

PBF June 2026 Project Progress Report



**PEACEBUILDING
FUND** 

PROJECT OVERVIEW

Thank you for taking the time to complete the PBF Progress report. For projects with more than one recipient, please consult among co-recipients prior to filling out the form to ensure collaboration on the responses. If you have any questions or require technical assistance in filling out the form, please send an email to gabriel.velastegui@un.org

Click Next below to start

» Report Submission

Type of report *

- Semi-annual
 Annual
 Final
 Other

Date of submission of report *

2026-07-02

Name and title of person submitting the report *

Amido Jalo, Monitoring, Evaluation and Reporting Analyst

E-mail of person submitting the report *

amido.jalo@undp.org

Name and title of person who approved the report *

Gedeon Behiguim, PBF Secretariat Coordinator

Have all fund recipients for this project contributed to the report? *

Yes

No

Did PBF Secretariat or RCO focal point review the report? *

You should normally ensure that the PBF Secretariat or the PBF focal point have an opportunity to review.

Yes

No

Not Applicable

» Project Information and Geographical Scope

Is this a cross-border project? *

Yes No

Please select the geographical region in which the project is implemented

- | | | |
|--|---|---|
| <input type="radio"/> Asia and the Pacific | <input type="radio"/> Central & Southern Africa | <input type="radio"/> East Africa |
| <input type="radio"/> Europe and Central Asia | <input type="radio"/> Global | <input type="radio"/> Latin America and the Caribbean |
| <input type="radio"/> Middle East and North Africa | <input checked="" type="radio"/> West Africa | |

Country of project implementation *

- | | | |
|--|------------------------------------|-------------------------------------|
| <input type="radio"/> Benin | <input type="radio"/> Burkina Faso | <input type="radio"/> Cote D'Ivoire |
| <input type="radio"/> Gambia | <input type="radio"/> Ghana | <input type="radio"/> Guinea |
| <input checked="" type="radio"/> Guinea-Bissau | <input type="radio"/> Liberia | <input type="radio"/> Mali |
| <input type="radio"/> Mauritania | <input type="radio"/> Niger | <input type="radio"/> Nigeria |
| <input type="radio"/> Senegal | <input type="radio"/> Sierra Leone | <input type="radio"/> Togo |
| <input type="radio"/> Other, Specify | | |

Other, please specify *

Project Title

*

- 00130107: Creating safe and empowering public spaces with women to mitigate climate-security risks and sustain peace in Guinea-Bissau
- 00129698: Enhancing the human rights protection system in Guinea-Bissau
- 00129743: Inclusive Peaceful Land Management in OIO, CACHEU and BIOMBO regions
- 00119912: Political Stabilization and Reform through Confidence Building and Inclusive Dialogue
- 00119443: Secretariat Project: Support to project coordination and monitoring of the United Nations Peacebuilding Fund (PBF) Projects in Guinea-Bissau
- 00119444: Strengthening the justice and security sector response to drug trafficking and transnational organized crime to reduce insecurity in Guinea-Bissau
- 00134097: Prevention of Natural Resources Conflicts related to Pastoralism and Transhumance in Bafata and Gabu Regions
- 00140008: Strengthening social cohesion through promoting inclusive and effective public health sector governance, management, and administration
- 00140108: Inclusive policies and institutions for a peaceful society: strengthening the social fabric and fostering youth meaningful participation in decision-making in Guinea Bissau
- 00140581: Peaceful Natural Resources Management in the Koliba-Corubal Basin
- 00141181: Strengthening social cohesion in Guinea-Bissau by aligning and integrating religious schools with the national education system
- 00141497: National Anti-Corruption Strategy: Building an effective culture of transparency and integrity in Guinea-Bissau
- Other, Specify

Write the 8 digit MPTFO number and Project Title exactly as it appears in the Project Document

*

EXAMPLE: 00118938: Community-based prevention of violence and social cohesion using innovation for young people in displaced and host communities

.....

Please select the geographical region(s) in which the project is implemented *

If the project you are looking for does not appear in the following question, please make sure that you have selected the correct regions. A limited number of cross border projects span multiple geographic regions. For example, a cross border project between Niger and Chad spans both West Africa and Central & Southern Africa

- | | | |
|---|--|--|
| <input type="checkbox"/> Asia and the Pacific | <input type="checkbox"/> Central & Southern Africa | <input type="checkbox"/> East Africa |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Europe and Central Asia | <input type="checkbox"/> Global | <input type="checkbox"/> Latin America and the Caribbean |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Middle East and North Africa | <input type="checkbox"/> West Africa | |

Please select the title of the project for which you are submitting the report *

Write the 8 digit MPTFO numbers and Project Title exactly as it appears in the Project Document *

EXAMPLE: 00129699/700: Supporting Cross-Border Cooperation for Increased Community Resilience and Social Cohesion in The Gambia and Senegal

Please select the countries where this project is being implemented *

Other, Please specify *

Project Start Date (Date of first transfer) *

2020-01-15

Project End Date *

2026-06-30

Has this project received an extension? *

- YES, Cost Extension
- YES, No Cost Extension
- YES, Both Cost and No Cost Extensions
- NO, No Extensions

Will this project be requesting an extension? *

- YES, Cost Extension
- YES, No Cost Extension
- YES, Both Cost and No Cost Extensions
- NO, No Extensions

Will this project be submitting a Fund Transfer Request (FTR) in the next six months? *

- Yes
- No

If so, around which month do you expect to submit the request? *

- | | | |
|-------------------------------|--------------------------------|---------------------------------|
| <input type="radio"/> January | <input type="radio"/> February | <input type="radio"/> March |
| <input type="radio"/> April | <input type="radio"/> May | <input type="radio"/> June |
| <input type="radio"/> July | <input type="radio"/> August | <input type="radio"/> September |
| <input type="radio"/> October | <input type="radio"/> November | <input type="radio"/> December |

Is the current project end date within 6 months? *

- Yes
- No

Is funding disbursed either into a national or regional trust fund? *

- Yes
- No

If yes, please select which *

- National Trust Fund
- Regional Trust Fund

Recipients

Is the convening agency a UN agency or a non UN entity? *

- UN entity
 Non-UN Entity

Please select the convening agency recipient *

- UNDP: United Nations Development Programme IOM: International Organization for Migration
 UNICEF: United Nations Children's Fund
 OHCHR: Office of the United Nations High Commissioner for Human Rights
 UNWOMEN: United Nations Entity for Gender Equality and the Empowerment of Women
 UNHCR: United Nations High Commissioner for Refugees UNFPA: United Nations Population Fund
 FAO: Food and Agriculture Organization WFP: World Food Programme
 UNHABITAT: United Nations Human Settlements Programme
 UNESCO: United Nations Educational, Scientific and Cultural Organization
 UNEP: United Nations Environment Programme ILO: International Labour Organization
 WHO: World Health Organization PAHO/WHO
 UNCDF: United Nations Capital Development Fund UNODC: United Nations Office on Drugs and Crime
 UNOPS: United Nations Office for Project Services
 UNIDO: United Nations Industrial Development Organization ITC: International Trade Centre
 UNDPO Other, Specify

Other, Please specify *

.....

Are there other recipients for this project? *

- No other recipients
 Yes, other UN recipients only
 Yes, other non-UN recipients only
 Yes, both UN and non-UN recipients

Please select other UN recipients

*

Select all that apply

- UNDP: United Nations Development Programme
- UNICEF: United Nations Children's Fund
- OHCHR: Office of the United Nations High Commissioner for Human Rights
- UNWOMEN: United Nations Entity for Gender Equality and the Empowerment of Women
- UNHCR: United Nations High Commissioner for Refugees
- UNFPA: United Nations Population Fund
- FAO: Food and Agriculture Organization
- WFP: World Food Programme
- UNHABITAT: United Nations Human Settlements Programme
- UNESCO: United Nations Educational, Scientific and Cultural Organization
- UNEP: United Nations Environment Programme
- ILO: International Labour Organization
- WHO: World Health Organization
- PAHO/WHO
- UNCDF: United Nations Capital Development Fund
- UNODC: United Nations Office on Drugs and Crime
- UNOPS: United Nations Office for Project Services
- UNIDO: United Nations Industrial Development Organization
- ITC: International Trade Centre
- UN Department of Peace Operations
- Other, Specify

Other, Please specify

*

.....

Please select other non-UN recipients

- ACTED
- Action Aid UK
- AAITG (ActionAid the Gambia)
- AEDE
- African Centre for the Constructive Resolution of Disputes (ACCORD)
- Agence de Coopération et de Recherche pour le Développement (ACORD)
- American Friends Service Committee (AFSC)
- Avocats Sans Frontières
- Avocats Sans Frontières Belgium
- Avocats sans frontières Canada
- Ayuda en Accion
- BIRN - Balkan Investigative Reporting Network
- BIOM -Youth Ecological Movemen
- CARE International UK
- Centre d'étude et de coopération internationale (CECI) - BF
- Christian Aid Ireland
- COIPRODEN
- Concern Worldwide
- Conexion Guatemala
- COOPI - Cooperazione Internazionale
- CORD Burundi
- CORDAID
- Corporacion Sisma Mujer
- CRS - Catholic Relief Services
- DanChurchAid
- Danish Refugee Council
- EQUITAS
- Fund for Congolese Women
- Fundacion Estudios Superior (FESU)
- Fundación Mi Sangre (FMS)
- Fundación Nacional para el Desarrollo de Honduras (FUNADEH)
- Fundación para la Libertad de Prensa (FLIP)
- Geneva Centre for Security Sector Governance (DCAF)
- HELVETAS Swiss Intercooperation
- Humanity & Inclusion (HI)
- ICTJ (International Center for Transitional Justice)
- Instituto Holandes para Democracia Multipartidaria (NIMD)
- Integrity Watch
- International Alert
- International Rescue Committee
- Interpeace
- Kvinna till Kvinna Foundation
- Life and Peace Institute (LPI)
- MDG-EISA - Institut Electoral pour une Démocratie Durable en Afrique (EISA), bureau de Madagascar
- Mercy Corps
- MLAL - ProgettoMondo
- MSIS-TATAO
- NIMD (Netherlands Institute for Multiparty Democracy)
- Nonviolent Peaceforce
- Norwegian Refugee Council (NRC)
- Nile Sustainable Development Organization - NSDO
- OCNH-Organisation des Citoyens pour une Nouvelle Haïti
- OIKOS
- ONG Adkoul - ONG Adkoul
- ONG AZHAR
- OXFAM
- Peace Direct
- Plan International
- PNG UN Country Fund
- Red de Instituciones por los Derechos de la Niñez
- ROI - Roza Otunbayeva Initiati
- Saferworld
- Sampan'Asa Momba ny Fampandrosoana (SAF/FJKM)
- Save the Children
- Search for Common Ground (SFCG)
- SIHA (Strategic Initiative for Women in the Horn of Africa)
- SismaMujer
- SOS Sahel Sudan
- Stichting Impunity Watch
- Tearfund
- The Carter Center, Inc.
- Trocaire
- War Child
- War Childhood Museum (WCM)
- World Vision International
- World Vision Myanmar
- ZOA
- blank_placeholder
- Other, Please specify

Other, Please specify

*

Implementing Partners

To how many implementing partners has the project transferred money **since the project's start** ?

1

To how many implementing partners has the project transferred money **during this calendar period** ?

(for June reports: January-June;

for November reports: January-December (anticipated);

for final reports: full project duration)

0

Please list all of the project's implementing partners and the amounts (in USD) transferred to each, both since the project's start, and specifically during this calendar period

Please select the type of organisation which best describes the type of implementing partner *

- National youth CSO
- National women's CSO
- Other National CSO
- Subnational youth CSO
- Subnational women's CSO
- Other subnational CSO
- Regional CSO
- Regional Organisation
- International NGO
- Governmental entity
- National women's and youth CSO
- Subnational women's and youth CSO
- Other

Other, Please specify

What is the name of the Implementing Partner *

Voz di Paz

What is the planned total amount (in USD) for the **overall duration of the project** to be disbursed to this implementing partner? *

Please use a dot (.) as decimal separator, instead of a comma (,)

151124

What is the total amount (in USD) disbursed to the implementing partner **since the project's start**? *

Please use a dot (.) as decimal separator, instead of a comma (,)

151124

What is the total amount (in USD) disbursed to the implementing partner **during this calendar period**? *

Please use a dot (.) as decimal separator, instead of a comma (,)

0

Briefly describe the main activities carried out by the Implementing Partner during this calendar period *

Please limit your response to 1500 characters

Mapping of local conflicts in the country

Financial Reporting

» Delivery by Recipient

Please enter the total amounts in full US dollars allocated to each recipient organization

Please enter the original budget amount, amount transferred to date and estimated expenditure by recipient.

Please make sure you enter the correct amount. All values should be entered in **US Dollars**

For cross-border projects, group the amounts by agency, even if different country offices are involved. You will have the opportunity to share a more detailed budget in the next section.

Recipients	Total Project Budget (in full US \$) <i>Please enter the total budget as is in the project document in US Dollars</i>	Transfers to date (in full US \$) <i>Please enter the total amount transferred to each recipient to date in US Dollars</i>	Expenditure to date (in full US \$) <i>Please enter the approximate amount spent to date in US dollars</i>	Implementation rate as a percentage of total budget (calculated automatically)
UNDP: United Nations Development Programme	* 3146689	* 3146689	* 2579197.73	81.97%
	*	*	*	%

TOTAL	3146689	3146689	2579197.73	81.9 7%
--------------	----------------	----------------	-------------------	--------------------------

The approximate implementation rate as percentage of total project budget based on the values entered in the above matrix is **81.97%**. Can you confirm that this is correct? *

Correct Incorrect

If it is incorrect, please enter the approximate implementation rate as a % *

» Gender-responsive Budgeting

Indicate what **percentage (%)** of the budget contributes to gender equality or women's empowerment (GEWE) as per the project document? *

45.01

The dollar amount of the budget contributing to Gender Equality and Women's Empowerment (GEWE) based on percentage entered above and total project budget is **US \$ 1416324.72**. Can you confirm that this is correct? *

Correct Incorrect

If it is incorrect, please enter the *budget amount* allocated to GEWE in US Dollars *

Amount expended to date on efforts contributing to gender equality or women's empowerment is **US \$ 1160896.9**. Is this correct? *

Correct Incorrect

If it is incorrect, please enter the *expenditure to date* on GEWE in US dollars *

ATTACH PROJECT EXCEL BUDGET SHOWING CURRENT APPROXIMATE EXPENDITURE. *

The templates for the budget are available [here](#)

Rapport financier intérimaire _ 23 juin2026-10_58_23.xlsx



Project Markers

Please select the Gender Marker Associated with this project *

- Score 1 for projects that contribute in some way to gender equality, but not significantly (less than 30% of the total budget for GEWE)
- Score 2 for projects that have gender equality as a significant objective and allocate between 30 and 79% of the total project budget to GEWE
- Score 3 for projects that have gender equality as a principal objective and allocate at least 80% of the total project budget to Gender Equality and Women's Empowerment (GEWE)

Please select the Risk Marker Associated with this project *

- Risk marker 0 = low risk to achieving outcomes
- Risk marker 1 = medium risk to achieving outcomes
- Risk marker 2 = high risk to achieving outcomes

Please select the PBF Focus Area associated with this project *

- (1.1) Security Sector Reform
- (1.2) Rule of Law
- (1.3) Demobilisation, Disarmament and Reintegration
- (1.4) Political Dialogue
- (2.1) National reconciliation
- (2.2) Democratic Governance
- (2.3) Conflict prevention/management
- (3.1) Employment
- (3.2) Equitable access to social services
- (4.1) Strengthening of essential national state capacity
- (4.2) Extension of state authority/Local Administration
- (4.3) Governance of peacebuilding resources (including PBF Secretariats)

Is the project part of one or more PBF priority windows? *

Select all that apply

- Gender promotion initiative
- Youth promotion initiative
- Transition from UN or regional peacekeeping or special political missions
- Cross-border or regional project
- None

Steering Committee and Government engagement

Does the project have an active steering committee/ project board? *

Yes

No

If yes, please indicate how many times the Project Steering Committee has met over the last 6 months?

Please limit your response to 3000 characters

During the reporting period, the Joint Steering Committee did not convene. Following the Unconstitutional Change of Government that occurred on 26 November 2025, engagement with national authorities at the political level remained limited. Nevertheless, the Joint Technical Committee (JTC) continued to serve as an important coordination and oversight mechanism.

This enabled the successful convening of the second JTC meeting on 17-18 June 2026, during which participants reviewed the 2025 UNSDCF Annual Results and the 2025 PBF Portfolio performance. The meeting generated key recommendations to strengthen programme implementation, enhance coordination mechanisms, and improve the composition and functioning of the JTC. The JTC also provided a platform to present and discuss the updated conflict analysis and identify strategic entry points for the ongoing development of the Peacebuilding Strategic Results Framework (SRF), further reinforcing evidence-based planning and decision-making across the peacebuilding portfolio.

Please provide a brief description of any engagement that the project has had with the government over the last 6 months. Please indicate what level of government the project has been engaging with. *

Please limit your response to 3000 characters

PBF portfolio maintained sustained engagement with the Government of Guinea-Bissau at both central and decentralized levels through established coordination mechanisms and joint oversight processes. Throughout the reporting period, government ownership was further promoted through its active participation in end project cycle evaluations conducted by external evaluators, providing strategic guidance and contributing to the assessment of progress, challenges, and lessons learned.

Government engagement was further reinforced during the Foreign, Commonwealth & Development Office (FCDO) mission to Guinea-Bissau from 8 to 12 June 2026, which included consultations in Bissau and field visits to selected PBF-supported initiatives in the Bafatá Region. The mission provided an opportunity for Government authorities at technical level, implementing entities, beneficiaries, and local stakeholders to discuss results achieved through PBF investments in natural resource management, conflict prevention, access to justice, human rights, and youth and women's empowerment. During the mission, the FCDO delegation met with the National Director of the Judicial Police, the General Director of Access to Justice, the Governor of Bafatá Region, and traditional and communities' leaders, while also engaging directly with communities and project beneficiaries in the field. These interactions enabled the identification of operational opportunities and challenges and further strengthened the Government's commitment to the peacebuilding agenda. This momentum was sustained during the second JTC meeting held on 17-18 June 2026, where representatives from key institutions, including the Ministries of Foreign Affairs, Economy and Planning, Finance, Justice, Health, Women, Agriculture and etc, as well as the National Institute for Women and Children, actively contributed to discussions on results, lessons learned, and strategic priorities. Collectively, these engagements reinforced national ownership, strengthened coordination and accountability mechanisms, and further consolidated the PBF's position as a strategic partner of the Government of Guinea-Bissau in advancing national peacebuilding and development objectives under both the PBF Portfolio.

PART I: OVERALL PROJECT PROGRESS

NOTES FOR COMPLETING THE REPORT:

- Avoid acronyms and UN jargon, use general /common language.
- Report on what has been achieved in the reporting period, not what the project aims to do.
- Be as concrete as possible. Avoid theoretical, vague or conceptual discourse.
- Ensure the analysis and project progress assessment is gender and age sensitive.

Please rate the implementation status of the following preliminary/preparatory activities

Contracting of partners *

- Not Started Initiated Partially Completed
 Completed Not Applicable

Staff Recruitment *

- Not Started Initiated Partially Completed
 Completed Not Applicable

Collection of baselines *

- Not Started Initiated Partially Completed
 Completed Not Applicable

Identification of beneficiaries *

- Not Started Initiated Partially Completed
 Completed Not Applicable

Provide any additional descriptive information relating to the status of the project, including whether preliminary/preparatory activities have been completed (i.e. contracting of partners, staff recruitment, etc.)

Please limit your response to 3000 characters

The PBF Secretariat is currently fully staffed and operational.

Describe overall progress under each Outcome made during the reporting period (*for June reports: January-June; for November reports: January-December (anticipated); for final reports: full project duration*). Do not list individual activities. If the project is starting to make/has made a difference at the outcome level, provide specific evidence for the progress (quantitative and qualitative) and explain how it impacts the broader political and peacebuilding context.

Is the project on track for the timely completion of outputs as indicated in the workplan? *

Yes

No

If no, please provide an explanation *

Please limit your response to 6000 characters

Project progress summary

Please limit your response to 6000 characters

Building on the findings of the 2025 PBF Portfolio independent Evaluation, during the reporting period the PBF Secretariat focused on implementing the recommendations of the evaluation, particularly those aimed at strengthening strategic portfolio management and national ownership. A key achievement was the completion of the updating of 2020 Conflict Analysis, which was presented to the Joint Technical Committee (JTC) and now serves as the foundation for the development of the Peacebuilding Strategic Results Framework (SRF). Facilitated by two consultants, the SRF is currently being finalized (July 2026) and will provide a strategic roadmap for future peacebuilding investments aligned with national priorities and the forthcoming UNSDCF.

The PBF Secretariat further strengthened portfolio governance and coordination mechanisms. Through the Joint Technical Committee (JTC), co-chaired by the Government and the UN Resident Coordinator, stakeholders reviewed portfolio performance, addressed implementation of bottlenecks, and reinforced accountability and strategic oversight. The JTC continued to serve as an inclusive platform bringing together government institutions, civil society, private sector representatives, and implementing partners to review progress, promote coordination, and ensure alignment of interventions with emerging peacebuilding priorities.

At the operational level, the Secretariat conducted four technical projects monitoring meetings in February, April and May 2026 with active projects to assess implementation progress, monitor financial and operational performance, and provide direct guidance on corrective actions where necessary. These regular engagements enabled timely identification of challenges, strengthened project oversight, and supported the implementation of adjustments required to keep projects on track and maximize delivery of planned results.

The Secretariat also played a central role in coordinating and supporting the mission of the Foreign, Commonwealth & Development Office (FCDO) to Guinea-Bissau from 8 to 12 June 2026. The mission provided an opportunity to showcase PBF-supported interventions and engage directly with government authorities, multilateral partners, communities, and project beneficiaries. Field visits highlighted tangible results in conflict prevention, natural resource governance, access to justice, youth empowerment, and community mediation, while reinforcing partnerships and donor confidence in the portfolio's contribution to sustaining peace.

Transparency, accountability, and learning were further strengthened through the completion of two independent final project evaluations: Strengthening the justice and security sector response to drug trafficking and transnational organized crime to reduce insecurity in Guinea-Bissau (CDTOC) Project and the Guinea-Bissau Political stabilization and reform through confidence building and inclusive dialogue Project. These evaluations generated credible evidence on project achievements, challenges, and lessons learned, providing valuable insights to inform future programming, strengthen accountability to stakeholders, and support evidence-based decision-making.

In addition, the Secretariat also produced and disseminated the 2025 Annual Results Report and a summary of two-page results brief, documenting portfolio achievements, implementation progress, monitoring findings, and key lessons learned across the Fund's interventions. The report serves as an important knowledge management and accountability tool, consolidating evidence of results and highlighting emerging challenges and opportunities. Printed copies were disseminated to key stakeholders, including government counterparts, and the report was formally presented to the JTC to facilitate discussion, learning, and strategic oversight of the portfolio.

Collectively, these achievements demonstrate the continued contribution of the PBF Secretariat project to strengthening institutional capacity, promoting inclusive dialogue, and fostering more resilient and peaceful communities across Guinea-Bissau.

In parallel, the Secretariat provided strategic and technical support for the development of the concept note for a new peacebuilding initiative, "Strengthening Civil Society Capacity to Enhance Social Cohesion and Peace in Guinea-Bissau." The proposed project aims to strengthen the capacity of civil society organizations to prevent violence, mitigate polarization, and promote inclusive dialogue and social cohesion. By fostering collaboration among civil society actors and enhancing their engagement with communities and institutions, the initiative is expected to contribute to more inclusive and resilient peacebuilding processes across the country.

The progress achieved during the reporting period reflects the Secretariat's continued contribution to enhancing the relevance, coherence, and effectiveness of the PBF portfolio in Guinea-Bissau. As highlighted by the 2025 Independent Evaluation, the Secretariat has played a pivotal role in facilitating coordination, quality assurance, strategic guidance, and portfolio oversight. Through strengthened governance mechanisms, improved monitoring practices, and the integration of evaluation recommendations into strategic planning processes, the portfolio is better positioned to consolidate peacebuilding gains and support long-term institutional resilience and social cohesion in Guinea-Bissau.

Indicate any additional analysis on how Gender Equality and Women's Empowerment and/or Youth Inclusion and Responsiveness has been ensured by the project to date

Please limit your response to 3000 characters

During the reporting period, the PBF portfolio continued to face challenges related to limited availability of gender-disaggregated data and persistent sociocultural barriers that restrict women's access to opportunities and benefits. To address these constraints, the PBF Secretariat prioritized the integration of gender considerations into portfolio management and strategic planning processes. Gender analysis was incorporated into the Conflict Analysis - a particular attention was paid to the impacts of the conflict dynamics on women, as part of the development of the Strategic Results Framework (SRF), while final project evaluations were designed to strengthen gender data analytics, enabling a better understanding of who benefits from interventions, who may have been excluded, and the extent to which projects contribute to more equitable outcomes.

Building on this approach, the PBF Secretariat continued to provide technical support to the formulation of a new peacebuilding project aimed at strengthening the institutional and technical capacities of civil society organizations to promote social cohesion. Gender equality is systematically integrated into the content of the concept note to support targeted measures to advance women's empowerment, including actions to promote the access to opportunities and participation of women.

As a result, the PBF portfolio remains strongly gender responsive. Of the five active projects, four projects including the PBF Secretariat Project are classified as Gender Marker 2, while one project is classified as Gender Marker 3. Across these projects, the proportion of budgets allocated to gender equality and women's empowerment ranges from 31.11%, 83.55%, 35.44%, 31.90% and 45.01%. Overall, approximately 44% of the active portfolio budget is dedicated to advancing gender equality and empower women.

Is the project 1+ year in implementation?

Yes

No

FOR PROJECTS 1+ YEAR IN IMPLEMENTATION ONLY:

Is the project demonstrating outcome-level peacebuilding results?

Outcome-level peacebuilding results entail results achieved at the societal or structural level, including changed attitudes, behaviours or institutions.

Yes

No

If yes, please provide concrete examples of such peacebuilding results

Please limit your response to 6000 characters

During the reporting period, the PBF portfolio in Guinea-Bissau generated tangible progress at both institutional and community levels, strengthening national ownership, inclusive governance, and localization. Contributing directly to Outcome 1 and Outcome 2, the portfolio enhanced the development, implementation, monitoring, and strategic oversight of peacebuilding initiatives while strengthening the capacities of implementing partners to deliver, evaluate, and communicate results effectively.

A key milestone was the consolidation of governance mechanisms under the unified UNSDCF-PBF framework. Following the endorsement of the JTC during its inaugural meeting in September 2025, the second JTC session held on 17-18 June 2026 further institutionalized collaboration among Government institutions, the United Nations, civil society, and the private sector. In parallel, the JTC revised its ToR, formalizing shared accountability and oversight arrangements between the Government and the UN, thereby strengthening coordination, transparency, and evidence-based decision-making.

At the operational level, the portfolio delivered concrete peace dividends by addressing key drivers of conflict and improving citizen confidence in public institutions. Investments in water infrastructure for both human and livestock consumption reduced competition over scarce natural resources, a recurrent source of tension between communities, farmers, and pastoralists. These investments were complemented by the establishment of approximately 30 community water management committees, the majority of which are led by women. Beyond ensuring the sustainable management of water infrastructure, these committees have become important local platforms for dialogue, conflict prevention, and social cohesion, promoting inclusive decision-making and the peaceful management of shared natural resources.

Building on these gains, community-based peace-building mechanisms demonstrated increased effectiveness in preventing and resolving local conflicts. Women's Listening Clubs, pastoralist associations, and community dialogue platforms played a critical role in mediating transhumance-related disputes, strengthening social cohesion and fostering peaceful coexistence in conflict-prone areas. Complementing these efforts, the initiative supporting the alignment of religious schools into the national education system has initiated the process of perceptions studies to create a solid foundation to advance dialogue between faith-based and state institutions, helping to reduce social fragmentation and promote inclusive education as a foundation for peace. These interventions contributed to noticeable behavioral change, with women and young people increasingly assuming leadership roles in mediation processes and local existing structures, reflecting more inclusive and gender-responsive governance practices.

The sustainability and visibility of these achievements were further reinforced through strengthened monitoring, learning, and communication processes. As part of the recommendations of the independent evaluation of the 2019-2025 PBF portfolio, finalized in October 2025, which confirmed the Fund's contribution to institutional resilience, policy coherence, and local peace dividends. Its recommendations are now informing the development of the Peacebuilding Strategic Results Framework and strengthening results-based monitoring and adaptive management.

If yes, please provide sources or references (including links) as evidence of peacebuilding results, or submit them as additional attachments.

Evidence may be quantitative or qualitative but needs to demonstrate progress against outcome indicators in the project results framework. Sources may include project surveys (such as perception surveys), monitoring reports, government documents, or other knowledge products that have been developed by the project.

DPPA: Resolving Transhumance Conflicts in Guinea-Bissau DPPA: In Guinea-Bissau, joint UN initiative helps build a culture where women can demand their rights Annual Report of the Peacebuilding Fund Guinea-Bissau PBF-supported project: Dialogue for Post-Election Stability: The Essential Role of Women and Youth in Peacebuilding PBF-supported project: Guinea-Bissau Boosts Youth Participation by Institutionalizing Political Party Forum PBF-supported project: Launching a path to peace through education PBF-supported project: Civil society in Guinea-Bissau speaks up on human rights PBF-Supported Inclusive Policies project: Guinea-Bissau Virtually Attends ECOWAS Regional Youth Conference PBF-supported initiative: Journalists Trained to Promote Ethical and Violence-Free Election Coverage Youth Leadership Roundtable: Dialogue with Youth: Promoting Inclusion and Progress or something like that PBF-supported CDTOC project: 100 new Judicial Police officers ready to serve Guinea-Bissau UNCT Newsletter with PBF Section: Boletim Informativo Conjunto do Sistema das Nações Unidas na Guiné-Bissau: Edição I 2025 PBF Photo Story: Community Mediation in Action

File attachment

Evidence sources-11_9_14.docx



PART II: PROJECT RESULTS FRAMEWORK

How many OUTCOMES does this project have *

1

2

3

4

5

more than 5.

Please write out the project outcomes as they are in the project results framework found in the project document

Outcome 1:

The effective development, implementation, monitoring, oversight of peacebuilding projects and the overall strategic direction of the PBF portfolio in Guinea-Bissau is ensured.

Outcome 2:

The agencies implementing PBF funded projects are able to deliver on the implementation, monitoring and evaluation of effectively designed peacebuilding projects and effectively communicate the results of their interventions

Outcome 3:

Outcome 4:

Outcome 5:

*

Outcome 6:

*

Outcome 7:

*

Outcome 8:

*

Additional Outcomes

If the project has more than 8 outcomes, please enumerate the remaining outcomes here

*

INDICATOR BASED PERFORMANCE ASSESSMENT

Using the Project Results Framework as per the approved project document or any amendments, provide an update on the achievement of all **outcome** and **output** indicators in the table below.

- Where it has not been possible to collect data on indicators, state this and provide any explanation.
- Provide gender and age disaggregated data. (500 characters max per entry)

» **Outcome 1: The effective development, implementation, monitoring, oversight of peacebuilding projects and the overall strategic direction of the PBF portfolio in Guinea-Bissau is ensured.**

O C 1	Outcome Indicator s	Indicator Baseline	End of Project Indicator Target	Indicator progress for reporting period	Indicator progress since project's start	Reasons for Variance/ Delay (if any)
1. 1	Enhanced collaboration of the PBF programme with other stakeholders (Government, Partners and PBF donors in the country)	0	4	1	7	
1. 2	Evaluation of PBF Secretariat by UN Leadership, RUNOS, and national stakeholders	65%	75%	74.5%	74.5%	
1. 3						
1. 4						
1. 5						

How many outputs does outcome 1 have?

1 2 **3** 4 5 more than 5.

Please list all outputs for outcome 1

Output 1.1

Reliable management structure for the PBF in Guinea-Bissau is ensured.

Output 1.2

Effective project implementation, communication and coordination enabled to ensure proper Oversight, Coherence and Complementarity between Projects

Output 1.3

Enable effective development of new project design

Output 1.4

Output 1.5

Other Outputs

If Outcome 1 has more than 5 outputs, please enumerate the remaining outputs here

For each output, and using the, project results framework, provide an update on the progress made against all output indicators

» **Output 1.1: Reliable management structure for the PBF in Guinea-Bissau is ensured.**

1. 1	Output Indicators <i>Describe the indicator</i>	Indicator Baseline <i>State the baseline value of the indicator</i>	End of Project Indicator Target <i>State the target value of the indicator at the end of the project</i>	Indicator progress for reporting period <i>State the current value of the indicator for the reporting period</i>	Indicator progress since project's start <i>State the current cumulative value of the indicator since the start of the project</i>	Reasons for Variance/ Delay (if any) <i>Explain why the indicator is off track or has changed, where relevant</i>
1. 1. 1	Office has complete staff members	4	5	0	5	
1. 1. 2						
1. 1. 3						
1. 1. 4						
1. 1. 5						

» Output 1.2: Effective project implementation, communication and coordination enabled to ensure proper Oversight, Coherence and Complementarity between Projects

1. 2	Output Indicators <i>Describe the indicator</i>	Indicator Baseline <i>State the baseline value of the indicator</i>	End of Project Indicator Target <i>State the target value of the indicator at the end of the project</i>	Indicator progress for reporting period <i>State the current value of the indicator for the reporting period</i>	Indicator progress since project's start <i>State the current cumulative value of the indicator since the start of the project</i>	Reasons for Variance/ Delay (if any) <i>Explain why the indicator is off track or has changed, where relevant</i>
1. 2. 1	Quarterly meetings held with PBF Recipient Organizations to follow upon each project implementation	0	4	1	5	
1. 2. 2	Quality PBF project Reports Submitted by the Deadline every June and December	0	7	3	8	
1. 2. 3	UN Leadership provided quality support and documentation to make decisions	0	2	1	5	The PBF Secretariat provides quarterly briefing to UNCT
1. 2. 4						
1. 2. 5						

» Output 1.3: Enable effective development of new project design

1. 3	Output Indicators <i>Describe the indicator</i>	Indicator Baseline <i>State the baseline value of the indicator</i>	End of Project Indicator Target <i>State the target value of the indicator at the end of the project</i>	Indicator progress for reporting period <i>State the current value of the indicator for the reporting period</i>	Indicator progress since project's start <i>State the current cumulative value of the indicator since the start of the project</i>	Reasons for Variance/ Delay (if any) <i>Explain why the indicator is off track or has changed, where relevant</i>
1. 3. 1	# of ProDocs that are approved by PBSO based on the yearly approved investment plan for the country	3	3	0	3	A process of drafting a new project is ongoing
1. 3. 2	New Projects have at least 30% of funds allocated to Gender Issues or support Women's Empowerment	35.44%	35.44%	35.44%	33.94%	
1. 3. 3						
1. 3. 4						
1. 3. 5						

» Output 1.4:

1. 4	Output Indicators <i>Describe the indicator</i>	Indicator Baseline <i>State the baseline value of the indicator</i>	End of Project Indicator Target <i>State the target value of the indicator at the end of the project</i>	Indicator progress for reporting period <i>State the current value of the indicator for the reporting period</i>	Indicator progress since project's start <i>State the current cumulative value of the indicator since the start of the project</i>	Reasons for Variance/ Delay (if any) <i>Explain why the indicator is off track or has changed, where relevant</i>
1. 4. 1						
1. 4. 2						
1. 4. 3						
1. 4. 4						
1. 4. 5						

» Output 1.5:

1. 5	Output Indicators <i>Describe the indicator</i>	Indicator Baseline <i>State the baseline value of the indicator</i>	End of Project Indicator Target <i>State the target value of the indicator at the end of the project</i>	Indicator progress for reporting period <i>State the current value of the indicator for the reporting period</i>	Indicator progress since project's start <i>State the current cumulative value of the indicator since the start of the project</i>	Reasons for Variance/ Delay (if any) <i>Explain why the indicator is off track or has changed, where relevant</i>
1. 5. 1						
1. 5. 2						
1. 5. 3						
1. 5. 4						
1. 5. 5						

» **Outcome 2: The agencies implementing PBF funded projects are able to deliver on the implementation, monitoring and evaluation of effectively designed peacebuilding projects and effectively communicate the results of their interventions**

O C 2	Outcome Indicator s	Indicator Baseline	End of Project Indicator Target	Indicator progress for reporting period	Indicator progress since project's start	Reasons for Variance/ Delay (if any)
2. 1	Each project implementation is On Track based on an expected progress rate by the middle of the year and towards the end of the year.	30%	70%	65%	80%	
2. 2	Improved PBF project monitoring systems and portfolio level data	20%	100%	60%	60%	
2. 3	# of informative analyses provided by the conflict mapping system	0	4	1	5	The 2020 conflict analysis was successfully updated
2. 4						
2. 5						

How many outputs does outcome 2 have?

1 2 **3** 4 5 more than 5.

Please list all outputs for outcome 2

Output 2.1

Capacity-Building of RUNOs and implementing partners enabled through tailored technical support and training.

Output 2.2

Strengthen social communication and peacebuilding advocacy.

Output 2.3

Strengthen the PBF project and portfolio level monitoring and evaluation.

Output 2.4

Output 2.5

Other Outputs

If Outcome 2 has more than 5 outputs, please enumerate the remaining outputs here

For each output, and using the, project results framework, provide an update on the progress made against all output indicators

» Output 2.1: Capacity-Building of RUNOs and implementing partners enabled through tailored technical support and training.

2. 1	Output Indicators <i>Describe the indicator</i>	Indicator Baseline <i>State the baseline value of the indicator</i>	End of Project Indicator Target <i>State the target value of the indicator at the end of the project</i>	Indicator progress for reporting period <i>State the current value of the indicator for the reporting period</i>	Indicator progress since project's start <i>State the current cumulative value of the indicator since the start of the project</i>	Reasons for Variance/ Delay (if any) <i>Explain why the indicator is off track or has changed, where relevant</i>
2. 1. 1	# and type of training event convened by the Secretariat to increase peacebuilding capacity of partners and stakeholders	2	3	0	6	
2. 1. 2	Satisfaction of PBF Secretariat stakeholders with technical assistance provided	88.6%	95%			
2. 1. 3						
2. 1. 4						

2. 1. 5						
---------------	--	--	--	--	--	--

» **Output 2.2: Strengthen social communication and peacebuilding advocacy.**

2. 2	Output Indicators <i>Describe the indicator</i>	Indicator Baseline <i>State the baseline value of the indicator</i>	End of Project Indicator Target <i>State the target value of the indicator at the end of the project</i>	Indicator progress for reporting period <i>State the current value of the indicator for the reporting period</i>	Indicator progress since project's start <i>State the current cumulative value of the indicator since the start of the project</i>	Reasons for Variance/ Delay (if any) <i>Explain why the indicator is off track or has changed, where relevant</i>
2. 2. 1	Communication Strategy developed and implemented	0	1	0	1	
2. 2. 2	# Human stories produced and published per project	0	2	0	3	
2. 2. 3	# of Knowledge Products developed	1	7	1	5	
2. 2. 4						
2. 2. 5						

» Output 2.3: Strengthen the PBF project and portfolio level monitoring and evaluation.

2. 3	Output Indicators <i>Describe the indicator</i>	Indicator Baseline <i>State the baseline value of the indicator</i>	End of Project Indicator Target <i>State the target value of the indicator at the end of the project</i>	Indicator progress for reporting period <i>State the current value of the indicator for the reporting period</i>	Indicator progress since project's start <i>State the current cumulative value of the indicator since the start of the project</i>	Reasons for Variance/ Delay (if any) <i>Explain why the indicator is off track or has changed, where relevant</i>
2. 3. 1	Guinea Bissau peacebuilding Strategic result framework (SRF) defined in consultations with all stakeholders.	0	1	0	0	The process of developing the SRF is currently underway, and the document will be finalized by the end of July 2026
2. 3. 2	% of Projects with M&E plans developed and implemented	66%	100%	45%	57%	The baseline was not correct, and the M&E was just hired
2. 3. 3	# of follow up visits to project sites	1	2	1	4	
2. 3. 4						
2. 3. 5						

» Output 2.4:

2. 4	Output Indicators <i>Describe the indicator</i>	Indicator Baseline <i>State the baseline value of the indicator</i>	End of Project Indicator Target <i>State the target value of the indicator at the end of the project</i>	Indicator progress for reporting period <i>State the current value of the indicator for the reporting period</i>	Indicator progress since project's start <i>State the current cumulative value of the indicator since the start of the project</i>	Reasons for Variance/ Delay (if any) <i>Explain why the indicator is off track or has changed, where relevant</i>
2. 4. 1						
2. 4. 2						
2. 4. 3						
2. 4. 4						
2. 4. 5						

» Output 2.5:

2. 5	Output Indicators <i>Describe the indicator</i>	Indicator Baseline <i>State the baseline value of the indicator</i>	End of Project Indicator Target <i>State the target value of the indicator at the end of the project</i>	Indicator progress for reporting period <i>State the current value of the indicator for the reporting period</i>	Indicator progress since project's start <i>State the current cumulative value of the indicator since the start of the project</i>	Reasons for Variance/ Delay (if any) <i>Explain why the indicator is off track or has changed, where relevant</i>
2. 5. 1						
2. 5. 2						
2. 5. 3						
2. 5. 4						
2. 5. 5						

» Outcome 3:

O C 3	Outcome Indicator s	Indicator Baseline	End of Project Indicator Target	Indicator progress for reporting period	Indicator progress since project's start	Reasons for Variance/ Delay (if any)
3. 1						
3. 2						
3. 3						
3. 4						
3. 5						

How many outputs does outcome 3 have?

1 2 3 4 5 more than 5.

Please list all outputs for outcome 3

Output 3.1

Output 3.2

Output 3.3

Output 3.4

Output 3.5

Other Outputs

If Outcome 3 has more than 5 outputs, please enumerate the remaining outputs here

For each output, and using the, project results framework, provide an update on the progress made against all output indicators

» **Output 3.1:**

3. 1	Output Indicators <i>Describe the indicator</i>	Indicator Baseline <i>State the baseline value of the indicator</i>	End of Project Indicator Target <i>State the target value of the indicator at the end of the project</i>	Indicator progress for reporting period <i>State the current value of the indicator for the reporting period</i>	Indicator progress since project's start <i>State the current cumulative value of the indicator since the start of the project</i>	Reasons for Variance/ Delay (if any) <i>Explain why the indicator is off track or has changed, where relevant</i>
3. 1. 1						
3. 1. 2						
3. 1. 3						
3. 1. 4						
3. 1. 5						

» Output 3.2:

3. 2	Output Indicators <i>Describe the indicator</i>	Indicator Baseline <i>State the baseline value of the indicator</i>	End of Project Indicator Target <i>State the target value of the indicator at the end of the project</i>	Indicator progress for reporting period <i>State the current value of the indicator for the reporting period</i>	Indicator progress since project's start <i>State the current cumulative value of the indicator since the start of the project</i>	Reasons for Variance/Delay (if any) <i>Explain why the indicator is off track or has changed, where relevant</i>
3. 2. 1						
3. 2. 2						
3. 2. 3						
3. 2. 4						
3. 2. 5						

» Output 3.3:

<p>3. 3</p> <p>.....</p>	<p>Output Indicators</p> <p><i>Describe the indicator</i></p> <p>.....</p>	<p>Indicator Baseline</p> <p><i>State the baseline value of the indicator</i></p> <p>.....</p>	<p>End of Project Indicator Target</p> <p><i>State the target value of the indicator at the end of the project</i></p> <p>.....</p>	<p>Indicator progress for reporting period</p> <p><i>State the current value of the indicator for the reporting period</i></p> <p>.....</p>	<p>Indicator progress since project's start</p> <p><i>State the current cumulative value of the indicator since the start of the project</i></p> <p>.....</p>	<p>Reasons for Variance/ Delay (if any)</p> <p><i>Explain why the indicator is off track or has changed, where relevant</i></p> <p>.....</p>
<p>3. 3. 1</p> <p>.....</p>						
<p>3. 3. 2</p> <p>.....</p>						
<p>3. 3. 3</p> <p>.....</p>						
<p>3. 3. 4</p> <p>.....</p>						
<p>3. 3. 5</p> <p>.....</p>						

» Output 3.4:

3. 4	Output Indicators <i>Describe the indicator</i>	Indicator Baseline <i>State the baseline value of the indicator</i>	End of Project Indicator Target <i>State the target value of the indicator at the end of the project</i>	Indicator progress for reporting period <i>State the current value of the indicator for the reporting period</i>	Indicator progress since project's start <i>State the current cumulative value of the indicator since the start of the project</i>	Reasons for Variance/Delay (if any) <i>Explain why the indicator is off track or has changed, where relevant</i>
3. 4. 1						
3. 4. 2						
3. 4. 3						
3. 4. 4						
3. 4. 5						

» Output 3.5:

3. 5	Output Indicators <i>Describe the indicator</i>	Indicator Baseline <i>State the baseline value of the indicator</i>	End of Project Indicator Target <i>State the target value of the indicator at the end of the project</i>	Indicator progress for reporting period <i>State the current value of the indicator for the reporting period</i>	Indicator progress since project's start <i>State the current cumulative value of the indicator since the start of the project</i>	Reasons for Variance/ Delay (if any) <i>Explain why the indicator is off track or has changed, where relevant</i>
3. 5. 1						
3. 5. 2						
3. 5. 3						
3. 5. 4						
3. 5. 5						

» Outcome 4:

O C 4	Outcome Indicator s	Indicator Baseline	End of Project Indicator Target	Indicator progress for reporting period	Indicator progress since project's start	Reasons for Variance/ Delay (if any)
4. 1						
4. 2						
4. 3						
4. 4						
4. 5						

How many outputs does outcome 4 have?

1 2 3 4 5 more than 5.

Please list all outputs for outcome 4

Output 4.1

Output 4.2

Output 4.3

Output 4.4

Output 4.5

Other Outputs

If Outcome 4 has more than 5 outputs, please enumerate the remaining outputs here

For each output, and using the, project results framework, provide an update on the progress made against all output indicators

» **Output 4.1:**

4. 1	Output Indicators <i>Describe the indicator</i>	Indicator Baseline <i>State the baseline value of the indicator</i>	End of Project Indicator Target <i>State the target value of the indicator at the end of the project</i>	Indicator progress for reporting period <i>State the current value of the indicator for the reporting period</i>	Indicator progress since project's start <i>State the current cumulative value of the indicator since the start of the project</i>	Reasons for Variance/ Delay (if any) <i>Explain why the indicator is off track or has changed, where relevant</i>
4. 1. 1						
4. 1. 2						
4. 1. 3						
4. 1. 4						
4. 1. 5						

» Output 4.2:

4. 2	Output Indicators <i>Describe the indicator</i>	Indicator Baseline <i>State the baseline value of the indicator</i>	End of Project Indicator Target <i>State the target value of the indicator at the end of the project</i>	Indicator progress for reporting period <i>State the current value of the indicator for the reporting period</i>	Indicator progress since project's start <i>State the current cumulative value of the indicator since the start of the project</i>	Reasons for Variance/ Delay (if any) <i>Explain why the indicator is off track or has changed, where relevant</i>
4. 2. 1						
4. 2. 2						
4. 2. 3						
4. 2. 4						
4. 2. 5						

» Output 4.3:

4. 3	Output Indicators <i>Describe the indicator</i>	Indicator Baseline <i>State the baseline value of the indicator</i>	End of Project Indicator Target <i>State the target value of the indicator at the end of the project</i>	Indicator progress for reporting period <i>State the current value of the indicator for the reporting period</i>	Indicator progress since project's start <i>State the current cumulative value of the indicator since the start of the project</i>	Reasons for Variance/Delay (if any) <i>Explain why the indicator is off track or has changed, where relevant</i>
4. 3. 1						
4. 3. 2						
4. 3. 3						
4. 3. 4						
4. 3. 5						

» Output 4.4:

4. 4	Output Indicators <i>Describe the indicator</i>	Indicator Baseline <i>State the baseline value of the indicator</i>	End of Project Indicator Target <i>State the target value of the indicator at the end of the project</i>	Indicator progress for reporting period <i>State the current value of the indicator for the reporting period</i>	Indicator progress since project's start <i>State the current cumulative value of the indicator since the start of the project</i>	Reasons for Variance/ Delay (if any) <i>Explain why the indicator is off track or has changed, where relevant</i>
4. 4. 1						
4. 4. 2						
4. 4. 3						
4. 4. 4						
4. 4. 5						

» Output 4.5:

4. 5	Output Indicators <i>Describe the indicator</i>	Indicator Baseline <i>State the baseline value of the indicator</i>	End of Project Indicator Target <i>State the target value of the indicator at the end of the project</i>	Indicator progress for reporting period <i>State the current value of the indicator for the reporting period</i>	Indicator progress since project's start <i>State the current cumulative value of the indicator since the start of the project</i>	Reasons for Variance/ Delay (if any) <i>Explain why the indicator is off track or has changed, where relevant</i>
4. 5. 1						
4. 5. 2						
4. 5. 3						
4. 5. 4						
4. 5. 5						

If the project has more than 4 outcomes, use this space to describe progress on progress on indicators for the remaining outcomes *

PART III: Cross-Cutting Issues

Is the project planning any significant events in the next six months? (eg. national dialogues, youth congresses, film screenings, etc.)

If yes, please state how many, and for each, provide the approximate date of the event and a brief description, including its key objectives, target audience and location (if known)

Events	Event Description	Tentative Date	Location	Target Audience	Event Objectives (900 characters)
Event 1	UNSDCF and PBF Joint Technical Committee	17-18 June 2026	Bissau	Government, UNCT, RUNOs & NUNOs CSOs and NGOs.	Assess the implementation progress, challenges and opportunities for both UNSDCF and PBF.
Event 2	PBF joint monitoring field mission	July 2026	National	PBF supported projects, regional and local authorities and beneficiaries in general	To monitor the PBF supported interventions and provide technical, operational and strategic and recommendations
Event 3	International Day of Peace celebration	September 2026	Bissau	UNCT, National authorities, multilateral partners, communities	To celebrate the International Day of Peace
Event 4	PBF Annual technical review workshop	3-4 December 2026	Bissau	Government, UNCT, RUNOs & NUNOs CSOs and NGOs.	Assess the implementation progress, challenges and opportunities of PBF funded initiatives.

Human Impact

This section is about the human impact of the project. Please state key stakeholders (including but not limited to: Civil Society Organizations, Beneficiaries, etc.) of the project, and for each, please briefly describe:

- i. The challenges/problem they faced prior to the project implementation
- ii. The impact of the project in their lives
- iii. Provide, where possible, a quote or testimonial from a representative of each stakeholder group

This is an optional question. You may leave it unanswered if not relevant

Human Impact	Type of stakeholder	What has been the impact of the project on their lives?	Provide, where possible, a quote or testimonial from the stakeholder
1			
2			
3			
4			

In addition to the stakeholder specific impact described above, please use this space to describe any additional human impact that the project has had.

Please limit your response to 4000 characters.

You can also upload upto 3 files in various formats (picture files, powerpoint, pdf, video, etc.) to illustrate the human impact of the project

OPTIONAL

File 1

OPTIONAL

Click here to upload file. (< 10MB)

File 2

OPTIONAL

Click here to upload file. (< 10MB)

File 3

OPTIONAL

Click here to upload file. (< 10MB)

You can also add upto 3 links to online resources which illustrate the human impact of the project

OPTIONAL

Link 1

OPTIONAL

Link 2

OPTIONAL

Link 3

OPTIONAL

Please tick the applicable change based on above narrative.

How we worked:

*

Please select up to 3.

- Enhanced digitization
- Innovative ways of working
- Mobilized additional resources
- Improved or initiated policy frameworks
- Strengthened capacities
- Partnered with with local/grassroots Civil Society Organizations
- Expanding coalitions & galvanizing political will
- Strengthened partnerships with IFIs
- Strengthened partnerships with UN Agencies

Please explain one of the selected options

Please limit your response to 3000 characters.

Strengthened capacities:

The PBF Secretariat organized targeted technical support sessions to build the capacities of RUNOs and implementing partners. These efforts enhanced partners' ability to implement, monitor, and report on peacebuilding projects effectively.

Please explain one of the selected options

Please limit your response to 3000 characters.

Partnered with local/grassroots civil society organizations:

The PBF Secretariat engaged civil society actors and project teams through joint field missions and technical review meetings, involving them in monitoring, dialogue, and strategic planning processes to ensure community-level perspectives are incorporated for active projects.

Please explain one of the selected options

Please limit your response to 3000 characters.

Strengthened partnerships within UN Agencies:

The PBF Secretariat facilitated coordination among UN AFPs through quarterly review meetings, fostering information-sharing, joint planning, and alignment of interventions under the PBF portfolio and the UNSDCF.

Who are we working with

*

- Strengthened partnerships with IFIs
- Strengthened partnerships between UN Agencies
- Partnered with local civil society organizations
- Partnered with local academia
- Partnered with sub-national entities
- Partnered with national entities
- Partnered with local volunteers

Please explain

Please limit your response to 3000 characters

Collaboration was reinforced through the Joint Technical Committee and operational coordination efforts, where multiple UN agencies worked together on implementation, reporting, and at PBF portfolio-level oversight. Local CSOs were involved in project review forums and field missions, contributing to project assessments, promoting community engagement, and supporting and contributing on the reflections around the sustainability of peacebuilding efforts.

Although limited, initial efforts were made to engage local researchers and institutions in knowledge production and evidence-based planning, particularly around the conflict mapping exercise and development of the Strategic Results Framework.

Strong partnerships were built with the Government at the ministerial and technical level, including Foreign Affairs, Economy and Planning, through joint missions, project steering committees, and strategic discussions.

Leave No one Behind

Select all beneficiaries targeted with the PBF resources as evidenced by the narrative *

Mandatory

- Unemployed persons
- Minorities (e.g. race, ethnicity, linguistic, religion, etc.)
- Indigenous communities
- Persons with Disabilities
- Persons affected by violence (including GBV)
- Women
- Youth
- Children
- Minorities related to sexual orientation and/or gender identity and expression
- People living in and around border areas
- Persons affected by natural disasters
- Persons affected by armed conflicts
- Internally displaced persons, refugees or migrants

PART IV: Monitoring, Evaluation and Compliance

» Monitoring

Please list key monitoring activities undertaken in the reporting period *

Please limit your response to 3000 characters.

The main monitoring activity undertaken by the PBF Secretariat in this reporting period was the joint field mission, PBF Secretariat technical mid-year and annual review workshops as well as the JST and JTC meetings.

Do outcome indicators have baselines? *

If only some of the outcome indicators have baselines, select 'yes'

- Yes
- No

If yes, please provide a brief description. If not, explain why not and when they will be available. *

Please limit your response to 3000 characters.

Joint Technical Committee and Technical Coordination meetings with the project teams on a regular basis

Elaborate on what sources of evidence have been used to report on indicators (and are available upon request) *

Please limit your response to 3000 characters.

Reporting against indicators has been done based on the data collected before, during and after activities, list of attendance of the meetings, reports of the field visits, reports of the workshops and/or meetings and social media contents.

Has the project launched outcome level data collection initiatives? e.g. perception surveys *

Perception survey is a formal collection of information from a randomly selected sample of respondents through their responses to standardized questions. See PBF Guidance Note for more information [link](#)

Yes

No

Please provide a brief description *

Please limit your response to 3000 characters.

Has the project used or established community feedback mechanisms? *

Community feedback mechanism, or community-based monitoring, is an organized system for communities of participants to monitor the local effects and impact of an intervention. Ideally, this system empowers the community to express whether their expectations are being met and to provide suggestions to decision-makers for possible (re)focusing. See PBF Guidance Note for more information. [link](#)

Yes

No

Please provide a brief description *

Please limit your response to 3000 characters.

» Evaluation

Is the project on track to conduct its evaluation? *

Yes

No

Not Applicable

Evaluation budget (in USD) included in the project budget: *

Response required

0

If project will end in next six months, is your upcoming evaluation on track?

- Yes
- No
- Not Applicable

Please describe the preparations

Please limit your response to 3000 characters.

Contact information

Name

Organization

Job title

Email

Please mention the focal person responsible for sharing the final evaluation report with the PBF:

» Catalytic Effect

Catalytic Effect (financial): Has the project mobilized additional non-PBF financial resources since the project's start? *

- Yes
- No

How many funders or grants has the project received additional non-PBF funding from **since the project started?** *

Catalytic Effect (non-financial): Has the project enabled or created a larger or longer-term peacebuilding change to occur, in addition to the direct project changes? Please refer to PBF Catalytic Effect Guidelines for more information. *

- Yes
 No

If yes, please select the relevant option below: *

- Some catalytic effect
 Significant catalytic effect

If relevant, please describe how the project has had a (non-financial) catalytic effect, i.e. removed barriers to unblock stalled political, institutional or other peacebuilding processes at different levels in a country, and/or created the conditions to establish new processes to do so *

Please limit your response to 3000 characters.

Sustainability

Please describe any steps that have been taken to ensure the sustainability of peacebuilding gains, including any mechanisms, platforms, networks and socio-economic initiatives supported, beyond the duration of the project *

Please limit your response to 3000 characters.

The Joint Steering Committee and the Joint Technical Committee, with a continued-dedicated quarterly technical and strategic reviews; joint field monitoring mission are the mechanisms in place to ensure sustainability beyond the project life cycle.

Are there any other issues concerning project implementation that you want to share, including any capacity needs of the recipient organizations?

Please limit your response to 3000 characters.

Monitoring and Oversight Activities

Please describe any key event related to monitoring and oversight. Please click next if no activities have yet taken place.

Events include Steering Committee meetings, Monitoring visits, Third party monitoring, Community based monitoring, any data collection, Perception or other survey findings, evaluation reports, audit or investigations.

Monitoring and oversight activities	Name of the Event	Summary	Key Findings

Event 1	Field mission June 2026	During this mission we assessed project progress, identified local needs, and captured community expectations in the regions Bafata.	The field visits made it possible to gauge significant progress on several fronts, particularly community involvement, institutional capacity building and the implementation of innovative mediation approaches and access to essential services. However, there are still challenges related to logistical operationalization, inter-institutional coordination and the sustainability of the interventions after the end of the projects.
Event 2			
Event 3			
Event 4			
Event 5			
Event 6			
Event 7			
Event 8			

Final Steps

- Please save a PDF copy of the form by clicking on the *Printer* icon on the top right corner of the page.
- A dialogue box will appear: Please select the A4 size and portrait orientation.
- Click "prepare" and save the document as a PDF.
- (If on first attempt, the generated page is not readable, close the pop up page and go back to the first page of the online form using the "Return to Beginning" option and try to print the PDF version from there)
- After printing the PDF version, please submit the report in the last page of the form. You can use the "Go to End" button in the bottom right corner.
- In compliance with our reporting requirements, **please upload the PDF version of the report as well as your *financial report in excel format* to the MPTF-O Gateway.**

If you encounter any difficulty in filling the form or generating the print-out for MPTFO Gateway, please contact Gabriel Velastegui gabriel.velasteguimoya@un.org

Thank You. You have finished the report. Please Click on the SUBMIT button below. When the report is submitted, a confirmation note will appear on a yellow banner on top of the page. This can take a few seconds.
