SECRETARY-GENERAL'S PEACEBUILDING FUND
PBF PROJECT PROGRESS REPORT TEMPLATE

PBF PROJECT PROGRESS REPORT
COUNTRY: Bosnia and Herzegovina, Montenegro, Republic of Serbia
TYPE OF REPORT: SEMI-ANNUAL, ANNUAL OR FINAL Annual
DATE OF REPORT: 15 November 2019

Project Title: Fostering dialogue and social cohesion in and between Bosnia and Herzegovina (BiH), Croatia, Montenegro and Serbia (Dialogue for the Future)   **Project Number from MPTF-O Gateway:** 00113873 (BiH), 00113874 (MNE), 00114184 (SRB)

PBF project modality: IRF PRF	**If funding is disbursed into a national or regional trust fund:** Country Trust Fund Regional Trust Fund **Name of Recipient Fund:**

List all direct project recipient organizations (starting with Convening Agency), followed type of organization (UN, CSO etc):   UNDP, UNICEF and UNESCO   **List additional implementing partners, Governmental and non-Governmental:**

Project commencement date[1]: 7 January 2019   **Project duration in months:[2]** 18 months

Does the project fall under one of the specific PBF priority windows below: Gender promotion initiative   Youth promotion initiative   Transition from UN or regional peacekeeping or special political missions   Cross-border or regional project

Total PBF approved project budget* (by recipient organization):   UNDP: $ 1,753,765.71   UNICEF: $ 1,297,910   UNESCO: $ 1,132,316.80   Total: $ 4,183,992.51   **The overall approved budget and the release of the second and any subsequent tranche are conditional and subject to PBSO's approval and subject to availability of funds in the PBF account*   **How many tranches have been received so far:** 1

Report preparation: Project report prepared by: A. Mirvic, A. Ramhorst, J. Miljanic, S. Vuckovic   Project report approved by: Steliana Nedera, UNDP BiH Resident Representative (Convening Agency)   Did PBF Secretariat clear the report: Yes   Any comments from PBF Secretariat on the report: Yes, addressed in the revised version   Has the project undertaken any evaluation exercises? Please specify and attach: No.

---

[1] Note: commencement date will be the date of first funds transfer.
[2] Maximum project duration for IRF projects is 18 months, for PRF projects – 36 months.

PART 1: RESULTS PROGRESS

1.1 Overall project progress to date

Briefly explain the **status of the project** in terms of its implementation cycle, including whether all preliminary/preparatory activities have been completed (1500 character limit):
The joint programme is on track. To date across all three countries:
- 867 (out of 1,600) adolescents and youth enhanced their skills in capacity building workshops on socio-emotional skills, mobile journalism for social cohesion, voluntarism, project ideation and creation, social innovation, digital solutions
- 1,069 persons actively participated in 12 (out of planned 17) youth and national dialogue platforms identifying common social cohesion priorities and recommending solutions
- 85 (out of 200) women received capacity building in advocacy, leadership, gender mainstreaming, political literacy
- 60 teachers (out of 200) were trained in inter-cultural communication skills and media and information literacy

National dialogue platforms featured the participation of foreign ministers in both Montenegro and the Republic of Serbia, and the highest elected leaders are expected at the National Dialogue Platform to be held in Bosnia and Herzegovina at the end of November 2019. The Joint Programme Board held two of its meetings, one in April 2019 in Sarajevo and another in November in Podgorica. Both meetings resulted in a set of important decisions, providing strategic guidance to the programme and further ensuring coherence of approach. Recognizing the complexity of multi-country and multi-agency joint programmes, the Board has also agreed to approach the donor with a 6-month no-cost extension request at this stage of programme implementation, coupled with a budget revision request informed by the operational context to date.

All required staff have been recruited in the three participating countries. Country programme teams agreed on a common approach to the organization of youth and national dialogue events. These have already provided considerable insight into emerging priorities of youth and other actors, and will inform the themes of the Small Grants Facility, once common priorities are validated at the Regional Dialogue Platform, to be held in Sarajevo on 2-3 December 2019.

Considering the project's implementation cycle, please **rate this project's overall progress towards results to date**:

In a few sentences, summarize **what is unique/ innovative/ interesting** about what this project is trying/ has tried to achieve or its approach (rather than listing activity progress) (1500 character limit).
Dialogue platforms are a novelty across the three countries and have provided structured opportunities for various social groups to learn about and discuss challenges and solutions to improved social cohesion within and between the participating countries. Although poor citizen participation rates are evident in all three countries, the dialogue platforms demonstrated a clear need of the citizens to identify, discuss and be heard. Youth dialogues in particular pin-pointed to a number of common themes this group shares, and social innovations platform - such as

UPSHIFT - and a series of learning opportunities will provide them with the means to collaborate across borders and put their agency into practice.

In November 2019, 47 adolescents and youth from Bosnia and Herzegovina (31 girls and 16 boys), for the first time in Europe, participated in regional social innovation platform (UPSHIFT) to propose and jointly implement community actions they find relevant for improved social cohesion. Moreover, by embedding partnership among three academic institutions from BiH, Montenegro and Republic of Serbia within the Global Citizenship Education platform, the joint programme is ensuring sustainability of results.

In a few sentences summarize **major project peacebuilding progress/results** (with evidence), which PBSO can use in public communications to highlight the project (1500 character limit):

The first bilateral UPSHIFT workshop in Europe took place in Montenegro 7-10 November between 47 adolescents and youth from Bosnia and Herzegovina and Montenegro. It demonstrated that youth do not have sufficient opportunities to meet across borders and to interact with each other to identify socially innovative solutions to their common challenges.

In a few sentences, explain how the project has made **real human impact**, that is, how did it affect the lives of any people in the country – where possible, use direct quotes that PBSO can use in public communications to highlight the project (1500 character limit):

As part of the Youth Dialogues in four locations across BiH, 207 adolescents and youth aged 15-30 years (110 boys and 97 girls) had the opportunity to visit a local museum or art gallery in all four locations, with the goal to exploit culture as a medium for trust building among youth. For many youth this was a first time that they have visited a museum or an art gallery. Youth dialogues were a critical forum to enable youth voices in BiH to be heard. Sandra Begic, from Tuzla, sharing her experiences at one of the local TV channels stressed that these Youth Dialogues are critical as "youth has to have a (safe) place to be heard" (link: https://m.facebook.com/federalna/videos/975292109484630/).

When asked about civic education, young Petra Maravic and Mihailo Todorovic, participants in youth dialogue on civic education in Serbia, both agreed that civic education professors should be innovative, should advocate for an interactive approach, and should use new technologies to keep young people as interested as possible in the subject. Following their participation in a regional hackathon in Serbia, attended by 11 young women and 11 young men (18-30 years) from three countries, one of the participants said: "This kind of seminar has an impact on all of us as individuals, to keep on fighting for things we care about and to know that we are not alone in this." Two winning ideas were related to environmental protection and youth participation.

The first bilateral UPSHIFT 4-day workshop was hosted by Montenegro where 20 teams of adolescents and youth from BiH and Montenegro identified and developed social innovation solutions to common challenges. "Amina Begović, Ilma Gutić, Milica Burić, Šćepan Popović and Vuk Peković, who never met before the workshop, decided to show how art and culture can transcend borders and personal differences. They will be organizing an art festival that will take place in Tuzla (BiH) and Niksic (Montenegro) and bring young people together.

Also, photo gallery is available here:
https://www.facebook.com/watch/?v=561568681277151

If the project progress assessment is **on-track**, please explain what the key **challenges** (if any) have been and which measures were taken to address them (1500 character limit).

Multi-country joint programmes require strong coordination of various components among countries and agencies, as well as continuous commitment of the programme's high-level

counterparts. This is especially significant if moving in the same direction and pace is considered. Such endeavour is both challenging and time consuming, particularly with various negative narratives and rhetoric impacting the region. The joint programme has therefore prescribed the establishment of country coordination bodies,the Joint Programme Board and Strategic Advisory Board to allow for layered, country-specific and 'regional' perspective, stock-taking and partnership at political and programmatic levels. Ensuring strategic coherence of approach across main components, delivering and communicating as one rather than as individual agencies, while recognizing the need for country-specific interventions based on context, remains a challenge for this joint programme. This is mitigated by holding regular face-to-face and online meetings among programme coordinators, and meetings of strategic advisory board, consisting of UN highest representatives. Where communications and M&E components are concerned, the agency communications specialists have developed a joint internal and external communications protocol to facilitate the flow of requests and clear decision-making lines. All joint documents are consulted broadly among all teams which is time consuming, but necessary, to reach a levelled understanding.

If the assessment is **off-track**, please list main reasons/ **challenges** and explain what impact this has had/will have on project duration or strategy and what **measures** have been taken/ will be taken to address the challenges/ rectify project progress (1500 character limit):

Please attach as a separate document(s) any materials highlighting or providing more evidence for project progress (for example: publications, photos, videos, monitoring reports, evaluation reports etc.). List below what has been attached to the report, including purpose and audience.
1. Joint Progress Monitoring Platform (Excel Sheet)
2. Photos from youth, national dialogue and capacity building events
3. Feature video on the joint programme https://youtu.be/dOCGXATKZKo
4. News story on civic education in Serbia: https://www.unicef.org/serbia/price/uloga-gradjanskog-vaspitanja-u-stvaranju-drustva-po-meri-deteta

1.2 Result progress by project outcome

The space in the template allows for up to four project outcomes. If your project has more approved outcomes, contact PBSO for template modification.

Outcome 1: Stability and trust in the region, and especially in Bosnia and Herzegovina, are enhanced.

Rate the current status of the outcome progress:

Progress summary: *Describe main progress under this Outcome made during the reporting period (for June reports: January-June; for November reports: January-November; for final reports: full project duration), including major output progress (not all individual activities). If the project is starting to make/ has made a difference at the outcome level, provide specific evidence for the progress (quantitative and qualitative) and explain how it impacts the broader political and peacebuilding context. Where possible, provide specific examples of change the project has supported/ contributed to as well as, where available and relevant, quotes from partners or beneficiaries about the project and their experience. (3000 character limit)?*

To date, 867 youth (boys and girls) and women have participated in capacity building seminars organized through the joint programme. For adolescents and youth, these concerned social and

emotional skills curriculum (focusing on empathy, tolerance and trust), as well as UPSHIFT (social innovation and entrepreneurship platform), and mobile journalism for social cohesion. In November, the first bilateral UPSHIFT workshop in Europe, bringing together adolescents from Bosnia and Herzegovina and Montenegro, took place. This enables youth to work in mixed teams on their jointly identified initiatives. In Serbia, the cooperation with the Institute for the Improvement of Education continues for the purpose of innovating a citizenship education teaching programme in secondary schools. In Bosnia and Herzegovina, the joint programme is supporting a cross-Entity focus where universities across the country are partnering in delivering intercultural dialogue training for teachers, librarians and pedagogues.

Another hackathon will follow in December 2019, where 20 young activists, programmers and designers will also work on IT solutions to improve social cohesion in their surroundings, on both national and regional level.

Additionally, capacity building for 85 women, focusing on gender equality and participation, social cohesion, advocacy and leadership, project design and implementation, has taken place in all three countries. By the end of 2019, 40 more women will participate in several learning seminars in all three countries.

Through the joint programme and in cooperation with the Montenegrin Ministry of Foreign Affairs, the programme for capacity building of young diplomats from the three participating countries has been developed for implementation in 2020.

Though partnerships with universities, ministries of culture and education in the three participating countries, the joint programme will deliver capacity building of media and teachers. The combination of actions will further promote the cross-cutting importance of education and culture in advancing achievement of the 2030 Agenda in the respective countries.

Over 1,000 participants took part in 12 youth and national dialogue events, discussing challenges to social cohesion within and among countries from a multi-stakeholder perspective. All dialogues provided useful insight into emerging priorities of youth (such as insufficient spaces for youth, need for additional non-formal education, lack of government transparency, political illiteracy, climate change, corruption, nationalism, prejudice) and reaffirmed the joint programme's assumptions on the commonality of challenges (and proposed solutions) youth in all three countries face. The National Dialogue Platform for Bosnia and Herzegovina is expected to take place at the end of November 2019, enabling validation and proposal of solutions by all target groups at the Regional Dialogue Platform in December 2019 in Sarajevo.

Outcome 2: n/a

Rate the current status of the outcome progress:

Progress summary: *(see guiding questions under Outcome 1)*

Outcome 3: n/a

Rate the current status of the outcome progress:

Progress summary: *(see guiding questions under Outcome 1)*

Outcome 4: n/a

Rate the current status of the outcome progress:

Progress summary: *(see guiding questions under Outcome 1)*

1.3   Cross-cutting issues

National ownership: How has the national government demonstrated ownership/ commitment to the project results and activities? Give specific examples. (1500 character limit)	Across all countries, the Offices of the Presidency and the Ministry of Foreign Affairs have expressed their strong support to the joint programme. The second Joint Programme Board meeting was hosted by Montenegro in November 2019. Additionally, country coordination bodies, which include a broader number of government actors (such as ministries of education, culture, youth) have been established in Montenegro and the Republic of Serbia.
Monitoring: Is the project M&E plan on track? What monitoring methods and sources of evidence are being/ have been used? Please attach any monitoring-related reports for the reporting period. *(1500 character limit)?*	The joint programme has a Joint M&E Plan as well as progress monitoring platform matrix, including definitions of indicators, baseline and target values. The platform matrix is populated every 6 months by national coordinators, using in-country reporting mechanisms. Additionally, joint entry and exit questionnaires for capacity building and dialogue events have been in use.
Evaluation: Provide an update on the preparations for the external evaluation for the project, especially if within last 6 months of implementation or final report. Confirm available budget for evaluation. *(1500 character limit)*	N/a
Catalytic effects (financial): Did the project lead to any specific non-PBF funding commitments? If yes, from whom and how much? If not, have any specific attempts been made to attract additional financial contributions to the project and beyond? *(1500 character limit)*	To support implementation of the life skills building programme for 160 young people, UNICEF in Serbia has decided to contribute with additional funds for the UPSHIFT programme. The amount of additional funds is 52,415.23 USD.
Catalytic effects (non-financial): Did the project create favourable conditions for additional peacebuilding activities by Government/ other donors? If yes, please specify. *(1500 character limit)*	This joint programme aspires to affect the creation and/or increase of government (regional) funding towards social cohesion and trust building projects among the three countries.
Exit strategy/ sustainability: What steps have been taken to prepare for end of project and help ensure sustainability of the project results beyond PBF support for this project? *(1500 character limit)*	Overall, almost all activities are implemented in close coordination and direct participation of government partners. The socio-emotional skills methodology is already mainstreamed both in formal and non-formal educational environments in Montenegro, and will continue even after PBF support ends. Further networking of young diplomats is embedded in the international school for young diplomats of the Montenegrin Ministry of

	Foreign Affairs. Partnership with universities in the three countries to design and deliver capacity building components for teachers and media will further contribute to sustainability of programme results and support collaboration across borders. In Serbia, UNICEF is cooperating with the Ministry of Education and OSCE on curriculum reform for civic education in secondary schools, which will have a lasting impact beyond the programme's duration.
Risk taking: Describe how the project has responded to risks that threatened the achievement of results. Identify any new risks that have emerged since the last report. *(1500 character limit)*	The busy political agenda of the key political stakeholders continues to be a high impact risk for the project. The Peace and Development Unit BiH and RC Offices are maintaining constant liaison to try and ensure the sufficient or necessary engagement to the extent possible.
Gender equality: In the reporting period, which activities have taken place with a specific focus on addressing issues of gender equality or women's empowerment? *(1500 character limit)*	Gender equality was a separate module as part of socio-emotional skills training for adolescents in Montenegro, while youth dialogues in BiH included a distinct module on gender equality (reflecting on the context within the country as well as UN framework). Similarly, the curricula on women's empowerment for leadership focuses on gender equality and participation, social cohesion, advocacy and project design and implementation.
Other: Are there any other issues concerning project implementation that you want to share, including any capacity needs of the recipient organizations? *(1500 character limit)*	Recognizing the complexity of joint multi-country and multi-agency projects, UNDP BiH developed the SOP document in the project design phase, which remains a living document to ensure coherence and consistency for joint project's operations. The RfP for Communications and PR Support services and RfP for Baseline/Endline survey are being advertised with some delay. The absence of a dedicated Communications/PR agency at the onset of the programme has been offset by strong coordination among UN agency communications staff; while it is planned to collect baseline data for all target groups, given that some (like adolescents and women) have already received some capacity building already in the programme.

1.3 INDICATOR BASED PERFORMANCE ASSESSMENT: *Using the **Project Results Framework as per the approved project document or any amendments**- provide an update on the achievement of **key indicators** at both the outcome and output level in the table below (if your project has more indicators than provided in the table, select the most relevant ones with most relevant progress to highlight). Where it has not been possible to collect data on indicators, state this and provide any explanation.* Provide gender and age disaggregated data. (300 characters max per entry)

	Performance Indicators	Indicator Baseline	End of project Indicator Target	Current indicator progress	Reasons for Variance/ Delay (if any)	Adjustment of target (if any)
Outcome 1 Stability and trust in the region, and especially in BiH, are enhanced.	Indicator 1.1 Rank of Bosnia and Herzegovina in the 2018 Global Peace Index.	89, out of 163 countries.	Improved ranking	Improved ranking, in 2019 BiH ranking has already improved – 81st - compared to the 2018 (89th) ranking when the project started. Source: http://visionofhumanity.org/app/uploads/2019/06/GPI-2019-web003.pdf	The programme recognizes that it has no significant attribution to this indicator; however, given the nature of this composite index (GPI investigates the extent to which countries are involved in ongoing domestic and international conflicts. It also seeks to evaluate the level of harmony or discord within a nation; 23 indicators are used to establish each country's peacefulness score), it was assessed as a useful reference for the programme.	
	Indicator 1.2 Percentage of youth indicating higher levels of trust towards other ethnic groups in the region.	Low overall level of trust between youth of different ethnicities	50% of surveyed youth, particularly in BIH (including youth who are direct project	n/a	Insufficient number of activities with youth in all countries to compile and evaluate comprehensive data. Baseline data will be available in early 2020.	

	Performance Indicators	Indicator Baseline	End of project Indicator Target	Current indicator progress	Reasons for Variance/ Delay (if any)	Adjustment of target (if any)
			beneficiaries) report increased trust toward members of other ethnicities			
	Indicator 1.3 Level of collaboration to address mistrust and social divides between citizens from different groups in the participating countries, with their peers in Bosnia and Herzegovina.	Low overall levels of cross-country collaboration addressing mistrust and social divides (BiH vis-a-vis neighboring countries).	Increased level of cross-country collaboration to address mistrust and social divides between citizens, manifested through at least 20 sustainable social cohesion partnerships generated as a	n/a	As above.	

	Performance Indicators	Indicator Baseline	End of project Indicator Target	Current indicator progress	Reasons for Variance/ Delay (if any)	Adjustment of target (if any)
			result of the programme.			
Output 1.1 Different groups in the countries of the region, and youth in particular, acquire and practise skills to help break stereotypes and constructively interact across divides.	Indicator 1.1.1 Number of people (teachers, youth, women, journalists and editors, sex- and gender-disaggregated) from participating 4 countries with increased knowledge and skills to bridge social divides.	Insufficient number of people (particularly teachers, youth and women) capacitated to support social cohesion in the region.	At least 1900, as follows: (i) 800 adolescents (10 – 18 years old); (ii) 800 young people (18 – 30 years old) among whom 50% women; (iii) 200 teachers, among whom 50% women and (iv) 120 journalists and editors	Skill building training for 867 adolescents and youth (including 287 boys and 580 girls), 60 teachers (42 women, 18 men) and 85 women.		
	Indicator 1.1.2 Number of stakeholders who apply the acquired skills and knowledge in their	n/a	At least 50% of all stakeholders (in various target groups) apply the	n/a	Information about this indicator progress will be available once all target groups have had at least one round of capacity building in each participating country.	

	Performance Indicators	Indicator Baseline	End of project Indicator Target	Current indicator progress	Reasons for Variance/ Delay (if any)	Adjustment of target (if any)
	follow-up work as a result of the programme support.		skills and knowledge acquired through the programme in their follow-up work.			
Output 1.2 Citizens from different groups jointly identify and implement actions that promote social cohesion in the region, especially in Bosnia and Herzegovina.	Indicator 1.2.1 Total number of people (particularly youth) from participating countries who meaningfully engage in and contribute to identification of social cohesion barriers and priorities for the 3 countries	600 people in the national platform events in Bosnia and Herzegovina.	At least 1,600 people (among whom at least 800 youth and women) from participating countries engage in and contribute to identification of regional social cohesion barriers and priorities.	1,079 participants (635 girls and women and 444 boys and men) from participating countries contributed to identification of social cohesion challenges and solutions within and among the three participating countries.	As above.	
	Indicator 1.2.2	n/a	At least 20 broad-based	10 youth and 2 national dialogue		

	Performance Indicators	Indicator Baseline	End of project Indicator Target	Current indicator progress	Reasons for Variance/ Delay (if any)	Adjustment of target (if any)
	Total number of dialogue platforms (gender balanced) bringing together political leaders and various stakeholders from the 4 participating countries in joint discussions on how to strengthen social cohesion in the region.		social cohesion dialogue platform events (gender balanced) bringing together political leaders and various stakeholders from the 4 participating countries.	events have taken place across the three participating countries.		
Output 1.3 Policy recommendat ions to improve social cohesion in the region are	Indicator 1.3.1 Number of effective advocacy channels (engaging equal numbers of both sexes) leveraging political and public support within	UN agencies in the participating countries have deployed successful advocacy efforts to	At least 4 inter-connected and mutually-reinforcing advocacy channels help leverage political and	n/a	As above.	

	Performance Indicators	Indicator Baseline	End of project Indicator Target	Current indicator progress	Reasons for Variance/ Delay (if any)	Adjustment of target (if any)
effectively advocated for, and endorsed by, authorities and relevant stakeholders.	participating countries for endorsement of social cohesion policy recommendations.	promote social cohesion.	public support for endorsement of social cohesion policy recommendations, including: (i) UN-led discussions with political leaders and policy-makers; (ii) regional dialogue platform; (iii) civil society			
	Indicator 1.3.2 Number of social cohesion policy recommendations voiced through the regional dialogue	n/a	At least 5 policy recommendations formally endorsed by authorities	n/a	As above.	

	Performance Indicators	Indicator Baseline	End of project Indicator Target	Current indicator progress	Reasons for Variance/ Delay (if any)	Adjustment of target (if any)
	platform that are endorsed by authorities and international community and contribute to their effective follow-up implementation.		and the international community.			
Output 1.4	Indicator 1.4.1					
	Indicator 1.4.2					
Outcome 2	Indicator 2.1					
	Indicator 2.2					
	Indicator 2.3					
Output 2.1	Indicator 2.1.1					
	Indicator 2.1.2					
Output 2.2	Indicator 2.2.1					
	Indicator 2.2.2					

	Performance Indicators	Indicator Baseline	End of project Indicator Target	Current indicator progress	Reasons for Variance/ Delay (if any)	Adjustment of target (if any)
Output 2.3	Indicator 2.3.1					
	Indicator 2.3.2					
Output 2.4	Indicator 2.4.1					
	Indicator 2.4.2					
Outcome 3	Indicator 3.1					
	Indicator 3.2					
	Indicator 3.3					
Output 3.1	Indicator 3.1.1					
	Indicator 3.1.2					
Output 3.2	Indicator 3.2.1					
	Indicator 3.2.2					
Output 3.3	Indicator 3.3.1					

	Performance Indicators	Indicator Baseline	End of project Indicator Target	Current indicator progress	Reasons for Variance/ Delay (if any)	Adjustment of target (if any)
	Indicator 3.3.2					
Output 3.4	Indicator 3.4.1					
	Indicator 3.4.2					
Outcome 4	Indicator 4.1					
	Indicator 4.2					
	Indicator 4.3					
Output 4.1	Indicator 4.1.1					
	Indicator 4.1.2					
Output 4.2	Indicator 4.2.1					
	Indicator 4.2.2					
Output 4.3	Indicator 4.3.1					
	Indicator 4.3.2					

	Performance Indicators	Indicator Baseline	End of project Indicator Target	Current indicator progress	Reasons for Variance/ Delay (if any)	Adjustment of target (if any)
Output 4.4	Indicator 4.4.1					
	Indicator 4.4.2					

PART 2: INDICATIVE PROJECT FINANCIAL PROGRESS

2.1 Comments on the overall state of financial expenditures

Please rate whether project financial expenditures are on track, delayed, or off track, vis-à-vis project plans and by recipient organization:

How many project budget tranches have been received to date and when do you expect to request the next tranche if applicable: 1st tranche received; 2nd tranche expected to be requested in the 1st quarter of 2020.

What is the overall level of expenditure/ commitment against the total budget and against the tranche(s) received so far: Overall: 818,247.69 (21%) against 1st tranche: 27%

If expenditure is delayed or off track, please provide a brief explanation (500 characters limit):

Please state what $ amount was planned (in the project document) to be allocated to activities focussed on gender equality or women's empowerment and how much has been actually allocated to date: $ 815,252 (planned) $318,486 (allocated);

Please fill out and attach the project document Excel budget Annex showing current project financial progress **(expenditures/ commitments to date),** using the original project budget table in Excel, even though the $ amounts are indicative only.