

# PBF June 2026 Project Progress Report



**PEACEBUILDING  
FUND**  

## PROJECT OVERVIEW

Thank you for taking the time to complete the PBF Progress report. For projects with more than one recipient, please consult among co-recipients prior to filling out the form to ensure collaboration on the responses. If you have any questions or require technical assistance in filling out the form, please send an email to [gabriel.velasteguimoya@un.org](mailto:gabriel.velasteguimoya@un.org)

Click Next below to start

### » Report Submission

Type of report \*

- Semi-annual
- Annual
- Final
- Other

Date of submission of report \*

Name and title of person submitting the report \*

T. Imenteelea Grimes, M&E Analyst

E-mail of person submitting the report \*

imenteelea.grimes@undp.org

Name and title of person who approved the report \*

John R. Dennis, PBF Coordinator

Have all fund recipients for this project contributed to the report? \*

Yes

No

Did PBF Secretariat or RCO focal point review the report? \*

*You should normally ensure that the PBF Secretariat or the PBF focal point have an opportunity to review.*

Yes

No

Not Applicable

### » Project Information and Geographical Scope

Is this a cross-border project? \*

Yes  No

Please select the geographical region in which the project is implemented

- |  |   |   |
|--|---|---|
| <input type="radio"/> Asia and the Pacific         | <input type="radio"/> Central & Southern Africa | <input type="radio"/> East Africa                     |
| <input type="radio"/> Europe and Central Asia      | <input type="radio"/> Global                    | <input type="radio"/> Latin America and the Caribbean |
| <input type="radio"/> Middle East and North Africa | <input checked="" type="radio"/> West Africa    |   |

Country of project implementation \*

- |                                      |  |                                     |
|--------------------------------------|--|-------------------------------------|
| <input type="radio"/> Benin          | <input type="radio"/> Burkina Faso       | <input type="radio"/> Cote D'Ivoire |
| <input type="radio"/> Gambia         | <input type="radio"/> Ghana              | <input type="radio"/> Guinea        |
| <input type="radio"/> Guinea-Bissau  | <input checked="" type="radio"/> Liberia | <input type="radio"/> Mali          |
| <input type="radio"/> Mauritania     | <input type="radio"/> Niger              | <input type="radio"/> Nigeria       |
| <input type="radio"/> Senegal        | <input type="radio"/> Sierra Leone       | <input type="radio"/> Togo          |
| <input type="radio"/> Other, Specify |  |                                     |

Other, please specify \*

Project Title \*

- 00129168: Delivering Peace Dividends in Liberia: Consolidating National, Regional and Local Reconciliation and Social Cohesion Opportunities
- 00113699: Support to LMPTF-PBF Joint Secretariat: UNDP
- 00133452: Promoting Peaceful Electoral Environment and Community Security in Liberia
- 00140121: Girls and Young Women Take Action for Peace
- 00140293: Enhancing Social Cohesion through Rehabilitation and Empowerment of Marginalized Youth in Liberia
- 00140354: Empowering citizens and communities to foster social accountability and transparency in governance and public service delivery.
- 00140355: Enhancing peace and social cohesion through the promotion of equitable access to and use of land for rural women in conflict-prone communities and concession areas
- 00140582: Accelerating Digital Opportunities for Youth Civic Participation as a lever for Building and Sustaining Peace in Liberia
- Other, Specify

Write the 8 digit MPTFO number and Project Title exactly as it appears in the Project Document \*

*EXAMPLE: 00118938: Community-based prevention of violence and social cohesion using innovation for young people in displaced and host communities*

Please select the geographical region(s) in which the project is implemented \*

*If the project you are looking for does not appear in the following question, please make sure that you have selected the correct regions. A limited number of cross border projects span multiple geographic regions. For example, a cross border project between Niger and Chad spans both West Africa and Central & Southern Africa*

- |   |  |  |
|---|--|--|
| <input type="checkbox"/> Asia and the Pacific         | <input type="checkbox"/> Central & Southern Africa | <input type="checkbox"/> East Africa                     |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Europe and Central Asia      | <input type="checkbox"/> Global                    | <input type="checkbox"/> Latin America and the Caribbean |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Middle East and North Africa | <input type="checkbox"/> West Africa               |  |

Please select the title of the project for which you are submitting the report \*

Write the 8 digit MPTFO numbers and Project Title exactly as it appears in the Project Document \*

*EXAMPLE: 00129699/700: Supporting Cross-Border Cooperation for Increased Community Resilience and Social Cohesion in The Gambia and Senegal*

Please select the countries where this project is being implemented \*

Other, Please specify \*

Project Start Date (Date of first transfer) \*

Project End Date \*

Has this project received an extension? \*

- YES, Cost Extension
- YES, No Cost Extension
- YES, Both Cost and No Cost Extensions
- NO, No Extensions

Will this project be requesting an extension? \*

- YES, Cost Extension
- YES, No Cost Extension
- YES, Both Cost and No Cost Extensions
- NO, No Extensions

Will this project be submitting a Fund Transfer Request (FTR) in the next six months? \*

- Yes
- No

If so, around which month do you expect to submit the request? \*

January

February

March

April

May

June

July

August

September

October

November

December

Is the current project end date within 6 months? \*

Yes

No

Is funding disbursed either into a national or regional trust fund? \*

Yes

No

If yes, please select which \*

National Trust Fund

Regional Trust Fund

## Recipients

Is the convening agency a UN agency or a non UN entity? \*

- UN entity  
 Non-UN Entity

Please select the convening agency recipient \*

- UNDP: United Nations Development Programme     IOM: International Organization for Migration  
 UNICEF: United Nations Children's Fund  
 OHCHR: Office of the United Nations High Commissioner for Human Rights  
 UNWOMEN: United Nations Entity for Gender Equality and the Empowerment of Women  
 UNHCR: United Nations High Commissioner for Refugees     UNFPA: United Nations Population Fund  
 FAO: Food and Agriculture Organization     WFP: World Food Programme  
 UNHABITAT: United Nations Human Settlements Programme  
 UNESCO: United Nations Educational, Scientific and Cultural Organization  
 UNEP: United Nations Environment Programme     ILO: International Labour Organization  
 WHO: World Health Organization     PAHO/WHO  
 UNCDF: United Nations Capital Development Fund     UNODC: United Nations Office on Drugs and Crime  
 UNOPS: United Nations Office for Project Services     UNIDO: United Nations Industrial Development Organization  
 ITC: International Trade Centre     UNDPO     Other, Specify

Other, Please specify \*

Are there other recipients for this project? \*

- No other recipients  
 Yes, other UN recipients only  
 Yes, other non-UN recipients only  
 Yes, both UN and non-UN recipients

Please select other UN recipients

\*

Select all that apply

- UNDP: United Nations Development Programme
- IOM: International Organization for Migration
- UNICEF: United Nations Children's Fund
- OHCHR: Office of the United Nations High Commissioner for Human Rights
- UNWOMEN: United Nations Entity for Gender Equality and the Empowerment of Women
- UNHCR: United Nations High Commissioner for Refugees
- UNFPA: United Nations Population Fund
- FAO: Food and Agriculture Organization
- WFP: World Food Programme
- UNHABITAT: United Nations Human Settlements Programme
- UNESCO: United Nations Educational, Scientific and Cultural Organization
- UNEP: United Nations Environment Programme
- ILO: International Labour Organization
- WHO: World Health Organization
- PAHO/WHO
- UNCDF: United Nations Capital Development Fund
- UNODC: United Nations Office on Drugs and Crime
- UNOPS: United Nations Office for Project Services
- UNIDO: United Nations Industrial Development Organization
- ITC: International Trade Centre
- UN Department of Peace Operations
- Other, Specify

Other, Please specify

\*

Please select other non-UN recipients

- ACTED
- AEDE
- Agence de Coopération et de Recherche pour le Développement (ACORD)
- American Friends Service Committee (AFSC)
- Avocats Sans Frontières
- Avocats Sans Frontières Belgium
- Avocats sans frontières Canada
- Ayuda en Accion
- BIRN - Balkan Investigative Reporting Network
- BIOM -Youth Ecological Movemen
- CARE International UK
- Centre d'étude et de coopération internationale (CECI) - BF
- Christian Aid Ireland
- COIPRODEN
- Concern Worldwide
- Conexion Guatemala
- COOPI - Cooperazione Internazionale
- CORD Burundi
- CORDAID
- Corporacion Sisma Mujer
- CRS - Catholic Relief Services
- DanChurchAid
- Danish Refugee Council
- EQUITAS
- Fund for Congolese Women
- Fundacion Estudios Superior (FESU)
- Fundación Mi Sangre (FMS)
- Fundación Nacional para el Desarrollo de Honduras (FUNADEH)
- Fundación para la Libertad de Prensa (FLIP)
- Geneva Centre for Security Sector Governance (DCAF)
- HELVETAS Swiss Intercooperation
- Humanity & Inclusion (HI)
- ICTJ (International Center for Transitional Justice)
- Instituto Holandes para Democracia Multipartidaria (NIMD)
- Integrity Watch
- International Alert
- International Rescue Committee
- Interpeace
- Kvinna till Kvinna Foundation
- Life and Peace Institute (LPI)
- MDG-EISA - Institut Electoral pour une Démocratie Durable en Afrique (EISA), bureau de Madagascar
- Mercy Corps
- MLAL - ProgettoMondo
- MSIS-TATAO
- NIMD (Netherlands Institute for Multiparty Democracy)
- Nonviolent Peaceforce
- Norwegian Refugee Council (NRC)
- Nile Sustainable Development Organization - NSDO
- OCNH-Organisation des Citoyens pour une Nouvelle Haïti
- OIKOS
- ONG Adkoul - ONG Adkoul
- ONG AZHAR
- OXFAM
- Peace Direct
- Plan International
- PNG UN Country Fund
- Red de Instituciones por los Derechos de la Niñez
- ROI - Roza Otunbayeva Initiati
- Saferworld
- Sampan'Asa Momba ny Fampandrosoana (SAF/FJKM)
- Save the Children
- Search for Common Ground (SFCG)
- SIHA (Strategic Initiative for Women in the Horn of Africa)
- SismaMujer
- SOS Sahel Sudan
- Stichting Impunity Watch
- Tearfund
- The Carter Center, Inc.
- Trocaire
- War Child
- War Childhood Museum (WCM)
- World Vision International
- World Vision Myanmar
- ZOA
- blank\_placeholder
- Other, Please specify

Other, Please specify

\*

## Implementing Partners

To how many implementing partners has the project transferred money **since the project's start** ?

To how many implementing partners has the project transferred money **during this calendar period** ?

(for June reports: January-June;

for November reports: January-December (anticipated);

for final reports: full project duration)

## Financial Reporting

### » Delivery by Recipient

Please enter the total amounts in full US dollars allocated to each recipient organization

Please enter the original budget amount, amount transferred to date and estimated expenditure by recipient.

Please make sure you enter the correct amount. All values should be entered in **US Dollars**

For cross-border projects, group the amounts by agency, even if different country offices are involved. You will have the opportunity to share a more detailed budget in the next section.

Recipients	Total Project Budget (in full US \$) <i>Please enter the total budget as is in the project document in US Dollars.....</i>	Transfers to date (in full US \$) <i>Please enter the total amount transferred to each recipient to date in US Dollars.....</i>	Expenditure to date (in full US \$) <i>Please enter the approximate amount spent to date in US dollars.....</i>	Implementation rate as a percentage of total budget <i>(calculated automatically).....</i>
<b>UNDP: United Nations Development Programme</b>	3052200.39 *	2598800.83 *	2509890.83 *	82.23%
				%
				%



## » Gender-responsive Budgeting

Indicate what **percentage (%)** of the budget contributes to gender equality or women's empowerment (GEWE) as per the project document? \*

40

The dollar amount of the budget contributing to Gender Equality and Women's Empowerment (GEWE) based on percentage entered above and total project budget is **US \$ 1220880.16**. Can you confirm that this is correct? \*

Correct  Incorrect

If it is incorrect, please enter the *budget amount* allocated to GEWE in US Dollars \*

Amount expended to date on efforts contributing to gender equality or women's empowerment is **US \$ 1003956.33**. Is this correct? \*

Correct  Incorrect

If it is incorrect, please enter the *expenditure to date* on GEWE in US dollars \*

ATTACH PROJECT EXCEL BUDGET SHOWING CURRENT APPROXIMATE EXPENDITURE. \*

The templates for the budget are available [here](#)

Semi Annual Financial Report 2026 PBFS-11\_12\_2.xlsx



## Project Markers

Please select the Gender Marker Associated with this project \*

- Score 1 for projects that contribute in some way to gender equality, but not significantly (less than 30% of the total budget for GEWE)
- Score 2 for projects that have gender equality as a significant objective and allocate between 30 and 79% of the total project budget to GEWE
- Score 3 for projects that have gender equality as a principal objective and allocate at least 80% of the total project budget to Gender Equality and Women's Empowerment (GEWE)

Please select the Risk Marker Associated with this project \*

- Risk marker 0 = low risk to achieving outcomes
- Risk marker 1 = medium risk to achieving outcomes
- Risk marker 2 = high risk to achieving outcomes

Please select the PBF Focus Area associated with this project \*

- (1.1) Security Sector Reform
- (1.2) Rule of Law
- (1.3) Demobilisation, Disarmament and Reintegration
- (1.4) Political Dialogue
- (2.1) National reconciliation
- (2.2) Democratic Governance
- (2.3) Conflict prevention/management
- (3.1) Employment
- (3.2) Equitable access to social services
- (4.1) Strengthening of essential national state capacity
- (4.2) Extension of state authority/Local Administration
- (4.3) Governance of peacebuilding resources (including PBF Secretariats)

Is the project part of one or more PBF priority windows? \*

*Select all that apply*

- Gender promotion initiative
- Youth promotion initiative
- Transition from UN or regional peacekeeping or special political missions
- Cross-border or regional project
- None

## Steering Committee and Government engagement

Does the project have an active steering committee/ project board? \*

Yes

No

If yes, please indicate how many times the Project Steering Committee has met over the last 6 months?

*Please limit your response to 3000 characters*

The Joint Steering Committee (JSC) meeting was convened on March 10, 2026, and brought together representatives from Government line Ministries and Agencies, Civil Society Organizations (CSOs), and Development Partners including Sweden, Germany and Ireland who are amongst the major contributors to the Peacebuilding Fund. The meeting was also attended by IFIs including the African Development and United Nations agencies implementing PBF-funded projects; highlighting the importance of strong coordination amongst partners.

Opening remarks were delivered by the United Nations Resident Coordinator, who serves as Co-Chair of the JSC alongside the Minister of Local Government. In her remarks, H.E. Christne Umutoni thanked members of the PBF JSC for their continued partnership and leadership in advancing Liberia's peacebuilding agenda as the PBF remains one of Liberia's most catalytic instruments, supporting national institutions in addressing the structural drivers of conflict and consolidating peace. The RC's statement was reaffirmed by the Ministry of Local Government. The meeting reviewed and acknowledged progress across the portfolio, while underscoring areas requiring greater alignment, national ownership, and sustained resourcing, following presentations from the PBF Secretariat on Portfolio Results and project technical leads from the various UN agencies on outcome progress. The presentations covered the period December 2024 to March 2026, particularly projecting results achieved, emerging challenges and recommendations across the PBF portfolio in Liberia. The JSC recognized impacts being made by PBF in the areas of sustaining peace through land governance and ownership, women and youth empowerment, accountability, electoral peace and security, social cohesion, human rights and social protection, among others. While these initiatives were outlined as making impact, sustaining these peace gains was a concern for the JSC. Many interventions show clear impact yet remain vulnerable without sustained government and partners' support. The JSC agreed that strengthening national ownership and accountability through strengthened government co financing mechanisms to ensure sustainability beyond PBF project cycles would help to buttress partners' support in sustaining the gains. Holding scheduled JSC meetings was reemphasized, outlining its significance in strengthening governance, coordination and learning. It was agreed that the Joint Steering Committee (JSC) meets at least annually to provide updates and share lessons. Enhanced joint monitoring and partnerships with IFIs was reiterated to strengthen complementarity. The JSC provides the platform for stakeholders engagement in interactive dialogue that helps to strengthen accountability, promote shared learning, and ensure alignment with national priorities and donor expectations.



Please provide a brief description of any engagement that the project has had with the government over the last 6 months. Please indicate what level of government the project has been engaging with.

*Please limit your response to 3000 characters*

The Secretariat Project played a central role in facilitating a high-level visit of the Liberia Country-Specific Configuration of the United Nations Peacebuilding Commission (PBC), aimed at reinforcing sustained international engagement with Liberia's peacebuilding agenda. The mission reaffirmed partner commitment to nationally driven priorities and assessed progress since the November 2024 visit. Led by H.E. Ambassador Nicola Clase of Sweden, Chair of the PBC Liberia Configuration, the seven-member delegation conducted extensive consultations with a broad range of stakeholders. At the highest level, the delegation met President Joseph N. Boakai, highlighting the importance of strong political leadership. Consultations with former Presidents Ellen Johnson Sirleaf and George Weah emphasized continuity in Liberia's peacebuilding efforts. Engagements also included key institutions such as the Office of the War and Economic Crimes Court (OWECC) and the House Chair on Peace and Reconciliation, reflecting ongoing efforts to advance accountability, justice, and reconciliation. The delegation held discussions with several ministries, including Gender, Local Government, Foreign Affairs, Justice, Defense, and Youth and Sports. These engagements provided insights into sector-specific priorities while strengthening coordination for sustainable peacebuilding. Inclusivity was emphasized through consultations with civil society, youth, and women's groups, which highlighted challenges such as youth unemployment, limited livelihoods, and barriers to women's participation in decision-making, underscoring the need for targeted and inclusive interventions. Overall, the visit reaffirmed strong partnerships while identifying key priorities: strengthening governance and rule of law, expanding youth employment, advancing gender equality, and promoting regional cooperation. During the reporting period, the Secretariat strengthened collaboration with ECOWAS, the African Development Bank (AfDB), and the Mano River Union (MRU), focusing on establishing a Fragility Observatory for the subregion. The AfDB has committed USD 4 million to this initiative under the "Fragility Observatory for Resilience and Regional Stability in the Mano River Union" project. The Secretariat contributed to key documents, including the Concept Note and Project Appraisal Report, and is supporting preparations for an AfDB appraisal mission. Once operational, the Observatory will enhance regional conflict prevention through real-time data on risks, enabling coordinated responses and strengthening resilience. In summary, the Secretariat advanced high-level dialogue, strengthened partnerships, and supported national and regional peacebuilding. Through inclusive engagement and initiatives like the Fragility Observatory, it continues to promote sustainable peace and stability in Liberia and the subregion

Vertical line on the left side of the page.

Vertical line on the right side of the page.

## **PART I: OVERALL PROJECT PROGRESS**

### NOTES FOR COMPLETING THE REPORT:

- Avoid acronyms and UN jargon, use general /common language.
- Report on what has been achieved in the reporting period, not what the project aims to do.
- Be as concrete as possible. Avoid theoretical, vague or conceptual discourse.
- Ensure the analysis and project progress assessment is gender and age sensitive.

Please rate the implementation status of the following preliminary/preparatory activities

Contracting of partners \*

- Not Started       Initiated       Partially Completed  
 Completed       Not Applicable

Staff Recruitment \*

- Not Started       Initiated       Partially Completed  
 Completed       Not Applicable

Collection of baselines \*

- Not Started       Initiated       Partially Completed  
 Completed       Not Applicable

Identification of beneficiaries \*

- Not Started       Initiated       Partially Completed  
 Completed       Not Applicable

Provide any additional descriptive information relating to the status of the project, including whether preliminary/preparatory activities have been completed (i.e. contracting of partners, staff recruitment, etc.)

*Please limit your response to 3000 characters*

No progress this reporting period

Describe overall progress under each Outcome made during the reporting period (*for June reports: January-June; for November reports: January-December (anticipated); for final reports: full project duration*). Do not list individual activities. If the project is starting to make/has made a difference at the outcome level, provide specific evidence for the progress (quantitative and qualitative) and explain how it impacts the broader political and peacebuilding context.

Is the project on track for the timely completion of outputs as indicated in the workplan? \*

- Yes  
 No

If no, please provide an explanation \*

*Please limit your response to 6000 characters*

## Project progress summary

*Please limit your response to 6000 characters*

The PBF Secretariat Project continues to provide strategic oversight and support the JSC in accordance with its function. As part of its role, the Secretariat supported the PBF Joint Steering Committee (JSC) during the period under review. The hosting of one JSC meeting in March of the reporting period provided the platform for project presentations and review of progress that identified successes and challenges that strengthened government and donor partners' understanding of the portfolio, leading to recommendations that enhance implementation and accompanying results. The meeting led to increased interests of partners to conduct regular JSC meetings where presentation of results, exchange of lessons learned and experiences help donor partners to coordinate support and adequately inform their respective capitals on progress of PBF interventions in Liberia.

In addition to its strategic support, the visit of the Chair of the PBC Liberia Configuration was coordinated by the Secretariat, ensuring that all high profile scheduled meetings were held and expectations met. The Chair commended the RC for her leadership and the PBF Secretariat for its support in coordinating the mission's activities. The visit reassured PBC's commitment to Liberia's peacebuilding journey, which led to an invitation from the ASG for Peacebuilding Support for President Joseph N. Boakai to present his country peacebuilding story. The Foreign Minister was designated to represent the president.

Prior to the mission, the secretariat supported the RC to convene a brown bag meeting of donor partners, Government and UN agencies to discuss Liberia's peacebuilding achievements and gaps in preparation of the mission. This paid off with all partners attending scheduled meetings and giving their full support.

The secretariat provided quality support to RUNOs and NUNOs including reviewing semi-annual reports, no cost extension requests and project documents.

.For example, technical support provided to RUNOs and one NUNO led to finalization and signing of the project: "Strengthen Her Voice: Empowering Women's voice and agency to enhance gender-responsive access to justice, inclusive peace, and security (GPI 2.0)". This project was launched by the Chair of the Peacebuilding Commission (PBC) Liberia Configuration during her visit to Liberia from May 1-5, 2026. The launch set the basis for stronger collaboration and reaffirmed PBC's support to gender equality and women empowerment. The official launch brought together implementing partners, including NUNO (Kvinna till Kvinna), relevant RUNOs and the media.

As part of ongoing efforts to expand the PBF portfolio and sustain progress in peace and reconciliation, the Secretariat has worked closely with CSOs, government institutions, and UN agencies to develop interventions around transitional justice and reconciliation. In this context, a concept note aims to build on existing gains while addressing emerging peacebuilding priorities in Liberia through integrated approach was developed and submitted to PBPSO for review. PBPSO has allocated US\$2.5 million under the assessed funding to support Liberia programming, potentially covering this proposal: "Strengthening the Capacity of National Stakeholders to Implement a Holistic and Victim-Centered Transitional Justice Process for the Promotion of Sustainable Peace and Development in Liberia". The proposed intervention seeks to enhance the ability of national actors to lead and implement a comprehensive transitional justice process that places victims at the center, contributing to long-term peace and development in Liberia. Proposed RUNOs for this project are OHCHR, UNDP and IOM. Given the traction gained by PBF intervention in Liberia, the Ambassador for the Kingdom of Denmark made to Liberia, a one-day field visit to one of the key joint Government-Peacebuilding Fund (PBF) supported interventions. The purpose of the visit was to gain first-hand insight into the progress and impact of ongoing efforts to rehabilitate youth affected by substance use disorder in Liberia. The visit underscored Denmark's strong commitment to supporting inclusive peacebuilding initiatives that address underlying drivers of vulnerability, particularly among at-risk youth. The Ambassador was impressed when he visited the Liberia Refugee Repatriation and Resettlement Commission (LRRRC) holding center in Johnsonville, Montserrado County, which accommodates as of the reporting period over 100 at-risk youth. During his visit, the Ambassador engaged directly with several beneficiaries, who shared personal testimonies about their experiences from living on the streets of Monrovia to entering the rehabilitation program and beginning their journey towards recovery. These interactions offered powerful, human-centered perspectives on the transformative impact of the program, highlighting not only behavioral and health improvements but also renewed hope and aspirations for reintegration into society.

The management team at the center provided a comprehensive overview of the rehabilitation process, including psychosocial support, counseling, and structured daily activities aimed at recovery and personal development. They also outlined key challenges, particularly related to skills development, vocational training, and the successful reintegration of recovered youth into communities and the labor market.

Indicate any additional analysis on how Gender Equality and Women's Empowerment and/or Youth Inclusion and Responsiveness has been ensured by the project to date

*Please limit your response to 3000 characters*

Given the role of the PBF Secretariat Project in providing strategic oversight of the portfolio, it has made deliberate efforts for all projects including itself to integrate Gender Equality and Women's Empowerment (GEWE) as well as allocating the required Gender Marker. This is reflected in both targeted initiatives and mainstreaming strategies across the PBF portfolio. The launch of the Gender 2.0 Project represents a significant milestone, signaling a shift toward more structured and outcome-oriented interventions that address systemic barriers to women's participation. The Secretariat has actively engaged women-led civil society organizations and supported their inclusion in dialogue platforms, policy discussions, and implementation processes. In addition, gender considerations are increasingly embedded in project design, monitoring, and reporting frameworks, ensuring that women's perspectives and needs are not treated as an add-on but as central to achieving sustainable peace. High-level advocacy efforts, including engagement with the Peacebuilding Commission (PBC) and donor partners, have further reinforced the importance of sustained investment in gender-responsive peacebuilding.

Similarly, Youth Inclusion and Responsiveness has been a critical focus area, given the demographic realities and socio-economic vulnerabilities in Liberia. The project has supported initiatives that directly address the needs of marginalized youth, particularly through rehabilitation, reintegration, and livelihood-focused interventions. A notable example is the support through the Government and UN agencies to centers such as the LRRRC, where young people affected by substance use disorders are provided with psychosocial support, recovery services, and pathways toward reintegration. This participatory approach helps ensure that youth are not only beneficiaries but also contributors to peacebuilding processes, thereby addressing marginalization and reducing drivers of instability such as unemployment and social exclusion.

Despite these positive developments, there are opportunities to further strengthen both GEWE and youth inclusion outcomes.

Is the project 1+ year in implementation?

Yes

No

**FOR PROJECTS 1+ YEAR IN IMPLEMENTATION ONLY:**

Is the project demonstrating outcome-level peacebuilding results? \*

*Outcome-level peacebuilding results entail results achieved at the societal or structural level, including changed attitudes, behaviours or institutions.*

Yes

No

If yes, please provide concrete examples of such peacebuilding results \*

*Please limit your response to 6000 characters*

The impact of PBF support in Liberia is widely acknowledged by beneficiary communities, the government, CSOs and donor partners, counting from where the country has come since 2007 when the Fund started contributing to peacebuilding interventions. PBF support in Liberia has delivered tangible structural improvements in land governance and natural resource management, addressing one of the country's key conflict drivers. Community land development and management committees were established and dispute resolution mechanisms strengthened, leading to increased participation of women and youth in decision-making. At the same time, structured dialogue between communities and concession companies led to compensation agreements and reduced tensions around extractive activities, supported by the deployment of over 200 early warning monitors. These interventions have contributed to a shift from confrontation to negotiated solutions, improving trust in land institutions and reducing conflict risks and increased social cohesion.

The PBF has also contributed to improving democracy and peaceful transitions, playing a critical role in supporting peaceful, inclusive, and credible elections, culminating in Liberia's largely peaceful 2023 electoral process and democratic transition. PBF-supported early warning systems, dialogue platforms, and misinformation countermeasures enabled communities and institutions to prevent and resolve electoral disputes. Complementary investments in gender-responsive electoral frameworks including support to the Violence Against Women in Elections protocol and advocacy for gender inclusion contributed to reduced violence against women in politics and increased female participation. These results reflect both behavioural change (lower violence, more dialogue) and institutional strengthening in Liberia's electoral ecosystem.

In the area of justice, security, and national reconciliation, PBF support has helped institutionalize reconciliation mechanisms and strengthen governance structures at national and local levels. These include enhanced capacity of the Independent National Commission on Human Rights and the integration of reconciliation processes into governance systems. Community-based mechanisms such as Women's Situation Rooms have directly resolved more than 500 cases of conflict, including electoral disputes, demonstrating increased reliance on mediation rather than violence. Together, these interventions have improved trust in institutions and promoted a culture of peaceful conflict resolution.

Finally, PBF investments have advanced women and youth empowerment as well as accountability and transparency in governance, generating measurable changes in civic engagement. Women-led monitoring platforms and youth empowerment initiatives have strengthened participation in peacebuilding and decision-making processes.



If yes, please provide sources or references (including links) as evidence of peacebuilding results, or submit them as additional attachments.

*Evidence may be quantitative or qualitative but needs to demonstrate progress against outcome indicators in the project results framework. Sources may include project surveys (such as perception surveys), monitoring reports, government documents, or other knowledge products that have been developed by the project.*

File attachment

[Click here to upload file.](#) (< 10MB)

## PART II: PROJECT RESULTS FRAMEWORK

How many OUTCOMES does this project have \*

1

2

3

4

5

more than 5.

Please write out the project outcomes as they are in the project results framework found in the project document

Outcome 1: \*

Effective coordination, monitoring, reporting, evaluation and communication on the achievements of the LMPTF, including PBF's

Outcome 2: \*

Outcome 3: \*

Outcome 4: \*

Outcome 5: \*

Outcome 6: \*

Outcome 7: \*

Outcome 8:

\*

Additional Outcomes

*If the project has more than 8 outcomes, please enumerate the remaining outcomes here*

\*

## INDICATOR BASED PERFORMANCE ASSESSMENT

Using the Project Results Framework as per the approved project document or any amendments, provide an update on the achievement of all **outcome** and **output** indicators in the table below.

- Where it has not been possible to collect data on indicators, state this and provide any explanation.
- Provide gender and age disaggregated data. (500 characters max per entry)

» Outcome 1: Effective coordination, monitoring, reporting, evaluation and communication on the achievements of the LMPTF, including PBF's investment in Liberia and the sustained growth of investment in peacebuilding-related programmes through the PBF/LMPTF modalities

O C 1	Outcome Indicators	Indicator Baseline	End of Project Indicator Target	Indicator progress for reporting period	Indicator progress since project's start	Reasons for Variance/ Delay (if any)
1.1	<p>% of satisfaction expressed with the support provided by the Secretariat to Stakeholders (disaggregated by: Steering Committee members, RUNOs, Government, donors, CSOs and gender).</p>	<p>Indicator progress April 2021: While a formal evaluation is yet to be conducted, stakeholders including RUNOs, CSOs (ZOA, KtK) PBSO, PBC have expressed satisfaction of the level of work done by the secretariat</p>	<p>QJuly 2026 At least 75% satisfaction</p>	<p>While an independent evaluation is</p>	<p>The PBF secretariat has been</p>	
1.2	<p>Indicator 1.2 Development of the Resource Mobilization &amp; Communication Plan and Continued growth of the peacebuilding investment in Liberia</p>	<p>13.5</p>	<p>12 million every 3 years.</p>	<p>3M</p>	<p>21.3M</p>	

1. 3	Percentage of PBF funds contributing to GEWE in Liberia	11%	40%	0	30%	
---------	---	-----	-----	---	-----	--

1. 4						
---------	--	--	--	--	--	--

1. 5						
---------	--	--	--	--	--	--

How many outputs does outcome 1 have?

- 1    2    **3**    4    5    more than 5.

Please list all outputs for outcome 1

Output 1.1

High quality projects for the LMPTF PBF developed jointly by UN, Government, civil society counterparts

Output 1.2

Strengthened management structure and strategic position for the PBF portfolio in Liberia.

Output 1.3

Effective M&E system in place and functional to provide information required for strategic planning, decision-making, and learning

Output 1.4

Output 1.5

Other Outputs

*If Outcome 1 has more than 5 outputs, please enumerate the remaining outputs here*

For each output, and using the, project results framework, provide an update on the progress made against all output indicators

» **Output 1.1: High quality projects for the LMPTF PBF developed jointly by UN, Government, civil society counterparts**
















1. 1	Output Indicators <i>Describe the indicator</i>	Indicator Baseline <i>State the baseline value of the indicator</i>	End of Project Indicator Target <i>State the target value of the indicator at the end of the project</i>	Indicator progress for reporting period <i>State the current value of the indicator for the reporting period</i>	Indicator progress since project's start <i>State the current cumulative value of the indicator since the start of the project</i>	Reasons for Variance/ Delay (if any) <i>Explain why the indicator is off track or has changed, where relevant</i>
1. 1. 1	% of project approved in line with the PBF Strategic Result Framework	0%	75% by 2026	0	100%	
1. 1. 2	Partnership strengthened with civil society organizations implementing PBF funded projects. Indicator Progress April 2021	(2017-2018) 2 CSO projects approved by PBSO. (May 2022): 3 additional CSOs/INGOs (KTK, ZOA Sichtung, ActionAid Liberia) projects approved. 2023 March Plan International	(July 2026): 3 more CSO projects approved	Kvinna till Kvinna has been approved in	5	

1. 1. 3	Number of cross-border and regional initiatives supported by the PBF with Liberia	Baseline (start of 2022): 1	(2026): 3	Since the start of the project, PBF has supported 2 cross-border projects with Liberia, one of which has since closed. Closed: cross-border project between Cote d'Ivoire and Liberia Active: cross-border project between Liberia and Sierra Leone	1	
1. 1. 4						
1. 1. 5						

» Output 1.2: Strengthened management structure and strategic position for the PBF portfolio in Liberia.

1. 2	Output Indicators <i>Describe the indicator</i>	Indicator Baseline <i>State the baseline value of the indicator</i>	End of Project Indicator Target <i>State the target value of the indicator at the end of the project</i>	Indicator progress for reporting period <i>State the current value of the indicator for the reporting period</i>	Indicator progress since project's start <i>State the current cumulative value of the indicator since the start of the project</i>	Reasons for Variance/ Delay (if any) <i>Explain why the indicator is off track or has changed, where relevant</i>
1. 2. 1	# of staff recruited to support Secretariat functioning	2022: 2	2026: 5	0	5	
1. 2. 2	# of Steering Committee and Thematic Working Group meetings organized	2021: No Steering Committee Meeting was organized. One Technical Coordination Committee (TCC) Meeting was organized	(2026): A Steering Committee functional	1	3	
1. 2. 3						
1. 2. 4						
1. 2. 5						

» Output 1.3: Effective M&E system in place and functional to provide information required for strategic planning, decision-making, and learning for the benefit of the PBF portfolio and the wider peacebuilding work in Liberia

1. 3	Output Indicators <i>Describe the indicator</i>	Indicator Baseline <i>State the baseline value of the indicator</i>	End of Project Indicator Target <i>State the target value of the indicator at the end of the project</i>	Indicator progress for reporting period <i>State the current value of the indicator for the reporting period</i>	Indicator progress since project's start <i>State the current cumulative value of the indicator since the start of the project</i>	Reasons for Variance/Delay (if any) <i>Explain why the indicator is off track or has changed, where relevant</i>
1. 3. 1	PBF Strategic Result Framework has agreed indicators with data being collected annually or every two years and analyzed	(May 2022): Draft SRF and indicators   	All indicators agreed, measurable   	6 	12 	
1. 3. 2	# of project monitoring missions conducted, including with partners 	(July 2018) 2 	(July 2026): ... missions conducted per year including one mission with Government or donor partners 	0 	3 	

1. 3. 3	% of PBF project reports judged of good quality by PBSO and number of annual PBF strategic peacebuilding reports submitted to PBSO	(May 2022) 8 project narrative	July 2026): 100% of reports submitted on time and at least 90% of reports judged to be good quality; timely submission of annual PBF Strategic Reports analyzing progress against the PBF SRF priorities and indicators	1	9	
1. 3. 4						
1. 3. 5						

» Output 1.4:

1. 4	Output Indicators <i>Describe the indicator</i>	Indicator Baseline <i>State the baseline value of the indicator</i>	End of Project Indicator Target <i>State the target value of the indicator at the end of the project</i>	Indicator progress for reporting period <i>State the current value of the indicator for the reporting period</i>	Indicator progress since project's start <i>State the current cumulative value of the indicator since the start of the project</i>	Reasons for Variance/ Delay (if any) <i>Explain why the indicator is off track or has changed, where relevant</i>
1. 4. 1						
1. 4. 2						
1. 4. 3						
1. 4. 4						
1. 4. 5						

» Output 1.5:

1. 5	Output Indicators <i>Describe the indicator</i>	Indicator Baseline <i>State the baseline value of the indicator</i>	End of Project Indicator Target <i>State the target value of the indicator at the end of the project</i>	Indicator progress for reporting period <i>State the current value of the indicator for the reporting period</i>	Indicator progress since project's start <i>State the current cumulative value of the indicator since the start of the project</i>	Reasons for Variance/ Delay (if any) <i>Explain why the indicator is off track or has changed, where relevant</i>
1. 5. 1						
1. 5. 2						
1. 5. 3						
1. 5. 4						
1. 5. 5						

» Outcome 2:

O C 2	Outcome Indicators	Indicator Baseline	End of Project Indicator Target	Indicator progress for reporting period	Indicator progress since project's start	Reasons for Variance/ Delay (if any)
2. 1						
2. 2						
2. 3						
2. 4						
2. 5						

How many outputs does outcome 2 have?

1    2    3    4    5    more than 5.

Please list all outputs for outcome 2

Output 2.1

Output 2.2

Output 2.3

Output 2.4

Output 2.5

Other Outputs

*If Outcome 2 has more than 5 outputs, please enumerate the remaining outputs here*

For each output, and using the, project results framework, provide an update on the progress made against all output indicators

» **Output 2.1:**

2. 1	Output Indicators <i>Describe the indicator</i>	Indicator Baseline <i>State the baseline value of the indicator</i>	End of Project Indicator Target <i>State the target value of the indicator at the end of the project</i>	Indicator progress for reporting period <i>State the current value of the indicator for the reporting period</i>	Indicator progress since project's start <i>State the current cumulative value of the indicator since the start of the project</i>	Reasons for Variance/ Delay (if any) <i>Explain why the indicator is off track or has changed, where relevant</i>
2. 1. 1						
2. 1. 2						
2. 1. 3						
2. 1. 4						
2. 1. 5						

» Output 2.2:

2. 2	Output Indicators <i>Describe the indicator</i>	Indicator Baseline <i>State the baseline value of the indicator</i>	End of Project Indicator Target <i>State the target value of the indicator at the end of the project</i>	Indicator progress for reporting period <i>State the current value of the indicator for the reporting period</i>	Indicator progress since project's start <i>State the current cumulative value of the indicator since the start of the project</i>	Reasons for Variance/Delay (if any) <i>Explain why the indicator is off track or has changed, where relevant</i>
2. 2. 1	//	//	//	//	//	//
2. 2. 2	//	//	//	//	//	//
2. 2. 3	//	//	//	//	//	//
2. 2. 4	//	//	//	//	//	//
2. 2. 5	//	//	//	//	//	//

» Output 2.3:

2. 3	Output Indicators <i>Describe the indicator</i>	Indicator Baseline <i>State the baseline value of the indicator</i>	End of Project Indicator Target <i>State the target value of the indicator at the end of the project</i>	Indicator progress for reporting period <i>State the current value of the indicator for the reporting period</i>	Indicator progress since project's start <i>State the current cumulative value of the indicator since the start of the project</i>	Reasons for Variance/ Delay (if any) <i>Explain why the indicator is off track or has changed, where relevant</i>
2. 3. 1						
2. 3. 2						
2. 3. 3						
2. 3. 4						
2. 3. 5						

» Output 2.4:

2. 4	Output Indicators <i>Describe the indicator</i>	Indicator Baseline <i>State the baseline value of the indicator</i>	End of Project Indicator Target <i>State the target value of the indicator at the end of the project</i>	Indicator progress for reporting period <i>State the current value of the indicator for the reporting period</i>	Indicator progress since project's start <i>State the current cumulative value of the indicator since the start of the project</i>	Reasons for Variance/Delay (if any) <i>Explain why the indicator is off track or has changed, where relevant</i>
2. 4 1						
2. 4. 2						
2. 4. 3						
2. 4. 4						
2. 4. 5						

» Output 2.5:

2. 5	Output Indicators <i>Describe the indicator</i>	Indicator Baseline <i>State the baseline value of the indicator</i>	End of Project Indicator Target <i>State the target value of the indicator at the end of the project</i>	Indicator progress for reporting period <i>State the current value of the indicator for the reporting period</i>	Indicator progress since project's start <i>State the current cumulative value of the indicator since the start of the project</i>	Reasons for Variance/Delay (if any) <i>Explain why the indicator is off track or has changed, where relevant</i>
2. 5. 1						
2. 5. 2						
2. 5. 3						
2. 5. 4						
2. 5. 5						

» Outcome 3:

O C 3	Outcome Indicators	Indicator Baseline	End of Project Indicator Target	Indicator progress for reporting period	Indicator progress since project's start	Reasons for Variance/ Delay (if any)
3. 1						
3. 2						
3. 3						
3. 4						
3. 5						

How many outputs does outcome 3 have?

1    2    3    4    5    more than 5.

Please list all outputs for outcome 3

Output 3.1

Output 3.2

Output 3.3

Output 3.4

Output 3.5

Other Outputs

*If Outcome 3 has more than 5 outputs, please enumerate the remaining outputs here*

For each output, and using the, project results framework, provide an update on the progress made against all output indicators

» **Output 3.1:**

3. 1	Output Indicators <i>Describe the indicator</i>	Indicator Baseline <i>State the baseline value of the indicator</i>	End of Project Indicator Target <i>State the target value of the indicator at the end of the project</i>	Indicator progress for reporting period <i>State the current value of the indicator for the reporting period</i>	Indicator progress since project's start <i>State the current cumulative value of the indicator since the start of the project</i>	Reasons for Variance/ Delay (if any) <i>Explain why the indicator is off track or has changed, where relevant</i>
3. 1. 1						
3. 1. 2						
3. 1. 3						
3. 1. 4						
3. 1. 5						

» Output 3.2:

3. 2	Output Indicators <i>Describe the indicator</i>	Indicator Baseline <i>State the baseline value of the indicator</i>	End of Project Indicator Target <i>State the target value of the indicator at the end of the project</i>	Indicator progress for reporting period <i>State the current value of the indicator for the reporting period</i>	Indicator progress since project's start <i>State the current cumulative value of the indicator since the start of the project</i>	Reasons for Variance/Delay (if any) <i>Explain why the indicator is off track or has changed, where relevant</i>
3. 2. 1	//	//	//	//	//	//
3. 2. 2	//	//	//	//	//	//
3. 2. 3	//	//	//	//	//	//
3. 2. 4	//	//	//	//	//	//
3. 2. 5	//	//	//	//	//	//

» Output 3.3:

3. 3	Output Indicators <i>Describe the indicator</i>	Indicator Baseline <i>State the baseline value of the indicator</i>	End of Project Indicator Target <i>State the target value of the indicator at the end of the project</i>	Indicator progress for reporting period <i>State the current value of the indicator for the reporting period</i>	Indicator progress since project's start <i>State the current cumulative value of the indicator since the start of the project</i>	Reasons for Variance/Delay (if any) <i>Explain why the indicator is off track or has changed, where relevant</i>
3. 3. 1						
3. 3. 2						
3. 3. 3						
3. 3. 4						
3. 3. 5						

» Output 3.4:

3. 4	Output Indicators	Indicator Baseline	End of Project Indicator Target	Indicator progress for reporting period	Indicator progress since project's start	Reasons for Variance/ Delay (if any)
	<i>Describe the indicator</i>	<i>State the baseline value of the indicator</i>	<i>State the target value of the indicator at the end of the project</i>	<i>State the current value of the indicator for the reporting period</i>	<i>State the current cumulative value of the indicator since the start of the project</i>	<i>Explain why the indicator is off track or has changed, where relevant</i>
3. 4. 1	//	//	//	//	//	//
3. 4. 2	//	//	//	//	//	//
3. 4. 3	//	//	//	//	//	//
3. 4. 4	//	//	//	//	//	//
3. 4. 5	//	//	//	//	//	//

» Output 3.5:

3. 5	Output Indicators <i>Describe the indicator</i>	Indicator Baseline <i>State the baseline value of the indicator</i>	End of Project Indicator Target <i>State the target value of the indicator at the end of the project</i>	Indicator progress for reporting period <i>State the current value of the indicator for the reporting period</i>	Indicator progress since project's start <i>State the current cumulative value of the indicator since the start of the project</i>	Reasons for Variance/Delay (if any) <i>Explain why the indicator is off track or has changed, where relevant</i>
3. 5. 1						
3. 5. 2						
3. 5. 3						
3. 5. 4						
3. 5. 5						

» Outcome 4:

O C 4	Outcome Indicators	Indicator Baseline	End of Project Indicator Target	Indicator progress for reporting period	Indicator progress since project's start	Reasons for Variance/ Delay (if any)
4. 1						
4. 2						
4. 3						
4. 4						
4. 5						

How many outputs does outcome 4 have?

1    2    3    4    5    more than 5.

Please list all outputs for outcome 4

Output 4.1

Output 4.2

Output 4.3

Output 4.4

Output 4.5

Other Outputs

*If Outcome 4 has more than 5 outputs, please enumerate the remaining outputs here*

For each output, and using the, project results framework, provide an update on the progress made against all output indicators

» **Output 4.1:**

4. 1	Output Indicators <i>Describe the indicator</i>	Indicator Baseline <i>State the baseline value of the indicator</i>	End of Project Indicator Target <i>State the target value of the indicator at the end of the project</i>	Indicator progress for reporting period <i>State the current value of the indicator for the reporting period</i>	Indicator progress since project's start <i>State the current cumulative value of the indicator since the start of the project</i>	Reasons for Variance/ Delay (if any) <i>Explain why the indicator is off track or has changed, where relevant</i>
4. 1. 1						
4. 1. 2						
4. 1. 3						
4. 1. 4						
4. 1. 5						

» Output 4.2:

4. 2	Output Indicators <i>Describe the indicator</i>	Indicator Baseline <i>State the baseline value of the indicator</i>	End of Project Indicator Target <i>State the target value of the indicator at the end of the project</i>	Indicator progress for reporting period <i>State the current value of the indicator for the reporting period</i>	Indicator progress since project's start <i>State the current cumulative value of the indicator since the start of the project</i>	Reasons for Variance/ Delay (if any) <i>Explain why the indicator is off track or has changed, where relevant</i>
4. 2. 1						
4. 2. 2						
4. 2. 3						
4. 2. 4						
4. 2. 5						

» Output 4.3:

4. 3	Output Indicators <i>Describe the indicator</i>	Indicator Baseline <i>State the baseline value of the indicator</i>	End of Project Indicator Target <i>State the target value of the indicator at the end of the project</i>	Indicator progress for reporting period <i>State the current value of the indicator for the reporting period</i>	Indicator progress since project's start <i>State the current cumulative value of the indicator since the start of the project</i>	Reasons for Variance/Delay (if any) <i>Explain why the indicator is off track or has changed, where relevant</i>
4. 3. 1						
4. 3. 2						
4. 3. 3						
4. 3. 4						
4. 3. 5						

» Output 4.4:

4. 4	Output Indicators <i>Describe the indicator</i>	Indicator Baseline <i>State the baseline value of the indicator</i>	End of Project Indicator Target <i>State the target value of the indicator at the end of the project</i>	Indicator progress for reporting period <i>State the current value of the indicator for the reporting period</i>	Indicator progress since project's start <i>State the current cumulative value of the indicator since the start of the project</i>	Reasons for Variance/Delay (if any) <i>Explain why the indicator is off track or has changed, where relevant</i>
4. 4 1						
4. 4. 2						
4. 4. 3						
4. 4. 4						
4. 4. 5						

» Output 4.5:

4. 5	Output Indicators <i>Describe the indicator</i>	Indicator Baseline <i>State the baseline value of the indicator</i>	End of Project Indicator Target <i>State the target value of the indicator at the end of the project</i>	Indicator progress for reporting period <i>State the current value of the indicator for the reporting period</i>	Indicator progress since project's start <i>State the current cumulative value of the indicator since the start of the project</i>	Reasons for Variance/Delay (if any) <i>Explain why the indicator is off track or has changed, where relevant</i>
---------	--	--	---	---	---	---

4. 5. 1						
4. 5. 2						
4. 5. 3						
4. 5. 4						
4. 5. 5						

If the project has more than 4 outcomes, use this space to describe progress on progress on indicators for the remaining outcomes \*

**PART III: Cross-Cutting Issues**

Is the project planning any significant events in the next six months? (eg. national dialogues, youth congresses, film screenings, etc.)

**If yes, please state how many, and for each, provide the approximate date of the event and a brief description, including its key objectives, target audience and location (if known)**

Events	Event Description	Tentative Date	Location	Target Audience	Event Objectives (900 characters)
Event 1	Joint Monitoring Visit	October 2026	Lofa, Nimba and Grand Bassa, and Grand Cape counties	Implementing partners, Development Partners, Government representatives and Project beneficiaries	To conduct multi-agency joint monitoring mission to assess progress, effectiveness
Event 2					
Event 3					
Event 4					

## Human Impact


This section is about the human impact of the project. Please state key stakeholders (including but not limited to: Civil Society Organizations, Beneficiaries, etc.) of the project, and for each, please briefly describe:

- i. The challenges/problem they faced prior to the project implementation
- ii. The impact of the project in their lives
- iii. Provide, where possible, a quote or testimonial from a representative of each stakeholder group

*This is an optional question. You may leave it unanswered if not relevant.*

Human Impact	Type of stakeholder	What has been the impact of the project on their lives?	Provide, where possible, a quote or testimonial from the stakeholder

1	PBC Liberia Configuration Chair	<p>The project interventions in Liberia have had a transformative and tangible impact on people's lives, particularly among youth, women, and vulnerable communities. Peacebuilding Fund (PBF) initiatives have strengthened recovery, reintegration, and empowerment, especially for young people affected by substance abuse, by providing integrated rehabilitation, mental health services, and vocational opportunities. These interventions have improved well-being, restored dignity, and enabled beneficiaries to contribute positively to their communities. In addition, digital empowerment initiatives have significantly enhanced civic participation and inclusion, particularly for young women. By building digital and communication skills, the projects have enabled beneficiaries to actively engage in advocacy, promote social causes, and participate in peacebuilding processes. Anti-corruption and governance initiatives have also strengthened transparency and citizen trust, providing accessible platforms for reporting corruption and supporting accountability processes. This has contributed to improved governance outcomes and increased citizen engagement in oversight mechanisms. Overall, the projects have contributed to greater social cohesion, resilience, and inclusive peacebuilding, positioning youth and women not only as beneficiaries but as active agents of change in Liberia's development and peace trajectory</p>	<p>The project has significantly improved the lives of vulnerable youth by</p>
---	---------------------------------	--	--



2

Ambassador-Designate for the Kingdom of Denmark to Liberia,

During the visit of the Danish Ambassador to the Liberia Refugee Repatriation and Rehabilitation Centre (LRRRC) Transit Centre, the center management team highlighted the progress and impact of ongoing interventions supported by the Peacebuilding Fund (PBF) in partnership with the Government of Liberia and UN agencies (UNFPA, UNICEF, and WHO). These interventions are contributing to strengthened national responses to substance use among vulnerable youth, while promoting recovery, reintegration, and community resilience.

The Ambassador was briefed on individual beneficiary pathways, including the case of Male (Amos), a young participant who entered the programme in August 2025 alongside 324 other youths following intensified government measures to address substance use in hotspot communities. Amos like many others had previously been reached through community sensitization initiatives under the project and was subsequently referred for rehabilitation support through the project steering committee. He was admitted to the LRRRC Transit Centre, which serves as a structured entry point for stabilization, detoxification, and rehabilitation.

"I was particularly encouraged by hearing firsthand from formerly drug-dependent youth who have successfully

3	Youth at Risk Beneficiary at LRRRC	<p>Peacebuilding Fund (PBF), in partnership with the Government of Liberia and UN agencies (UNFPA, UNICEF, and WHO), targeted interventions have strengthened national efforts to address substance use among vulnerable youth and promote recovery, reintegration, and community resilience.</p> <p>In August 2025, Paul, alongside 324 other young people, sought safety following intensified government actions to address substance use in hotspot communities. Having previously benefited from community sensitization under the project, he was identified and referred for further support. Following approval by the project steering committee, Paul was admitted to the Liberia Refugee Repatriation and Rehabilitation Centre (LRRRC) Transit Centre, which serves as a structured entry point for stabilization, detoxification, and rehabilitation.</p> <p>At the Centre, Paul received comprehensive services, including medically supported detoxification, psychosocial care, counselling, and continuous case management in a safe and supportive environment. These services, delivered through coordinated PBF support, helped stabilize his condition and prepare him for long-term recovery and reintegration.</p> <p>After nearly 10 months in the programme, Paul has successfully rebuilt his confidence, regained discipline, and overcome substance dependence. He now serves as President of the residents at the Centre, where he mentors peers, promotes positive behaviour change, and supports others on their recovery journeys. Paul is among 1,059 marginalized youth (925 male, 134 female) who have accessed treatment services under Outcome 3 of the project, focused on detoxification,</p>	<p>"Before coming to the rehabilitation centre, my life was completely controlled by substance use and violence."</p>
---	------------------------------------	--	---

rehabilitation, and recovery support. His story reflects the transformative impact of integrated, government-led and PBF-supported interventions in restoring dignity, rebuilding lives, and empowering young people as agents of change within their communities.

4

In addition to the stakeholder specific impact described above, please use this space to describe any additional human impact that the project has had.

*Please limit your response to 4000 characters.*

You can also upload upto 3 files in various formats (picture files, powerpoint, pdf, video, etc.) to illustrate the human impact of the project

***OPTIONAL***

File 1

**OPTIONAL**

Click here to upload file. (< 10MB)

---

File 2

**OPTIONAL**

Click here to upload file. (< 10MB)

---

File 3

**OPTIONAL**

Click here to upload file. (< 10MB)

---

You can also add upto 3 links to online resources which illustrate the human impact of the project

**OPTIONAL**

---

Link 1

**OPTIONAL**

---

Link 2

**OPTIONAL**

---

Link 3

**OPTIONAL**

---

Please tick the applicable change based on above narrative.

How we worked: \*

Please select up to 3.

- Enhanced digitization
- Innovative ways of working
- Mobilized additional resources
- Improved or initiated policy frameworks
- Strengthened capacities
- Partnered with with local/grassroots Civil Society Organizations
- Expanding coalitions & galvanizing political will
- Strengthened partnerships with IFIs
- Strengthened partnerships with UN Agencies

Please explain one of the selected options

Please limit your response to 3000 characters.

The secretariat has been innovative in engaging stakeholders at both strategic and technical levels to strengthen synergies and improve coordination and delivery

Please explain one of the selected options

Please limit your response to 3000 characters.

The secretariat has been able to work with PBPSO to mobilize additional resources given the gains Liberia is making to sustain the peace through PBF support

Please explain one of the selected options

Please limit your response to 3000 characters.

## Who are we working with

\*

- Strengthened partnerships with IFIs
- Strengthened partnerships between UN Agencies
- Partnered with local civil society organizations
- Partnered with local academia
- Partnered with sub-national entities
- Partnered with national entities
- Partnered with local volunteers

## Please explain

*Please limit your response to 3000 characters*

Through its role, the PBF Secretariat has fostered stronger partnership with civil society organizations, ensuring their participation in the development and participation of projects. CSOs have strong footholds in the communities

The secretariat is strengthening partnership with IFIs, which is demonstrated through their attendance at the PBF Joint Steering Committee and engagement on possible funding collaboration including regional projects]

Strengthened partnerships between UN Agencies

The PBF Secretariat continues to strengthen partnerships it and UN agencies through project development and implementation. The secretariat provides technical support to agencies and fosters collaboration in engaging government partners

The secretariat works with local CSOs and UN agencies, ensuring that implementation bottlenecks are addressed before and during project implementation].

The Secretariat has been engaging partners including ECOWAS, AfDB and MRU on regional developments and peacebuilding in the region. There was regular engagement with AfDB towards the establishment of a Fragility Observatory for the Mano River Union subregion in line with the recommendations of the Joint Assessment of Fragility and Resilience in the subregion.

Local volunteers are encouraged to contribute through CSOs and UN partner implementing PBF Proje

/

## Leave No one Behind

---

Select all beneficiaries targeted with the PBF resources as evidenced by the narrative \*

*Mandatory*

- Unemployed persons
- Minorities (e.g. race, ethnicity, linguistic, religion, etc.)
- Indigenous communities
- Persons with Disabilities
- Persons affected by violence (including GBV)
- Women
- Youth
- Children
- Minorities related to sexual orientation and/or gender identity and expression
- People living in and around border areas
- Persons affected by natural disasters
- Persons affected by armed conflicts
- Internally displaced persons, refugees or migrants

## PART IV: Monitoring, Evaluation and Compliance

### » Monitoring

Please list key monitoring activities undertaken in the reporting period \*

*Please limit your response to 3000 characters.*

On 27 May 2026, the Secretariat facilitated a high-level monitoring visit led by the Ambassador-Designate of Denmark to the rehabilitation facility in Montserrado. The visit provided an opportunity to showcase ongoing project interventions, assess progress on infrastructure and service delivery improvements, and strengthen donor engagement through direct observation of results on the ground. Discussions during the visit highlighted the importance of sustained investment in rehabilitation services as a critical component of community stabilization and reintegration efforts, while also reinforcing the Government and partner commitment to ensuring quality service provision for affected populations.

In addition, a series of individual and joint monitoring visits were conducted by both the Secretariat and participating UN agencies with their respective implementing partners across project sites. These visits were aimed at strengthening oversight, enhancing coordination, and reinforcing accountability mechanisms for project implementation. The monitoring engagements enabled real-time verification of reported outputs, identification of operational challenges, and provision of technical guidance to partners to improve delivery quality. They also served to align field-level implementation with agreed work plans and results frameworks, thereby contributing to improved programme performance and adaptive management.

Do outcome indicators have baselines? \*

*If only some of the outcome indicators have baselines, select 'yes'*

Yes

No

If yes, please provide a brief description. If not, explain why not and when they will be available. \*

*Please limit your response to 3000 characters.*

All current indicator have baseline values

Elaborate on what sources of evidence have been used to report on indicators (and are available upon request) \*

*Please limit your response to 3000 characters.*

The data were collected using project progress reports

Has the project launched outcome level data collection initiatives? e.g. perception surveys \*

**Perception survey is a formal collection of information from a randomly selected sample of respondents through their responses to standardized questions. See PBF Guidance Note for more information [link](#)**

Yes

No

Please provide a brief description

Please limit your response to 3000 characters.

\*

Has the project used or established community feedback mechanisms?

**Community feedback mechanism, or community-based monitoring, is an organized system for communities of participants to monitor the local effects and impact of an intervention. Ideally, this system empowers the community to express whether their expectations are being met and to provide suggestions to decision-makers for possible (re)focusing. See PBF Guidance Note for more information. [link](#)**

Yes

No

\*

Please provide a brief description

Please limit your response to 3000 characters.

\*

## » Evaluation

Is the project on track to conduct its evaluation?

Yes

No

Not Applicable

\*

Evaluation budget (in USD) included in the project budget:

Response required

0

\*

If project will end in next six months, is your upcoming evaluation on track?

Yes

No

Not Applicable

Please describe the preparations

Please limit your response to 3000 characters.

Contact  
information

Name

Organization

Job title

Email

Please mention the focal person responsible for sharing the final evaluation report with the PBF:

--	--	--	--

» **Catalytic Effect**

Catalytic Effect (financial): Has the project mobilized additional non-PBF financial resources since the project's start? \*

- Yes
- No

How many funders or grants has the project received additional non-PBF funding from **since the project started**? \*

Catalytic Effect (non-financial): Has the project enabled or created a larger or longer-term peacebuilding change to occur, in addition to the direct project changes? Please refer to PBF Catalytic Effect Guidelines for more information. \*

- Yes
- No

If yes, please select the relevant option below: \*

- Some catalytic effect
- Significant catalytic effect

If relevant, please describe how the project has had a (non-financial) catalytic effect, i.e. removed barriers to unblock stalled political, institutional or other peacebuilding processes at different levels in a country, and/or created the conditions to establish new processes to do so \*

*Please limit your response to 3000 characters.*



## Sustainability

Please describe any steps that have been taken to ensure the sustainability of peacebuilding gains, including any mechanisms, platforms, networks and socio-economic initiatives supported, beyond the duration of the project

*Please limit your response to 3000 characters.*

Sustainability: Please describe any steps that have been taken to ensure the sustainability of peacebuilding gains, including any mechanisms, platforms, networks and socio-economic initiatives supported, beyond the duration of the project (3000 characters):

The PBF Secretariat at both strategic and technical levels has taken a number of steps to ensure the sustainability of peacebuilding gains including focusing PBF interventions on institutional strengthening, promoting inclusive governance, addressing root causes of conflict, and ensuring local ownership of peace processes. Also, through various coordination mechanisms and platforms like the JSC, the Secretariat supports the JSC to oversee implementation of national peacebuilding priorities and ensure alignment with government frameworks that would remain entrenched after donor support reduces. Further guidance to ensure sustainability is also provided at various technical working groups on justice, security, and reconciliation where interventions are reviewed and discussed. These mechanisms have helped to ensure strong governance, coordination, and continuity of peacebuilding interventions.

Are there any other issues concerning project implementation that you want to share, including any capacity needs of the recipient organizations?

*Please limit your response to 3000 characters.*

## Monitoring and Oversight Activities

Please describe any key event related to monitoring and oversight. Please click next if no activities have yet taken place.

Events include Steering Committee meetings, Monitoring visits, Third party monitoring, Community based monitoring, any data collection, Perception or other survey findings, evaluation reports, audit or investigations.

### Monitoring and oversight activities

Name of the Event

Summary

Key Findings

Event 1



<b>Event 2</b>			
	//	//	//
<b>Event 3</b>			
	//	//	//
<b>Event 4</b>			
	//	//	//
<b>Event 5</b>			
	//	//	//
<b>Event 6</b>			
	//	//	//
<b>Event 7</b>			
	//	//	//
<b>Event 8</b>			
	//	//	//

### Final Steps

- Please save a PDF copy of the form by clicking on the *Printer* icon on the top right corner of the page.
- A dialogue box will appear: Please select the A4 size and portrait orientation.
- Click "prepare" and save the document as a PDF.
- (If on first attempt, the generated page is not readable, close the pop up page and go back to the first page of the online form using the "Return to Beginning" option and try to print the PDF version from there)
- After printing the PDF version, please submit the report in the last page of the form. You can use the "Go to End" button in the bottom right corner.
- In compliance with our reporting requirements, **please upload the PDF version of the report as well as your financial report in excel format to the MPTF-O Gateway.**

If you encounter any difficulty in filling the form or generating the print-out for MPTFO Gateway, please contact Gabriel Velastegui [gabriel.velasteguimoya@un.org](mailto:gabriel.velasteguimoya@un.org)

Thank You. You have finished the report. Please Click on the SUBMIT button below. When the report is submitted, a confirmation note will appear on a yellow banner on top of the page. This can take a few seconds.

---