PBSO — United Nations Peacebuilding Support Office

FAST

PBF

RISK-TAKING   CATALYTIC

PEACEBUILDING FUND (PBF)
END OF PROJECT REPORT
COUNTRY: Libya
REPORTING PERIOD: 2013-2014

Programme Title & Project Number
Programme Title: Libya recovery trust fund
Programme Number *(if applicable)*
MPTF Office Project Reference Number:[1] MDTF 86617

Recipient UN Organizations	Implementing Partners
List the organizations that have received direct funding from the MPTF Office under this programme: WHO	List the national counterparts (government, private, NGOs & others) and other International Organizations: Libya Ministry of Health (National center for diseases control)

Programme/Project Budget (US$)	Programme Duration
PBF contribution (by RUNO) **65,869**	Overall Duration *(months)* 15 months
	Start Date[2] *(dd.mm.yyyy)* Oct 2013
Government Contribution *(if applicable)*	Original End Date[3] *(dd.mm.yyyy)* 30th June 2014
Other Contributions (donors) *(if applicable)*	Final End date[4] *(dd.mm.yyyy)* December 2014
TOTAL: 65,869	

Programme Assessment/Review/Mid-Term Eval.	Report Submitted By
Mid-Term Evaluation / Review - if applicable *please attach* ☐ Yes ☐ No Date: End of project Evaluation– *if applicable please attach* ☒ Yes ☐ No Date: Dec 2015	Name: Najwa EL EMAM Title: National Professional Officer (NPO) Participating Organization (Lead): WHO

[1] The MPTF Office Project Reference Number is the same number as the one on the Notification message. It is also referred to "Project ID" on the MPTF Office GATEWAY
[2] The start date is the date of the first transfer of the funds from the MPTF Office as Administrative Agent. Transfer date is available on the MPTF Office GATEWAY
[3] As per approval of the original project document by the relevant decision-making body/Steering Committee.
[4] If there has been an extension, then the revised, approved end date should be reflected here. If there has been no extension approved, then the current end date is the same as the original end date. The end date is the same as the operational closure date which is when all activities for which a Participating Organization is responsible under an approved MPTF / JP have been completed.

	Email address:

PART 1 – RESULTS PROGRESS

1.1   Assessment of the project implementation status and results

For PRF projects, please identify Priority Plan outcome and indicators to which this project has contributed:

Priority Plan Outcome to which the project has contributed. Increased ability by national government to address transitional challenges particularly those concerning ex combatants needs
Priority Plan Outcome indicator(s) to which project has contributed. 1-Increased ability by national government to address transitional challenges. Particularly those related to obligation by international law to apply IHR 2005 at points of entry. 2- Increased capacity in Advanced psychosocial Counseling Skills in clinical psychotherapeutic interventions for ex combatants and their families.

For both IRF and PRF projects, please rate this project's overall achievement of results to date: on track with significant peacebuilding results

For both IRF and PRF projects, outline progress against each project outcome, using the format below. The space in the template allows for up to four project outcomes.

__Outcome Statement 1:__ Increased ability by national government to address transitional challenges particularly those concerning ex combatants needs

Rate the current status of the outcome: on track with significant peacebuilding results

| Indicator 1:

Increased capacity in Advanced psychosocial Counseling Skills in clinical psychotherapeutic interventions for ex combatants and their families. | Baseline:
Number of Warrior affairs centers and MOH facilities with trained psychologists on clinical psychotherapeutic interventions providing services
Target:
1-Mission by International Expert to provide capacity building in Family counseling skills for candidate of MOH/WAC/WHO Diploma in clinical psychotherapeutic interventions for ex combatants and their families .
2-Mission by International Expert to provide technical support for clinical supervisors of MOH/WAC/WHO Diploma in clinical psychotherapeutic interventions for ex combatants

Progress:Two missions took place , reports of international experts are attached to provide an overview and examples of activities implemented.

Baseline: Number of health centers at the |

Indicator 2:	entry points with IHR core capacity implemented .
Increased ability by national government to address transitional challenges. Particularly those related to obligation by international law to apply IHR 2005 at points of entry.	Target: Mission by International Expert to provide capacity building workshop , conduct assessment and provide technical support for implementation of international health regulations and health security measures
	Progress: Mission report by international expert is attached to provide general overview on activities implemented and way forward .
Indicator 3:	
	Baseline: Target: Progress:

Output progress at the end of project

List the key outputs achieved under this Outcome (1000 character limit).Outputs are the immediate deliverables for a project.

Deliverables under the outcome , are as follows:
1. Mission by International Expert to provide capacity building in Advanced psychosocial Counseling Skills for candidate of MOH/WAC/WHO Diploma in clinical psychotherapeutic interventions for ex combatants and their families 7 -20 December 2013
2. Mission by International Expert to provide capacity building in Family counseling skills for candidate of MOH/WAC/WHO Diploma in clinical psychotherapeutic interventions for ex combatants and their familie 26 December 2013 – 9 February 2014
3. Mission by International Expert to provide technical support for clinical supervisors of MOH/WAC/WHO Diploma in clinical psychotherapeutic interventions for ex combatants and their families 24th to 28th February 2014
4- Mission by International Expert to provide capacity building workshop , conduct assessment and provide technical support for implementation of international health regulations and health security measures in Libya 1st to 15th December13

Outcome progress at the end of project

Describe progress made toward the achievement of this outcome. This analysis should reflect the above indicator progress and the output achievement. Is there evidence of the outcome contributing to peacebuilding and to the specific conflict triggers (3000 character limit)?

Set of recommendations and actions came out from the missions that took place toward this outcome in both areas Psychosocial & Mental Health and IHR regulations . 40 mental health professionals trained on best eveidence based skills to provide psychoterapeutic interventions to ex combantants, Diploma curriculum updated based on experts inputs, evaluation mechanism was designed for the diploma. For the IHR despite set of recommendations/ actions were set it couldn't be implemented due to the security situtaion in the country and not much progress was achieved on the ground.

Reasons for low achievement and rectifying measures

If sufficient progress was not made, what were the key reasons, bottlenecks and challenges? Were these foreseen in the risk matrix? How were they addressed (1500 character limit)?
The security situation in the country was the main reason for the low achievements, in addition to the fragile natioanl health authorities and health system .

Outcome Statement 2:

Rate the current status of the outcome: on track

Indicator 1:	Baseline: Target: Progress:
Indicator 2:	Baseline: Target: Progress:
Indicator 3:	Baseline: Target: Progress:

Output progress at the end of project

List the key outputs achieved under this Outcome (1000 character limit).Outputs are the immediate deliverables for a project.

Outcome progress at the end of project

Describe progress made toward the achievement of this outcome. This analysis should reflect the above indicator progress and the output achievement. Is there evidence of the outcome contributing to peacebuilding and to the specific conflict triggers (3000 character limit)?

Reasons for low achievement and rectifying measures

If sufficient progress was not made, what were the key reasons, bottlenecks and challenges? Were these foreseen in the risk matrix? How were they addressed (1500 character limit)?

Outcome Statement 3:

Rate the current status of the outcome: on track

Indicator 1:	Baseline: Target: Progress:

Indicator 2:	Baseline: Target: Progress:
Indicator 3:	Baseline: Target: Progress:

Output progress at the end of project

List the key outputs achieved under this Outcome (1000 character limit). Outputs are the immediate deliverables for a project.

Outcome progress at the end of project

Describe progress made toward the achievement of this outcome. This analysis should reflect the above indicator progress and the output achievement. Is there evidence of the outcome contributing to peacebuilding and to the specific conflict triggers (3000 character limit)?

Reasons for low achievement and rectifying measures

If sufficient progress was not made, what were the key reasons, bottlenecks and challenges? Were these foreseen in the risk matrix? How were they addressed (1500 character limit)?

Outcome Statement 4:

Rate the current status of the outcome: on track

Indicator 1:	Baseline: Target: Progress:
Indicator 2:	Baseline: Target: Progress:
Indicator 3:	Baseline: Target: Progress:

Output progress at the end of project

List the key outputs achieved under this Outcome (1000 character limit). Outputs are the immediate deliverables for a project.

Outcome progress at the end of project

Describe progress made toward the achievement of this outcome. This analysis should reflect the above indicator progress and the output achievement. Is there evidence of the outcome contributing to peacebuilding and to the specific conflict triggers (3000 character limit)?

Reasons for low achievement and rectifying measures

If sufficient progress was not made, what were the key reasons, bottlenecks and challenges? Were these foreseen in the risk matrix? How were they addressed (1500 character limit)?

1.2 Assessment of project evidence base, risk, catalytic effects, gender at the end of the project

Evidence base: What was the evidence base for this report and for project progress? What consultation/validation process has taken place on this report *(1000 character limit)?*	The eveidence base for this report are : 1-Training activity technical reports 2-Participants attendance sheets 3-Training evaluation 4- Assessment reports and the validation process is the " Certification and national accreditation which was applicable only for the Psychosocial & MH program.
Funding gaps: Did the project fill critical funding gaps in peacebuilding in the country? Briefly describe. *(1500 character limit)*	NO gaps.
Catalytic effects: Did the project achieve any catalytic effects, either through attracting additional funding commitments or creating immediate conditions to unblock/ accelerate peace relevant processes? Briefly describe. *(1500 character limit)*	As a result for the project a new psychsocial clinic opened in Misruata in 2014 with the support of WHO .
Risk taking/ innovation: Did the project support any innovative or risky activities to achieve peacebuilding results? What were they and what was the result? *(1500 character limit)*	No.
Gender marker: How have gender considerations been mainstreamed in the project to the extent possible? Is the original gender marker for the project still the right one? Briefly justify. *(1500 character limit)*	
Other issues: Are there any other issues concerning project	

| implementation that should be shared with PBSO? This can include any cross-cutting issues or other issues which have not been included in the report so far. *(1500 character limit)* | |

PART 2: LESSONS LEARNED AND SUCCESS STORY

2.1 Lessons learned

Provide at least three key lessons learned from the implementation of the project. These can include lessons on the themes supported by the project or the project processes and management.

Lesson 1 *(1000 character limit)*	In countries with security instability the implementation period should be well considered to ensure maximum achivements for proposed goals/ objectives. As in case of this project and due to the security situation : 1-No - cost extension had to be requested twice , 2-the International experts couldn't couldn't travel . 3-Recomendatons and wayforward actions couldn't be fulfill , especially those needed support from an internatioanl experts.
Lesson 2 *(1000 character limit)*	Availabity of enough national technical staff at the country level to provide support to the international consultant /advisor in the following; 1-Follow up closely on the progress , 2-Monitor/ evaulate implementation of the project 3- Facilitate the communication with the natioanl partners.
Lesson 3 *(1000 character limit)*	
Lesson 4 *(1000 character limit)*	
Lesson 5 *(1000 character limit)*	

2.2 Success story (OPTIONAL)

Provide one success story from the project implementation which can be shared on the PBSO website and Newsletter as well as the Annual Report on Fund performance. Please include key facts and figures and any citations (3000 character limit).

PART 3 – FINANCIAL PROGRESS AND MANAGEMENT ARRANGEMENTS

3.1 Comments on the overall state of financial expenditure

Please rate whether project financial expenditures were on track, slightly delayed, or off track: on track

If expenditure was delayed or off track, please provide a brief explanation (500 characters maximum):

Please provide an overview of project expensed budget by outcome and output as per the table below.[5]

Output number	Output name	RUNOs	Approved budget	Expensed budget	Any remarks on expenditure
Outcome 1: Increased ability by national government to address transitional challenges particularly those concerning ex combatants needs.					
Output 1.1	Timely availability of targeted, specific expertise to government in critical transitional areas	WHO	USD 65869	USD 65,869	Expenditure is USD 24,145 used during 2013, 2014. Balance is USD 41,724
Output 1.2					
Output 1.3					
Outcome 2:					
Output 2.1					
Output 2.2					
Output 2.3					
Outcome 3:					
Output 3.1					
Output 3.2					
Output 3.3					
Outcome 4:					
Output 4.1					
Output 4.2					
Output 4.3					
Total					

3.2 Comments on management and implementation arrangements

Please comment on the management and implementation arrangements for the project, such as: the effectiveness of the implementation partnerships, coordination/coherence with other projects, any South-South cooperation, the modalities of support, any capacity building aspect, the use of partner country systems if any, the support by the PBF Secretariat and oversight by the Joint Steering Committee (for PRF only). Please also mention if there have been any changes to the project (what kind and when) (2000 character maximum):

---

[5] Please note that financial information is preliminary pending submission of annual financial report to the Administrative Agent.