
 UNHCR: United Nations High Commissioner for Refugees     UNFPA: United Nations Population Fund  
 FAO: Food and Agriculture Organization     WFP: World Food Programme  
 UNHABITAT: United Nations Human Settlements Programme  
 UNESCO: United Nations Educational, Scientific and Cultural Organization  
 UNEP: United Nations Environment Programme     ILO: International Labour Organization  
 WHO: World Health Organization     PAHO/WHO  
 UNCDF: United Nations Capital Development Fund     UNODC: United Nations Office on Drugs and Crime  
 UNOPS: United Nations Office for Project Services  
 UNIDO: United Nations Industrial Development Organization     ITC: International Trade Centre  
 UNDPO     Other, Specify

Other, Please specify \*

Are there other recipients for this project? \*

- No other recipients  
 Yes, other UN recipients only  
 Yes, other non-UN recipients only  
 Yes, both UN and non-UN recipients

Please select other UN recipients

\*

Select all that apply

- UNDP: United Nations Development Programme  IOM: International Organization for Migration
- UNICEF: United Nations Children's Fund
- OHCHR: Office of the United Nations High Commissioner for Human Rights
- UNWOMEN: United Nations Entity for Gender Equality and the Empowerment of Women
- UNHCR: United Nations High Commissioner for Refugees  UNFPA: United Nations Population Fund
- FAO: Food and Agriculture Organization  WFP: World Food Programme
- UNHABITAT: United Nations Human Settlements Programme
- UNESCO: United Nations Educational, Scientific and Cultural Organization
- UNEP: United Nations Environment Programme  ILO: International Labour Organization
- WHO: World Health Organization  PAHO/WHO
- UNCDF: United Nations Capital Development Fund  UNODC: United Nations Office on Drugs and Crime
- UNOPS: United Nations Office for Project Services
- UNIDO: United Nations Industrial Development Organization  ITC: International Trade Centre
- UN Department of Peace Operations  Other, Specify

Other, Please specify

\*

Please select other non-UN recipients

\*

- |  |  |   |
|--|--|---|
| <input type="checkbox"/> ACTED   | <input type="checkbox"/> Action Aid UK   | <input type="checkbox"/> AAITG (ActionAid the Gambia)   |
| <input type="checkbox"/> AEDE  | <input type="checkbox"/> African Centre for the Constructive Resolution of Disputes (ACCORD) |   |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Agence de Coopération et de Recherche pour le Développement (ACORD)                               |  |   |
| <input type="checkbox"/> American Friends Service Committee (AFSC)   | <input type="checkbox"/> Avocats Sans Frontières   |   |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Avocats Sans Frontières Belgium   | <input type="checkbox"/> Avocats sans frontières Canada                                      | <input type="checkbox"/> Ayuda en Accion                |
| <input type="checkbox"/> BIRN - Balkan Investigative Reporting Network   | <input type="checkbox"/> BIOM -Youth Ecological Movemen                                      |   |
| <input type="checkbox"/> CARE International UK   | <input type="checkbox"/> Centre d'étude et de coopération internationale (CECI) - BF         |   |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Christian Aid Ireland   | <input type="checkbox"/> COIPRODEN   | <input type="checkbox"/> Concern Worldwide              |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Conexion Guatemala  | <input type="checkbox"/> COOPI - Cooperazione Internazionale                                 | <input type="checkbox"/> CORD Burundi                   |
| <input type="checkbox"/> CORDAID   | <input type="checkbox"/> Corporacion Sisma Mujer   | <input type="checkbox"/> CRS - Catholic Relief Services |
| <input type="checkbox"/> DanChurchAid  | <input type="checkbox"/> Danish Refugee Council  | <input type="checkbox"/> EQUITAS                        |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Fund for Congolese Women  | <input type="checkbox"/> Fundacion Estudios Superior (FESU)                                  | <input type="checkbox"/> Fundación Mi Sangre (FMS)      |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Fundación Nacional para el Desarrollo de Honduras (FUNADEH)                                       | <input type="checkbox"/> Fundación para la Libertad de Prensa (FLIP)                         |   |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Geneva Centre for Security Sector Governance (DCAF)   | <input type="checkbox"/> HELVETAS Swiss Intercooperation                                     |   |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Humanity & Inclusion (HI)   | <input type="checkbox"/> ICTJ (International Center for Transitional Justice)                |   |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Instituto Holandes para Democracia Multipartidaria (NIMD)   | <input type="checkbox"/> Integrity Watch   |   |
| <input type="checkbox"/> International Alert   | <input type="checkbox"/> International Rescue Committee                                      | <input type="checkbox"/> Interpeace                     |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Kvinna till Kvinna Foundation   | <input type="checkbox"/> Life and Peace Institute (LPI)                                      |   |
| <input type="checkbox"/> MDG-EISA - Institut Electoral pour une Démocratie Durable en Afrique (EISA), bureau de Madagascar |  |   |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Mercy Corps   | <input type="checkbox"/> MLAL - ProgettoMondo  | <input type="checkbox"/> MSIS-TATAO                     |
| <input type="checkbox"/> NIMD (Netherlands Institute for Multiparty Democracy)   | <input type="checkbox"/> Nonviolent Peaceforce   |   |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Norwegian Refugee Council (NRC)   | <input type="checkbox"/> Nile Sustainable Development Organization - NSDO                    |   |
| <input type="checkbox"/> OCNH-Organisation des Citoyens pour une Nouvelle Haïti  | <input type="checkbox"/> OIKOS   |   |
| <input type="checkbox"/> ONG Adkoul - ONG Adkoul   | <input type="checkbox"/> ONG AZHAR   | <input type="checkbox"/> OXFAM                          |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Peace Direct  | <input type="checkbox"/> Plan International  | <input type="checkbox"/> PNG UN Country Fund            |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Red de Instituciones por los Derechos de la Niñez   | <input type="checkbox"/> ROI - Roza Otunbayeva Initiati                                      |   |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Saferworld  | <input type="checkbox"/> Sampan'Asa Momba ny Fampandrosoana (SAF/FJKM)                       |   |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Save the Children   | <input type="checkbox"/> Search for Common Ground (SFCG)                                     |   |
| <input type="checkbox"/> SIHA (Strategic Initiative for Women in the Horn of Africa)                                       | <input type="checkbox"/> SismaMujer  |   |
| <input type="checkbox"/> SOS Sahel Sudan   | <input type="checkbox"/> Stichting Impunity Watch  | <input type="checkbox"/> Tearfund                       |
| <input type="checkbox"/> The Carter Center, Inc.   | <input type="checkbox"/> Trocaire  | <input type="checkbox"/> War Child                      |
| <input type="checkbox"/> War Childhood Museum (WCM)  | <input type="checkbox"/> World Vision International  | <input type="checkbox"/> World Vision Myanmar           |
| <input type="checkbox"/> ZOA   | <input type="checkbox"/> blank_placeholder   | <input type="checkbox"/> Other, Please specify          |

Other, Please specify

\*

## Implementing Partners

To how many implementing partners has the project transferred money **since the project's start** ?

1

To how many implementing partners has the project transferred money **during this calendar period** ?

*(for June reports: January-June;*

*for November reports: January-December (anticipated);*

*for final reports: full project duration)*

1

Please list all of the project's implementing partners and the amounts (in USD) transferred to each, both since the project's start, and specifically during this calendar period

Please select the type of organisation which best describes the type of implementing partner \*

- National youth CSO
- National women's CSO
- Other National CSO
- Subnational youth CSO
- Subnational women's CSO
- Other subnational CSO
- Regional CSO
- Regional Organisation
- International NGO
- Governmental entity
- National women's and youth CSO
- Subnational women's and youth CSO
- Other

Other, Please specify

**Coalition for Freedom of Expression and Content Moderation**

What is the name of the Implementing Partner \*

**Media Center**

What is the planned total amount (in USD) for the **overall duration of the project** to be disbursed to this implementing partner? \*

*Please use a dot (.) as decimal separator, instead of a comma (,)*

230000

What is the total amount (in USD) disbursed to the implementing partner **since the project's start**? \*

*Please use a dot (.) as decimal separator, instead of a comma (,)*

48000

What is the total amount (in USD) disbursed to the implementing partner **during this calendar period**? \*

*Please use a dot (.) as decimal separator, instead of a comma (,)*

48000

Briefly describe the main activities carried out by the Implementing Partner during this calendar period \*

*Please limit your response to 1500 characters*

**Support the Coalition for Freedom of Expression and Content Moderation in BiH to improve online content governance, monitor the media landscape and media policy on hate speech and divisive narratives, monitor and acquire data and information concerning different topics of harmful content, establishing an online repository of examples.**

## Financial Reporting

### » Delivery by Recipient

**Please enter the total amounts in full US dollars allocated to each recipient organization**

Please enter the original budget amount, amount transferred to date and estimated expenditure by recipient.

*Please make sure you enter the correct amount. All values should be entered in **US Dollars***

For cross-border projects, group the amounts by agency, even if different country offices are involved. You will have the opportunity to share a more detailed budget in the next section.

<b>Recipients</b>	<b>Total Project Budget</b> (in full US \$) <i>Please enter the total budget as is in the project document in US Dollars</i>	<b>Transfers to date</b> (in full US \$) <i>Please enter the total amount transferred to each recipient to date in US Dollars</i>	<b>Expenditure to date</b> (in full US \$) <i>Please enter the approximate amount spent to date in US dollars</i>	<b>Implementation rate as a percentage of total budget</b> (calculated automatically)
<b>UNESCO: United Nations Educational, Scientific and Cultural Organization</b>	1300619	780371	68232.67	5.25%



	*	*	*	%
	*	*	*	%
	*	*	*	%
	*	*	*	%
	*	*	*	%
	*	*	*	%
<b>TOTAL</b>	<b>3000000</b>	<b>1800000</b>	<b>135209.5</b>	<b>4.51</b> <b>%</b>

The approximate implementation rate as percentage of total project budget based on the values entered in the above matrix is **4.51%**. Can you confirm that this is correct? \*

Correct  Incorrect

If it is incorrect, please enter the approximate implementation rate as a % \*

## » Gender-responsive Budgeting

Indicate what **percentage (%)** of the budget contributes to gender equality or women's empowerment (GEWE) as per the project document? \*

44.16

The dollar amount of the budget contributing to Gender Equality and Women's Empowerment (GEWE) based on percentage entered above and total project budget is **US \$ 1324800**. Can you confirm that this is correct? \*

Correct  Incorrect

If it is incorrect, please enter the *budget amount* allocated to GEWE in US Dollars \*

Amount expended to date on efforts contributing to gender equality or women's empowerment is **US \$ 59708.52**. Is this correct? \*

Correct  Incorrect

If it is incorrect, please enter the *expenditure to date* on GEWE in US dollars \*

ATTACH PROJECT EXCEL BUDGET SHOWING CURRENT APPROXIMATE EXPENDITURE. \*

The templates for the budget are available [here](#)

No hate speech as of 01 June 2026-14\_56\_11.xlsx



## Project Markers

Please select the Gender Marker Associated with this project \*

- Score 1 for projects that contribute in some way to gender equality, but not significantly (less than 30% of the total budget for GEWE)
- Score 2 for projects that have gender equality as a significant objective and allocate between 30 and 79% of the total project budget to GEWE
- Score 3 for projects that have gender equality as a principal objective and allocate at least 80% of the total project budget to Gender Equality and Women's Empowerment (GEWE)

Please select the Risk Marker Associated with this project \*

- Risk marker 0 = low risk to achieving outcomes
- Risk marker 1 = medium risk to achieving outcomes
- Risk marker 2 = high risk to achieving outcomes

Please select the PBF Focus Area associated with this project \*

- (1.1) Security Sector Reform
- (1.2) Rule of Law
- (1.3) Demobilisation, Disarmament and Reintegration
- (1.4) Political Dialogue
- (2.1) National reconciliation
- (2.2) Democratic Governance
- (2.3) Conflict prevention/management
- (3.1) Employment
- (3.2) Equitable access to social services
- (4.1) Strengthening of essential national state capacity
- (4.2) Extension of state authority/Local Administration
- (4.3) Governance of peacebuilding resources (including PBF Secretariats)

Is the project part of one or more PBF priority windows? \*

*Select all that apply*

- Gender promotion initiative
- Youth promotion initiative
- Transition from UN or regional peacekeeping or special political missions
- Cross-border or regional project
- None

## Steering Committee and Government engagement

Does the project have an active steering committee/ project board? \*

- Yes
- No

If yes, please indicate how many times the Project Steering Committee has met over the last 6 months?

*Please limit your response to 3000 characters*

**The project has an active Project Steering Committee, which has met once. The initial Project Steering Committee meeting was held on 27 March 2026 at the UN House in Sarajevo. The meeting was co-chaired by the Minister of Communications and Transport of BiH and the UN Resident Coordinator in BiH, with participation of relevant UN agencies, institutional partners, the Swiss Embassy, the PBF Secretariat and civil society/media representatives. During the meeting, members reviewed the project scope, objectives, expected results, 2026 Annual Work Plan, implementation timeline, governance structure and role of the Project Steering Committee. Members also provided strategic guidance on coordination, outcome-level focus, communication and narratives, human rights compliance, gender-responsiveness, youth and cultural engagement, and the use of digital tools and AI.**

Please provide a brief description of any engagement that the project has had with the government over the last 6 months. Please indicate what level of government the project has been engaging with.

*Please limit your response to 3000 characters*

The project has maintained regular engagement with the state-level government, primarily through the Ministry of Communications and Transport of Bosnia and Herzegovina. Discussions focused on providing updates on the project's overall progress, inception phase and activity implementation planning and objectives, as well as refreshing and reaffirming the project's priorities. The project team also coordinated with the Ministry regarding the organization and convening of the Project Steering Committee meeting, ensuring government participation in strategic oversight, guidance, and decision-making processes. These engagements have helped strengthen government ownership of the project, facilitate alignment with national priorities, and support effective coordination among relevant stakeholders involved in project implementation. Furthermore, the project was briefly introduced to the Agency for Gender Equality of BiH during the initial meeting held in May with the aim of informing the Agency about the programme and opening discussion on possible modalities of cooperation, given its state-level mandate on gender equality. The exchange focused on the overall project objectives, its relevance for gender equality, women's participation and social cohesion and potential entry points for future coordination.

## PART I: OVERALL PROJECT PROGRESS

NOTES FOR COMPLETING THE REPORT:

- Avoid acronyms and UN jargon, use general /common language.
- Report on what has been achieved in the reporting period, not what the project aims to do.
- Be as concrete as possible. Avoid theoretical, vague or conceptual discourse.
- Ensure the analysis and project progress assessment is gender and age sensitive.

Please rate the implementation status of the following preliminary/preparatory activities

Contracting of partners \*

- Not Started       Initiated       Partially Completed  
 Completed       Not Applicable

Staff Recruitment \*

- Not Started       Initiated       Partially Completed  
 Completed       Not Applicable

Collection of baselines \*

- Not Started       Initiated       Partially Completed  
 Completed       Not Applicable

Identification of beneficiaries \*

- Not Started       Initiated       Partially Completed  
 Completed       Not Applicable

\*

Provide any additional descriptive information relating to the status of the project, including whether preliminary/preparatory activities have been completed (i.e. contracting of partners, staff recruitment, etc.)

*Please limit your response to 3000 characters*

**Core project staff recruitment has been completed, ensuring dedicated capacity for coordination, implementation follow-up and day-to-day management. In parallel, the project is finalizing the engagement of specialized technical expertise to strengthen the analytical and evidence base for implementation, support results-oriented planning, and ensure stronger integration across research, analysis, monitoring, communications, civil society engagement and institutional cooperation.**

**Joint UN Project Coordinator (UNESCO, Lead agency), 100% time engagement**

**Project Coordinator, UN Women, 100% time engagement**

**Project Manager (UNDP), 40 % time engagement**

**Project Associate (UNDP), 50 % time engagement**

**Partner contracting is partially completed. Lead CSO (Media Centar) has been engaged as a key implementing partner to support the Coalition for Freedom of Expression and Content Moderation in BiH, including evidence generation, monitoring of harmful narratives and online content governance. Remaining partner Terms of Reference are being refined to ensure clear links with the results framework, budget modalities and expected outputs, and to avoid overlap across media, AI-supported monitoring, policy dialogue and public narrative activities before the remaining selection and contracting processes are launched or finalized, implementation approach.**

**Baseline data collection has not yet started. Preparatory work is underway to review available evidence, clarify data sources and define the most appropriate baseline approach, ensuring that baseline values are collected consistently and aligned with the results framework and monitoring needs.**

**Initial beneficiary groups and key stakeholders have been identified through project design, early institutional engagement and consultations with civil society organizations, which highlighted the need for coordinated work with institutions, media, CSOs, local communities, digital platforms, youth, activists, journalists and exposed groups, particularly women. The project has also engaged the UN Youth Advisory Board, whose members will advise on the further design of youth-focused campaign activities. Beneficiary groups will be further refined as partner engagements, baseline preparations and planned activities are finalized, to ensure targeted, inclusive and results-aligned outreach. Furthermore, the project has identified focal points from police agencies and prosecutor's offices who will serve as institutional representatives throughout project implementation. To support coordination and effective delivery of activities aimed at strengthening institutional responses to hate speech, the project will convene a joint meeting to present planned interventions and agree on implementation approaches.**

Describe overall progress under each Outcome made during the reporting period (*for June reports: January-June; for November reports: January-December (anticipated); for final reports: full project duration*). Do not list individual activities. If the project is starting to make/has made a difference at the outcome level, provide specific evidence for the progress (quantitative and qualitative) and explain how it impacts the broader political and peacebuilding context.

Is the project on track for the timely completion of outputs as indicated in the workplan?

\*

Yes

No

If no, please provide an explanation

*Please limit your response to 6000 characters*

\*

### Project progress summary

*Please limit your response to 6000 characters*

**During the reporting period, the project supported the work of the Coalition for Freedom of Expression, a platform bringing together 20+ civil society organizations, to advance freedom of expression, digital rights, and online safety in Bosnia and Herzegovina. Through two facilitated coordination and planning meetings, Coalition members adopted a joint work plan and agreed on common advocacy priorities and actions for the remainder of the year. This strengthened cooperation among member organizations and established a more structured mechanism for information sharing, joint advocacy, and engagement with relevant institutions on issues related to freedom of expression, digital rights, and countering harmful online content.**

**The Coalition established a workplan for dialogue with public institutions, the Central Election Commission, social media companies, and the wider public. These efforts contribute to stronger stakeholder collaboration and create conditions for increased awareness of digital rights and online harms, and stronger resilience to misinformation and divisive narratives.**

**In the broader peacebuilding context, the project supports more inclusive and informed public discourse, helping to build trust, reduce polarization, and promote democratic participation in Bosnia and Herzegovina.**

**In addition, early civil society consultations helped refine the project's implementation approach by identifying key gaps in current responses, including fragmentation, weak information-sharing, limited visibility of local initiatives and the need for a clearer continuum between prevention, monitoring, early response, protection and support. These inputs are being used to inform the sequencing and design of upcoming activities, so that implementation is better grounded in stakeholder experience and focused on areas where the project can add practical value.**

**In June 2026, the project will convene its Kick-off Conference, bringing together key stakeholders from government institutions, civil society, international organizations, and other partners to launch project activities and build a shared understanding of project objectives and expected results.**

**This progress contribute to improved collaboration among institutions, civil society, and other relevant actors, while supporting more inclusive and informed public discourse.**

**This provides a solid basis for the next phase of implementation, in which the project will further translate established coordination structures and stakeholder engagement into operational delivery, evidence generation and targeted engagement with key stakeholders. The focus will be on ensuring that planned activities are mutually reinforcing and clearly linked to the project's expected results.**

\*

Indicate any additional analysis on how Gender Equality and Women's Empowerment and/or Youth Inclusion and Responsiveness has been ensured by the project to date

*Please limit your response to 3000 characters*

**Gender equality, women's empowerment and youth inclusion have been integrated into the project's initial implementation and planning processes. Early civil society consultations highlighted that hate speech and divisive narratives affect the safety and openness of public space, particularly for women, women journalists, women activists, human rights defenders and other exposed groups. These inputs helped confirm the need for a more integrated response that links prevention, monitoring, early response, protection and support, while ensuring that gendered forms of hate speech and online harms are addressed as part of the project's overall approach.**

**The consultations also emphasized the importance of moving beyond fragmented and reactive responses and strengthening cooperation among institutions, media, civil society, local communities and digital platforms. This is important for gender equality and women's empowerment, as women and women-led/gender equality organizations are not treated only as beneficiaries, but as stakeholders whose experience and analysis can inform the design of future activities, including protection-oriented measures, strategic communication and local-level engagement.**

**Youth inclusion has also been reflected in the project's preparatory work. Consultations with young people on gender equality, EVAW, WPS and social cohesion showed that youth want to move from symbolic participation toward meaningful influence in planning, implementation and evaluation. Young people emphasized the need for community-based approaches, stronger feedback loops, action-oriented campaigns, engagement of men and boys, and communication that combines online and offline approaches. These findings are being used to inform youth-focused outreach and campaign design, including planned engagement of young people as contributors to the development of messages and approaches intended for their peers.**

**At this early stage, the project's contribution is therefore mainly reflected in ensuring that GEWE and youth inclusion are built into the implementation logic, stakeholder engagement and future activity design. This provides a basis for more gender-responsive, youth-informed and socially inclusive responses to hate speech and divisive narratives during the next phase of implementation.**

Is the project 1+ year in implementation?

Yes

No

**FOR PROJECTS 1+ YEAR IN IMPLEMENTATION ONLY:**

Is the project demonstrating outcome-level peacebuilding results?

*Outcome-level peacebuilding results entail results achieved at the societal or structural level, including changed attitudes, behaviours or institutions.*

Yes

No

If yes, please provide concrete examples of such peacebuilding results

*Please limit your response to 6000 characters*

If yes, please provide sources or references (including links) as evidence of peacebuilding results, or submit them as additional attachments.

*Evidence may be quantitative or qualitative but needs to demonstrate progress against outcome indicators in the project results framework. Sources may include project surveys (such as perception surveys), monitoring reports, government documents, or other knowledge products that have been developed by the project.*

File attachment

[Click here to upload file.](#) (< 10MB)

## PART II: PROJECT RESULTS FRAMEWORK

How many OUTCOMES does this project have \*

1

2

3

4

5

more than 5.

Please write out the project outcomes as they are in the project results framework found in the project document

Outcome 1: \*

**Equality and social cohesion are advanced as key institutions, and other societal actors demonstrate increased accountability and actively collaborate to counter hate speech/divisive narratives and foster inclusive public discourse**

Outcome 2: \*

Outcome 3: \*

Outcome 4: \*

Outcome 5: \*

Outcome 6: \*

Outcome 7: \*

Outcome 8:

\*

Additional Outcomes

*If the project has more than 8 outcomes, please enumerate the remaining outcomes here*

\*

## INDICATOR BASED PERFORMANCE ASSESSMENT

Using the Project Results Framework as per the approved project document or any amendments, provide an update on the achievement of all **outcome** and **output** indicators in the table below.

- Where it has not been possible to collect data on indicators, state this and provide any explanation.
- Provide gender and age disaggregated data. (500 characters max per entry)

» **Outcome 1: Equality and social cohesion are advanced as key institutions, and other societal actors demonstrate increased accountability and actively collaborate to counter hate speech/divisive narratives and foster inclusive public discourse**

O C 1	Outcome Indicators	Indicator Baseline	End of Project Indicator Target	Indicator progress for reporting period	Indicator progress since project's start	Reasons for Variance/Delay (if any)
1.1	Percentage increase in accountability measures taken by targeted institutions and media, to counter hate speech and divisive narratives, disaggregated by the type of actor and gender representation in decision-making roles	0%	TBD	0 / Not yet measurable	0	No measurable progress to report yet. The project is in the initial implementation phase, with preparatory work underway to define monitoring arrangements, data sources and partner engagement modalities.
1.2	Increase in media outlets and digital platforms implementing self-regulation mechanisms, applying ethical standards, or utilizing ICT tools for identifying and countering harmful content.	1%	5%	0% this period	0	No increase recorded during the reporting period. Initial work with Media Center and the Coalition has started to support future evidence generation, online content governance and engagement with relevant media/digital actors.

1. 3	Percentage of surveyed individuals who perceive a reduction in the prevalence and impact of hate speech in public discourse and online spaces, segregated by gender of surveyed individuals and types of hate speech, including gender, ethnic or religious, political, sexual orientation-based hate speech	To be determined based on initial assesment	5% increase in perception of reduction in hate speech by the end of the project	No progress data available. Perception-based data collection has not yet been launched; baseline methodology and data collection approach are under preparation. 0	0	No progress data available. Perception-based data collection has not yet been launched; baseline methodology and data collection approach are under preparation and data is expected in Q3 2026
1. 4	Number and frequency of documented incidents of hate speech in public discourse and online platforms, as recorded through the Coalition's monitoring mechanism, disaggregated by type of hate speech, target group, and medium (e.g. social media, political speech, traditional media).	TBD	10% reduction in the frequency of documented hate speech incidents over the implementation period	Not available / monitoring mechanism under preparation	0	No comparable trend data available yet. Preparatory work has started through engagement with Media Center and the Coalition to support future monitoring of harmful narratives and documented incidents.
1. 5						

How many outputs does outcome 1 have?

1    2    **3**    4    5    more than 5.

Please list all outputs for outcome 1

Output 1.1

**Key institutions and civil society have enhanced capacities to monitor, document, and effectively respond to hate speech and divisive narratives including gendered hate speech**

Output 1.2

**Political actors, media, and social media platforms have increased accountability for responsible communication and content dissemination, and respectful and inclusive discourses**

Output 1.3

**Human rights defenders, journalists and cultural institutions collaborate to facilitate dialogue and concerted action against hate speech and disinformation across diverse communities, while ensuring gender-sensitive approaches and informing policy decisions**

Output 1.4

Output 1.5

Other Outputs

*If Outcome 1 has more than 5 outputs, please enumerate the remaining outputs here*

For each output, and using the, project results framework, provide an update on the progress made against all output indicators

**» Output 1.1: Key institutions and civil society have enhanced capacities to monitor, document, and effectively respond to hate speech and divisive narratives including gendered hate speech**

1. 1	Output Indicators <i>Describe the indicator</i>	Indicator Baseline <i>State the baseline value of the indicator</i>	End of Project Indicator Target <i>State the target value of the indicator at the end of the project</i>	Indicator progress for reporting period <i>State the current value of the indicator for the reporting period</i>	Indicator progress since project's start <i>State the current cumulative value of the indicator since the start of the project</i>	Reasons for Variance/ Delay (if any) <i>Explain why the indicator is off track or has changed, where relevant</i>
1. 1. 1	<b>Number of Coalitions MoUs, advocacy strategies, SoPs, partnership agreements signed between the Coalition and state institutions, guidelines, focused on preventing online hate speech, online repository examples, divisive narratives, and political advertising.</b>	0	5	<b>0 formal outputs completed; preparatory progress achieved</b>	0	<b>The Coalition adopted a joint work plan and agreed on priority actions for the remainder of the year. While formal MoUs, SOPs, guidelines or repository products have not yet been completed, this represents preparatory progress toward the indicator.</b>

<p>1. 1. 2</p>	<p>Number of legislative and policy measures developed, updated, or proposed to address hate speech, including the role of generative AI, validated by state and entity-level ministries of interior and prosecutorial offices, with a subset explicitly incorporating a gender perspective or provisions addressing gender-based hate speech.</p>	<p>0</p>	<p>4</p>	<p>0</p>	<p>0</p>	<p>No legislative or policy measures were developed, updated or proposed during the reporting period.</p>
<p>1. 1. 3</p>	<p>Number of institutions with improved capacity, cooperation, and policies and guidelines to address hate speech, including gendered hate speech, denial justification, trivialization of genocide, war crimes and crimes against humanity and genocide denial.</p>	<p>TBD</p>	<p>25</p>	<p>Not yet measurable / 0</p>	<p>0</p>	<p>No institution can yet be counted as having improved capacity, cooperation or policies under the project. Initial engagement through the Project Steering Committee and consultations established the basis for future institutional cooperation.</p>

<p>1. 1. 4</p>	<p>Extent to which law enforcement, prosecutorial, and judicial institutions demonstrate improved practices and collaboration in addressing hate speech, including gendered hate speech, denial, justification, trivialization of genocide, war crimes and crimes against humanity and genocide denial. (measured against 5-point scale).</p>	<p>Baseline (2024): 2-Limited</p>	<p>Target (2027): 4-Substantial*</p>	<p>No change / baseline remains 2-Limited</p>	<p>0</p>	<p>No change in scale rating is reported during this initial period. The project has not yet implemented activities sufficient to assess improved institutional practices or collaboration.</p>
<p>1. 1. 5</p>	<p>Number of strategic partnerships, policy outputs, and sustainability mechanisms established by the Coalition for Freedom of Expression and Content Moderation to strengthen its operational capacity and ensure continuity beyond the project period.</p>	<p>0</p>	<p>3</p>	<p>0</p>	<p>0</p>	<p>No formal strategic partnerships, policy outputs or sustainability mechanisms established by the Coalition were completed during the reporting period. The Coalition adopted a joint work plan and agreed on priority actions, creating the basis for future progress under this indicator.</p>

**» Output 1.2: Political actors, media, and social media platforms have increased accountability for responsible communication and content dissemination, and respectful and inclusive discourses**

1. 2.	Output Indicators <i>Describe the indicator</i>	Indicator Baseline <i>State the baseline value of the indicator</i>	End of Project Indicator Target <i>State the target value of the indicator at the end of the project</i>	Indicator progress for reporting period <i>State the current value of the indicator for the reporting period</i>	Indicator progress since project's start <i>State the current cumulative value of the indicator since the start of the project</i>	Reasons for Variance/ Delay (if any) <i>Explain why the indicator is off track or has changed, where relevant</i>
1. 2. 1	<b>Number of policies or regulations developed, revised, or implemented by partner institutions addressing harmful content, hate speech, and disinformation, aligned with international standards.</b>	<b>1 (refers to existing BiH Criminal Code)</b>	<b>10</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>No new policies or regulations were developed, revised or implemented during the reporting period.</b>
1. 2. 2	<b>Number of formal commitments made by political actors and decision-makers that have been implemented to promote inclusive dialogue and prevent hate speech in public discourse</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>5</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>No formal commitments by political actors were implemented during the reporting period. Related engagement is expected in the next phase of implementation.</b>

1. 2. 3	Number of journalists, civil society actors and political stakeholders with increased capacities to address and counter hate speech, divisive narratives, or harmful online content through advocacy, innovative tools, or policy influence, disaggregated by gender	0	Target: 50 (at least 40% of women and men)	0	0	No capacity-building activity resulting in measurable increased capacities was completed during the reporting period. Preparatory work and partner engagement are underway.
1. 2. 4						
1. 2. 5						

**» Output 1.3: Human rights defenders, journalists and cultural institutions collaborate to facilitate dialogue and concerted action against hate speech and disinformation across diverse communities, while ensuring gender-sensitive approaches and informing policy decisions**

1. 3	Output Indicators <i>Describe the indicator</i>	Indicator Baseline <i>State the baseline value of the indicator</i>	End of Project Indicator Target <i>State the target value of the indicator at the end of the project</i>	Indicator progress for reporting period <i>State the current value of the indicator for the reporting period</i>	Indicator progress since project's start <i>State the current cumulative value of the indicator since the start of the project</i>	Reasons for Variance/ Delay (if any) <i>Explain why the indicator is off track or has changed, where relevant</i>
1. 3. 1	<p><b>Number of dialogues, mechanisms, platforms and/or coalitions created and sustained that enable meaningful and safe participation and engagement by gender equality advocates and civil society organizations working on gender equality and women's empowerment, especially women's organizations, in decision-making, facilitated by the project (UN Women SP Indicator 0.5.c)</b></p>	0	10	1	1	<p><b>One civil society consultation process was facilitated during the reporting period to inform project design and operational planning. The consultation enabled participation of civil society actors, including organizations/advocates working on gender equality and women's empowerment, and provided inputs on risks, gaps and priorities related to hate speech, divisive narratives, protection of exposed groups and more coordinated responses.</b></p>

<p>1. 3. 2</p>	<p>Number of civil society organizations working on gender equality and women's empowerment, especially women's organizations, that have strengthened capacity to exercise their leadership role towards the achievement of gender equality and women's empowerment with the support of the project (UN Women SP Indicator 0.5.d)</p>	<p>0</p>	<p>10</p>	<p>0</p>	<p>0</p>	<p>No CSO capacity-strengthening activity was completed during the reporting period.</p>
<p>1. 3. 3</p>	<p>Number of multi-stakeholder dialogue processes facilitated by the project to promote engagement of governments with civil society and other partners to advance gender equality and women's empowerment (UN Women SP Indicator 0.1.h)</p>	<p>0</p>	<p>5</p>	<p>0</p>	<p>0</p>	<p>No multi-stakeholder dialogue process specifically focused on advancing gender equality and women's empowerment was completed during the reporting period. The Project Steering Committee and CSO consultations created entry points for future gender-responsive dialogue.</p>

1. 3. 4	<b>Number of peacebuilding processes<sup>45</sup> inclusive of young women supported by the project (UN Women SP Indicator 0.7.h)</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>10</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>No peacebuilding process inclusive of young women can yet be counted. Youth- focused engagement, including a planned ideathon, is under preparation.</b>
1. 3. 5						

» Output 1.4:

1. 4	Output Indicators <i>Describe the indicator</i>	Indicator Baseline <i>State the baseline value of the indicator</i>	End of Project Indicator Target <i>State the target value of the indicator at the end of the project</i>	Indicator progress for reporting period <i>State the current value of the indicator for the reporting period</i>	Indicator progress since project's start <i>State the current cumulative value of the indicator since the start of the project</i>	Reasons for Variance/ Delay (if any) <i>Explain why the indicator is off track or has changed, where relevant</i>
1. 4. 1						
1. 4. 2						
1. 4. 3						
1. 4. 4						
1. 4. 5						

» Output 1.5:

1. 5	Output Indicators <i>Describe the indicator</i>	Indicator Baseline <i>State the baseline value of the indicator</i>	End of Project Indicator Target <i>State the target value of the indicator at the end of the project</i>	Indicator progress for reporting period <i>State the current value of the indicator for the reporting period</i>	Indicator progress since project's start <i>State the current cumulative value of the indicator since the start of the project</i>	Reasons for Variance/Delay (if any) <i>Explain why the indicator is off track or has changed, where relevant</i>
1. 5. 1						
1. 5. 2						
1. 5. 3						
1. 5. 4						
1. 5. 5						

» Outcome 2:

O C 2	Outcome Indicator s	Indicator Baseline	End of Project Indicator Target	Indicator progress for reporting period	Indicator progress since project's start	Reasons for Variance/ Delay (if any)
2. 1						
2. 2						
2. 3						
2. 4						
2. 5						

How many outputs does outcome 2 have?

1    2    3    4    5    more than 5.

Please list all outputs for outcome 2

Output 2.1

Output 2.2

Output 2.3

---

Output 2.4

---

Output 2.5

---

Other Outputs

*If Outcome 2 has more than 5 outputs, please enumerate the remaining outputs here*

---

For each output, and using the, project results framework, provide an update on the progress made against all output indicators

» **Output 2.1:**

2. 1	Output Indicators <i>Describe the indicator</i>	Indicator Baseline <i>State the baseline value of the indicator</i>	End of Project Indicator Target <i>State the target value of the indicator at the end of the project</i>	Indicator progress for reporting period <i>State the current value of the indicator for the reporting period</i>	Indicator progress since project's start <i>State the current cumulative value of the indicator since the start of the project</i>	Reasons for Variance/ Delay (if any) <i>Explain why the indicator is off track or has changed, where relevant</i>
2. 1. 1						
2. 1. 2						
2. 1. 3						
2. 1. 4						
2. 1. 5						

» Output 2.2:

2. 2	Output Indicators <i>Describe the indicator</i>	Indicator Baseline <i>State the baseline value of the indicator</i>	End of Project Indicator Target <i>State the target value of the indicator at the end of the project</i>	Indicator progress for reporting period <i>State the current value of the indicator for the reporting period</i>	Indicator progress since project's start <i>State the current cumulative value of the indicator since the start of the project</i>	Reasons for Variance/Delay (if any) <i>Explain why the indicator is off track or has changed, where relevant</i>
2. 2. 1						
2. 2. 2						
2. 2. 3						
2. 2. 4						
2. 2. 5						

» Output 2.3:

2. 3	Output Indicators <i>Describe the indicator</i>	Indicator Baseline <i>State the baseline value of the indicator</i>	End of Project Indicator Target <i>State the target value of the indicator at the end of the project</i>	Indicator progress for reporting period <i>State the current value of the indicator for the reporting period</i>	Indicator progress since project's start <i>State the current cumulative value of the indicator since the start of the project</i>	Reasons for Variance/ Delay (if any) <i>Explain why the indicator is off track or has changed, where relevant</i>
2. 3. 1						
2. 3. 2						
2. 3. 3						
2. 3. 4						
2. 3. 5						

» Output 2.4:

2. 4	Output Indicators <i>Describe the indicator</i>	Indicator Baseline <i>State the baseline value of the indicator</i>	End of Project Indicator Target <i>State the target value of the indicator at the end of the project</i>	Indicator progress for reporting period <i>State the current value of the indicator for the reporting period</i>	Indicator progress since project's start <i>State the current cumulative value of the indicator since the start of the project</i>	Reasons for Variance/Delay (if any) <i>Explain why the indicator is off track or has changed, where relevant</i>
2. 4. 1						
2. 4. 2						
2. 4. 3						
2. 4. 4						
2. 4. 5						

» Output 2.5:

2. 5	Output Indicators <i>Describe the indicator</i>	Indicator Baseline <i>State the baseline value of the indicator</i>	End of Project Indicator Target <i>State the target value of the indicator at the end of the project</i>	Indicator progress for reporting period <i>State the current value of the indicator for the reporting period</i>	Indicator progress since project's start <i>State the current cumulative value of the indicator since the start of the project</i>	Reasons for Variance/Delay (if any) <i>Explain why the indicator is off track or has changed, where relevant</i>
2. 5. 1						
2. 5. 2						
2. 5. 3						
2. 5. 4						
2. 5. 5						

» Outcome 3:

O C 3	Outcome Indicator s	Indicator Baseline	End of Project Indicator Target	Indicator progress for reporting period	Indicator progress since project's start	Reasons for Variance/ Delay (if any)
3. 1						
3. 2						
3. 3						
3. 4						
3. 5						

How many outputs does outcome 3 have?

1    2    3    4    5    more than 5.

Please list all outputs for outcome 3

Output 3.1

Output 3.2

Output 3.3

---

Output 3.4

---

Output 3.5

---

Other Outputs

*If Outcome 3 has more than 5 outputs, please enumerate the remaining outputs here*

---

For each output, and using the, project results framework, provide an update on the progress made against all output indicators

» **Output 3.1:**

3. 1	Output Indicators <i>Describe the indicator</i>	Indicator Baseline <i>State the baseline value of the indicator</i>	End of Project Indicator Target <i>State the target value of the indicator at the end of the project</i>	Indicator progress for reporting period <i>State the current value of the indicator for the reporting period</i>	Indicator progress since project's start <i>State the current cumulative value of the indicator since the start of the project</i>	Reasons for Variance/Delay (if any) <i>Explain why the indicator is off track or has changed, where relevant</i>
3. 1. 1						
3. 1. 2						
3. 1. 3						
3. 1. 4						
3. 1. 5						

» Output 3.2:

3. 2	Output Indicators <i>Describe the indicator</i>	Indicator Baseline <i>State the baseline value of the indicator</i>	End of Project Indicator Target <i>State the target value of the indicator at the end of the project</i>	Indicator progress for reporting period <i>State the current value of the indicator for the reporting period</i>	Indicator progress since project's start <i>State the current cumulative value of the indicator since the start of the project</i>	Reasons for Variance/Delay (if any) <i>Explain why the indicator is off track or has changed, where relevant</i>
3. 2. 1						
3. 2. 2						
3. 2. 3						
3. 2. 4						
3. 2. 5						

» Output 3.3:

3. 3	Output Indicators <i>Describe the indicator</i>	Indicator Baseline <i>State the baseline value of the indicator</i>	End of Project Indicator Target <i>State the target value of the indicator at the end of the project</i>	Indicator progress for reporting period <i>State the current value of the indicator for the reporting period</i>	Indicator progress since project's start <i>State the current cumulative value of the indicator since the start of the project</i>	Reasons for Variance/Delay (if any) <i>Explain why the indicator is off track or has changed, where relevant</i>
3. 3. 1						
3. 3. 2						
3. 3. 3						
3. 3. 4						
3. 3. 5						

» Output 3.4:

3. 4	Output Indicators <i>Describe the indicator</i>	Indicator Baseline <i>State the baseline value of the indicator</i>	End of Project Indicator Target <i>State the target value of the indicator at the end of the project</i>	Indicator progress for reporting period <i>State the current value of the indicator for the reporting period</i>	Indicator progress since project's start <i>State the current cumulative value of the indicator since the start of the project</i>	Reasons for Variance/Delay (if any) <i>Explain why the indicator is off track or has changed, where relevant</i>
3. 4. 1						
3. 4. 2						
3. 4. 3						
3. 4. 4						
3. 4. 5						

» Output 3.5:

3. 5	Output Indicators <i>Describe the indicator</i>	Indicator Baseline <i>State the baseline value of the indicator</i>	End of Project Indicator Target <i>State the target value of the indicator at the end of the project</i>	Indicator progress for reporting period <i>State the current value of the indicator for the reporting period</i>	Indicator progress since project's start <i>State the current cumulative value of the indicator since the start of the project</i>	Reasons for Variance/Delay (if any) <i>Explain why the indicator is off track or has changed, where relevant</i>
3. 5. 1						
3. 5. 2						
3. 5. 3						
3. 5. 4						
3. 5. 5						

» Outcome 4:

O C 4	Outcome Indicator s	Indicator Baseline	End of Project Indicator Target	Indicator progress for reporting period	Indicator progress since project's start	Reasons for Variance/ Delay (if any)
4. 1						
4. 2						
4. 3						
4. 4						
4. 5						

How many outputs does outcome 4 have?

1    2    3    4    5    more than 5.

Please list all outputs for outcome 4

Output 4.1

Output 4.2

Output 4.3

---

Output 4.4

---

Output 4.5

---

Other Outputs

*If Outcome 4 has more than 5 outputs, please enumerate the remaining outputs here*

---

For each output, and using the, project results framework, provide an update on the progress made against all output indicators

» **Output 4.1:**

4. 1	Output Indicators <i>Describe the indicator</i>	Indicator Baseline <i>State the baseline value of the indicator</i>	End of Project Indicator Target <i>State the target value of the indicator at the end of the project</i>	Indicator progress for reporting period <i>State the current value of the indicator for the reporting period</i>	Indicator progress since project's start <i>State the current cumulative value of the indicator since the start of the project</i>	Reasons for Variance/Delay (if any) <i>Explain why the indicator is off track or has changed, where relevant</i>
4. 1. 1						
4. 1. 2						
4. 1. 3						
4. 1. 4						
4. 1. 5						

» Output 4.2:

4. 2	Output Indicators <i>Describe the indicator</i>	Indicator Baseline <i>State the baseline value of the indicator</i>	End of Project Indicator Target <i>State the target value of the indicator at the end of the project</i>	Indicator progress for reporting period <i>State the current value of the indicator for the reporting period</i>	Indicator progress since project's start <i>State the current cumulative value of the indicator since the start of the project</i>	Reasons for Variance/Delay (if any) <i>Explain why the indicator is off track or has changed, where relevant</i>
4. 2. 1						
4. 2. 2						
4. 2. 3						
4. 2. 4						
4. 2. 5						

» **Output 4.3:**

4. 3	Output Indicators <i>Describe the indicator</i>	Indicator Baseline <i>State the baseline value of the indicator</i>	End of Project Indicator Target <i>State the target value of the indicator at the end of the project</i>	Indicator progress for reporting period <i>State the current value of the indicator for the reporting period</i>	Indicator progress since project's start <i>State the current cumulative value of the indicator since the start of the project</i>	Reasons for Variance/ Delay (if any) <i>Explain why the indicator is off track or has changed, where relevant</i>
4. 3. 1						
4. 3. 2						
4. 3. 3						
4. 3. 4						
4. 3. 5						

» Output 4.4:

4. 4	Output Indicators <i>Describe the indicator</i>	Indicator Baseline <i>State the baseline value of the indicator</i>	End of Project Indicator Target <i>State the target value of the indicator at the end of the project</i>	Indicator progress for reporting period <i>State the current value of the indicator for the reporting period</i>	Indicator progress since project's start <i>State the current cumulative value of the indicator since the start of the project</i>	Reasons for Variance/ Delay (if any) <i>Explain why the indicator is off track or has changed, where relevant</i>
4. 4. 1						
4. 4. 2						
4. 4. 3						
4. 4. 4						
4. 4. 5						

» Output 4.5:

4. 5	Output Indicators <i>Describe the indicator</i>	Indicator Baseline <i>State the baseline value of the indicator</i>	End of Project Indicator Target <i>State the target value of the indicator at the end of the project</i>	Indicator progress for reporting period <i>State the current value of the indicator for the reporting period</i>	Indicator progress since project's start <i>State the current cumulative value of the indicator since the start of the project</i>	Reasons for Variance/Delay (if any) <i>Explain why the indicator is off track or has changed, where relevant</i>
4. 5. 1						
4. 5. 2						
4. 5. 3						
4. 5. 4						
4. 5. 5						

If the project has more than 4 outcomes, use this space to describe progress on progress on indicators for the remaining outcomes

\*

### PART III: Cross-Cutting Issues

Is the project planning any significant events in the next six months? (eg. national dialogues, youth congresses, film screenings, etc.)

**If yes, please state how many, and for each, provide the approximate date of the event and a brief description, including its key objectives, target audience and location (if known)**

Events	Event Description	Tentative Date	Location	Target Audience	Event Objectives (900 characters)
Event 1	Kick off Conference	23.06.2026	Parliamentary Assembly	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• representatives of governmental institutions</li> <li>• civil society organizations</li> <li>• international organizations</li> <li>• journalists and media professionals</li> <li>• educators and academics</li> <li>• students and youth activists</li> <li>• artists and cultural workers</li> <li>• digital creators and influencers</li> <li>• local community leaders</li> </ul>	<p>to officially launch the project, present its objectives, expected results, and implementation approach, and foster collaboration among government institutions, civil society organizations, international partners, media, and other stakeholders in advancing efforts to counter hate speech and divisive narratives while promoting social cohesion and inclusive dialogue in BiH.</p>

<b>Event 2</b>	<b>Youth Ideathon on Countering Hate Speech and Divisive Narratives</b>	<b>September 2026</b>	<b>TBC</b>	<b>Young people, including youth activists, students, young communicators, creators, youth CSO representatives and members of relevant youth networks</b>	<b>The ideathon will engage young people in developing practical and youth- relevant ideas for addressing hate speech, divisive narratives and harmful online content. The objective is to generate creative concepts for youth-focused communication, peer engagement and digital outreach activities, while ensuring that young people actively contribute to the design of approaches intended for their peers. The event will also support social cohesion by creating space for dialogue, cooperation and positive narratives among young people from different backgrounds.</b>
<b>Event 3</b>					
<b>Event 4</b>					

## Human Impact

This section is about the human impact of the project. Please state key stakeholders (including but not limited to: Civil Society Organizations, Beneficiaries, etc.) of the project, and for each, please briefly describe:

- i. The challenges/problem they faced prior to the project implementation
- ii. The impact of the project in their lives
- iii. Provide, where possible, a quote or testimonial from a representative of each stakeholder group

*This is an optional question. You may leave it unanswered if not relevant*

Human Impact	Type of stakeholder	What has been the impact of the project on their lives?	Provide, where possible, a quote or testimonial from the stakeholder
1			
2			
3			
4			

In addition to the stakeholder specific impact described above, please use this space to describe any additional human impact that the project has had.

*Please limit your response to 4000 characters.*

You can also upload upto 3 files in various formats (picture files, powerpoint, pdf, video, etc.) to illustrate the human impact of the project

**OPTIONAL**

File 1

**OPTIONAL**

Click here to upload file. (< 10MB)

File 2

**OPTIONAL**

Click here to upload file. (< 10MB)

File 3

**OPTIONAL**

Click here to upload file. (< 10MB)

You can also add upto 3 links to online resources which illustrate the human impact of the project

**OPTIONAL**

Link 1

**OPTIONAL**

Link 2

**OPTIONAL**

Link 3

**OPTIONAL**

Please tick the applicable change based on above narrative.

How we worked:

\*

*Please select up to 3.*

- Enhanced digitization
- Innovative ways of working
- Mobilized additional resources
- Improved or initiated policy frameworks
- Strengthened capacities
- Partnered with with local/grassroots Civil Society Organizations
- Expanding coalitions & galvanizing political will
- Strengthened partnerships with IFIs
- Strengthened partnerships with UN Agencies

Please explain one of the selected options

*Please limit your response to 3000 characters.*

**The project engaged civil society organizations through early consultations and initiated structured cooperation with Media Center as a key implementing partner supporting the Coalition for Freedom of Expression and Content Moderation in BiH. This strengthened the role of civil society actors in shaping project priorities, identifying gaps in current responses to hate speech and divisive narratives, and contributing to more coordinated, locally informed and stakeholder-driven implementation.**

Please explain one of the selected options

*Please limit your response to 3000 characters.*

**The project strengthened the Coalition for Freedom of Expression and Content Moderation in BiH, which adopted a joint work plan and agreed on priority actions for the remainder of the year. The establishment and convening of the Project Steering Committee also supported political and institutional engagement, bringing together state-level government, UN agencies, civil society/media actors, the PBF Secretariat and international partners around shared objectives for countering hate speech and divisive narratives.**

Please explain one of the selected options

*Please limit your response to 3000 characters.*

Who are we working with \*

- Strengthened partnerships with IFIs
- Strengthened partnerships between UN Agencies
- Partnered with local civil society organizations
- Partnered with local academia
- Partnered with sub-national entities
- Partnered with national entities
- Partnered with local volunteers

Please explain

*Please limit your response to 3000 characters*

**The project is implemented as a Joint Programme by UNESCO, UNDP and UN Women, with coordination across participating UN agencies and engagement through the Project Steering Committee. This has supported a more coherent UN approach to countering hate speech and divisive narratives, combining expertise on freedom of expression, digital rights, gender equality, governance, peacebuilding and social cohesion.**

**The project partnered with civil society organizations through consultations and structured engagement with the Coalition for Freedom of Expression and Content Moderation in BiH. A specialized civil society organization has been engaged as a key implementing partner to support the Coalition's work on online content governance, monitoring of harmful narratives and evidence generation. This strengthened the role of civil society as both implementation partners and strategic contributors to the project's design and priorities.**

**The project strengthened engagement with national/state-level institutions, primarily through the Ministry of Communications and Transport of BiH as the main institutional partner. The Ministry co-chaired the initial Project Steering Committee meeting with the UN Resident Coordinator, helping ensure government ownership, strategic oversight and alignment with national priorities. Other relevant state-level institutions, including the Ministry of Human Rights and Refugees of BiH, were also engaged through the Steering Committee process.**

## Leave No one Behind

Select all beneficiaries targeted with the PBF resources as evidenced by the narrative \*

### Mandatory

- Unemployed persons
- Minorities (e.g. race, ethnicity, linguistic, religion, etc.)
- Indigenous communities
- Persons with Disabilities
- Persons affected by violence (including GBV)
- Women
- Youth
- Children
- Minorities related to sexual orientation and/or gender identity and expression
- People living in and around border areas
- Persons affected by natural disasters
- Persons affected by armed conflicts
- Internally displaced persons, refugees or migrants

## PART IV: Monitoring, Evaluation and Compliance

### » Monitoring

Please list key monitoring activities undertaken in the reporting period \*

*Please limit your response to 3000 characters.*

**During the reporting period, monitoring activities focused on preparatory work for results-oriented and adaptive implementation. The project team participated in an Adaptive Monitoring, Evaluation and Learning (MEL) training organized by the PBF Secretariat, focused on monitoring, learning and adaptation in peacebuilding programming. The project design was used as a case study during the training, which provided practical inputs for reflecting on the Theory of Change, results framework and monitoring approach, including links between activities, outputs and intended peacebuilding outcomes.**

**In parallel, the project began considering available evidence, potential data sources and monitoring needs relevant to hate speech, divisive narratives, online harms and social cohesion, as part of preparations for baseline development and future monitoring. Early stakeholder engagement, including civil society consultations and the Project Steering Committee, also helped identify key risks, gaps and areas that will require further monitoring. Baseline data collection has not yet started, but preparatory work is underway to define the approach, data sources and monitoring arrangements in line with the results framework and PBF requirements.**

Do outcome indicators have baselines? \*

*If only some of the outcome indicators have baselines, select 'yes'*

- Yes
- No

If yes, please provide a brief description. If not, explain why not and when they will be available. \*

*Please limit your response to 3000 characters.*

**Outcome indicator baselines have been partially established. Baselines are available for some outcome indicators, including Indicator 1.a1, with a baseline of 0%, and Indicator 1.b2, with a baseline of 1%. However, baselines for perception-based and monitoring-based indicators, including Indicator 1.c3 and Indicator 1.d, have not yet been finalized. The project is still in the initial implementation phase, and preparatory work has started to consider available evidence, potential data sources and the most appropriate methodology for establishing the remaining baselines in line with the results framework and PBF monitoring requirements. The remaining baseline values are expected to be available during the next reporting period, once the methodology and data collection approach are finalized.**

Elaborate on what sources of evidence have been used to report on indicators (and are available upon request) \*

*Please limit your response to 3000 characters.*

**At this early stage, evidence mainly relates to project set-up and preparatory progress rather than indicator-level results. Available sources include Project Steering Committee minutes, civil society consultation notes, Coalition work planning records, Media Center partner documentation and internal coordination records. These sources document progress in governance, stakeholder engagement, civil society coordination, partner contracting and preparatory monitoring work, and are available upon request.**

Has the project launched outcome level data collection initiatives? e.g. perception surveys \*

***Perception survey is a formal collection of information from a randomly selected sample of respondents through their responses to standardized questions. See PBF Guidance Note for more information [link](#)***

Yes

No

Please provide a brief description \*

*Please limit your response to 3000 characters.*

Has the project used or established community feedback mechanisms? \*

***Community feedback mechanism, or community-based monitoring, is an organized system for communities of participants to monitor the local effects and impact of an intervention. Ideally, this system empowers the community to express whether their expectations are being met and to provide suggestions to decision-makers for possible (re)focusing. See PBF Guidance Note for more information. [link](#)***

Yes

No

Please provide a brief description \*

*Please limit your response to 3000 characters.*

## » Evaluation

Is the project on track to conduct its evaluation? \*

- Yes
- No
- Not Applicable

Evaluation budget (in USD) included in the project budget: \*

*Response required*

90000

If project will end in next six months, is your upcoming evaluation on track?

- Yes
- No
- Not Applicable

Please describe the preparations

*Please limit your response to 3000 characters.*

Contact information	Name	Organization	Job title	Email
Please mention the focal person responsible for sharing the final evaluation report with the PBF:	<b>Melisa Durak</b>	<b>UNESCO BiH</b>	<b>Joint UN Project Coordinator</b>	<b>m.durak@unesco.org</b>

## » Catalytic Effect

Catalytic Effect (financial): Has the project mobilized additional non-PBF financial resources since the project's start? \*

- Yes  
 No

How many funders or grants has the project received additional non-PBF funding from **since the project started**? \*

Catalytic Effect (non-financial): Has the project enabled or created a larger or longer-term peacebuilding change to occur, in addition to the direct project changes? Please refer to PBF Catalytic Effect Guidelines for more information. \*

- Yes  
 No

If yes, please select the relevant option below: \*

- Some catalytic effect  
 Significant catalytic effect

If relevant, please describe how the project has had a (non-financial) catalytic effect, i.e. removed barriers to unblock stalled political, institutional or other peacebuilding processes at different levels in a country, and/or created the conditions to establish new processes to do so \*

*Please limit your response to 3000 characters.*

## Sustainability

Please describe any steps that have been taken to ensure the sustainability of peacebuilding gains, including any mechanisms, platforms, networks and socio-economic initiatives supported, beyond the duration of the project

*Please limit your response to 3000 characters.*

**The project is still in the initial implementation phase, so it is too early to report on sustained peacebuilding gains. However, initial foundations for sustainability have been established through the Project Steering Committee, government engagement and the Coalition for Freedom of Expression and Content Moderation in BiH as a civil society platform. These mechanisms will support continued coordination, ownership and potential scaling of project results beyond the project duration.**

Are there any other issues concerning project implementation that you want to share, including any capacity needs of the recipient organizations?

*Please limit your response to 3000 characters.*

**One important implementation consideration is the upcoming elections in Bosnia and Herzegovina in 2026, which may have implications for project delivery and partner capacity. During election periods, public institutions, civil society organizations, and media space often experience increased political sensitivity.**

## Monitoring and Oversight Activities

Please describe any key event related to monitoring and oversight. Please click next if no activities have yet taken place.

Events include Steering Committee meetings, Monitoring visits, Third party monitoring, Community based monitoring, any data collection, Perception or other survey findings, evaluation reports, audit or investigations.

Monitoring and oversight activities	Name of the Event	Summary	Key Findings
Event 1	Initial Project Steering Committee Meeting	The initial Project Steering Committee meeting was held on 27 March 2026 at the UN House in Sarajevo. It brought together state-level government representatives, UN agencies, the PBF Secretariat, international partners and civil society/media representatives to review the project scope, governance structure, 2026 workplan and implementation priorities.	The meeting confirmed the need for strong coordination, clearer institutional roles, strategic communication, gender-responsiveness, human rights compliance, youth engagement, digital tools and evidence-based monitoring. It also provided strategic guidance for moving from activities toward measurable social change.

<p><b>Event 2</b></p>	<p>Civil Society Consultations</p>	<p>Civil society consultations were held online on 26 March 2026 to collect strategic inputs for shaping project interventions and identifying gaps in current responses to hate speech and divisive narratives.</p>	<p>Consultations highlighted that existing responses are fragmented, reactive and insufficiently connected across institutions, media, civil society, local actors and digital platforms. Participants emphasized the need for an integrated response linking prevention, education, monitoring, early response, protection and support.</p>
<p><b>Event 3</b></p>	<p>Adaptive MEL Training - PBF BiH</p>	<p>The project team participated in the Adaptive MEL Training organized by the PBF Secretariat, focused on monitoring, learning and adaptation in peacebuilding programming. The training included practical work on results logic, Theory of Change, outcome harvesting and adaptive monitoring tools, and the project was used as a case study for reviewing and strengthening its monitoring approach.</p>	<p>The training generated useful inputs for refining the project's Theory of Change, results framework and monitoring approach, including clearer links between activities, outputs and intended peacebuilding outcomes. It also supported consideration of outcome harvesting and adaptive MEL tools to better capture emerging changes, stakeholder contributions and evidence of peacebuilding relevance during implementation.</p>
<p><b>Event 4</b></p>			
<p><b>Event 5</b></p>			
<p><b>Event 6</b></p>			
<p><b>Event 7</b></p>			
<p><b>Event 8</b></p>			

## Final Steps

- Please save a PDF copy of the form by clicking on the *Printer* icon on the top right corner of the page.
- A dialogue box will appear: Please select the A4 size and portrait orientation.
- Click "prepare" and save the document as a PDF.
- (If on first attempt, the generated page is not readable, close the pop up page and go back to the first page of the online form using the "Return to Beginning" option and try to print the PDF version from there)
- After printing the PDF version, please submit the report in the last page of the form. You can use the "Go to End" button in the bottom right corner.
- In compliance with our reporting requirements, **please upload the PDF version of the report as well as your *financial report in excel format* to the MPTF-O Gateway.**

*If you encounter any difficulty in filling the form or generating the print-out for MPTFO Gateway, please contact Gabriel Velastegui [gabriel.velasteguimoya@un.org](mailto:gabriel.velasteguimoya@un.org)*

Thank You. You have finished the report. Please Click on the SUBMIT button below. When the report is submitted, a confirmation note will appear on a yellow banner on top of the page. This can take a few seconds.