****

**PBF PROJECT progress report**

**COUNTRY:** Tuvalu, & Republics of Kiribati & of the Marshall Islands

**TYPE OF REPORT: semi-annual, annual OR FINAL**

**YEAR of report:** 2020

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **Project Title:** Climate Security in the Pacific  **Project Number from MPTF-O Gateway:** | |
| **If funding is disbursed into a national or regional trust fund:**  Country Trust Fund  Regional Trust Fund  **Name of Recipient Fund:** | **Type and name of recipient organizations:**  **UNDP (Convening Agency)**  **IOM** |
| **Date of first transfer:**21/7/20  **Project end date:** June 2022  **Is the current project end date within 6 months?** NO | |
| **Check if the project falls under one or more PBF priority windows:**  Gender promotion initiative  Youth promotion initiative  Transition from UN or regional peacekeeping or special political missions  X Cross-border or regional project | |
| **Total PBF approved project budget (by recipient organization):**  **Recipient Organization Amount**  UNDP $2,567,630.00  IOM $ 632,370.00        $        $  Total: $ 3,200,000.00  Approximate implementation rate as percentage of total project budget: 0.001%  \*ATTACH PROJECT EXCEL BUDGET SHOWING CURRENT APPROXIMATE EXPENDITURE\*  **Gender-responsive Budgeting:**  Indicate dollar amount from the project document to be allocated to activities focussed on gender equality or women’s empowerment: Yet to start  Amount expended to date on activities focussed on gender equality or women’s empowerment: n/a | |
| **Project Gender Marker: 1**  **Project Risk Marker: 1**  **Project PBF focus area: 2.3** | |
| **Report preparation:**  Project report prepared by: Winifereti Nainoca  Project report approved by: Kevin Petrini  Did PBF Secretariat review the report: | |

***NOTES FOR COMPLETING THE REPORT:***

* *Avoid acronyms and UN jargon, use general /common language.*
* *Report on what has been achieved in the reporting period, not what the project aims to do.*
* *Be as concrete as possible. Avoid theoretical, vague or conceptual discourse.*
* *Ensure the analysis and project progress assessment is gender and age sensitive.*
* *Please include any COVID-19 related considerations, adjustments and results and respond to section IV.*

**PART 1: OVERALL PROJECT PROGRESS**

Briefly outline the **status of the project** in terms of implementation cycle, including whether preliminary/preparatory activities have been completed (i.e. contracting of partners, staff recruitment, etc.) (1500 character limit):

The delay in the recruitment is due to the general lack of capacity within the Pacific Island Countries PICs) exacerbated by COVID 19. The posts were advertised and the applicants lacked capacity (qualifications & relevant experience) in Tuvalu. As a result, the positions were re-advertised, with the same results. The Tuvalu counterpart has been requested to headhunt for this position in Tuvalu. For RMI, the same lack of capacity exists and the team is working directly with the Climate Change Directorate to find a solution that suites the needs not only of the project of the NDA. Additionally, IOM has IOM Marshall Islands on the ground and projectize on PBF and liaising with government.

Fortunately, the Coordinator for Kiribati has been given an offer letter, whilst the interviews for the Finance Associate has been completed and the successful candidate has been identified. These 2 staff should be able to commence before the end of 2020.

On the Project Manager recruitment, the written tests are being scored currently and the interviews are tentatively set for Wed, 25 November.

There was a successful launch of the project at the Grand Pacific Hotel attended by the diplomatic corp, regional agencies and various Climate Change/Environmental NGOs in Fiji. The event was also used as a dialogue/discussion on the climate security agenda by the three atoll leaders and the regional stakeholders.

On the day of the launch, the three countries also conducted the country dialogues after the launch facilitated by the country coordinators on climate challenges.

Meanwhile, plans are underway for a few activities to be done immediately without waiting for the international project manager before the end of the year.

Please indicate any significant project-related events anticipated in the next six months, i.e. national dialogues, youth congresses, film screenings, etc. (1000 character limit):

With the Project staff fully on board within the next 2 months, it is expected that a lot of the activities will commence, especially in country.

FOR PROJECTS WITHIN SIX MONTHS OF COMPLETION: summarize **the main structural, institutional or societal level change the project has contributed to**. This is not anecdotal evidence or a list of individual outputs, but a description of progress made toward the main purpose of the project. (1500 character limit):

N/A

In a few sentences, explain whether the project has had a positive **human impact**. May include anecdotal stories about the project’s positive effect on the people’s lives. Include direct quotes where possible or weblinks to strategic communications pieces. (2000 character limit):

Project yet to commence on the ground

**PART II: RESULT PROGRESS BY PROJECT OUTCOME**

*Describe overall progress under each Outcome made during the reporting period (for June reports: January-June; for November reports: January-November; for final reports: full project duration). Do not list individual activities. If the project is starting to make/has made a difference at the outcome level, provide specific evidence for the progress (quantitative and qualitative) and explain how it impacts the broader political and peacebuilding context.*

* *“On track” refers to the timely completion of outputs as indicated in the workplan.*
* *“On track with peacebuilding results” refers to higher-level changes in the conflict or peace factors that the project is meant to contribute to. These effects are more likely in mature projects than in newer ones.*

*If your project has more than four outcomes, contact PBSO for template modification.*

**Outcome 1:**

**Rate the current status of the outcome progress:**

**Progress summary:** *(3000 character limit)*

Project yet to commence on the ground

**Indicate any additional analysis on how Gender Equality and Women’s Empowerment and/or Youth Inclusion and Responsiveness has been ensured under this Outcome:** *(1000 character limit)*

Project yet to commence on the ground

**Outcome 2:**

**Rate the current status of the outcome progress:**

**Progress summary:** *(3000 character limit)*

In RMI project team has meet with the Climate Change Directorate and the Til e Tile o committee to discuss project deliverables at a national level to begin coordination with other projects and activities. While the PIU is being fully staffed, IOM RMI has agreed with Climate Change Directorate to meet regularly about the project and finalize national PBF activities with other National Adaptation Plan activities. This will be achieved through regular updates at the National Adaptation Plan Working Group meetings.

**Indicate any additional analysis on how Gender Equality and Women’s Empowerment and/or Youth Inclusion and Responsiveness has been ensured under this Outcome:** *(1000 character limit)*

Project yet to commence on the ground

**Outcome 3:**

**Rate the current status of the outcome progress:**

**Progress summary:** *(3000 character limit)*

Project yet to commence on the ground

**Indicate any additional analysis on how Gender Equality and Women’s Empowerment and/or Youth Inclusion and Responsiveness has been ensured under this Outcome:** *(1000 character limit)*

Project yet to commence on the ground

**PART III: CROSS-CUTTING ISSUES**

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **Monitoring:** Please list monitoring activities undertaken in the reporting period (1000 character limit)  Project yet to commence on the ground | Do outcome indicators have baselines?  Has the project launched perception surveys or other community-based data collection? Project yet to commence on the ground |
| **Evaluation:** Has an evaluation been conducted during the reporting period?  Project Project to commence on the ground | Evaluation budget (response required):  If project will end in next six months, describe the evaluation preparations *(1500 character limit)*: N/A |
| **Catalytic effects (financial):** Indicate name of funding agent and amount of additional non-PBF funding support that has been leveraged by the project. Project in very early stages and this has yet to happen | Name of funder: Amount: |
| **Other:** Are there any other issues  concerning project implementation that you want to share, including any capacity needs of the recipient organizations? *(1500 character limit)* | As is usual of PICs projects, the first 4 (approximately) months is usually involved in advertising the positions as there is a general lack of capacity within the PICs. |

**PART IV: COVID-19**

*Please respond to these questions if the project underwent any monetary or non-monetary adjustments due to the COVID-19 pandemic.*

1. Monetary adjustments: Please indicate the total amount in USD of adjustments due to COVID-19:

$ Yet to implement activities

1. Non-monetary adjustments: Please indicate any adjustments to the project which did not have any financial implications:

Yet to implement activities

1. Please select all categories which describe the adjustments made to the project (*and include details in general sections of this report*):

Yet to implement activities

Reinforce crisis management capacities and communications

Ensure inclusive and equitable response and recovery

Strengthen inter-community social cohesion and border management

Counter hate speech and stigmatization and address trauma

Support the SG’s call for a global ceasefire

Other (please describe):

If relevant, please share a COVID-19 success story of this project (*i.e. how adjustments of this project made a difference and contributed to a positive response to the pandemic/prevented tensions or violence related to the pandemic etc.*)

Project yet to commence implementation on the ground

**PART V: INDICATOR BASED PERFORMANCE ASSESSMENT**

*Using the* ***Project Results Framework as per the approved project document or any amendments****- provide an update on the achievement of* ***key indicators*** *at both the outcome and output level in the table below (if your project has more indicators than provided in the table, select the most relevant ones with most relevant progress to highlight). Where it has not been possible to collect data on indicators, state this and provide any explanation.* Provide gender and age disaggregated data. (300 characters max per entry)

|  | **Performance Indicators** | **Indicator Baseline** | **End of project Indicator Target** | **Indicator Milestone** | **Current indicator progress** | **Reasons for Variance/ Delay**  **(if any)** |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **Outcome 1** | Indicator 1.1  Outcome Indicator 1 a  # of countries demonstrating progress towards establishing cross governmental recommendations/mechanisms on addressing climate security | Baseline: 0 | Target:3 countries | National coordinators are operational | One country (Kiribati) out of the 3 National Coordinators have been given the offer letter.  In RMI, IOM is working closely with government to facilitate this.  In Tuvau, head hunting is going on to fill the vacancy. | **Lack of capacity on the ground in PICs** |
| Indicator 1.2  Extent of CANCC members understanding of regional and national climate security issues | Baseline:TbD | Target: 90% | Technical advisor is operational | **TOR being finalized by PIF** |  |
| Indicator 1.3  Extent of PIFS members understanding of regional and national climate security issues | TbD |  | Technical Advisor is operational | **TOR being finalized by PIF** |  |
| Output 1.1 | Indicator 1.1.1  # of countries with a coordination mechanisms informing the direction on critical climate security issues | **0** | **3** | * ToR are advertised * Institutional arrangements are finalized to anchored NC in proper Gvt position | **-** | **Project yet to commence on the ground** |
| Output Indicator 1.1.2  Number of countries with country driven & cross governmental priorities including gender priorities on critical climate security issues established  Baseline: 0  Target: 3 countries | 0 | 3countries  \*Baseline and Targets to be disaggregated by country | Consultations and dialogue are undertaken | **-** | **Project yet to commence on the ground** |
| Output 1.2 | Indicator 1.2.1  Number of countries adopting the recommendations on permanent support to the CANCC | **0** | **3**  \*Baseline and target to be disaggregated by country | * TOR for post are published   Contractual arrangements are finalized | **-** | **Project yet to commence on the ground** |
| Indicator 1.2.2  Extent of which the Position paper for strengthening the CANCC partnership with the UN is validated and disseminated | **0** | Position paper for strengthening the CANCC partnership with the UN is validated and disseminated | Consultations are undertaken | **-** | **Project yet to commence on the ground** |
| Output 1.3 | Indicator 1.3.1  Extent of PIFs capacity in coordinating regional support towards informing BOE declaration action plan with climate security matters (scale) | **0** | Regional support and collaboration gauged amongst key stakeholders on establishing regional understanding of climate security and contribution to Boe Declaration Action plan | Coordination group is established | **-** | **Project yet to commence on the ground** |
| Indicator 1.3.2  The extent of which the action plan for the implementation of the Boe Declaration integrated climate security recommendations | **0** | Action plan for the implementation of the Boe Declaration integrated climate security recommendation  \*Baseline and target to be disaggregated by country | Consultations and agreements on the priorities actions with stakeholders | - | **Project yet to commence on the ground** |
| **Outcome 2** | Indicator 2.1  Percentage of national stakeholders who consider that the security threats linked to climate change for their country are clear and mitigation measures have been identified (disaggregated by gender) | **TBD** | **80%**  \*Baseline and target to be disaggregated by country, sex and age groups.  80%  Percentage of women and youth who consider their needs are reflected in the assessment and mitigation measures. | Consultations & dialogues | - | **Project yet to commence on the ground** |
| Output 2.1 | Indicator 2.1.1  number of countries with gender-senstive Climate security Profiles established | **0** | 3  \*Baselines and targets to be disaggregated by country. |  | **-** | **Project yet to commence on the ground** |
| Indicator 2.1.2  Number of policy and management frameworks developed, adjusted or updated at national and regional levels. | **0** | 3 per country  \*Baselines and targets to be disaggregated by country | Review of strategic policies potentially relevant for the review | **None** | **Project yet to commence on the ground** |
| Indicator 2.1.3  Number of gender-responsible country profiles | **0** | 3  \*Baseline and Targets to be disaggregated by country | Minutes of meetings  Consultations and dialogues | **None** | **Project yet to commence on the ground** |
| Output 2.2 | Indicator 2.2.1 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Indicator 2.2.2  Number of inclusive dialogue and outreach arrangements undertaken per country | **0** | **3 per country** |  | **None** | **Project yet to commence on the ground** |
| Indicator 2.2.3  Number of participants, disaggregated by sex and age who have participated in the dialogues | **0** | Total number per country 500  Percentage of 50% women  Percentage of 50% youth | Key groups are identified  High number of participants | **None** | **Project yet to commence on the ground** |
| Output 2.3 | Indicator 2.3.1  Number of gender-sensitive initiatives selected per country addressing climate security priority | **0** | 1  \*Baseline to be disaggregated by country | Consultations with national stakeholders  Agreement on priority initiatives | **None** | **Project yet to commence on the ground** |
| Indicator 2.3.2  Percentage, disaggregated, who consider that the pilots have significantly improve the capacities of the community to deal with climate security issues | **0** | 70%  \*Baseline and targets to be disaggregated by country, sex and age | **Survey partner identified** | **Not yet** | **Project yet to commence on the ground** |
| Indicator 2.3.3  Number of documented lessons learned highlighting pilot interventions in addressing climate security priorities | **0** | 10  \*Baselines and targets to be disaggregated by country | Interviews of stakeholders are conducted with proper representation of women and youth | **None** | **Project yet to commence on the ground** |
| Output 2.4 | Indicator 2.4.1  Extent of which PCSN is established and demonstrated effective partner collaboration, information sharing and exchanges | **0** | PCSN is established and demonstrated effective partner collaboration, information sharing and exchanges  \*Baselines and targets to be disaggregated by country | Members of network are identified  ToR of network approved | **Not yet** | **Project yet to commence on the ground** |
| Indicator 2.4.2  Percentage of women members of the PCSN | **0** | **40%** |  | **None** | **Project yet to commence on the ground** |
| Indicator 2.4.3  Extent of Options paper on the sustainability options for the PCSN adopted by partners & received buy in for additional funding | **0** | PCSM established, adopted with some financial support | Consultations are undertaken | **None** | **Project yet to commence on the ground** |
| Indicator 2.4.4  1 deep dive assessments on regional issues are produced | **0** | **1** | Agreement of the priority issues to be assessed | **None** | **Project yet to commence on the ground** |
|  | Indicator 2.4.6  % of participants to the regional forum who consider that their understanding of the issue has improved and that the plan of action is clear | **0** | **75%**  \*Baseline and targets to be disaggregated by gender and country | Agreement on the issue to be subject of dialogue | **None** | **Project yet to commence on the ground** |
| **Output 2.5** | Indicator 2.5.1  Extent of Regional Climate Security Risk Assessment Framework incorporating climate change, human security, inclusivity (including gender and youth issues), and traditional security as relevant | **0** | Regional Climate Security Risk Assessment Framework incorporating climate change, human security, inclusivity, and traditional security established in line with the Principles of the Framework for Resilient Development in the Pacific | **Consultations at the regional level** | **None** | **Project yet to commence on the ground** |
| Indicator 2.5.2  % of stakeholders who consider that the Risk Assessment properly addresses the risks link to climate change | **0** | 80%  \*Baselines and targets to be disaggregated by sex and country | Consultations & diaogues | **None** | **Project yet to commence on the ground** |
| Indicator 2.5.3  Number of national, regional and global reports and frameworks informed by the Pacific climate security findings | **0** | At least 3 including the UN Conceptual approach to climate-related security risk assessments. | Consultations at regional level  List of opportunities drafted | **None** | **Project yet to commence on the ground** |
| **Outcome 3** | Indicator 3.1  Percentage of country representatives and project stakeholders that consider that the Pacific Islands are better equipped to advocate in international fora. | TBD | 80%  \*Baselines and Targets to be disaggregated by country and sex | Regional position cleared  Tools are developed | **None** | **Project yet to commence on the ground** |
| Indicator 3.2  Percentage of country and regional representatives who considered that the project has increased the visibility of climate security on global fora | **0** | 80%  \*Baselines and targets to be disaggregated by country and sex | Regional position cleared  Tools are developed | **None** | **Project yet to commence on the ground** |
| Indicator 3.3  Number of Pacific Atoll Islands Leaders’ statements advocating at the global level **combatting climate change and addressing its impact on peace and security**. | **TBD for 2020** | At least three in 2021 and 2022 |  | **None** | **Project yet to commence on the ground** |
| Output 3.1 | Indicator 3.1.1  Number of countries with established country level strategies on climate security informed by climate security profiles | **0** | 3  Baselines and targets to be disaggregated by country | National Consultations | **None** | **Project yet to commence on the ground** |
| Indicator 3.1.2  Number of CANCC members countries using the Security Profiles (SP) to advocate positions on climate security at global fora | **0** | **3** CANCC members are advocating on the SP position on climate security at global fora | National and regional strategies are available | **None** | **Project yet to commence on the ground** |
| Output 3.2 | Indicator 3.2.1  Number of countries with Resourcing strategies established (1 per country and a regional one)informed by national climate security profiles | **0** | **3** \*Baseline and targets to be disaggregated by country |  | **None** | **Project yet to commence on the ground** |
| Indicator 3.2.2  1 good practice document on integrated approaches to address climate security challenges at regional and global levels | **0** | **1** | National multi stakeholders consultations | **None** | **Project yet to commence on the ground** |
| Indicator 3.3.1  Number of countries negotiating inclusion of loss and damage issues faced by Pacific countries and atoll countries across the region and internal for a readiness on how to address loss and damage in the Pacific. | **0** | **3** | National strategies are available | **None** | **Project yet to commence on the ground** |