

Joint SDG Fund
 Template for the Joint Programme Annual Progress Report
SDG FINANCING PORTFOLIO – COMPONENT 1

Cover page

Reporting period: June 30 – December 31, 2020

Country: Benin, West Africa

Joint Programme (JP) title: Support the SDGs-based budgeting in Benin through fiscal space and efficiency enhancement

Short title: SDGs-based budgeting and programming

Start date: June/2020

End date: December/2021

RC: Salvator NYONZIMA

Government Focal Point: M. Hermann O. Takou, Chief of Staff, Ministry of Finance and Economy

Representative of Lead PUNO (name and agency): Mohamed Abchir, UNDP

List of PUNOs: UNECA; UNDP; UNICEF; UN RCO

RCO Main JP Focal Point: M. Aristide F. Djossou: aristide.djossou@un.org

Lead Agency Joint Programme Focal Point (name): Janvier P. Alofa: janvier.alofa@undp.org

Contact person for Joint Communications: Elsie ASSOGBA: elsie.assogba@undp.org

Yezael Adoukonou: yezael.adoukonou@un.org

Budget (Joint SDG Fund contribution): USD 836,000

Overall budget (with co-funding): USD 1,106,000

Annual Financial Delivery Rate: 99,60%

Rate of Committed Funding : 99,60 %

	Expenditures January 2020 - December 2020	Committed resources January 2020- December 2020	Transferred Funds January 2020- December 2020	Annual Financial Delivery Rate (%)	Committed Funding Rate(%)
UNDP	316738	316738	318000	99,60%	99,60%
UNECA	100000	100000	100000	100,00%	100,00%
UNICEF	0	0	0	0,00%	0

Short description of the Joint Programme:

UNDP, UNICEF, UN Economic Commission for Africa (ECA) in collaboration with the UN Resident Coordinator Office (RCO) are collaborating to develop a Benin specific model in order to promote budget alignment with SDG-based development planning and facilitate transparency and accountability for resources and development results. This joint initiative will support the development of integrated and comprehensive tool to effectively manage the programming/budgeting, the analysis and the monitoring of Public investments for the sustainability of the MTEF. In addition, the resources will be used to refine the SDGs costing and update the resource mobilization strategy , strengthen the establishment of integrated INFF and expand the model for budgeting analysis, to integrate broader development issues of the vulnerable groups (women, children, youth and displaced populations) with emphasis on SDGs 3, 4, 5, 6, 7, 12, 13, 14 and 15.

Executive summary:

The main results resulting from the implementation of the joint project during the year 2020 concern mainly to the implementation of programmatic and budgeting tools in line with the achievement of the SDGs. These include:

- i. the finalization of the DFA and the establishment of the Integrated National Financing Framework (INFF) of Benin with a view to better mobilize the resources for the achievement of the priority targets of the SDGs;
- ii. the establishment of Benin's ten-year SDG Acceleration Framework and its annual action plan;
- iii. development of the Manual on Agenda 2063 indicators and the climate and training of national experts on the IPRT tool (integrated planning and reporting of 2030 and 2063 Agendas);
- iv. review of the development management processes focusing on improving the design and implementation of planning, programming, budgeting and monitoring-evaluation documents at the decentralized level with a view to revitalize;
- v. a draft of the framework law on planning and development;
- vi. the diagnostic analysis of the general public investment management framework, the design and development of the PIP annual monitoring module in the public investment management platform (PGIP) and the updating of the status of the projects of the Public Investment Programme;
- vii. concerning the Budget, a diagnostic study of changes resulting from the deconcentration and distribution of the function of the principal authorizing officer within the meaning of Decree No. 2000-601 and Article 72 of the 2013 Organic Law on the Law on Finance (OLLF); the report on the implementation of a test model of the Medium Term Expenditure Framework (MTEF)/ Medium Term Budgetary Framework (MTBF) and cross tabulated tables of expenditure within the meaning of the Organic Law on the Law on Finance (LOLF) and the training of 40 executives from the General Budget Directorate on the content of the 2030 agenda and how it integrates into the budgeting process.

The main challenge related to the implementation of the project are the coordination at the level of the different government partners in the context of COVID 19 and the presidential elections scheduled for April 2021 which could affect the mobilization of Government partners. Mitigation measures such as the designation of essential personnel for the project, the programming of essential activities outside the electoral campaign period, have been taken in collaboration with the national authorities to ensure the continuity of the activity.

A. Annual Progress:

A.1 The overview of progress and challenges

A.1.1. Overall self-assessment

Provide a self-assessment on the overall JP progress in the last year.

- Above expectations (expected annual results fully achieved and additional progress made)
- On track (expected annual results achieved)
- Satisfactory (majority of expected annual results achieved)
- Not-satisfactory (majority of expected annual results not yet achieved)

The annual results expected for 2020 have been achieved and the main targets of the work plan have been realized. The process of refining the budgeting processes focusing on the implementation of the SDGs was strengthened. The public investment management framework was improved during the budgeting process of 2020. The SDGs Acceleration Framework and its decade action plan were put in place. In complement of the planned results, the DFA has been finalized and the INFF is strengthened.

A.1.2. Overall progress and key developments to date

Under the coordination of the Directors of Cabinets of the Ministry of Economy and Finance and the Ministry of Planning and Development, the Joint Program has made it possible to achieve a certain number of results through the mobilization of the various stakeholders in the resource mobilization chain as well as in the budgeting chain based on SDGs. Several national experts and consultants were mobilized to support the implementation of the project. The pandemic of the COVID 19 affected regular consultation and regularity of meetings of the Project Management Committee.

Despite the challenges in 2020, several results were achieved. The capacities of the actors in the budgeting chain to further the integration of the SDGs in the Public Investment Program were strengthened through the design of a new platform for Public Investment Program management, the setting of a new model of selecting projects in the public portfolio and the capacity building provided to the main actors. With the establishment of the DFA and the design of the INFF, budgetary spaces have been identified for the financing of SDGs. The framework for accelerating SDGs and its action plan were finalized as part of the Decade of Action in favor of SDGs.

A.1.3. Changes

Was the JP document modified in the past year?

- Yes
- No

A.1.4. Challenges

Did the JP face any major challenges in the past year?

- Yes
- No

During the reporting period, one of the major challenges the project faced was the failure to mobilize government resources in time and the context of COVID-19, which did not facilitate meetings and consultations with the various stakeholders. Mitigation measures taken in conjunction with the Government have focused on advocacy for effective resource mobilization and ensuring continuity of work in the context of COVID-19. With regard to consultation, the RCO has undertaken periodic virtual and face-to-face consultation sessions in relation to the context of COVID-19, in order to regularly review the implementation of activities by agency to ensure complementarity of actions in the field and knowledge sharing.

A.1.5. COVID-19 and other strategic alignment

The implementation of the Joint Program in the context of COVID 19 was marked by the limitation of the capacity building sessions to essential persons, the duplication of the different sessions to meet the hygiene and sanitary measures to prevent the spread of COVID 19 and the organization of virtual sessions. The key activities of the Joint Program have been integrated into the SERP, particularly at the level of the pillar on macroeconomic response and multilateral collaboration.

A.2 Update on priority issues

A.2.1. Progress on Integrated National Financing Framework/SDG financing building blocks

Implementation stages	Planned (0%)	Emerging (1-49% progress)	Advancing (50-99% progress)	Complete (100% progress)	Previously completed	Not applicable	Comments/ Notes
1. Inception phase	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	
2. Assessment & diagnostics	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	Development Finance Assessment study achieved; planning of the implementation Integrated National Financing Framework
3. Financing strategy	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	The Government has a strategy of mobilizing of resources which need to be merged with innovative finance and other sources of financing identified during the DFA 's process
4. Monitoring & review	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	A sound review will be held on the mechanism of reviewed of the national financing strategy

5. Governance & coordination	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	INFF coordination is strengthened around the Resource Mobilization Committee chaired by the Minister of State and including the Minister of Finance and the various development partners.
---	--------------------------	--------------------------	-------------------------------------	--------------------------	--------------------------	--------------------------	---

- Provide a brief description of the progress made during the reporting period.

During the reporting period, a Development Finance Assessment study was carried out and the Integrated National Financial Framework was strengthened. The main actions needed to accelerate the implementation of the SDGs are identified and national budget processes are strengthened and focused on the implementation of the SDGs.

A.2.2. Thematic focus

- Please select the thematic focus of your JP (select all that apply)

- | | | | |
|--|---|--|-------------------------------------|
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Cross-cutting | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Social protection | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Agriculture | <input type="checkbox"/> Other..... |
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Gender | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Health & nutrition | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Biodiversity | <input type="checkbox"/> Other..... |
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Children & youth | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Climate change & nature | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Blue economy | <input type="checkbox"/> Other..... |

A.2.3. Gender marker

Did your Joint Programme feature Gender Results in the past year at the outcome or output level?

- Yes
 No

Briefly explain:

The budgetary chain capacity building sessions focused on the integration of SDGs, and especially questions linked to gender in the process of the elaboration of the State’s General Budget and the Public Investments Portfolio.

- Please indicate the total average scoring of the JP’s gender marker as defined in the JP document.

Gender Marker total average scoring from 0 to 3 (as defined in the JP document): **2**

- Briefly explain how you applied the Gender Marker in the last year, and/or other ways in which you mainstreamed gender in JP implementation.

During the development of the new model for project selection and project portfolio management, the criteria for the analysis and the selection of projects include gender, gender equality and gender equity. In addition, the establishment of the DFA and INFF take account of gender equality and the fully participation of women to the process at all stage.

A.2.4. Vulnerable groups

Will the JP directly impact marginalized and/or vulnerable groups through a dedicated outcome or output?

Yes

No

- If yes, please indicate the dedicated outcome and/or output according to the table below.

List of marginalized and vulnerable groups	Dedicated Outcome	Dedicated Output	Status (planned/in progress/complete)
Women and girls	Efficiency, effectiveness, and equity of resources allocated to SDGs systematically improved; Additional financing leveraged to accelerate SDG achievement	<i>Design a model and platform to analysis, manage and monitor the new generation of PIP, disaggregated by gender, regions and localities and targeted left behind persons; action plan of Integrated Financing strategies for accelerating SDG progress Implemented, DFA</i>	Planned
Children	Efficiency, effectiveness, and equity of resources allocated to SDGs systematically improved; Additional financing leveraged to accelerate SDG achievement	<i>Design a model and platform to analysis, manage and monitor the new generation of PIP, disaggregated by gender, regions and localities and targeted left behind persons; action plan of Integrated Financing</i>	Planned

		<i>strategies for accelerating SDG progress Implemented, DFA</i>	
Youth	Efficiency, effectiveness, and equity of resources allocated to SDGs systematically improved; Additional financing leveraged to accelerate SDG achievement	<i>Design a model and platform to analysis, manage and monitor the new generation of PIP, disaggregated by gender, regions and localities and targeted left behind persons; action plan of Integrated Financing strategies for accelerating SDG progress Implemented, DFA</i>	Planned
Persons with disabilities	Efficiency, effectiveness, and equity of resources allocated to SDGs systematically improved; Additional financing leveraged to accelerate SDG achievement	<i>Design a model and platform to analysis, manage and monitor the new generation of PIP, disaggregated by gender, regions and localities and targeted left behind persons; action plan of Integrated Financing strategies for accelerating SDG progress</i>	Planned

		<i>Implemented, DFA</i>	
Older persons	Efficiency, effectiveness, and equity of resources allocated to SDGs systematically improved; Additional financing leveraged to accelerate SDG achievement	<i>Design a model and platform to analysis, manage and monitor the new generation of PIP, disaggregated by gender, regions and localities and targeted left behind persons; action plan of Integrated Financing strategies for accelerating SDG progress</i> <i>Implemented, DFA</i>	Planned
Minorities (incl. ethnic, religious, linguistic...)			
Indigenous peoples			
Persons of African Descent (when understood as separate from minorities)			
Migrants			
Refugees & asylum seekers			
Internally displaced persons			
Stateless persons			
Persons deprived of their liberty			
Peasants and rural workers			
Human rights defenders (incl. NGOs, journalists, union leaders, whistleblowers...)			
LGBTI persons (sexual orientation and gender identity)			
Persons affected by (HIV/AIDS, leprosy...)			
Persons with albinism			
Victims or relatives of victims of enforced disappearances			
Victims of (slavery, torture, trafficking, sexual exploitation, and abuse...)			

A.2.5. Learning and sharing

- Provide in bullet points the main highlights on JP learning and sharing. Please refer to any agency and/or south-south exchanges organized.

-

A.3 Update on events and partnerships

A.3.1. Events

- Indicate if you have organized any of the events below in person or virtually. If no, indicate in the comments if/when you plan to organize a launch event.

Type of event	Yes	No	Comments
JP launch event	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<i>Planned but not realized, due to COVID- 19 pandemic context</i>
Annual donors' event*	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	
Partners' event **	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<i>Planned for 2021</i>

*the Fund donor countries are Denmark, European Union, Germany, Ireland, Luxembourg, Monaco, Netherlands, Norway, Portugal, Spain, Sweden, Switzerland. Please note that this event can be held together with a launch event or partners' event.

** Key advocacy outreach events with high level JP partners.

A.3.2. Partnerships

Did your Joint Programme facilitate engagement with diverse stakeholders (e.g. parliamentarians, civil society, IFIs, bilateral/multilateral actor, private sector)?

- Yes
 No

Provide in bullet points the main highlights on JP partnerships and with whom. Refer only to the most important strategic partnerships and/or to the alignment with broader UNCTs partnership approach.

- National administration:** Office of the Head of State, Ministry of Finance and Economy Ministry of Planning and Development, Sectoral Ministries
- Private sector:**
- Civil society
- Donors

A.3.3. Additional financing

Did your Joint Programme secure additional co-funding or co-financing resources in 2020 (committed after the approval of the JP by the Joint SDG Fund) from government, IFIs, PUNOs and other partners?

- Yes
 No

- If yes, provide details according to the table below.

Source of funding	Yes	No	Co-funding or Co-financing?	Name of organization	Amount (USD)	Comments
Government	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>				<i>It's planned for 2021</i>
Donors/IFIs	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>				
Private sector	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>				
PUNOs	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<i>Co-financing</i>	<i>UNDP</i>	<i>52000.00</i>	
Other partners	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>				

A.4 Strategic communications

Did the JP develop a strategic communications plan?

Yes

No

A specific communication plan for the project has not been developed, however the project communication actions are managed by the RCO and the participating PUNOs in accordance with the United Nations communication strategy in Benin. Key messages and key results related to the project are prepared for publication to a wide audience

B. Annual Results (MAXIMUM 3 PAGES)

- *Section B should provide specific information on the achievement of expected annual results and workplan, following up on the broader progress presented in the part A.*

B.1. SDG acceleration

List up to 3 main SDG targets that your Joint Programme primarily focused in 2020.

SDG 16: targets 16.4, target 16.5 and target 16.7

SDG 17: targets 17.1, target 17.2 and target 17.3

SDG:

SDG:

- *Briefly explain the activities implemented in 2020 to accelerate progress towards these SDGs, in line with the JP's Theory of Change for SDG acceleration.*

During 2020, as part of the implementation of actions under the Decade of Action for SDGs, the JP contributed to the establishment of the Benin SDGs Acceleration Framework and its action plan. This framework identified bottlenecks and key measures to accelerate SDGs. In addition, the monitoring and implementation process of the Public Investment Program has been refined to better orient public investments toward achieving the SDGs.

B.2. Contribution to Fund's global results

- *Fill out Annex 1-1 and Annex 1-2 and provide below a short narrative on how the JP contributed to the Fund's global Outcome and Output last year. Please refer to the targets written in the JP ProDoc for the last year. If you haven't set a target for the global Outcome and Output of the Fund in the submission of your JP ProDoc, please do so in Annex 1-1 and Annex 1-2.*

The implementation of Joint Program activities has improved the efficiency, effectiveness and equity of the resources allocated to SDGs and the integration of financial policy and planning functions, processes and systems. Also, the operationalization of the Ten-Year SDG Acceleration Framework and its action plan will have a major impact in achieving progress on the priority targets set for the country.

- *In case you are not able to derive a target for the Outcome please suggest 01 alternative Outcome and explain your suggestion below. This question is part of a work in progress to revise the Joint SDG Fund's M&E framework which might be modified in 2021.*

In 2021, the joint program activities will help the government of Benin achieved the legal, institutional and policy framework leading to attract sustainable, transparency, accountable and technical and process-related capacities of key actors from public, but also from private side strengthened are projected (skills to manage the new financing instruments). PPBS chain staff will be trained on the new financing instruments

B.3. JP Outputs and outcomes

- Fill out Annex 1-3 and provide brief narrative on the implementation of JP-specific outcomes and outputs. While annual results might refer mostly to output-level results, they might also include contribution to outcome-level results.
 - Achievement of outputs

1. Undertake a public Investments Programming (PIP) and private investments assessment to identify the current status and projects of the resource allocation efficiency, effectiveness and equity and suggest roadmap of key programming solutions to be taken forward is 80% achieved
2. The design a model and platform to analyzes, manage and monitor the new generation of PIP is achieved
 - Achievement of outcomes
 1. The efficiency, effectiveness and equity of resources allocated to SDGs systematically improved is achieved
 2. The Planning and finance policy functions, processes and systems are integrated is achieved

B.4. Strategic Documents

Did the JP produce strategic documents in the last year (policy, strategies, analyses/assessments, methodological documents, and other relevant documents)?

- Yes
 No

- *Fill out Annex 2 and provide brief explanation on the most important documents developed.*

SDGs Decade of Action DA-ODD

SDGs Decade of Action action plan Plan d'action CDA ODD

C. Plan for the Next Year of implementation (MAXIMUM 2 PAGES)

- *Section C builds upon part A and B to describe the approach planned for the next year (1 January 2021 to 31 Dec 2021) and towards the end of JP implementation. Explain the most important risks and mitigation measures (an updated risk matrix will be presented in the Annex 4).*

C.1 Upcoming activities

- What are JP’s priority activities in the annual work plan (1 Jan 2021 – 31 Dec 2021)?
 1. Conduct diagnostic and analyze the consistency between planning and budgeting processes integrating gender;
 2. Realize a study to identify the financing mechanisms (PPP, PSD, SIB, ...) adapted to social sectors (education, health, social affairs) and the segments that cannot be opened to the private sector;
 3. Continue INFF advocacy and implementation
 4. Support the implementation of the Public Expenditure Review and Financial Accountability Assessment Program (PEFA)
 5. Conduct capacity building on PFM’s tools
 6. Develop a fiscal policy building on the Sustainable Development Goals
 7. Conduct capacity building of national and local actors on innovative financing instruments

- Provide a brief narrative about the JP’s specific expected annual results (outputs and outcomes) for 1 Jan 2021 – 31 Dec 2021.

Upcoming activities 2021 will help the Joint Program’s achieving the output 3.2; 3.1 of the outcome 3; output 2.1 of the outcome 2

C.2. Expected changes

- Indicate if you expect any further modifications to the work plan, budget, Theory of Change, results framework of the JP between 1 Jan 2021 – 31 Dec 2021.

These upcoming activities are in fact the proposed solutions for two problems: gaps in accountability and transparency of budgeting capacity analysis. Expected changes are the same as at the beginning of the Joint Program: strengthened policies and capacities for investing in prioritized sectors and improved efficiency, effectiveness and equity of resources allocated that accelerate the SDGs targets.

C.3. Risks and mitigation measures

Are there any changes made/expected to be made to the Risk Matrix?

- Yes
- No

- Fill out Annex 4 and briefly describe the main risks and respective mitigation measures taken/planned.

C.2. Expected changes

No change to the work plan is planned for 2021

C.3. Risks and mitigation measures

Are there any changes made/expected to be made to the Risk Matrix?

Yes

Annex 1: Consolidated Annual Results

1. JP contribution to global programmatic results (annual)

- Provide data for the Joint SDG Fund global results (as per targets defined in the JP document).

Joint SDG Fund Global Outcome 2: Additional financing leveraged to accelerate SDG achievement (Update table below)

Indicators	Target 2020	Result 2020	Target 2021
2.1: Ratio of financing for integrated multi-sectoral solutions leveraged in terms of scope (for other/ additional sector/s or through new sources/means)			
2.2: Ratio of financing for integrated multi-sectoral solutions leveraged in terms of scale (for the same multi-sectoral solution.)			

Joint SDG Fund Global Output 4: Integrated financing strategies for accelerating SDG progress implemented (Update table below and provide details as requested)

Indicators	Target 2020	Result 2020	Target 2021	List of strategies/ frameworks developed
4.1: #of integrated financing strategies that were tested (disaggregated by % successful / unsuccessful)				
4.2: #of integrated financing strategies that have been implemented with partners in lead disaggregated by (1) government/public partners (2) civil society partners and (3) private sector partners				
4.3: # of functioning partnership frameworks for integrated financing strategies to accelerate progress on SDGs made operational				

2. Selected global performance indicators (annual)

- Provide data for aggregation at the global level of the Joint SDG Fund.

2.1. Did your Joint Programme contribute to the improvement of the overall UNCT coherence in 2020?

- Yes, considerably contributed
 Yes, contributed
 No

Explain briefly:

2.2. Did your Joint Programme contribute to reduced transaction costs for the participating UN agencies in interaction with national/regional and local authorities and/or public entities compared to other Joint Programmes?

- Yes,
 No
 N/A (if there are no other joint programmes in the country)

Explain briefly:

2.3. Was your Joint Programme aligned with the UNCT Results Groups in 2020?

- Yes
 No

Explain briefly:

3. Results as per JP Results Framework (annual)

- Present annual JP results in the following template

Result / Indicators	Baseline	Expected 2020 Target	2020 Result	Reasons for variance from planned target (if any)	Expected 2021 target	Expected final target (if different from 2021)
Outcome 1: Efficiency, effectiveness and equity of resources allocated to SDGs systematically improved						
Outcome 1 indicator: percentage of public resources allocated to social and priority sectors	32.5 (2019)	36.7	44,80		44,80	
Outcome 1 indicator: Rate of execution of social and priority	86.3 (2018)	95	76,62		95	

spending for vulnerable persons and those left behind						
Output 1.1 1. Undertake a public Investments programming (PIP) and private investments assessment to identify the current status and projects of the resource allocation efficiency, effectiveness and equity and suggest roadmap of key programming solutions to be taken forward						
Output 1.1 indicator: PIP evaluation report validated	NO	Yes Partially	Yes	Available of the consultants and actors involved, respect of the deadlines.	Yes	
Output 1.1 indicator: roadmap is developed for programming solutions	NO	Yes	Yes	Respect of deadlines.	Yes	
Output 1.2: 1. Design a model and platform to analysis, manage and monitor the new generation of PIP						
Integrated PIP analysis and management model designed	NO	Yes	Yes	Respect of deadlines.	Yes	
PPBS chain staff are trained on PIP monitoring platform	0%	20%	80 % (DGPSIP?)	Availability the consultants and actors involved, respect of the deadlines.		
Outcome 2: planning and finance policy functions, processes and systems are integrated						
Implementation of the integrated development financing framework	Yes partially	Yes	Yes partially		Yes	
Output 2.1 The national development plan is costed, and suggested financing solutions are proposed through the SDG financing strategy						
The evaluation National Development plan costing	NO	Yes Partially	No		Yes Partially	
The national strategy for financing the SDG is finalized	NO	Yes Partially	No		Yes Partially	
Output 2.2: The governance mechanisms that align policies and annual budgets to the national development plan are strengthened; this work implies building effective budgeting, budget execution systems						
New format of MTEF based on SDG is established	NO	Yes Partially	Yes partially		Yes	
Outcome 3: Strengthened policies and capacity for investing in social and priorities sectors						
Rate of increase in investment spending in social and priority sectors based on the SDGs						

Output 3 1: Legal, institutional and policy framework leading to attract sustainable, transparency, accountable are improved						
PEFA evaluation report (including chapter on gender equality) prepared	The last available report is for 2014	Yes partially	NO	Terms of reference were prepared, and consultants recruited	Yes	
Output 3 2: Technical and process-related capacities of key actors from public, but also from private side, are strengthened (skills to manage the new financing instruments)						
PPBS chain staff are trained on the new financing instruments	0	66	75		100	
Number of private sector actors trained on the new financing instruments	0	100	60		100	
Number of NGO trained on the new financing instruments	0	10	4		10	

Annex 2: List of strategic documents

- Complete the tables by focusing on documents that are of particular strategic importance for the JP results and for the priorities of the Joint SDG Fund in this portfolio.

1. Strategic documents that were produced by the JP

Title of the document	Date (month; year) when finalized	Brief description of the document and the role of the JP in finalizing it
Ten-Year Framework of Action for Accelerating the Implementation of SDGs in Benin: 2021-2030	November, 2020	This report was initiated by the Government in response to the conclusions of the special section organized by the Secretary General of the United Nations and the IMF on the issue of SDGs financing, on the sidelines of the United Nations General Assembly of September 2019, the financing of SDGs for the coming decade is a national concern with strong involvement of all stakeholders, both national and international. With a view to proposing a framework for catching up on the backlog observed in the implementation of SDGs and meeting the needs of the poor and vulnerable segments of the population, Benin has opted to intensify

		efforts and find solutions to accelerate measures to help fill the systemic gaps identified during the summary assessment of the implementation of the 2030 Program.
Report of the Diagnostic Analysis of the General Framework for the Management of Public Investments.	October, 2020	The results of this study helped to identify the strengths and weaknesses of the public investment management processes and to propose scenarios to correct possible weaknesses for an effective and efficient management of public investments.
Design and development of the PIP annual monitoring module in the public investment management platform (PGIP)	December, 2020	The design and development of the PIP annual monitoring module in the public investment management platform (PGIP) which has enabled, among other things, the integration of the dynamic database, monitoring of PIP/non-PIP projects, monitoring of indicators and management of the uniqueness of project identifiers.
Portfolio review report of the public investment program from 2016 to 2020.	October, 2020	The Portfolio Review Report of the 2016-2020 Public Investment Program provided a thorough and methodical review of the implementation of PIP projects to assess their development impacts and then monitor them based on quarterly PIP progress reports, summary reports of joint monitoring tours of PIP worksites in collaboration with all ministries, and performance reports of development projects and programs prepared from 2016 to 2020, as well as reviews of parcel projects.
Business Process Review of grassroots development in Benin	December, 2020	The review aims to improve the design and implementation of planning, programming, budgeting, and monitoring-evaluation documents at the decentralized level to boost the local economy. The report of the review has made it possible to identify operational recommendations for the creation and effective implementation of the permanent framework for regular consultation between central and local actors in relation to local development and its effective management ; operational recommendations for capacity building of grassroots development actors. The report also defined the methodological approach for aligning and considering in the CDPs the national and sectoral orientations, in terms of strategic planning at the national level, the implementation of tools for applying this methodology.
Report of the Diagnostic study of the changes resulting from the decentralization and distribution of the function of the principal authorizing officer within the meaning of decree n°. 2000-601 and article 72 of the OLLF from 2013.	November, 2020	Among other things, this report has made it possible to identify avenues for evaluation aimed at a detailed analysis of procedures and their overall consistency and the role played by each actor with regard to the missions assigned to them in the expenditure execution chain; innovations in expenditure execution resulting from the provisions of the OLLF; and to define guidelines for sample budget execution instruments; to have an action plan specifying reform

		measures, deadlines, responsibilities, cost/benefit ratio, monitoring indicators, implementation conditions and risk or success factors; to identify proposals for additional texts for better application of the measures and to train actors on the new provisions.
Report on the implementation of a test model of the global MTEF and cross-tabulation of OLLF expenditures.	November, 2020	This report analyzed the MTEF process and proposed the new model based on the different concepts of the OLLF. The scope of the MTEF is known, with the specific types of expenditures, the classifications used and their degree of aggregation, as well as the structure of the budget envelopes and allocations, and the relationship between the MTEF and the DPPD. Mock-ups of cross-tabulated matrix tables of expenditure classifications are available and training for budget actors is provided.

2. Strategic documents for which JP provided contribution

Title of the document	Date (month; year) when finalized	Brief description of the document and the role of the JP in finalizing it
Report on the training of managers of the General Budget Directorate on the content of the 2030 agenda and the process of its operationalization in Benin (SDGs)	December, 2020	This training helped 40 officials from the General Budget Department to familiarize themselves with the 2030 agenda and its SDGs with a view to guaranteeing the sensitivity of the State budget to SDGs.

Annex 3: List of strategic communication documents

- *Provide the responses to the questions below with data for the last year overall.*

1. Have you created a strategic communication plan for the Joint Programme?

Yes

No

Explain briefly:

2. What percentage of the annual budget towards communications was utilized from the total budget? (Note that the entire JP comms budget must be min 5% of the total JP budget)

Explain briefly:

3. Have visibility outcomes increased due to the provided funding for JP strategic communications?

Yes

No

Explain briefly:

4. Does the Country Profile Page on the Joint SDG Fund website contribute to your JP outreach?

Yes

No

Explain briefly:

5. How many articles (interviews, human interest stories, press releases, expert insights, etc.) about your JP were published by an external media outlet (Non-UN published)?

Total number: 2

Explain briefly: Press releases are published at the national validation of SDG Acceleration Framework

6. How many articles (interviews, human interest stories, press releases, expert insights, etc.) about the Joint Programme were published by the UNCT and JP PUNOs?

Total number: 0

Explain briefly: Planned for 2021

7. Have you received an increase of social media followers?

Yes

No

Total number: (Not mandatory)

Explain briefly:

Multi-Media Faucets

- Complete the table by focusing on most important strategic communication documents (factsheets, promotional materials, infographics, videos, etc.). Provide hyperlinks when possible.

Title of the document	Date when finalized (MM/YY)	Brief description and hyperlink (if it exists)

Social Media Campaigns

- Complete the table by focusing on the highest social media impressions or campaigns. Provide hyperlinks when possible.

Title of the document	Type (FB/Twitter/LinkedIn/Etc.)	Brief description and hyperlink (if it exists)

Annex 4: Updated JP Risk Management Matrix

- Update the table from your JP document with the most recent analysis of risks and identification of mitigation measures. This should support the narrative update on the risks from the part C of the main report.

Risks	Risk Level: (Likelihood x Impact)	Likelihood: Certain - 5 Likely - 4 Possible - 3 Unlikely - 2 Rare - 1	Impact: Essential - 5 Major - 4 Moderate - 3 Minor - 2 Insignificant - 1	Mitigating measures	Responsible Org./Person
Contextual risks					
The organization of presidential elections in 2021 can disrupt the good and timely delivery of planned activities	12	3	4	Reducing this risk by defining, in conjunction with national recipient structures, periods to accelerate activities before and after the appropriate periods defined for the organization of elections	National Director of program; implementing agent
Programmatic risks					
Unavailability of specific profiles of consultants, in particular for in-depth analysis of and design of platform and other specific aspects of programming	3	3	1	Organize a specific profile consultant identification session at the beginning of the program	Focal point and National Director of the program and Team leaders
Institutional risks					
Delay in the process of identifying providers	2	1	2	Identify in time the facilities for engagement with the procurement department	Focal point of the program at the Agency Lead
Fiduciary risks					
Bad estimated of some activities, costs	6	3	2	Acceptance: forecasting some resource reserves of up to 15% of the program's budget	Focal point of the program at the agency Lead
Untimely allocation of resources by UN agencies	6	3	2	High-level advocacy with the heads of UN agencies	United Nations System Coordinator

Unavailability of government resources on time	6	3	2	High advocacy commitment to the highest authorities of the Government to make the resource available	United Nations System Coordinator and Lead Agency of the Program
--	---	---	---	--	--