

# PBF PROJECT PROGRESS REPORT COUNTRY: Sri Lanka TYPE OF REPORT: SEMI-ANNUAL, ANNUAL OR FINAL Final Report DATE OF REPORT: 15 June 2018

| Project Title: Support to the | Sri Lanka PBF Secretariat and the Government Secretariat for Coordination of Reconciliation        |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|-------------------------------|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|
|                               |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| ů – V                         | PTF-O Gateway: PBF/IRF 136/ PROJECT ID 00098786  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| <b>PBF project modality:</b>  | If funding is disbursed into a national or regional trust fund:                                    |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|                               | Country Trust Fund   |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| PRF PRF                       | Regional Trust Fund  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|                               | Name of Recipient Fund:  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| List all direct project re    | cipient organizations (starting with Convening Agency), followed type of                           |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| organization (UN, CSO         |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Resident Coordinator Off      | ,  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|                               | nting partners, Governmental and non-Governmental:   |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| -                             | ng Reconciliation Mechanisms (Government)  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Project commencement          |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Project duration in mon       |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| U                             |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Does the project fall und     | ler one of the specific PBF priority windows below:  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Gender promotion init         |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Youth promotion initia        |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|                               | regional peacekeeping or special political missions  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Cross-border or region        |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|                               |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total PBF approved pro        | ject budget* (by recipient organization):  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| RCO: \$ 1,660,843             | jeer buuger (by recipient organization).   |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :\$                           |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| : \$<br>: \$                  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :\$                           |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total: 1,660,843              |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|                               | get and the release of the second and any subsequent tranche are conditional and subject to PBSO's |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|                               | ilability of funds in the PBF account  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| How many tranches hav         | e been received so far:  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Demont man 4'                 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Report preparation:           |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Project report prepared by:   |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|                               | UN Resident Coordinator,a.i.   |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Did PBF Secretariat clear th  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Any comments from PBF Se      |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|                               | any evaluation exercises? Please specify and attach: TOR is developed for the                      |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| project end lessons learne    | d exsercise as suggested by HQ. procurement of services have commenced.                            |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Note: commencement date will be the date of first funds transfer.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> Maximum project duration for IRF projects is 18 months, for PRF projects – 36 months.

### NOTES FOR COMPLETING THE REPORT:

- Avoid acronyms and UN jargon, use general / common language.
- Be as concrete as possible. Avoid theoretical, vague or conceptual discourse.
- Ensure the analysis and project progress assessment is gender and age sensitive.

#### PART 1: RESULTS PROGRESS

### 1.1 Overall project progress to date

Briefly explain the status of the project in terms of its implementation cycle, including whether all preliminary/preparatory activities have been completed (1500 character limit): The 6 months no-cost extension phase of this project concludes on 30 June 2018. The no-cost extension was granted in acknowledgement of the continued importance of United Nations support to the Government of Sri Lanka's efforts on implementing complex post-conflict accountability and reconciliation processes in a divided society. The project as a whole has successfully provided timely and strategically targeted financial and technical support to the Govt. of Sri Lanka's Secretariat for Coordinating the Reconciliation Mechanism and the PPP through the PBF Secretariat. The extension period recognised that key strategic accountability and reconciliation projects and government initiatives were scheduled to peak in 2018 and has enabled continuity of high level technical and financial assistance throughout a critical juncture in the trajectory of Sri Lanka's progression on transitional justice, peacebuilding and reconciliation. Throughout the entire period this project has been able to swiftly and successfully adapt, evolve and respond to the shifting needs of the Sri Lankan Government's SCRM and PPP. The over all status of the project is one in which the planned activities have been concluded or are about to conclude within a context of an on-going need for the UN to continue sustained technical and financial assistance in support of the Sri Lankan Governments efforts which are progressing albeit often slowly, piecemeal and frequently subject to sporadic political inertia.

Given the recent/current political/peacebuilding/ transition situation/ needs in the country, has the project been/ does it continue to be **relevant** and well placed to address potential conflict factors/ sources of tensions/ risks to country's sustaining peace progress? Please illustrate. If project is still ongoing, are any adjustments required? (1500 character limit) This project continues to be highly relevant and necessary to the continued progress of the Sri Lankan Govt.'s peacebuilding, reconciliation and transitional justice processes. Whilst this project has been instrumental in helping to nurture the accountablilty, technical expertise, professionalisation and progress of the SCRM and PPP to effectively deliver sustainable peace, justice and reconciliation outcomes, it has done so within in an increasingly restricted space. As the Govt. has progressed on its human rights commitments, so too has political opposition towards many of the objectives that it seeks to achieve. Studies coordinated by the PBF Secretariat such as the EU funded Situational Risk Assessment, Peacebuilding Surveys and research on the rise of religious based violent extremism has revealed that the path towards sustainable peace and reconciliation in Sri Lanka remains fragile. Whilst these studies have revealed growing levels of support for the government's peace and reconcilition efforts amongst civil society and minorities, they have also shown political polarisation and a deepening of violent ethno-religious extremism and intolerance within the Sinhala Buddhist community. Growing divisions within the government of national unity and a recent swing in the political pendulum at local elections towards a new party established by the previous President Mahinda Rajapakse have undermined the current government's capacity to push through reforms.

In a few sentences, summarize **what is unique/ innovative/ interesting** about what this project is trying/ has tried to achieve or its approach (rather than listing activity progress) (1500 character limit).

From the outset this project has recognised that the Sri Lankan Government's peace, reconciliation and transitional justice efforts under the PPP presents a highly fluid dynamic that requires a technical and financial support capacity that can rapidly respond and adapt to an ever changing environment in which the Government's progress is frequently sporadic and reactive to the spaces created by shifting political opportunities. The UN's PBF activities in Sri Lanka have been acutely aware of this context and have strategically positioned their technical assistance and financial support to quickly and effectively support on key opportunities as they emerge. Examples of this include the formation of a Strategic Consultants Group comprising embedded technical advisors within the key ministry's and mechanisms leading on implementing the PPP, together with the allocation of UNVs to supplement areas of technical need.

Considering the project's implementation cycle, please **rate this project's overall progress towards results to date**: on track

In a few sentences summarize **major project peacebuilding progress/results** (with evidence), which PBSO can use in public communications to highlight the project (1500 character limit): The major peacebuilding results of this project include: 1) The increased professionalisation of the PPP's Peacebuilding Board through supporting the establishment of oversight committees for each of the PPP's four pillars; 2) The production of knowledge products that have been instrumental in informing UN and government analysis and action. These products have included the mapping of electoral violence, Peacebuilding Surveys, studies on the emergence of religious based violent extremism and communal violence, situaltional risk assessment, mapping of civil society actors engaged in peace related activities and a review of the PPP; 3) Increased capacity of key Government institutions to enable effective operationalisation of the SCRM, ONUR, Ministry of Mass Media and OMP through the embedding of technical assistance for strategy, communications, forensics and transitional justice; 4) the ability of the PBF to leverage and mobilise additional funding from development partners in support of the PPP including from the EU, UK and US Government's.

In a few sentences, explain how the project has made **real human impact**, that is, how did it affect the lives of any people in the country – where possible, use direct quotes that PBSO can use in public communications to highlight the project (1500 character limit): The major real human impacts of the this project are twofold. Firstly the project has helped increase the level of participation from women and youth in peace, reconciliation and transitional justice by supporting community consultations and communications. As a result women and youth are more engaged in the processes and have a deeper awareness , sense of ownership and commitment to sustainable peace. Secondly the project has helped strengthen key mechanisms that will directly engage the families of missing persons in researching and recording the fate of those who were lost. The establishment of the OMP supported by this proejct represents and important and necessary component of reconciliation and transitional justice what will help the families of missing persons and Sri Lankan society as a whole to recognise what has happened, experience a sense of closure and to move on within a context of ensuring non-recurrence and justice towards a sustainable peace.

If the project progress assessment is **on-track**, please explain what the key **challenges** (if any) have been and which measures were taken to address them (1500 character limit). The key challenges have primarly related to period episodes of political inertia and sporadic spurts of sudden progress in relation to the PPP. Due to the political sensitivities and the fragility of the government coalition much of the preliminary ground work on building consensus for peace, reconciliation and transitional justice progress has been held in camera. This has given the impression to the outside world that little is happening. In some respects

this criticism has been justified, whilst it has also been a necessary strategy to ensure that progress on reforms and the creation of key institions is not derailed before it has even had a chance to emerge. In such a context the project has had to be patient, alert, adpative and rapidly responsive. It has been remarkely adpet at this through the pre-positioning of professional embedded personnel within the key mechanisms; through a highly professional networked and coordinated approach consisting of integrating the country team and high level internventions from Geneva and New York alongside the ability to rapidy mobilse financial resources and draw on timely and accurate information and analysis to inform strategy and action.

If the assessment is **off-track**, please list main reasons/ **challenges** and explain what impact this has had/will have on project duration or strategy and what **measures** have been taken/ will be taken to address the challenges/ rectify project progress (1500 character limit): n/a

Please attach as a separate document(s) any materials highlighting or providing more evidence for project progress (for example: publications, photos, videos, monitoring reports, evaluation reports etc.). List below what has been attached to the report, including purpose and audience.

# **1.2 Result progress by project outcome**

The space in the template allows for up to four project outcomes. If your project has more approved outcomes, contact PBSO for template modification.

# <u>Outcome 1:</u> United Nations Peacebuilding Support in Sri Lanka is strategically positioned to support national priorities, arrived at through a fully consultantive and inclusive process, and is effectively delivered in a coordinated and harmonised manner.

# Rate the current status of the outcome progress: on track with significant peacebuilding results

**Progress summary:** Describe main progress under this Outcome made during the reporting period (for June reports: January-June; for November reports: January-November; for final reports: full project duration), including major output progress (not all individual activities). If the project is starting to make/ has made a difference at the outcome level, provide specific evidence for the progress (quantitative and qualitative) and explain how it impacts the broader political and peacebuilding context. Where possible, provide specific examples of change the project has supported/ contributed to as well as, where available and relevant, quotes from partners or beneficiaries about the project and their experience. (3000 character limit)?

Across the full duration of this project, UN Peacebuilding support in Sri Lanka has strategically positioned itself to support national priorities through alignment with the Peacebuilding Priority Plan. It has done this through 5 main areas: 1) ADVOCACY ENGAGEMENT - UN Peacebuilding support in Sri Lanka has involved a coordinated approach spearheaded by the UN Peacebuilding Secretariat in Colombo supporting the harmonised efforts of the UN Country Team, Geneva, New York and visiting high-level missions. This approach has resulted in consistent joined-up messaging across the UN in relation to Sri Lanka. It has provided the Government of Sri Lanka with a clear set of international expectations for performance on peace, reconciliation and transitional justice; and has furnished the UN with extensive and regular opportunities to influence progress at multiple levels of government. This influence has been demonstrated in the Government's annual reports to the UN Peacebuilding Commission and UN Human Rights Council and evidence of progress on the ground for key UNHRCR commitments including land releases and the establishment of an Office for Missing Persons. 2) KNOWLEDGE - the production of key knowledge products such as the peacebuilding surveys, situational risk assessment and research on violent extremism has enabled the UN and development partners to be better informed on key developments and the trajectory of government progress as well as the impact of potential spoilers in the peace process. This component has enabled UN programme to be more responsive and targeted in supporting specific and evolving needs for peace, reconciliation and transitional justice and has enabled advocacy efforts to be evidence based. 3) **TECHNICAL ASSISTANCE – the positioning of experts in key ministries and** mechanism, either as consultants or UNVs has provided the Government with specific expertise in critical areas such a communications, planning and transitional justice. This has enabled the UN to exercise influence on progress inside the workings of Government enabling the strengthening of institutions, knowledge transfer to local counterparts, and improved design of key functions such as communications strategies. 4) COORDINATION AND MONITORING – UN support for the establishment of Peacebuilding Priority Plan, the SCRM and oversight mechanisms through the Peacebuilding Board, monitoring committees for each of the PPP pillars and the inception of community based monitoring for transitional justice pilot has put into place a robust system for the government and UN to internally monitor progress and assess performance of the PPP. This is enabling the government to adapt programming approaches, manage accountabilities for performance and assess impact. 5) **RESOURCE MOBILISATION:** The project has worked closely with development partners and has been instrumental in leveraging additional investment from the USA, UK and EU for the UN in support of the PPP.

**Outcome 2:** Government reaches consensus on the framework for transitional justice.

Rate the current status of the outcome progress: off track

**Progress summary:** (see guiding questions under Outcome 1)

The Government of Sri Lanka's progress on reaching consensus for all of its commitments on transitional justice as outlined in the UNHRC Resolution and restated in the Peacebuilding Priority Plan has been slow, piecemeal and, at the end of this proeict, remains incomplete. Although the broad framework for transitional justice has been broadly agreed as evidenced by the Government's endorsement of the Peacebuilding Priority Plan which sets out 6 focus areas for TJ, agreeing the actual scope and the content for much of that framework remains a work in progress. This project has made significant progress in supporting the Government's capacity and understanding of transitional justice (by the strategic embedding of technical advisers, UNVs and the fomulation of the Strategic Consultants Group), and supporting the establishment of the overarching coordinating body, the SCRM; the establishment of one of the key institutions, the Office of Missing Persons; and progress on land release and reparations as evidenced by the forthcoming bill to be presented to Parliament. However, there has also been little on no Governemnt progress on establishing the judicial mechanisms and the Truth Seeking mechanism. Given the political context of a coalition government two thirds towards the end of its term and under pressure from within its own parties, and from the recent rise of the former President under the

auspices of a new party polling successfully at local elections amidst increased interethnic polarisation and violent extremism in the country, the progress to date can be considered as a substantive achievement. That the governement consensus thus far has not unravelled under multiple forms of pressure is a testament to the will of key political elites, the stabilising and advocacy influence of this project and development partners, together with the continued peace dividends in terms of investment and economic development that progress on peace, reconciliation and transitional justice has acrued for the country.

# Outcome 3: n/a

# Rate the current status of the outcome progress: Please select one

**Progress summary:** (see guiding questions under Outcome 1)

## Outcome 4: n/a

## Rate the current status of the outcome progress: Please select one

**Progress summary:** (see guiding questions under Outcome 1)

## 1.3 Cross-cutting issues

| National ownership: How has the<br>national government demonstrated<br>ownership/ commitment to the project<br>results and activities? Give specific<br>examples. (1500 character limit) | The government with the support of this project undertook<br>wide ranging provincial and key stakeholder consultations<br>which have helped inform and shape the PPP and<br>government priorities on peace, reconciliation and<br>transitional justice. The government has demonstrated its<br>ownership of this process in being proactive in reporting<br>progress to the Peacebuilding Commission and Human<br>Rights Council. At an instutional level its commitment in<br>creating an enabling framework has been evidenced by the<br>formation and work of the SCRM, ONUR, OMP, land<br>releases and the draft bill on reparations. At an operational<br>level the fomation of the Peacebuilding Board and the<br>oversight committees for each pillar of the PPP which this<br>project supported establishes the accountability and<br>performance monitoring mechanism through which the<br>government assesses its progress on peace, reconciliation |
|--|---|
|  | and transitional justice.   |
| Monitoring: Is the project M&E plan on   | Local ownership is interlinked with monitoring in this  |
| track? What monitoring methods and   | project. The combination of the oversight committees for  |
| sources of evidence are being/ have been   | each pillar of the PPP together with the establishment of a   |
| used? Please attach any monitoring-  | community based monitoring mechanism for transitional   |
| related reports for the reporting period.  | justice enhances both monitoring capacity and   |
| (1500 character limit)?  | accountability. The peacebuilding survey's and other  |
|  | knowledge products provide a more nuanced   |
|  | understanding of how different sectors of society are   |

|  | responding to the process and enables the government and                                 |
|--|--|
|  | UN to gauge public perception levels and satisfiaction on                                |
|  | progress.  |
| <b>Evaluation:</b> Provide an update on the                      | The Peacebuilding Board are currently in the process of                                  |
| preparations for the external evaluation                         | finalising the terms of reference for an evaluation of the                               |
| for the project, especially if within last 6                     | PPP in collaboration with the Peacebuilding Fund   |
| months of implementation or final report.                        | Secretariat. The evaluation will focus on lessons learned is                             |
| Confirm available budget for evaluation.                         | planned to commence in July 2018.  |
| (1500 character limit)<br>Catalytic effects (financial): Did the | Yes, besides direct development partner investment in the                                |
| project lead to any specific non-PBF                             | PBF by the USA, UK the EU and others, there has been a                                   |
| funding commitments? If yes, from                                | substantive increase in large scale bi-lateral donor                                     |
| whom and how much? If not, have any                              | investments (especially from USAID and the EU) on areas                                  |
| specific attempts been made to attract                           | in support of the PPP. USAID investments of  |
| additional financial contributions to the                        | approxomately \$ 30 million during this project period have                              |
| project and beyond? (1500 character limit)                       | targeted Parliamentary reforms, civil society strengthening,                             |
|  | social cohesion and media strengthening in relation to                                   |
|  | supporting peace, reconciliation and transitional justice.                               |
|  | Progress towards peace in Sri Lanka and the change of                                    |
|  | government has enabled the EU to recommence its large                                    |
|  | scale rural development investments of Euro 210 million                                  |
|  | for the period 2014 to 2020 which had faltered under the                                 |
|  | previous regime.   |
| Catalytic effects (non-financial): Did                           | The key non-financial catalytic effect has been the                                      |
| the project create favourable conditions                         | enhanced and coordinated advocacy actions by the   |
| for additional peacebuilding activities by                       | development partners operating in collaboration with the                                 |
| Government/ other donors? If yes, please                         | UN's harmonised efforts to support progress by   |
| specify. (1500 character limit)                                  | maintaining a sustained multi-level dialogue with the                                    |
|  | government on all aspects of the PPP. This project has also                              |
|  | been proactive in ensuring effective communication with                                  |
|  | the development partners through regular GoSL-UN   |
|  | briefing meetings with Heads of Missions as well as                                      |
| Exit strategy/ sustainability: What steps                        | ongoing dialogue at a less formal level.<br>The UN PBF has helped support the Government |
| have been taken to prepare for end of                            | peacebuilding, reconciliational and transitional justice                                 |
| project and help ensure sustainability of                        | objectives to substantially progress from conceptualisation                              |
| the project results beyond PBF support                           | to inception and through to initial operationalisation in                                |
| for this project? (1500 character limit)                         | many areas. However it is clearly a work in progress.                                    |
| · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·                            | Evidence from comparative contexts around the world                                      |
|  | demonstrates that the path toward sustainable peace                                      |
|  | through effective reconciliation and meanful transitional                                |
|  | justice cannot be achieved in the short-term of just a couple                            |
|  | of years. Instead it requires sustained and long-term                                    |
|  | investment. This project has helped lay the necessary                                    |
|  | foundations to support the prospects for long term                                       |
|  | sustainable peace in Sri Lanka. Sustainability for the                                   |
|  | process is being strengthened by this project through                                    |
|  | support for the instutions and mechanisms concerned. It is                               |
|  | being bostered through the technical assistance provided                                 |
|  | and the local knowledge transfers they are enabling.                                     |

| <b><u>Risk taking</u>:</b> Describe how the project<br>has responded to risks that threatened the<br>achievement of results. Identify any new<br>risks that have emerged since the last<br>report. <i>(1500 character limit)</i> | Sustainability is also being strengthened by the increased<br>investment in the process that the project has been able to<br>help leverage from development partners. However there<br>are crucial tests ahead which will indicate whether the<br>PBF's investments will be sustainable in the long-term.<br>Presidential elections in 2020 and the end of the UNHRC<br>Resolution in 2019 together with increased opposition and<br>rising violent extremism in Sri Lanka are amongst the<br>foremost immediate risks. Continued UN support is<br>required to help the Govt. navigate these challenges.<br>The main risks for this project have been 1) periodic lack<br>of poltical will; the 2) the increased influence of spoilers<br>and 3) the rise of violent extremism. 1) The project has<br>been able to respond to the lack of political will by<br>adopting a harmonised and coordinated advocacy approach<br>that has enabled the UN to sustain its lobbying efforts for<br>progress at different levels and through multiple channels<br>throughout the period of this project. 2) The influence of<br>spoilers has been met though effective intelligence<br>gathering and evidence as part of the project's knowledge<br>generating products which have enabled increased levels of<br>responsiveness and adaptability in dealing quickly and<br>creatively with new threats as they have emerged; 3) a<br>good example of this is how the project has responded to<br>the increase in violent extremism by commissioning<br>studies and surveys and new ways of countering hate<br>speech. At a strategic level situation risk assessments were |
|--|--|
|  | conducted for the Northern, North Central, Eastern and   |
| Gender equality: In the reporting<br>period, which activities have taken place<br>with a specific focus on addressing issues<br>of gender equality or women's<br>empowerment? (1500 character limit)                             | Southern Provinces in partership with the EU and SCRM.<br>Approximately 30% of PBF funds have been allocated<br>towards women's empowerment. Gender issues are<br>particularly relevant to this project and the wider context of<br>good governance and reforms in Sri Lanka with UN<br>support for the emplowerment of women leaders in<br>provincial government under the recently approved quota<br>system designed to increase female representation in<br>political decision making. The project has also been<br>sensitive to the needs of both women and male and female<br>youth and the different gendered experiences of people<br>affected by conflict. This has been reflected in the<br>government stakeholder consultations that the UN has<br>supported as well as the in the peacebuilding surveys and<br>other knowledge products. The project has increased the<br>participation of women, youth and marginalised men,<br>especially in relation to the operational design of the Office<br>of Missing Persons and the development of a community<br>based monitoring mechanism.<br>The main concern moving forward is to ensure that the  |
| concerning project implementation that   | work of this project is sustained in continuing to support   |
| you want to share, including any capacity  | the government maintain momentum on the actions that   |

| needs of the recipient organizations? | they have already commenced (e.g. land release,              |
|---------------------------------------|--|
| (1500 character limit)                | reparations and missing persons); whilst also ensuring that  |
|                                       | the coordinated action by the UN, civil society and          |
|                                       | development partners is sustained to advocate for progress   |
|                                       | on areas that have not yet been satisfactorily addressed     |
|                                       | with correlating access to financial and technical resources |
|                                       | being available through a rapid, flexible and responsive     |
|                                       | PBF mechanism to support these as the space for them to      |
|                                       | develop opens.   |

**1.3 INDICATOR BASED PERFORMANCE ASSESSMENT:** Using the **Project Results Framework as per the approved project document or any amendments-** provide an update on the achievement of **key indicators** at both the outcome and output level in the table below (if your project has more indicators than provided in the table, select the most relevant ones with most relevant progress to highlight). Where it has not been possible to collect data on indicators, state this and provide any explanation. Provide gender and age disaggregated data. (300 characters max per entry)

|   | Performance<br>Indicators   | Indicator<br>Baseline   | End of<br>project  | Current indicator<br>progress   | Reasons for Variance/ Delay<br>(if any)   | Adjustment of<br>target (if any)  |
|---|---|---|--|---|---|---|
|   |   |   | Indicator<br>Target  |   |   |   |
| Outcome 1<br>United<br>Nations<br>Peacebuildin<br>g Support in<br>Sri Lanka is<br>strategically<br>positioned to<br>support<br>national<br>priorities,<br>arrived at<br>through a | Indicator 1.1<br>UN and<br>Government arrive<br>at a joint plan for<br>peacebuilding<br>support in Sri<br>Lanka                         | Sri Lanka<br>granted<br>eligibility to<br>apply for the<br>PBF  | PPP endorsed<br>by the<br>Peacebuilding<br>Fund Board<br>and approved<br>by PBSO   | Target Achieved:<br>The PPP was<br>endorsed by the<br>Board in August<br>2016 and approved<br>by PBSO in<br>September 2016.<br>The UN Secretary-<br>General endorsed<br>and launched the<br>plan during his<br>September visit to<br>Sri Lanka. | Update: A PPP matrix review was<br>conducted in 2018. The matrix was<br>updated to include 12 | Beyond the target<br>indicator the PPP<br>continues to be<br>used as a common<br>framework<br>amongst<br>development<br>partners promoting<br>coordination,<br>resource<br>mobilisaiton and<br>strategic focus. |
| fully<br>consultative<br>and inclusive<br>process, and<br>is effectively<br>delivered in a<br>coordinated<br>and<br>harmonized<br>manner.   | Indicator 1.2<br>Inclusive<br>mechanisms<br>institutionalized to<br>enable CSOs and<br>development<br>partners to inform<br>PBF process | PBF Board<br>includes CSO<br>and<br>Development<br>partner (DP)<br>representatio<br>n, and<br>stakeholders<br>PBF Board<br>includes CSO | At every key<br>milestone<br>CSOs and<br>development<br>partners<br>consulted, and<br>represented at<br>every Board<br>meeting | Target Achieved:<br>The EU represents<br>the Development<br>Partners (DPs) on<br>the Board and 3<br>civil society reps<br>and 1 NGO<br>represent a broad<br>cross-section of<br>civil society.  | A Representative from Japan Embassy in<br>Sri Lanka was added to the<br>Peacebuilding Board.  | The Board<br>functions<br>effectviely with<br>members having<br>provided<br>constructive inputs<br>to the PRF project<br>design and giving<br>final endorsement<br>in April 2017.                               |

|  | Performance  | Indicator   | End of  | Current indicator   | Reasons for Variance/ Delay | Adjustment of  |
|--|--|---|---|---|-----------------------------|--|
|  | Indicators   | Baseline  | project<br>Indicator<br>Target  | progress  | (if any)                    | target (if any)  |
|  |  | and<br>Development<br>partner (DP)<br>representatio<br>n, and<br>stakeholders<br>consultations<br>planned for<br>Peacebuildin<br>g Context<br>Assessment<br>and PPP | 6   | Consultations have<br>taken place with<br>CSOs at national<br>and regional level<br>(North, East)   |                             | AThe capacity of<br>th Board to further<br>monitor PPP<br>progress has been<br>strengthened by<br>establishing the<br>oversight<br>committess for<br>each pillar |
|  | Indicator 1.3  |   |   |   |                             |  |
| Output 1.1<br>Peacebuildin<br>g Priority<br>Plan<br>developed<br>and<br>mechanism<br>established to<br>support<br>coordinated<br>project<br>development<br>and | Indicator 1.1.1<br>Peacebuilding<br>Context<br>Assessment<br>available | UN and<br>Government<br>have agreed<br>to collate a<br>Peacebuildin<br>g Context<br>Assessment  | Peacebuilding<br>Context<br>Assessment<br>finalized and<br>available for<br>reference | Achieved.The<br>Assessment was<br>finalised and<br>approved for<br>circulation in April<br>2016 by the PBF<br>Board. It includes a<br>strong gender<br>analysis and has<br>been shared widely<br>with stakeholders<br>and is available on<br>the lk.one.un.org<br>website |                             |  |

|  | Performance<br>Indicators   | Indicator<br>Baseline  | End of<br>project   | Current indicator<br>progress  | Reasons for Variance/ Delay<br>(if any) | Adjustment of<br>target (if any) |
|--|---|--|---|--|---|----------------------------------|
|  | multutors   | Dasenne  | Indicator<br>Target   | progress   | (ii aiiy)                               | tanget (in any)                  |
| implementati<br>on   | Indicator 1.1.2<br>% of funds<br>allocated under the<br>PPP that are<br>directed towards<br>empowerment of<br>women | PPP not yet<br>in place  | At least 15%<br>of funds  | Target achived:<br>Approximatley<br>30% of PBF funds<br>are allocated<br>towards<br>empowerment of<br>women.<br>(Women and<br>Youth Project c.<br>\$700k, TJ c. \$900k,<br>Reconciliation c.<br>\$500k)                                |   |                                  |
| Output 1.2<br>Effective<br>implementati<br>on of the<br>UN's<br>Peacebuildin<br>g initiatives,<br>with attention<br>to<br>coordination,<br>evidenced<br>based<br>interventions | Indicator 1.2.1<br>Number of<br>Peacebuilding<br>Fund Board and<br>Executive Board<br>Meetings that take<br>place   | Peacebuildin<br>g Fund Board<br>established in<br>January 2016 | i)Peacebuildin<br>g Fund Board<br>meets<br>quarterly and<br>draft minutes<br>circulated ii)<br>Executive<br>Board meets<br>at least<br>quarterly and<br>draft minutes<br>circulated | PBF Board met in<br>Jan/Apr/Aug/Dec<br>2016; Apr/Aug/Dec<br>2017; May 2018.<br>All minutes have<br>been shared with<br>Board members.<br>An Executive<br>Board consisting of<br>SCRM. MFA and<br>the UN has met at<br>least quarterly. |   |                                  |

|                     | Performance                       | Indicator                | End of                     | Current indicator              | <b>Reasons for Variance/ Delay</b> | Adjustment of   |
|---------------------|-----------------------------------|--------------------------|----------------------------|--------------------------------|------------------------------------|-----------------|
|                     | Indicators                        | Baseline                 | project                    | progress                       | (if any)                           | target (if any) |
|                     |                                   |                          | Indicator                  |                                |                                    |                 |
|                     |                                   |                          | Target                     |                                |                                    |                 |
| and high-           |                                   |                          |                            | The Oversight                  |                                    |                 |
| impact              |                                   |                          |                            | Committees for                 |                                    |                 |
| results             |                                   |                          |                            | PPP pillars was                |                                    |                 |
|                     |                                   |                          |                            | established and a              |                                    |                 |
|                     |                                   |                          |                            | field visit is                 |                                    |                 |
|                     |                                   |                          |                            | planned for Aug                |                                    |                 |
|                     |                                   |                          |                            | 2018.                          |                                    |                 |
|                     | Indicator 1.2.2                   | 1 report for             |                            | 100% of Jun 2016               |                                    |                 |
|                     | % of Annual                       | <b>UNHCR/UNI</b>         | 100%                       | and 2017 semi-                 |                                    |                 |
|                     | Reports to PBSO                   | CEF IRF                  |                            | annual; Nov 2016               |                                    |                 |
|                     | submitted on time                 | Project                  |                            | and 2017 annual/               |                                    |                 |
|                     | and following                     | submitted in             |                            | and Jun 2018 end               |                                    |                 |
|                     | quality review by                 | Q4, 2015                 |                            | project reports/               |                                    |                 |
|                     | the PBF                           |                          |                            | Semi-annual                    |                                    |                 |
|                     | Secretariat                       |                          |                            | reports were                   |                                    |                 |
|                     |                                   |                          |                            | submitted after                |                                    |                 |
|                     |                                   |                          |                            | quality review by              |                                    |                 |
| 0                   |                                   |                          | C t                        | PBF.                           |                                    |                 |
| Output 1.3          | Indicator 1.3.1                   | Plans for                | Government                 | Sec Gen of SCRM                |                                    |                 |
| Secretariat         | Key positions in                  | Secretariat<br>shared as | cadre staff<br>seconded to | appointed in Mar<br>2016. SCRM |                                    |                 |
| for<br>Coordinating | Secretariat filled<br>by seconded | described in             | Secretariat,               | structure approved             |                                    |                 |
| Coordinating the    | by seconded government cadre.     | project                  | and key                    | by Cabinent and                |                                    |                 |
| Reconciliatio       | government caure.                 | document                 | positions in               | some postions filled           |                                    |                 |
| n                   |                                   | useument                 | place                      | with GSL staff or              |                                    |                 |
| n<br>Mechanisms     |                                   |                          | (Secretary-                | secondments. Gaps              |                                    |                 |
|                     |                                   |                          | General Head               | supported through              |                                    |                 |

|                | Performance         | Indicator           | End of                     | Current indicator                    | <b>Reasons for Variance/ Delay</b> | Adjustment of   |
|----------------|---------------------|---------------------|----------------------------|--------------------------------------|------------------------------------|-----------------|
|                | Indicators          | Baseline            | project                    | progress                             | (if any)                           | target (if any) |
|                |                     |                     | Indicator                  |                                      |                                    |                 |
|                |                     |                     | Target                     |                                      |                                    |                 |
| established    |                     |                     | of Media, and              | UN funded supprot                    |                                    |                 |
| within the     |                     |                     | Legal                      | staff and UNVs.                      |                                    |                 |
| Prime          |                     |                     | Advisors etc)              | Communications                       |                                    |                 |
| Minister's     |                     |                     |                            | consultant in 2017                   |                                    |                 |
| Office to      |                     |                     |                            | recruited by                         |                                    |                 |
| ensure a       |                     |                     |                            | UN.UNV allocated                     |                                    |                 |
| coordinated    |                     |                     |                            | to RTI in 2017.                      |                                    |                 |
| and coherent   |                     |                     |                            | UNVs allocated to                    |                                    |                 |
| Government     |                     |                     |                            | OMP 2018.                            |                                    |                 |
| strategy to    | Indicator 1.3.2     | Secretariat         | Finalised plan             | SCRM supported                       |                                    |                 |
| progress       | Plan in place for   | being               | available with             | the National                         |                                    |                 |
| reconciliation | the national        | established         | the PMO                    | Consultations                        |                                    |                 |
| and develop    | consultation        | with this as a      |                            | Taskforce;                           |                                    |                 |
| and            | process on          | top priority        |                            | consultations                        |                                    |                 |
| implement      | reconciliation      |                     |                            | completed/report                     |                                    |                 |
| transitional   | mechanisms          |                     |                            | presented GoSL in                    |                                    |                 |
| justice        | (including Truth    |                     |                            | Jan 2016. SCRM                       |                                    |                 |
| mechanisms.    | and Reconciliation, |                     |                            | consultations with                   |                                    |                 |
|                | Judicial            |                     |                            | military, religious                  |                                    |                 |
|                | Mechanisms etc)     |                     |                            | leaders and youth                    |                                    |                 |
|                | L 1' / 0.1          | E                   |                            | conducted.                           |                                    |                 |
| Outcome 2      | Indicator 2.1       | Framework           | a) Draft                   | GoSL has a draft                     |                                    |                 |
| Government     | Government          | for<br>turneitienel | framework in               | framework for                        |                                    |                 |
| reaches        | endorsement for     | transitional        | place for<br>consultation  | taking forward the                   |                                    |                 |
| consensus on   | the transitional    | justice has         |                            | TJ process. GoSL<br>has committed to |                                    |                 |
| the            | justice framework   | not yet been        | (Dec 2016) b)<br>Framework | develop a full                       |                                    |                 |
| framework      | and proposed        | developed           | adopted by                 | -                                    |                                    |                 |
| for            | models              |                     | auopieu by                 | strategy plan as                     |                                    |                 |

|   | Performance     | Indicator      | End of        | Current indicator                       | Reasons for Variance/ Delay | Adjustment of   |
|---|-----------------|----------------|---------------|---|-----------------------------|-----------------|
|   | Indicators      | Baseline       | project       | progress                                | (if any)                    | target (if any) |
|   |                 |                | Indicator     |   |                             |                 |
|   |                 |                | Target        |   |                             |                 |
| transitional  |                 |                | Parliament    | part of HRC                             |                             |                 |
| justice   |                 |                | (July 2017)   | Resolution roll-                        |                             |                 |
| -   |                 |                |               | over supported by                       |                             |                 |
|   |                 |                |               | UN; progress on                         |                             |                 |
|   |                 |                |               | Office of Missing                       |                             |                 |
|   |                 |                |               | Persons includes                        |                             |                 |
|   |                 |                |               | recruitment                             |                             |                 |
|   |                 |                |               | process of                              |                             |                 |
|   |                 |                |               | commissioners and                       |                             |                 |
|   |                 |                |               | operational team.                       |                             |                 |
|   | Indicator 2.2   |                |               |   |                             |                 |
|   | n/a             |                |               |   |                             |                 |
|   | Indicator 2.3   |                |               |   |                             |                 |
|   | n/a             |                |               |   |                             |                 |
| Output 2.1  | Indicator 2.1.1 | No strategic   | International | PBF Sec mobilised                       |                             |                 |
| High-level  | Strategic       | consultants in | consultants   | UK funds                                |                             |                 |
| technical   | Consultant's    | place and      | identified    | (managed by                             |                             |                 |
| expertise   | Group (SCG)     | advising       | (July 2016)   | UNDP) and                               |                             |                 |
| provided to   | formed and      | government     | and workplan  | supports the SCG                        |                             |                 |
| the   | functioning     | on TJ          | agreed with   | with 3                                  |                             |                 |
| Government  |                 |                | SCRM          | international                           |                             |                 |
| on<br>The state of the state of th |                 |                | (September    | consultants (Aug                        |                             |                 |
| Transitional  |                 |                | 2016)         | 16 to Dec 17). 3                        |                             |                 |
| Justice, and  |                 |                |               | support staff                           |                             |                 |
| framework   |                 |                |               | postions funded by<br>UK and PBF funds. |                             |                 |
| and models  |                 |                |               |   |                             |                 |
| for   |                 |                |               | SCG workplan                            |                             |                 |

|                                     | Performance<br>Indicators  | Indicator<br>Baseline | End of<br>project<br>Indicator<br>Target   | Current indicator<br>progress   | Reasons for Variance/ Delay<br>(if any) | Adjustment of<br>target (if any) |
|-------------------------------------|--|-----------------------|--|---|---|----------------------------------|
| Transitional<br>Justice in<br>place |  |                       | 8  | shared with PBSO.<br>2017 project<br>coordinator<br>recruited to PBF<br>Sec.; 2018 UNVs<br>allocated to OMP |   |                                  |
|                                     | Indicator 2.1.2<br><b>Recommendations</b><br>for Transitional<br>Justice (trust,<br>reparations and<br>accountability) in<br>place | No models<br>prepared | TRC<br>framework<br>drafted<br>(March 2017),<br>Recommendat<br>ions on all<br>mechanisms<br>put forward<br>(July 2016) | Draft Bill on<br>reparations<br>prepared for<br>consideration by<br>Parliament 2018                         |   |                                  |
| Output 2.2                          | Indicator 2.2.1<br>Indicator 2.2.2   |                       |  |   |   |                                  |
| Output 2.3                          | Indicator 2.3.1<br>Indicator 2.3.2   |                       |  |   |   |                                  |
| Outcome 3                           | Indicator 3.1  |                       |  |   |   |                                  |
|                                     | Indicator 3.2  |                       |  |   |   |                                  |

|            | Performance<br>Indicators | Indicator<br>Baseline | End of<br>project<br>Indicator<br>Target | Current indicator<br>progress | Reasons for Variance/ Delay<br>(if any) | Adjustment of<br>target (if any) |
|------------|---------------------------|-----------------------|--|-------------------------------|---|----------------------------------|
|            | Indicator 3.3             |                       |  |                               |   |                                  |
| Output 3.1 | Indicator 3.1.1           |                       |  |                               |   |                                  |
|            | Indicator 3.1.2           |                       |  |                               |   |                                  |
| Output 3.2 | Indicator 3.2.1           |                       |  |                               |   |                                  |
|            | Indicator 3.2.2           |                       |  |                               |   |                                  |
| Output 3.3 | Indicator 3.3.1           |                       |  |                               |   |                                  |
|            | Indicator 3.3.2           |                       |  |                               |   |                                  |
| Outcome 4  | Indicator 4.1             |                       |  |                               |   |                                  |
|            | Indicator 4.2             |                       |  |                               |   |                                  |
|            | Indicator 4.3             |                       |  |                               |   |                                  |
| Output 4.1 | Indicator 4.1.1           |                       |  |                               |   |                                  |
|            | Indicator 4.1.2           |                       |  |                               |   |                                  |
| Output 4.2 | Indicator 4.2.1           |                       |  |                               |   |                                  |

|            | Performance<br>Indicators | Indicator<br>Baseline | End of<br>project<br>Indicator<br>Target | Current indicator<br>progress | Reasons for Variance/ Delay<br>(if any) | Adjustment of<br>target (if any) |
|------------|---------------------------|-----------------------|--|-------------------------------|---|----------------------------------|
|            | Indicator 4.2.2           |                       |  |                               |   |                                  |
| Output 4.3 | Indicator 4.3.1           |                       |  |                               |   |                                  |
|            | Indicator 4.3.2           |                       |  |                               |   |                                  |

#### PART 2: INDICATIVE PROJECT FINANCIAL PROGRESS

#### 2.1 Comments on the overall state of financial expenditures

Please rate whether project financial expenditures are on track, delayed, or off track, vis-à-vis project plans and by recipient organization: *on track* 

How many project budget tranches have been received to date and what is the overall level of expenditure against the total budget and against the tranche(s) received so far (500 characters limit): The Project underwent 2 ammendments in March and July 2016 respectively. Therefore the original budget of USD 650,000 was increased to USD1,660,842. Thus can be considered 3 tranches.

When do you expect to seek the next tranche, if any tranches are outstanding:  $N\!/\!A$ 

If expenditure is delayed or off track, please provide a brief explanation (500 characters limit): Expenditure is on track against the no cost extention obtained in January 2018.

Please state what \$ amount was planned (in the project document) to be allocated to activities focussed on gender equality or women's empowerment and how much has been actually allocated to date: Approxmately 30%

Please fill out and attach Annex A on project financial progress with detail on expenditures/ commitments to date using the original project budget table in Excel, even though the \$ amounts are indicative only.