





Uruguay One UN Coherence Fund MPTF OFFICE GENERIC ANNUAL PROGRAMME¹ NARRATIVE REPORT **REPORTING PERIOD: FROM January 2015 TO December 2015**

Programme Title & Project Number	Country, Locality(s), Priority Area(s) / Strategic Results ²	
Programme Title: Institutional strengthening of the Ministry of Industry, Energy and Mining and the Ministry of Housing, Land Use and Environment for comprehensive mining	(if applicable) Country/Region Uruguay/ Latin America	
development in Uruguay.	Priority area/ strategic results	
Programme Number (<i>if applicable</i>)	Economic Diversification, Natural Resources, Sustainability	
MPTF Office Project Reference Number: ³ 66261		
Participating Organization(s)	Implementing Partners	
• Organizations that have received direct funding from the MPTF Office under this programme	• National counterparts (government, private, NGOs & others) and other International Organizations	
UNESCO	Ministry of Industry, Energy and Mining (MIEM)	
	Ministry of Housing, Territorial Planning, and Environment (MVOTMA)	
Programme/Project Cost (US\$)	Programme Duration	
Total approved budget as per	Overall Duration (months)	
project document: USD 220,000 USD 220,000	15 Dec 2015	
• by Agency (if applicable)	Start Date ⁵ (<i>dd.mm.yyyy</i>)	
Agency Contribution • <i>by Agency (if applicable)</i>	Original End Date ⁶ (dd.mm.yyyy) 31 Dec 2016	
• by Agency (g upplicable)	Actual End date ⁷ (<i>dd.mm.yyyy</i>)	
Government Contribution	Have agency(ies) operationally closed the \Box	
(if applicable)	Have agency(ies) operationally closed the \Box \Box Programme in its(their) system?	
Other Contributions (donors)	Expected Financial Closure date ⁸ :	
(if applicable)		
TOTAL: USD 220,000		

¹ The term "programme" is used for programmes, joint programmes and projects.

² Strategic Results, as formulated in the Strategic UN Planning Framework (e.g. UNDAF) or project document;

³ The MPTF Office Project Reference Number is the same number as the one on the Notification message. It is also referred to as "Project ID" on the project's factsheet page on the MPTF Office GATEWAY.

⁴ The MPTF/JP Contribution is the amount transferred to the Participating UN Organizations – see MPTF Office GATEWAY

⁵ The start date is the date of the first transfer of the funds from the MPTF Office as Administrative Agent. Transfer date is available on the MPTF Office GATEWAY

⁶ As per approval of the original project document by the relevant decision-making body/Steering Committee.

⁷ If there has been an extension, then the revised, approved end date should be reflected here. If there has been no extension approved, then the current end date is the same as the original end date. The end date is the same as the operational closure date which is when all activities for which a Participating Organization is responsible under an approved MPTF / JP have been completed. As per the MOU, agencies are to notify the MPTF Office when a programme completes its operational activities. Please see MPTF Office Closure Guidelines.

⁸ Financial Closure requires the return of unspent balances and submission of the <u>Certified Final Financial Statement and Report</u>.

Programme Assessment/Review/Mid-Term Eval.

Evaluation Completed Yes X No Date: dd.mm.yyyy Evaluation Report - Attached Yes X No Date: dd.mm.yyyy

Report Submitted By

- Name: Gonzalo Kmaid on behalf of Denise Cook (RC)
- Title: Coordination Specialist
- Email address: gonzalo.kmaid@one.un.org / denise.cook@one.un.org (RC)

FINAL PROGRAMME REPORT FORMAT

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

This Joint programme has been drafted within the framework of the national strategy for responsible and sustainable development of the mining sector. This project follows up on the work carried out under the precedent Joint Programme "Institutional strengthening of the Ministry of Industry, Energy and Mining and the Ministry of Housing, Territorial Planning and Environment for responsible mining in Uruguay" (Project P) jointly executed by the United Nations System and the Uruguayan Government.

The Programme's general purpose is to support the comprehensive development of mining, focusing on responsible production and ensuring the sustainability along the entire mining cycle, from the evaluation of mining projects, the production phase per se, the mines closure plans and its linkage with local and territorial development and the development of a mining industry value chain.

For that, national partners in the implementation (MIEM and MVOTMA) and Leading and associated Agencies from the UN System in Uruguay (UNDP, UNESCO and UNIDO) established 3 effects that fit in the 2011-2015 UNDAF. Such effects look to contribute to:

- 1. Strengthen MIEM and MVOTMA in order to implement strategic actions that contribute to achieve a comprehensive mining development in Uruguay
- 2. Strengthen MIEM and MVOTMA's communication capabilities
- 3. Coordinate, monitor and evaluate the Joint Programme for an appropriate achievement of the objectives.

÷.,	1 di pose	
	Programme Description:	Within the framework of the Delivering as One Approach and the UNDAF/UNDAP 2011-2015, the Uruguayan Government and the UN System have endorsed the joint programme to further strengthen the institutional capacity of the National Government in order to develop the mining industry in the country in a comprehensive, sustainable and responsible manner, taking into account the diverse value added that each UN Agency can provide.
	Development Goal:	 UNDAF 2011-2015 Priority Area 1: Promote the diversification of production and the country's participation in the global economy, the growth of productive investment and greater incorporation of scientific and technological innovations in production processes, as pillars of economic development. The actions to be implemented in this area jointly by the United Nations, the Uruguayan Government and civil society aim to contribute to equitable and sustainable development at national, subnational and local levels. UNDAF 2011-2015: Priority Area 2: Move towards the implementation of sustainable development models that will foster conservation of natural resources and ecosystems, climate change mitigation and adaptation, and use of renewable sources of energy with the aim of reducing social and environmental vulnerabilities and thus achieving greater social equity and environmental justice.
	Outcome:	 UNDAF Outcomes: UNDAF 2011-2015 Outcome 1.1: The country will have designed policies and actions to diversify the structure of production and promote trade and investments in order to improve its participation in the global economy, in an equitable and sustainable manner. UNDAF 2011-2015 Outcome 2.1: The Government, with the participation of civil society, will have designed, implemented and/or strengthened policies programmes and plans for the sustainable management of natural resources and conservation of biodiversity, and will have reduced social and environmental vulnerabilities and intergenerational inequities.

I. Purpose

	UNDAP Outputs:
	UNDAP 2011-2015 Output 1.1.1: Public and private sector's capacities to transform the productive structure in an equitable and sustainable manner through the diversification of production and the addition of greater value are strengthened.
	UNDAP 2011-2015 Output 1.1.2: Public and private sector's capacity to implement policies and strategies that incorporate sustainable production and consumption practices are strengthened.
	UNDAP 2011-2015 Output 2.1.1: Technical inputs are generated for the elaboration of policies and plans which address sustainable management of natural resources and the conservation of biodiversity at national and transnational levels.
Outputs and Key Activities:	 Strengthen MIEM and MVOTMA in order to implement strategic actions that contribute to achieve a comprehensive mining development in Uruguay Strengthen MIEM and MVOTMA's communication capabilities Coordinate, monitor and evaluate the Joint Programme for an appropriate achievement of the
	objectives.

II. Assessment of Programme Results

The programme started on 15 December 2015, thus under the current reporting cycle the only activity to be reported is a meeting of the Programme's Management Committee, in which all counterparts designed the activity plan for 2016 and discussed the basic guidelines for the Project Coordinator's Terms of Reference. In the next reporting period, results achieved will be reported accordingly.

- i) Narrative reporting on results:
- Outcomes:

UNDAF 2011-2015 Outcome 1.1: The country will have designed policies and actions to diversify the structure of production and promote trade and investments in order to improve its participation in the global economy, in an equitable and sustainable manner.

UNDAF 2011-2015 Outcome 2.1: The Government, with the participation of civil society, will have designed, implemented and/or strengthened policies programmes and plans for the sustainable management of natural resources and conservation of biodiversity, and will have reduced social and environmental vulnerabilities and intergenerational inequities.

• Outputs:

Output 1: The Project will contribute to strengthen MIEM and MVOTMA in order to implement strategic actions that contribute to achieve a comprehensive mining development in Uruguay

- a) Further develop the productive chapter of the guide to good practices in mining
- b) Assist the regulation process and evaluation of the mines closure plans.
- c) Strengthen training and educational programmes for human resources.

d) Strengthen MIEM and MVOTMA's capacities to implement local development and value added strategies

Output 2: The project will contribute to strengthen MIEM and MVOTMA's communication capabilities

a) Design of communications materials:

Output 3: Coordinate, monitor and evaluate the Joint Programme for an appropriate achievement of the objectives. .

• **Qualitative assessment:** Provide a qualitative assessment of the level of overall achievement of the Programme. Highlight key partnerships and explain how such relationships impacted on the achievement of results. Explain cross-cutting issues pertinent to the results being reported on. Has the funding provided by the MPTF/JP to the programme been catalytic in attracting funding or other resources from other donors? If so, please elaborate. For Joint Programmes, highlight how UN coordination has been affected in support of achievement of results.

Using the **Programme Results Framework from the Project Document / AWPs** - provide details of the achievement of indicators at both the output and outcome level in the table below. Where it has not been possible to collect data on indicators, clear explanation should be given explaining why.

	Achieved Indicator Targets	Reasons for Variance with Planned Target (if any)	Source of Verification
Outcome 1 ⁹ The country will have			
designed policies and actions to			
diversify the structure of			
production and promote trade and			
investments in order to improve			
its participation in the global			
economy, in an equitable and			
sustainable manner.			
Baseline:			
Planned Target:			
Outcome 2: The Government, with the participation of civil society, will have designed, implemented and/or strengthened policies programmes and plans for the sustainable management of natural resources and conservation of biodiversity, and will have reduced social and environmental vulnerabilities and intergenerational inequities.			
Baseline: Planned Target:			

⁹ Note: Outcomes, outputs, indicators and targets should be **as outlined in the Project Document** so that you report on your **actual achievements against planned targets**. Add rows as required for Outcome 2, 3 etc.

Output 1 Strengthen MIEM and MVOTMA in order to implement strategic actions that contribute to achieve a comprehensive mining development in Uruguay		
Indicator 1.1 Baseline: Planned Target:		
Indicator 1.2 Baseline: Planned Target:		
Indicator 1.3 Baseline: Planned Target:		
Indicator 1.4 Baseline: Planned Target:		
Indicator 1.5. Baseline: Planned Target:		
Indicator 1.6 Baseline: Planned Target:		
Indicator 1.7 Baseline: Planned Target:		
Indicator 1.8 Baseline: Planned Target:		
Indicator 1.9 Baseline: Planned Target:		
Indicator 1.10 Baseline: Planned Target:		

MVOTMA's communication capabilities Indicator 2.1 Baseline: Planned Target: Indicator 2.2 Baseline: Planned Target: Indicator 2.2 Baseline: Planned Target: Indicator 2.3 Baseline: Planned Target: Indicator 2.4 Baseline: Planned Target: Indicator 2.5. Baseline: Planned Target: Indicator 2.5 Baseline: Planned Target: Indicator 2.6 Baseline: Planned Target: Indicator 2.6. Baseline: Planned Target: Indicator 2.6 Baseline: Planned Target: Indicator 2.6 Baseline: Planned Target: Indicator 2.7 Indicator 2.6 Indicator 2.6 Baseline: Planned Target: Indicator 2.6 Indicator 2.6			
MVOTMA's communication capabilities Indicator 2.1 Baseline: Planned Target: Indicator 2.2 Baseline: Planned Target: Indicator 2.2 Baseline: Planned Target: Indicator 2.3 Baseline: Planned Target: Indicator 2.4 Baseline: Planned Target: Indicator 2.5. Baseline: Planned Target: Indicator 2.5 Baseline: Planned Target: Indicator 2.6 Baseline: Planned Target: Indicator 2.6. Baseline: Planned Target: Indicator 2.6 Baseline: Planned Target: Indicator 2.6 Baseline: Planned Target: Indicator 2.7 Indicator 2.6 Indicator 2.6 Baseline: Planned Target: Indicator 2.6 Indicator 2.6			
Baseline: Planned Target:Image: Planned Target:<	Output 2 Strengthen MIEM and MVOTMA's communication capabilities		
Baseline: Planned Target:Image: Planned Target:Image: Planned Target:Image: Planned Target:Indicator 2.4. Baseline: Planned Target:Image: 			
Baseline: Planned Target: Indicator 2.4. Baseline: Planned Target: Indicator 2.5. Baseline: Planned Target: Indicator 2.6. Baseline: Planned Target: Indicator 2.7			
Baseline: Planned Target: Indicator 2.5. Baseline: Planned Target: Indicator 2.6. Baseline: Planned Target: Indicator 2.7	Indicator 2.3 Baseline: Planned Target:		
Baseline: Planned Target: Indicator 2.6. Baseline: Planned Target: Indicator 2.7	Indicator 2.4. Baseline: Planned Target:		
Baseline: Planned Target: Indicator 2.7			
	Baseline: Planned Target:		
Planned Target:	Baseline:		

Output 3: Coordinate, monitor and evaluate the Joint Programme for an appropriate achievement of the objectives.		
Indicator 3.1. Baseline: Planned Target:		
Indicator 3.2. Baseline: Planned Target: Indicator 3.3 Baseline: Planned Target: Indicator 3.4 Baseline: Planned Target:		

iii) Evaluation, Best Practices and Lessons Learned

- **Report on any assessments, evaluations or studies** undertaken relating to the programme and how they were used during implementation. Has there been a final project evaluation and what are the key findings? Provide reasons if no programme evaluation have been done yet?
- **Explain challenges** such as delays in programme implementation, and the nature of the constraints such as management arrangements, human resources etc. What actions were taken to mitigate these challenges? How did such challenges and actions impact on the overall achievement of results? Have any of the risks identified during the project design materialized or were there unidentified risks that came up?
- **Report key lessons learned and best practices** that would facilitate future programme design and implementation, including issues related to management arrangements, human resources, resources, etc. <u>Please also include experiences of failure</u>, which often are the richest source of lessons learned.

The main lessons learned were:

iv) A Specific Story

- This could be a success or human story. <u>It does not have to be a success story often the most interesting and useful lessons learned are from experiences that have not worked</u>. The point is to highlight a concrete example with a story that has been important to your Programme.
- In ¹/₄ to ¹/₂ a page, provide details on a specific achievement or lesson learned of the Programme. Attachment of supporting documents, including photos with captions, news items etc, is strongly encouraged. The MPTF Office will select stories and photos to feature in the Consolidated Annual Report, the GATEWAY and the MPTF Office Newsletter.

Problem / Challenge faced: Describe the specific problem or challenge faced by the subject of your story (this could be a problem experienced by an individual, community or government).

Programme Interventions: How was the problem or challenged addressed through the Programme interventions?

Result (**if applicable**): Describe the observable *change* that occurred so far as a result of the Programme interventions. For example, how did community lives change or how was the government better able to deal with the initial problem?

Lessons Learned: What did you (and/or other partners) learn from this situation that has helped inform and/or improve Programme (or other) interventions?

ABBREVIATIONS AND ACRONYMS

AA	Administrative Agent
CFCC	Coherence Fund Consultative Committee
CFSC	Coherence Fund Steering Committee
DaO	Delivering as One
ILO	International Labour Organization
IOM	International Organization for Migration
DINAMA	National Environment Directorate
DINAMIGE	National Mining and Geology Directorate
MIEM	Ministry of Industry, Energy, and Mining
MVOTMA	Ministry of Housing, Territorial Planning, Environment
MPTF	Multi-Partner Trust Fund
OPP	Office of Planning and Budget
PUNO	Participating United Nations Organization
RCO	United Nations Resident Coordinator's Office
UNDAF	United Nations Development Assistance Framework
UNDAP	United Nations Development Assistance Framework Action Plan
UNDP	United Nations Development Programme
UNESCO	United Nations Educational, Scientific and Cultural Organization
UNEP	United Nations Environment Programme
UNRC	United Nations Resident Coordinator
UNS	United Nations System
UNWomen	United Nations Entity for Gender Equality and the Empowerment of Women