

PBF June 2026 Project Progress Report



PROJECT OVERVIEW

Thank you for taking the time to complete the PBF Progress report. For projects with more than one recipient, please consult among co-recipients prior to filling out the form to ensure collaboration on the responses. If you have any questions or require technical assistance in filling out the form, please send an email to gabriel.velasteguimoya@un.org

Click Next below to start

» Report Submission

Type of report *

- ☐ Semi-annual
- ☒ Annual (2025)
- ☐ Final
- ☐ Other

Date of submission of report *

Name and title of person submitting the report *

Nigina Qminacci, Knowledge Management Officer

E-mail of person submitting the report *

nigina.qminacci@un.org

Name and title of person who approved the report *

Bushra Hassan, Senior Monitoring and Evaluation Adviser

Have all fund recipients for this project contributed to the report? *

☒ Yes

☐ No

Did PBF Secretariat or RCO focal point review the report? *

You should normally ensure that the PBF Secretariat or the PBF focal point have an opportunity to review.

☐ Yes

☐ No

☒ Not Applicable

» Project Information and Geographical Scope

Is this a cross-border project? *

☐ Yes

☒ No

Please select the geographical region in which the project is implemented

- ☐ Asia and the Pacific
 ☐ Central & Southern Africa
 ☐ East Africa
☐ Europe and Central Asia
 ☒ Global
 ☐ Latin America and the Caribbean
☐ Middle East and North Africa
 ☐ West Africa

Country of project implementation *

- ☒ United Nations
 ☐ Other, Specify

Other, please specify *

DPPA/PBSO

Project Title *

- ☐ 00117260: Humanitarian-Development-Peacebuilding and Partnership Facility" (HDPP Facility)
☐ 00124862: PBF Programming and M&E Surge Support
☐ 00125606: The Saving Lives Entity (SALIENT) – A Transformative Armed-Violence-Reduction Agenda for Sustainable Development
☐ 00121358: Local Peacebuilding in the Sahel
☐ 00140114: Innovative Financing and Private Sector Engagement for Peacebuilding
☐ 00140683: Peacebuilding Impact Hub
☒ 00140685: Country Support for Design Monitoring Evaluation and Learning
☐ 00141225: CSO-UN Dialogue on Peacebuilding
☐ Other, Specify

Write the 8 digit MPTFO number and Project Title exactly as it appears in the Project Document *

EXAMPLE: 00118938: Community-based prevention of violence and social cohesion using innovation for young people in displaced and host communities

PBF/IRF-549 (00140685): Country Support for Design, Monitoring, Evaluation and Learning

Please select the geographical region(s) in which the project is implemented *

If the project you are looking for does not appear in the following question, please make sure that you have selected the correct regions. A limited number of cross border projects span multiple geographic regions. For example, a cross border project between Niger and Chad spans both West Africa and Central & Southern Africa

- | | | |
|---|--|--|
| ☐ Asia and the Pacific | ☐ Central & Southern Africa | ☐ East Africa |
| ☐ Europe and Central Asia | ☒ Global | ☐ Latin America and the Caribbean |
| ☐ Middle East and North Africa | ☐ West Africa | |

Please select the title of the project for which you are submitting the report *

Write the 8 digit MPTFO numbers and Project Title exactly as it appears in the Project Document *

EXAMPLE: 00129699/700: Supporting Cross-Border Cooperation for Increased Community Resilience and Social Cohesion in The Gambia and Senegal

Please select the countries where this project is being implemented *

Other, Please specify *

Project Start Date (Date of first transfer) 13 May 2024 *

Project End Date 7 May 2028 *

Has this project received an extension? *

- ☒ YES, Cost Extension
- ☐ YES, No Cost Extension
- ☐ YES, Both Cost and No Cost Extensions
- ☐ NO, No Extensions

Will this project be requesting an extension? *

- ☒ YES, Cost Extension
- ☐ YES, No Cost Extension
- ☐ YES, Both Cost and No Cost Extensions
- ☐ NO, No Extensions

Will this project be submitting a Fund Transfer Request (FTR) in the next six months? *

- ☐ Yes
- ☒ No

If so, around which month do you expect to submit the request? *

- | | | |
|-------------------------------|--------------------------------|---------------------------------|
| ☐ January | ☐ February | ☐ March |
| ☐ April | ☐ May | ☐ June |
| ☐ July | ☐ August | ☐ September |
| ☐ October | ☐ November | ☐ December |

Is the current project end date within 6 months? *

- ☐ Yes
- ☒ No

Is funding disbursed either into a national or regional trust fund? *

- ☐ Yes
- ☒ No

If yes, please select which *

- ☐ National Trust Fund
- ☐ Regional Trust Fund

Recipients

Is the convening agency a UN agency or a non UN entity? *

- ☒ UN entity
- ☐ Non-UN Entity

Please select the convening agency recipient *

- ☐ UNDP: United Nations Development Programme ☐ IOM: International Organization for Migration
- ☐ UNICEF: United Nations Children's Fund
- ☐ OHCHR: Office of the United Nations High Commissioner for Human Rights
- ☐ UNWOMEN: United Nations Entity for Gender Equality and the Empowerment of Women
- ☐ UNHCR: United Nations High Commissioner for Refugees ☐ UNFPA: United Nations Population Fund
- ☐ FAO: Food and Agriculture Organization ☐ WFP: World Food Programme
- ☐ UNHABITAT: United Nations Human Settlements Programme
- ☐ UNESCO: United Nations Educational, Scientific and Cultural Organization
- ☐ UNEP: United Nations Environment Programme ☐ ILO: International Labour Organization
- ☐ WHO: World Health Organization ☐ PAHO/WHO
- ☐ UNCDF: United Nations Capital Development Fund ☐ UNODC: United Nations Office on Drugs and Crime
- ☐ UNOPS: United Nations Office for Project Services ☐ UNIDO: United Nations Industrial Development Organization
- ☐ ITC: International Trade Centre ☐ UNDPO ☒ Other, Specify

Other, Please specify *

DPPA/PBSO

Are there other recipients for this project? *

- ☐ No other recipients
- ☒ Yes, other UN recipients only
- ☐ Yes, other non-UN recipients only
- ☐ Yes, both UN and non-UN recipients

Please select other UN recipients

Select all that apply

- ☐ UNDP: United Nations Development Programme ☐ IOM: International Organization for Migration
- ☐ UNICEF: United Nations Children's Fund
- ☐ OHCHR: Office of the United Nations High Commissioner for Human Rights
- ☐ UNWOMEN: United Nations Entity for Gender Equality and the Empowerment of Women
- ☐ UNHCR: United Nations High Commissioner for Refugees ☐ UNFPA: United Nations Population Fund
- ☐ FAO: Food and Agriculture Organization ☐ WFP: World Food Programme
- ☐ UNHABITAT: United Nations Human Settlements Programme
- ☐ UNESCO: United Nations Educational, Scientific and Cultural Organization
- ☐ UNEP: United Nations Environment Programme ☐ ILO: International Labour Organization
- ☐ WHO: World Health Organization ☐ PAHO/WHO
- ☐ UNCDF: United Nations Capital Development Fund ☐ UNODC: United Nations Office on Drugs and Crime
- ☒ UNOPS: United Nations Office for Project Services ☐ UNIDO: United Nations Industrial Development Organization
- ☐ ITC: International Trade Centre ☐ UN Department of Peace Operations ☐ Other, Specify

Other, Please specify

Please select other non-UN recipients

- | | | |
|--|--|---|
| ☐ ACTED | ☐ Action Aid UK | ☐ AAITG (ActionAid the Gambia) |
| ☐ AEDE | ☐ African Centre for the Constructive Resolution of Disputes (ACCORD) | |
| ☐ Agence de Coopération et de Recherche pour le Développement (ACORD) | | |
| ☐ American Friends Service Committee (AFSC) | ☐ Avocats Sans Frontières | |
| ☐ Avocats Sans Frontières Belgium | ☐ Avocats sans frontières Canada | ☐ Ayuda en Accion |
| ☐ BIRN - Balkan Investigative Reporting Network | ☐ BIOM -Youth Ecological Movemen | |
| ☐ CARE International UK | ☐ Centre d'étude et de coopération internationale (CECI) - BF | |
| ☐ Christian Aid Ireland | ☐ COIPRODEN | ☐ Concern Worldwide |
| ☐ Conexion Guatemala | ☐ COOPI - Cooperazione Internazionale | ☐ CORD Burundi |
| ☐ CORDAID | ☐ Corporacion Sisma Mujer | ☐ CRS - Catholic Relief Services |
| ☐ DanChurchAid | ☐ Danish Refugee Council | ☐ EQUITAS |
| ☐ Fund for Congolese Women | ☐ Fundacion Estudios Superior (FESU) | ☐ Fundación Mi Sangre (FMS) |
| ☐ Fundación Nacional para el Desarrollo de Honduras (FUNADEH) | ☐ Fundación para la Libertad de Prensa (FLIP) | |
| ☐ Geneva Centre for Security Sector Governance (DCAF) | ☐ HELVETAS Swiss Intercooperation | |
| ☐ Humanity & Inclusion (HI) | ☐ ICTJ (International Center for Transitional Justice) | |
| ☐ Instituto Holandes para Democracia Multipartidaria (NIMD) | ☐ Integrity Watch | |
| ☐ International Alert | ☐ International Rescue Committee | ☐ Interpeace |
| ☐ Kvinna till Kvinna Foundation | ☐ Life and Peace Institute (LPI) | |
| ☐ MDG-EISA - Institut Electoral pour une Démocratie Durable en Afrique (EISA), bureau de Madagascar | | |
| ☐ Mercy Corps | ☐ MLAL - ProgettoMondo | ☐ MSIS-TATAO |
| ☐ NIMD (Netherlands Institute for Multiparty Democracy) | ☐ Nonviolent Peaceforce | |
| ☐ Norwegian Refugee Council (NRC) | ☐ Nile Sustainable Development Organization - NSDO | |
| ☐ OCNH-Organisation des Citoyens pour une Nouvelle Haïti | ☐ OIKOS | |
| ☐ ONG Adkoul - ONG Adkoul | ☐ ONG AZHAR | ☐ OXFAM |
| ☐ Peace Direct | ☐ Plan International | ☐ PNG UN Country Fund |
| ☐ Red de Instituciones por los Derechos de la Niñez | ☐ ROI - Roza Otunbayeva Initiati | |
| ☐ Saferworld | ☐ Sampan'Asa Momba ny Fampandrosoana (SAF/FJKM) | |
| ☐ Save the Children | ☐ Search for Common Ground (SFCG) | |
| ☐ SIHA (Strategic Initiative for Women in the Horn of Africa) | ☐ SismaMujer | |
| ☐ SOS Sahel Sudan | ☐ Stichting Impunity Watch | ☐ Tearfund |
| ☐ The Carter Center, Inc. | ☐ Trocaire | ☐ War Child |
| ☐ War Childhood Museum (WCM) | ☐ World Vision International | ☐ World Vision Myanmar |
| ☐ ZOA | ☐ blank_placeholder | ☐ Other, Please specify |

Other, Please specify

Implementing Partners

To how many implementing partners has the project transferred money **since the project's start** ?

1

To how many implementing partners has the project transferred money **during this calendar period** ?

*(for June reports: January-June;
for November reports: January-December (anticipated);
for final reports: full project duration)*

1

Please list all of the project's implementing partners and the amounts (in USD) transferred to each, both since the project's start, and specifically during this calendar period

Please select the type of organisation which best describes the type of implementing partner *

- ☐ National youth CSO
- ☐ National women's CSO
- ☐ Other National CSO
- ☐ Subnational youth CSO
- ☐ Subnational women's CSO
- ☐ Other subnational CSO
- ☐ Regional CSO
- ☐ Regional Organisation
- ☐ International NGO
- ☐ Governmental entity
- ☐ National women's and youth CSO
- ☐ Subnational women's and youth CSO
- ☒ Other

Other, Please specify

Academia/UN

What is the name of the Implementing Partner *

UN University's Centre for Policy Research (UNU-CPR)

What is the planned total amount (in USD) for the **overall duration of the project** to be disbursed to this implementing partner? *

Please use a dot (.) as decimal separator, instead of a comma (,)

800.000

What is the total amount (in USD) disbursed to the implementing partner **since the project's start**? *

Please use a dot (.) as decimal separator, instead of a comma (,)

260.000

What is the total amount (in USD) disbursed to the implementing partner **during this calendar period**?

Please use a dot (.) as decimal separator, instead of a comma (,)

60.000

Briefly describe the main activities carried out by the Implementing Partner during this calendar period

Please limit your response to 1500 characters

Desk review and preparation of the inception report for the Institutional Strengthening Thematic Review.

Financial Reporting

» Delivery by Recipient

Please enter the total amounts in full US dollars allocated to each recipient organization

Please enter the original budget amount, amount transferred to date and estimated expenditure by recipient.

Please make sure you enter the correct amount. All values should be entered in **US Dollars**

For cross-border projects, group the amounts by agency, even if different country offices are involved. You will have the opportunity to share a more detailed budget in the next section.

Recipients	Total Project Budget (in full US \$) <i>Please enter the total budget as is in the project document in US Dollars</i>	Transfers to date (in full US \$) <i>Please enter the total amount transferred to each recipient to date in US Dollars</i>	Expenditure to date (in full US \$) <i>Please enter the approximate amount spent to date in US dollars</i>	Implementation rate as a percentage of total budget (calculated automatically)
Other, Specify	7675686.94	2752556.96	1812738	23.62%
	*	*	*	%
UNOPS: United Nations Office for Project Services	855144	855144	594414	69.51%
	*	*	*	%
	*	*	*	%

If it is incorrect, please enter the approximate implementation rate as a %

» Gender-responsive Budgeting

Indicate what **percentage (%)** of the budget contributes to gender equality or women's empowerment (GEWE) as per the project document? *

30

The dollar amount of the budget contributing to Gender Equality and Women's Empowerment (GEWE) based on percentage entered above and total project budget is **US \$ 2559249.28**. Can you confirm that this is correct? *

☒ Correct ☐ Incorrect

If it is incorrect, please enter the *budget amount* allocated to GEWE in US Dollars *

Amount expended to date on efforts contributing to gender equality or women's empowerment is **US \$ 722145.6**. Is this correct? *

☒ Correct ☐ Incorrect

If it is incorrect, please enter the *expenditure to date* on GEWE in US dollars *

ATTACH PROJECT EXCEL BUDGET SHOWING CURRENT APPROXIMATE EXPENDITURE. *

The templates for the budget are available [here](#)

DMEL Project rev 2024-2028 project budget_2025 expenditure-9_53_4.xlsx



Project Markers

Please select the Gender Marker Associated with this project *

- ☐ Score 1 for projects that contribute in some way to gender equality, but not significantly (less than 30% of the total budget for GEWE)
- ☒ Score 2 for projects that have gender equality as a significant objective and allocate between 30 and 79% of the total project budget to GEWE
- ☐ Score 3 for projects that have gender equality as a principal objective and allocate at least 80% of the total project budget to Gender Equality and Women's Empowerment (GEWE)

Please select the Risk Marker Associated with this project *

- ☒ Risk marker 0 = low risk to achieving outcomes
- ☐ Risk marker 1 = medium risk to achieving outcomes
- ☐ Risk marker 2 = high risk to achieving outcomes

Please select the PBF Focus Area associated with this project *

- ☐ (1.1) Security Sector Reform
- ☐ (1.2) Rule of Law
- ☐ (1.3) Demobilisation, Disarmament and Reintegration
- ☐ (1.4) Political Dialogue
- ☐ (2.1) National reconciliation
- ☐ (2.2) Democratic Governance
- ☐ (2.3) Conflict prevention/management
- ☐ (3.1) Employment
- ☐ (3.2) Equitable access to social services
- ☐ (4.1) Strengthening of essential national state capacity
- ☐ (4.2) Extension of state authority/Local Administration
- ☒ (4.3) Governance of peacebuilding resources (including PBF Secretariats)

Is the project part of one or more PBF priority windows? *

Select all that apply

- ☐ Gender promotion initiative
- ☐ Youth promotion initiative
- ☐ Transition from UN or regional peacekeeping or special political missions
- ☐ Cross-border or regional project
- ☒ None

Steering Committee and Government engagement

Does the project have an active steering committee/ project board? *

- ☐ Yes
- ☒ No

If yes, please indicate how many times the Project Steering Committee has met over the last 6 months?

Please limit your response to 3000 characters

Please provide a brief description of any engagement that the project has had with the government over the last 6 months. Please indicate what level of government the project has been engaging with.

Please limit your response to 3000 characters

N/A



PART I: OVERALL PROJECT PROGRESS

NOTES FOR COMPLETING THE REPORT:

- Avoid acronyms and UN jargon, use general /common language.
- Report on what has been achieved in the reporting period, not what the project aims to do.
- Be as concrete as possible. Avoid theoretical, vague or conceptual discourse.
- Ensure the analysis and project progress assessment is gender and age sensitive.

Please rate the implementation status of the following preliminary/preparatory activities

Contracting of partners

- ☐ Not Started
 ☐ Initiated
 ☐ Partially Completed
 ☒ Completed
 ☐ Not Applicable

Staff Recruitment

- ☐ Not Started
 ☐ Initiated
 ☐ Partially Completed
 ☐ Completed
 ☒ Not Applicable

Collection of baselines

- ☐ Not Started
 ☐ Initiated
 ☐ Partially Completed
 ☒ Completed
 ☐ Not Applicable

Identification of beneficiaries

- ☐ Not Started
 ☐ Initiated
 ☐ Partially Completed
 ☐ Completed
 ☒ Not Applicable

Provide any additional descriptive information relating to the status of the project, including whether preliminary/preparatory activities have been completed (i.e. contracting of partners, staff recruitment, etc.)

Please limit your response to 3000 characters

The agreement with the UN University's Centre for Policy Research (implementing partner) for the Institutional Strengthening Thematic Review was signed in September 2025.



Describe overall progress under each Outcome made during the reporting period (*for June reports: January-June; for November reports: January-December (anticipated); for final reports: full project duration*). Do not list individual activities. If the project is starting to make/has made a difference at the outcome level, provide specific evidence for the progress (quantitative and qualitative) and explain how it impacts the broader political and peacebuilding context.

Is the project on track for the timely completion of outputs as indicated in the workplan? *

- ☒ Yes
- ☐ No

If no, please provide an explanation
Please limit your response to 6000 characters



Project progress summary

Please limit your response to 6000 characters

In 2025, the project made significant progress in providing timely and effective support to address country-level requests on design, monitoring, evaluation and learning (DMEL). The DMEL team provided continuous support to PBF Programme Officers, PBF Secretariats, fund recipients and national partners for designing new projects, including through designated trainings on peacebuilding Theories of Change as well as thorough review and feedback on concept notes and project documents. The project commissioned and completed a number of global exercises, including a data aggregation exercise based on 157 PBF-funded projects across 48 countries and territories that submitted annual progress reports in 2024. The results of the aggregation exercise were widely disseminated as part of PBSO-wide brownbag lunch, as well as a newsletter story published on the PBSO website. The project also undertook a biennial Synthesis Review of evaluations completed in 2023-2024, analyzing evidence from 79 project evaluations, three portfolio evaluations (Chad, El Salvador, The Gambia), the 2020 Gender and Youth Promotion Initiatives (GYPI) cohort evaluation, and one impact evaluation in East Darfur, Sudan.

The DMEL team continued undertaking impact evaluations, with the successful completion and launch of two (macro and micro) impact evaluations of a PBF-funded project in the Polochic Valley, Guatemala. The evaluation entailed a partnership with a national civil society research partner, Sotzil, and organized dedicated data return activities to validate the findings with local communities. The reports were launched at an event in November 2025 hosted by the Permanent Missions of Canada, Germany and Guatemala to the United Nations, in partnership with the Peacebuilding Impact Hub. The DMEL team also organized a training on impact evaluations in partnership with the World Bank, 3ie, and ISDC in October 2025, to help raise awareness and understanding of impact evaluations across the UN system.

A total of 11 country portfolio evaluations and strategic reviews were commissioned and managed throughout 2025. Five were completed and published on PBSO website (Cameroon, Mali, Mauritania, CAR, DRC), and six were conducted throughout the year (Sudan, Guatemala, Guinea-Bissau, Sierra Leone, Somalia, Burkina Faso). The evaluations follow the OEDC DAC criteria and UN Evaluation group standards and paid particular attention to distilling evidence on national ownership, catalytic effects, conflict sensitivity and cross-cutting issues such as human rights, innovations and the inclusion of women and youth. The completed evaluations highlighted effective mobilization of national and local actors and meaningful engagement of women and youth, while underscoring that national ownership remains essential for the relevance, success and sustainability of initiatives. Additionally, the project supported an independent Lessons Learned Exercise of a cross-border project between DRC and Angola.

In 2025, an independent Thematic Review on Youth, Peace and Security was successfully completed and published, with a public launch event as well as a PBC expert-level meeting organized to disseminate the Review's findings and recommendations. In September 2025, the PBF DMEL team also commissioned an independent Thematic Review on Institutional Strengthening, expected to be finalized by early 2027. Moreover, two additional knowledge products were completed and published. This includes a paper and brief on PBF's support to advancing Mental Health and Psychosocial Support in peacebuilding, based on the findings of an independent Cohort Evaluation of GYPI 2021 projects, which was also featured in an event hosted by the UN Mission of the Kingdom of the Netherlands. An overview of PBF's support to advancing the WPS agenda has also been completed, with the brief published on PBSO website.

10 PBF Community of Practice (COP) virtual sessions were organized throughout 2025, covering the topics of annual planning/Ask Us Anything, partnerships with IFIs, M&E Dashboards (featuring Guatemala's example), CSO engagement, as well as six webinars on reporting. The DMEL team also prepared an annual Secretary-General's Report on PBF featuring key results of PBF-funded projects that year. The DMEL team also liaised with UNOPS throughout the year on preparations for the change in the Programme Support Team (PST) roster modality taking effect in early 2026.

Indicate any additional analysis on how Gender Equality and Women's Empowerment and/or Youth Inclusion and Responsiveness has been ensured by the project to date

Please limit your response to 3000 characters

Deliberate efforts were made to ensure that all project proposals are based on gender-sensitive conflict analyses and provide gender-sensitive or gender-responsive intervention strategy. As part of evaluative exercises, collection of sex-disaggregated data was ensured. Particular attention was given to the analysis of PBF aggregated impact on the work with women's civil society organizations, as well as GEWE-focused interventions overall. All exercises probed questions and generated findings and recommendations related to GEWE-specific achievements, challenges and good practices.

Is the project 1+ year in implementation?

☒ Yes

☐ No

FOR PROJECTS 1+ YEAR IN IMPLEMENTATION ONLY:

Is the project demonstrating outcome-level peacebuilding results?

Outcome-level peacebuilding results entail results achieved at the societal or structural level, including changed attitudes, behaviours or institutions.

☒ Yes

☐ No

If yes, please provide concrete examples of such peacebuilding results

Please limit your response to 6000 characters

The 2025 PBF Partner Survey is a testament to the contributions of the project towards enhanced DMEL capacities of projects and national partners, resulting in increased perceptions of the Fund’s overall contribution to peace. In 2025, 86% of the recipient governments, UNCT and civil society recipients, Resident Coordinator’s Offices, PBF Secretariats and PBF donors found PBF evaluations credible and useful for accountability and learning, compared to 79% in 2024. Additionally, 74% of the respondents reported that they believe the Fund makes a large or very large contribution to capacity building and knowledge sharing on peacebuilding within PBF Community of Practice, compared to 70% in 2024. Most importantly, in 2025, more than 78% of PBF partners assessed that the PBF makes a large or very large contribution to peace, compared to 73% in 2024, demonstrating the value of the PBF’s rigorous evaluative evidence in making such judgement.



If yes, please provide sources or references (including links) as evidence of peacebuilding results, or submit them as additional attachments.

Evidence may be quantitative or qualitative but needs to demonstrate progress against outcome indicators in the project results framework. Sources may include project surveys (such as perception surveys), monitoring reports, government documents, or other knowledge products that have been developed by the project.

PBF Partner Survey 2025 results (internal)

File attachment

Click here to upload file. (< 10MB)

PART II: PROJECT RESULTS FRAMEWORK

How many OUTCOMES does this project have

- 1
- 2
- 3
- 4
- 5
- more than 5.

Please write out the project outcomes as they are in the project results framework found in the project document

Outcome 1:

Design: PBSO’s support, including through capacity building on SRF and project design leads to better designed peacebuilding and c

Outcome 2:

Monitoring and Reporting: PBF supports monitoring and reporting processes and systems that effectively collect and consolidate d

Outcome 3:

Evaluation: PBSO ensures robust gender responsive evaluation processes and high-quality deliverables at global, country portfolio i

Outcome 4:

Knowledge Management and Learning: PBSO contributes to capacity development and global knowledge about gender-responsive

9/21/26, 10:24 AM

PBF June 2026 Project Progress Report

Outcome 5:	*
Outcome 6:	*
Outcome 7:	*
Outcome 8:	*
Additional Outcomes <i>If the project has more than 8 outcomes, please enumerate the remaining outcomes here</i>	*

INDICATOR BASED PERFORMANCE ASSESSMENT

Using the Project Results Framework as per the approved project document or any amendments, provide an update on the achievement of all **outcome** and **output** indicators in the table below.

- Where it has not been possible to collect data on indicators, state this and provide any explanation.
- Provide gender and age disaggregated data. (500 characters max per entry)

» Outcome 1: Design: PBSO’s support, including through capacity building on SRF and project design leads to better designed peacebuilding and conflict prevention interventions, including in cross-border and transition contexts and in support of more inclusion of women and youth and other groups in need and application of HRBA, for stronger and more actionable country’s peacebuilding frameworks and portfolios.

O C 1	Outcome Indicators	Indicator Baseline	End of Project Indicator Target	Indicator progress for reporting period	Indicator progress since project's start	Reasons for Variance/ Delay (if any)
1.1	% of PBF in-country stakeholders assessing that the PBF makes a large or very large contribution to peace, disaggregated by sex and age	70%	75%	78.1%	Average: 75.7% (2024: 73.3%; 2025: 78.1%)	N/A
1.2						
1.3						
1.4						
1.5						
How many outputs does outcome 1 have?						
1 2 3 4 5 more than 5.						
Please list all outputs for outcome 1						

Output 1.1

PBSO enhances the impact of the PBF resources in eligible countries by engaging with national stakeholders for the development a

Output 1.2

PBF-funded projects are better designed through direct country support of the PBF Programme Support Team (PST) roster of consu

Output 1.3

Output 1.4

Output 1.5

Other Outputs

If Outcome 1 has more than 5 outputs, please enumerate the remaining outputs here

For each output, and using the, project results framework, provide an update on the progress made against all output indicators

» **Output 1.1: PBSO enhances the impact of the PBF resources in eligible countries by engaging with national stakeholders for the development and/or strengthening of PBF Strategic Results Frameworks (SRFs), peacebuilding pillars of the UN Sustainable Development Cooperation Frameworks (UNSDCFs), or other national peacebuilding strategies or frameworks.**

1. 1	Output Indicators <i>Describe the indicator</i>	Indicator Baseline <i>State the baseline value of the indicator</i>	End of Project Indicator Target <i>State the target value of the indicator at the end of the project</i>	Indicator progress for reporting period <i>State the current value of the indicator for the reporting period</i>	Indicator progress since project's start <i>State the current cumulative value of the indicator since the start of the project</i>	Reasons for Variance/ Delay (if any) <i>Explain why the indicator is off track or has changed, where relevant</i>
1. 1. 1	Percentage of eligible countries that adopted country-level Strategic Frameworks (SRF – UNSDCF dedicated SP – else) to guide PBF investment strategy in collaboration with national stakeholders	40% //	60% //	48% //	Average: 49% (2024: 50%; 2025: 48%) //	N/A //
1. 1. 2		//	//	//	//	//
1. 1. 3		//	//	//	//	//
1. 1. 4		//	//	//	//	//

1. 1. 5						

» Output 1.2: PBF-funded projects are better designed through direct country support of the PBF Programme Support Team (PST) roster of consultants, especially for cross-border and transitions contexts, and for strengthening the integration of a human-rights based approach.

1. 2	Output Indicators <i>Describe the indicator</i>	Indicator Baseline <i>State the baseline value of the indicator</i>	End of Project Indicator Target <i>State the target value of the indicator at the end of the project</i>	Indicator progress for reporting period <i>State the current value of the indicator for the reporting period</i>	Indicator progress since project's start <i>State the current cumulative value of the indicator since the start of the project</i>	Reasons for Variance/ Delay (if any) <i>Explain why the indicator is off track or has changed, where relevant</i>
1. 2. 1	Number of countries utilizing PBF Programme Support Team (PST) roster to support project design for GM2 and GM3 projects.	5	8	2	7	2024: Kyrgyzstan, Madagascar, Mali, Mauritania, Senegal
1. 2. 2						
1. 2. 3						
1. 2. 4						
1. 2. 5						

» Output 1.3:

1. 3	Output Indicators <i>Describe the indicator</i>	Indicator Baseline <i>State the baseline value of the indicator</i>	End of Project Indicator Target <i>State the target value of the indicator at the end of the project</i>	Indicator progress for reporting period <i>State the current value of the indicator for the reporting period</i>	Indicator progress since project's start <i>State the current cumulative value of the indicator since the start of the project</i>	Reasons for Variance/ Delay (if any) <i>Explain why the indicator is off track or has changed, where relevant</i>
1. 3. 1						
1. 3. 2						
1. 3. 3						
1. 3. 4						
1. 3. 5						

» Output 1.4:

1. 4	Output Indicators <i>Describe the indicator</i>	Indicator Baseline <i>State the baseline value of the indicator</i>	End of Project Indicator Target <i>State the target value of the indicator at the end of the project</i>	Indicator progress for reporting period <i>State the current value of the indicator for the reporting period</i>	Indicator progress since project's start <i>State the current cumulative value of the indicator since the start of the project</i>	Reasons for Variance/ Delay (if any) <i>Explain why the indicator is off track or has changed, where relevant</i>
1. 4. 1						
1. 4. 2						
1. 4. 3						
1. 4. 4						
1. 4. 5						

» Output 1.5:

1. 5	Output Indicators <i>Describe the indicator</i>	Indicator Baseline <i>State the baseline value of the indicator</i>	End of Project Indicator Target <i>State the target value of the indicator at the end of the project</i>	Indicator progress for reporting period <i>State the current value of the indicator for the reporting period</i>	Indicator progress since project's start <i>State the current cumulative value of the indicator since the start of the project</i>	Reasons for Variance/ Delay (if any) <i>Explain why the indicator is off track or has changed, where relevant</i>
1. 5. 1						
1. 5. 2						
1. 5. 3						
1. 5. 4						
1. 5. 5						

» Outcome 2: Monitoring and Reporting: PBF supports monitoring and reporting processes and systems that effectively collect and consolidate data on peacebuilding impact.

O C 2	Outcome Indicators	Indicator Baseline	End of Project Indicator Target	Indicator progress for reporting period	Indicator progress since project's start	Reasons for Variance/ Delay (if any)
2.1	% of PRF countries with Strategic Frameworks where outcome-level data is collected	36%	50%	64%	Average: 65.5% (2024: 67%; 2025: 64%)	2025: Based on annual RC strategic reports 2025, 7/11 SRF countries conducted outcome level data collection: BiH, CAR, Guatemala, Honduras, Kyrgyzstan, Liberia, Madagascar (Sudan is excluded from the denominator as no longer eligible in 2025).
2.2						
2.3						
2.4						
2.5						

How many outputs does outcome 2 have?

12345more than 5.

Please list all outputs for outcome 2

Output 2.1

PBSO supports the set-up and strengthening of country portfolio-level monitoring frameworks (such as for SRFs, UNSDCFs, etc.).

Output 2.2

PBSO provides guidance and support to projects on peacebuilding monitoring and reporting.

Output 2.3

PBSO provides support to participatory community-based mutual accountability monitoring systems (CBM) at project and country p

Output 2.4

Output 2.5

Other Outputs

If Outcome 2 has more than 5 outputs, please enumerate the remaining outputs here

For each output, and using the, project results framework, provide an update on the progress made against all output indicators

» Output 2.1: PBSO supports the set-up and strengthening of country portfolio-level monitoring frameworks (such as for SRFs, UNSDCF, etc.).

2. 1	Output Indicators <i>Describe the indicator</i>	Indicator Baseline <i>State the baseline value of the indicator</i>	End of Project Indicator Target <i>State the target value of the indicator at the end of the project</i>	Indicator progress for reporting period <i>State the current value of the indicator for the reporting period</i>	Indicator progress since project's start <i>State the current cumulative value of the indicator since the start of the project</i>	Reasons for Variance/ Delay (if any) <i>Explain why the indicator is off track or has changed, where relevant</i>
2. 1. 1	Number of PRF countries where PBF planning is aligned with new UNSDCF's	2	5	5	7	2024: The Gambia, Chad. 2025: DRC, Mauritania, Haiti, Mali, Burkina Faso.
2. 1. 2						
2. 1. 3						
2. 1. 4						
2. 1. 5						

» **Output 2.2: PBSO provides guidance and support to projects on peacebuilding monitoring and reporting.**

2. 2	Output Indicators <i>Describe the indicator</i>	Indicator Baseline <i>State the baseline value of the indicator</i>	End of Project Indicator Target <i>State the target value of the indicator at the end of the project</i>	Indicator progress for reporting period <i>State the current value of the indicator for the reporting period</i>	Indicator progress since project's start <i>State the current cumulative value of the indicator since the start of the project</i>	Reasons for Variance/ Delay (if any) <i>Explain why the indicator is off track or has changed, where relevant</i>
2. 2. 1	# of training sessions or other meetings and events organized covering the topics of peacebuilding monitoring and/or reporting	1	5	6	12	6 COP reporting webinars conducted annually in 2024
2. 2. 2						
2. 2. 3						
2. 2. 4						
2. 2. 5						

» **Output 2.3: PBSO provides support to participatory community-based mutual accountability monitoring systems (CBM) at project and country portfolio levels, working closely with civil society.**

2. 3	Output Indicators <i>Describe the indicator</i>	Indicator Baseline <i>State the baseline value of the indicator</i>	End of Project Indicator Target <i>State the target value of the indicator at the end of the project</i>	Indicator progress for reporting period <i>State the current value of the indicator for the reporting period</i>	Indicator progress since project's start <i>State the current cumulative value of the indicator since the start of the project</i>	Reasons for Variance/ Delay (if any) <i>Explain why the indicator is off track or has changed, where relevant</i>
2. 3. 1	% of PRF countries that engage in gender-responsive community-based monitoring mechanisms or other feedback loops	27%	35%	100%	100%	In 2024: all 24 PRF eligible countries. 2025: all 23 PRF eligible countries.
2. 3. 2						
2. 3. 3						
2. 3. 4						
2. 3. 5						

» Output 2.4:

2. 4	Output Indicators <i>Describe the indicator.....</i>	Indicator Baseline <i>State the baseline value of the indicator.....</i>	End of Project Indicator Target <i>State the target value of the indicator at the end of the project.....</i>	Indicator progress for reporting period <i>State the current value of the indicator for the reporting period.....</i>	Indicator progress since project's start <i>State the current cumulative value of the indicator since the start of the project.....</i>	Reasons for Variance/ Delay (if any) <i>Explain why the indicator is off track or has changed, where relevant.....</i>
2. 4. 1						
2. 4. 2						
2. 4. 3						
2. 4. 4						
2. 4. 5						

» Output 2.5:

2. 5	Output Indicators <i>Describe the indicator</i>	Indicator Baseline <i>State the baseline value of the indicator</i>	End of Project Indicator Target <i>State the target value of the indicator at the end of the project</i>	Indicator progress for reporting period <i>State the current value of the indicator for the reporting period</i>	Indicator progress since project's start <i>State the current cummulative value of the indicator since the start of the project</i>	Reasons for Variance/ Delay (if any) <i>Explain why the indicator is off track or has changed, where relevant</i>
2. 5. 1						
2. 5. 2						
2. 5. 3						
2. 5. 4						
2. 5. 5						

» Outcome 3: Evaluation: PBSO ensures robust gender responsive evaluation processes and high-quality deliverables at global, country portfolio and project levels.

O C 3	Outcome Indicators	Indicator Baseline	End of Project Indicator Target	Indicator progress for reporting period	Indicator progress since project's start	Reasons for Variance/ Delay (if any)
3.1	% of PBF in-country stakeholders who find PBF evaluations (PBSO-commissioned and decentralized) credible and useful, both for accountability and learning purposes, disaggregated by sex	76%	80%	85.5%	Average: 82.05% (2024: 78.6%; 2025: 85.5%).	N/A
3.2						
3.3						
3.4						
3.5						
How many outputs does outcome 3 have?						
1 2 3 4 5 more than 5.						
Please list all outputs for outcome 3						

- Output 3.1

PBSO commissions and manages global Fund-wide evaluations as per the PBF Evaluation Policy (including cohort evaluations, synth
- Output 3.2

PBSO procures and manages timely, high-quality, gender- and age-sensitive independent portfolio-level evaluative exercises based
- Output 3.3

PBSO administers external project-level Evaluation Quality Assessments (EQA) for greater accountability, compliance, and learning.
- Output 3.4

PBSO supports the conduct of impact evaluations in select countries.
- Output 3.5
- Other Outputs

If Outcome 3 has more than 5 outputs, please enumerate the remaining outputs here

For each output, and using the, project results framework, provide an update on the progress made against all output indicators

» Output 3.1: PBSO commissions and manages global Fund-wide evaluations as per the PBF Evaluation Policy (including cohort evaluations, synthesis reviews, and the reviews of the Fund’s strategy).

3.1	Output Indicators <i>Describe the indicator</i>	Indicator Baseline <i>State the baseline value of the indicator</i>	End of Project Indicator Target <i>State the target value of the indicator at the end of the project</i>	Indicator progress for reporting period <i>State the current value of the indicator for the reporting period</i>	Indicator progress since project's start <i>State the current cumulative value of the indicator since the start of the project</i>	Reasons for Variance/ Delay (if any) <i>Explain why the indicator is off track or has changed, where relevant</i>
3.1.1	# of global Fund-wide evaluations commissioned a given year	1	5	1	2	2025: Synthesis review (2023-2024). 2024: Cohort evaluation of 2021 GYPI projects.
3.1.2						
3.1.3						
3.1.4						
3.1.5						

» **Output 3.2: PBSO procures and manages timely, high-quality, gender- and age-sensitive independent portfolio-level evaluative exercises based on country requests (including portfolio evaluations, strategic reviews, lessons learned exercises, evaluability assessments, etc.).**

3. 2	Output Indicators <i>Describe the indicator</i>	Indicator Baseline <i>State the baseline value of the indicator</i>	End of Project Indicator Target <i>State the target value of the indicator at the end of the project</i>	Indicator progress for reporting period <i>State the current value of the indicator for the reporting period</i>	Indicator progress since project's start <i>State the current cumulative value of the indicator since the start of the project</i>	Reasons for Variance/ Delay (if any) <i>Explain why the indicator is off track or has changed, where relevant</i>
3. 2. 1	# portfolio evaluations and strategic reviews commissioned	3	20	6	11	2024: 5 (Cameroon, Mali, Mauritania, CAR, DRC). 2025: 6 (Burkina Faso, Sudan, Guatemala, Guinea-Bissau, Sierra Leone, Somalia).
3. 2. 2						
3. 2. 3						
3. 2. 4						
3. 2. 5						

» **Output 3.3: PBSO administers external project-level Evaluation Quality Assessments (EQA) for greater accountability, compliance, and learning.**

3. 3	Output Indicators <i>Describe the indicator</i>	Indicator Baseline <i>State the baseline value of the indicator</i>	End of Project Indicator Target <i>State the target value of the indicator at the end of the project</i>	Indicator progress for reporting period <i>State the current value of the indicator for the reporting period</i>	Indicator progress since project's start <i>State the current cumulative value of the indicator since the start of the project</i>	Reasons for Variance/ Delay (if any) <i>Explain why the indicator is off track or has changed, where relevant</i>
3. 3. 1	# of completed gender-responsive EQAs	0	150	0	0	EQA did not take place during 2024-2025. EQA is expected to be resumed by the end of 2026 and will cover evaluations completed in recent years.
3. 3. 2						
3. 3. 3						
3. 3. 4						
3. 3. 5						

» Output 3.4: PBSO supports the conduct of impact evaluations in select countries.

3. 4	Output Indicators <i>Describe the indicator</i>	Indicator Baseline <i>State the baseline value of the indicator</i>	End of Project Indicator Target <i>State the target value of the indicator at the end of the project</i>	Indicator progress for reporting period <i>State the current value of the indicator for the reporting period</i>	Indicator progress since project's start <i>State the current cummulative value of the indicator since the start of the project</i>	Reasons for Variance/ Delay (if any) <i>Explain why the indicator is off track or has changed, where relevant</i>
3. 4. 1	# of countries where PBF's impact is being measured through quasi-experimental methodology	2	8	1	2	2024: Sudan; 2025: Guatemala.
3. 4. 2						
3. 4. 3						
3. 4. 4						
3. 4. 5						

» Output 3.5:

3. 5	Output Indicators <i>Describe the indicator</i>	Indicator Baseline <i>State the baseline value of the indicator</i>	End of Project Indicator Target <i>State the target value of the indicator at the end of the project</i>	Indicator progress for reporting period <i>State the current value of the indicator for the reporting period</i>	Indicator progress since project's start <i>State the current cummulative value of the indicator since the start of the project</i>	Reasons for Variance/ Delay (if any) <i>Explain why the indicator is off track or has changed, where relevant</i>
3. 5. 1						
3. 5. 2						
3. 5. 3						
3. 5. 4						
3. 5. 5						

» Outcome 4: Knowledge Management and Learning: PBSO contributes to capacity development and global knowledge about gender-responsive and human rights-centered peacebuilding.

O C 4	Outcome Indicators	Indicator Baseline	End of Project Indicator Target	Indicator progress for reporting period	Indicator progress since project's start	Reasons for Variance/ Delay (if any)
4.1	% of PBF in-country stakeholders assessing that the PBF makes a large or very large contribution to capacity building and knowledge sharing on peacebuilding within its Community of Practice, disaggregated by sex	65.5%	70%	74%	Average: 72.15% (2024: 70.3%; 2025: 74%)	N/A
4.2						
4.3						
4.4						
4.5						

How many outputs does outcome 4 have?

12345more than 5.

Please list all outputs for outcome 4

Output 4.1

Thematic Reviews provide in-depth analysis and recommendations on pressing peacebuilding topics that inform PBF decision-maki

Output 4.2

PBSO develops guidance resources on peacebuilding programming and M&E (including guidance notes, tip sheets, checklists, temp

Output 4.3

PBSO facilitates iterative learning processes within the PBF Community of Practice through managing communication platforms an

Output 4.4

Output 4.5

Other Outputs

If Outcome 4 has more than 5 outputs, please enumerate the remaining outputs here

For each output, and using the, project results framework, provide an update on the progress made against all output indicators

» Output 4.1: Thematic Reviews provide in-depth analysis and recommendations on pressing peacebuilding topics that inform PBF decision-making.

4. 1	Output Indicators <i>Describe the indicator</i>	Indicator Baseline <i>State the baseline value of the indicator</i>	End of Project Indicator Target <i>State the target value of the indicator at the end of the project</i>	Indicator progress for reporting period <i>State the current value of the indicator for the reporting period</i>	Indicator progress since project's start <i>State the current cumulative value of the indicator since the start of the project</i>	Reasons for Variance/ Delay (if any) <i>Explain why the indicator is off track or has changed, where relevant</i>
4.1.1	Number of Thematic Reviews commissioned per year	2	4	1	2	2024: YPS; 2025: Institutional Strengthening.
4.1.2						
4.1.3						
4.1.4						
4.1.5						

» **Output 4.2: PBSO develops guidance resources on peacebuilding programming and M&E (including guidance notes, tip sheets, checklists, templates, flowcharts, etc.).**

4. 2	Output Indicators <i>Describe the indicator</i>	Indicator Baseline <i>State the baseline value of the indicator</i>	End of Project Indicator Target <i>State the target value of the indicator at the end of the project</i>	Indicator progress for reporting period <i>State the current value of the indicator for the reporting period</i>	Indicator progress since project's start <i>State the current cumulative value of the indicator since the start of the project</i>	Reasons for Variance/ Delay (if any) <i>Explain why the indicator is off track or has changed, where relevant</i>
4. 2. 1	# of guidance resources produced by PBSO	1	5	2	2	2025: MHPSS; WPS
4. 2. 2						
4. 2. 3						
4. 2. 4						
4. 2. 5						

» **Output 4.3: PBSO facilitates iterative learning processes within the PBF Community of Practice through managing communication platforms and coordinating training sessions and learning exchanges, both in-person and virtually.**

4. 3	Output Indicators <i>Describe the indicator</i>	Indicator Baseline <i>State the baseline value of the indicator</i>	End of Project Indicator Target <i>State the target value of the indicator at the end of the project</i>	Indicator progress for reporting period <i>State the current value of the indicator for the reporting period</i>	Indicator progress since project's start <i>State the current cumulative value of the indicator since the start of the project</i>	Reasons for Variance/ Delay (if any) <i>Explain why the indicator is off track or has changed, where relevant</i>
4. 3. 1	# of PBF virtual Community of Practice sessions organized	4	16	10	18	2024: 8 (Ask Us Anything, 1 in-person mtg in Nairobi; 6 reporting webinars); 2025: 10 (Ask Us Anything; Partnerships with IFIs; M&E Dashboards; CSO engagement; 6 reporting webinars).
4. 3. 2						
4. 3. 3						
4. 3. 4						
4. 3. 5						

» Output 4.4:

4. 4	Output Indicators <i>Describe the indicator.....</i>	Indicator Baseline <i>State the baseline value of the indicator.....</i>	End of Project Indicator Target <i>State the target value of the indicator at the end of the project.....</i>	Indicator progress for reporting period <i>State the current value of the indicator for the reporting period.....</i>	Indicator progress since project's start <i>State the current cumulative value of the indicator since the start of the project.....</i>	Reasons for Variance/ Delay (if any) <i>Explain why the indicator is off track or has changed, where relevant.....</i>
4. 4. 1	 //	 //	 //	 //	 //	 //
4. 4. 2	 //	 //	 //	 //	 //	 //
4. 4. 3	 //	 //	 //	 //	 //	 //
4. 4. 4	 //	 //	 //	 //	 //	 //
4. 4. 5	 //	 //	 //	 //	 //	 //

» Output 4.5:

4. 5	Output Indicators <i>Describe the indicator</i>	Indicator Baseline <i>State the baseline value of the indicator</i>	End of Project Indicator Target <i>State the target value of the indicator at the end of the project</i>	Indicator progress for reporting period <i>State the current value of the indicator for the reporting period</i>	Indicator progress since project's start <i>State the current cumulative value of the indicator since the start of the project</i>	Reasons for Variance/ Delay (if any) <i>Explain why the indicator is off track or has changed, where relevant</i>
4. 5. 1						
4. 5. 2						
4. 5. 3						
4. 5. 4						
4. 5. 5						
If the project has more than 4 outcomes, use this space to describe progress on progress on indicators for the remaining outcomes						

PART III: Cross-Cutting Issues

Is the project planning any significant events in the next six months? (eg. national dialogues, youth congresses, film screenings, etc.) If yes, please state how many, and for each, provide the approximate date of the event and a brief description, including its key objectives, target audience and location (if known)					
Events	Event Description	Tentative Date	Location	Target Audience	Event Objectives (900 characters)

9/21/26, 10:24 AM

PBF June 2026 Project Progress Report

Event 1					
Event 2					
Event 3					
Event 4					

Human Impact

This section is about the human impact of the project. Please state key stakeholders (including but not limited to: Civil Society Organizations, Beneficiaries, etc.) of the project, and for each, please briefly describe:

i. The challenges/problem they faced prior to the project implemantation

ii. The impact of the project in their lives

iii. Provide, where possible, a quote or testimonial from a representative of each stakeholder group

This is an optional question. You may leave it unanswered if not relevant

Human Impact	Type of stakeholder	What has been the impact of the project on their lives?	Provide, where possible, a quote or testimonial from the stakeholder
1			
2			
3			
4			

In addition to the stakeholder specific impact described above, please use this space to describe any additional human impact that the project has had.

Please limit your response to 4000 characters.



You can also upload upto 3 files in various formats (picture files, powerpoint, pdf, video, etc.) to illustrate the human impact of the project

OPTIONAL

File 1

OPTIONAL

Click here to upload file. (< 10MB)

File 2

OPTIONAL

Click here to upload file. (< 10MB)

File 3

OPTIONAL

Click here to upload file. (< 10MB)

You can also add upto 3 links to online resources which illustrate the human impact of the project

OPTIONAL

Link 1

OPTIONAL

Link 2

OPTIONAL

Link 3

OPTIONAL

Please tick the applicable change based on above narrative.

How we worked:

*

Please select up to 3.

- ☐ Enhanced digitization
- ☒ Innovative ways of working
- ☐ Mobilized additional resources
- ☐ Improved or initiated policy frameworks
- ☒ Strengthened capacities
- ☐ Partnered with with local/grassroots Civil Society Organizations
- ☐ Expanding coalitions & galvanizing political will
- ☐ Strengthened partnerships with IFIs
- ☒ Strengthened partnerships with UN Agencies

Please explain one of the selected options

Please limit your response to 3000 characters.

Innovative ways of working: Use of quasi-experimental methods to conduct impact evaluations; innovative ways of aggregating PBF-supported project results annually.



Please explain one of the selected options

Please limit your response to 3000 characters.

Strengthened capacities: Strengthened capacities of PBF Secretariats and fund recipients for peacebuilding design, monitoring and reporting.



Please explain one of the selected options

Please limit your response to 3000 characters.

Strengthened partnerships within UN Agencies: Strengthened partnerships with UN Agencies in HQ & in-countries through collaborative engagement for global and country portfolio evaluations and Thematic Reviews.



Who are we working with *

- ☐ Strengthened partnerships with IFIs
- ☐ Strengthened partnerships between UN Agencies
- ☒ Partnered with local civil society organizations
- ☒ Partnered with local academia
- ☐ Partnered with sub-national entities
- ☐ Partnered with national entities
- ☐ Partnered with local volunteers

Please explain

Please limit your response to 3000 characters

Partnered with local civil society organizations: Partnered with national CSO as part of Guatemala impact evaluation.

Partnered with local academia: Partnered with the national university as part of Darfur, Sudan impact evaluation.

Leave No one Behind

Select all beneficiaries targeted with the PBF resources as evidenced by the narrative **Mandatory*

- ☐ Unemployed persons
- ☐ Minorities (e.g. race, ethnicity, linguistic, religion, etc.)
- ☐ Indigenous communities
- ☐ Persons with Disabilities
- ☐ Persons affected by violence (including GBV)
- ☒ Women
- ☒ Youth
- ☐ Children
- ☐ Minorities related to sexual orientation and/or gender identity and expression
- ☐ People living in and around border areas
- ☐ Persons affected by natural disasters
- ☐ Persons affected by armed conflicts
- ☐ Internally displaced persons, refugees or migrants

PART IV: Monitoring, Evaluation and Compliance

» Monitoring

Please list key monitoring activities undertaken in the reporting period *

Please limit your response to 3000 characters.

N/A

Do outcome indicators have baselines? *

If only some of the outcome indicators have baselines, select 'yes'

☒ Yes

☐ No

If yes, please provide a brief description. If not, explain why not and when they will be available. *

Please limit your response to 3000 characters.

Baselines have been provided at the project approval stage.

Elaborate on what sources of evidence have been used to report on indicators (and are available upon request) *

Please limit your response to 3000 characters.

Annual PBF partner surveys; PBF strategic performance framework; PBF-UK donor performance framework; procurement document

Has the project launched outcome level data collection initiatives? e.g. perception surveys *

Perception survey is a formal collection of information from a randomly selected sample of respondents through their responses to standardized questions. See PBF Guidance Note for more information [link](#)

☐ Yes

☒ No

Please provide a brief description *

Please limit your response to 3000 characters.

Has the project used or established community feedback mechanisms? *

Community feedback mechanism, or community-based monitoring, is an organized system for communities of participants to monitor the local effects and impact of an intervention. Ideally, this system empowers the community to express whether their expectations are being met and to provide suggestions to decision-makers for possible (re)focusing. See PBF Guidance Note for more information. [link](#)

☐ Yes

☒ No

Please provide a brief description *

Please limit your response to 3000 characters.

» Evaluation

Is the project on track to conduct its evaluation? *

- ☒ Yes
- ☐ No
- ☐ Not Applicable

Evaluation budget (in USD) included in the project budget: *

Response required

30.000

If project will end in next six months, is your upcoming evaluation on track?

- ☐ Yes
- ☐ No
- ☒ Not Applicable

Please describe the preparations

Please limit your response to 3000 characters.

Contact
information

Name

Organization

Job title

Email

Please mention
the focal person
responsible for
sharing the final
evaluation
report with the
PBF:

Nigina Qminacci

PBF/PBSO, DPPA

Knowledge Management

nigina.qminacci@un.org

» Catalytic Effect

Catalytic Effect (financial): Has the project mobilized additional non-PBF financial resources since the project's start? *

- ☐ Yes
- ☒ No

How many funders or grants has the project received additional non-PBF funding from **since the project started?** *

Catalytic Effect (non-financial): Has the project enabled or created a larger or longer-term peacebuilding change to occur, in addition to the direct project changes? Please refer to PBF Catalytic Effect Guidelines for more information. *

☒ Yes

☐ No

If yes, please select the relevant option below: *

☒ Some catalytic effect

☐ Significant catalytic effect

If relevant, please describe how the project has had a (non-financial) catalytic effect, i.e. removed barriers to unblock stalled political, institutional or other peacebuilding processes at different levels in a country, and/or created the conditions to establish new processes to do so *

Please limit your response to 3000 characters.

The project contributed to the systematization and enhancement of the measurement of catalytic effects at country level through country portfolio evaluations and strategic reviews, therefore catalyzing more accurate and comprehensive assessment of catalytic effects across PBF-supported projects and portfolios.

Additionally, the project team has been strengthening collaboration with the Peacebuilding Impact Hub, sharing knowledge and best practices on evaluation, reporting and knowledge management. As a result, the Impact Hub has been strongly relying on the PBF aggregation exercise methodology and the generated data in order to develop Peacebuilding Data Platform.

Sustainability

Please describe any steps that have been taken to ensure the sustainability of peacebuilding gains, including any mechanisms, platforms, networks and socio-economic initiatives supported, beyond the duration of the project

Please limit your response to 3000 characters.

Through the knowledge products produced in 2025, the project contributed to increased knowledge among peacebuilding practitioners write large on good practices and areas for improvement on the topics such as YPS, WPS and MHPSS programming. Findings and recommendations from Thematic Reviews and other products now inform the design of other PBF-supported programmes on given topics. Additionally, country portfolio evaluations and strategic reviews generated useful findings and recommendations for recipient governments, RCOs, UNCTs, bilateral and multilateral donors, with an intention to advance institutional changes for increased peacebuilding impact in the future. Through piloting and rolling out quasi-experimental designs of impact evaluations, and widely socializing the approaches and the findings that such impact evaluations can yield (including on the measurement of conflict prevention), the project produced innovative knowledge and skills among practitioners and donors that can be replicated in other settings.

Are there any other issues concerning project implementation that you want to share, including any capacity needs of the recipient organizations?

Please limit your response to 3000 characters.

N/A

Monitoring and Oversight Activities

Please describe any key event related to monitoring and oversight. Please click next if no activities have yet taken place.

Events include Steering Committee meetings, Monitoring visits, Third party monitoring, Community based monitoring, any data collection, Perception or other survey findings, evaluation reports, audit or investigations.

Monitoring and oversight activities	Name of the Event	Summary	Key Findings
Event 1			
Event 2			
Event 3			

Event 4			
Event 5			
Event 6			
Event 7			
Event 8			

Final Steps

- Please save a PDF copy of the form by clicking on the *Printer* icon on the top right corner of the page.
- A dialogue box will appear: Please select the A4 size and portrait orientation.
- Click "prepare" and save the document as a PDF.
- (If on first attempt, the generated page is not readable, close the pop up page and go back to the first page of the online form using the "Return to Beginning" option and try to print the PDF version from there)
- After printing the PDF version, please submit the report in the last page of the form. You can use the "Go to End" button in the bottom right corner.
- In compliance with our reporting requirements, **please upload the PDF version of the report as well as your *financial report in excel format* to the MPTF-O Gateway.**

If you encounter any difficulty in filling the form or generating the print-out for MPTFO Gateway, please contact Gabriel Velastegui gabriel.velasteguimoya@un.org

Thank You. You have finished the report. Please Click on the SUBMIT button below. When the report is submitted, a confirmation note will appear on a yellow banner on top of the page. This can take a few seconds.