

MULTI-DONOR TRUST FUND FOR UN ACTION AGAINST SEXUAL VIOLENCE IN CONFLICT

PROJECT PROPOSAL SUBMISSION FORM

Part A. Meeting Information (To be completed by the UN Action Secretariat)			
RMC Meeting No: RMC-008	Project ¹ No: 008 (extension)		
Date of Meeting: November 1, 2010 Part B.	RMC members in attendance at meeting: Mari Matsumoto (MDTF), Maura Heron and Gillian Holmes (UN Action), Maha Muna (UNFPA), Claudia Garcia Moreno (WHO), Mendy Marsh (UNICEF), Kate Burns (OCHA), Roma Bhattacharjea (UNDP) provided comments in writing Project Summary		
(To be completed by the	ne Participating UN Organization)		
Date of Submission: October 27, 2010	Participating UN Organization(s): UNICEF (lead) and OCHA, UNIFEM and DPA		
Focal Point of the Participating UN Organization(s): Name: Mendy Marsh, UNICEF Kate Burns, OCHA	Project Title: Strengthening prevention of sexual violence in conflict with parties to armed conflict (Phase I)		
Telephone: Marsh 212 824 6313; Burns 917 367 9002 Email: mmarsh@unicef.org, burns@un.org	Project Location(s): New York based and at least one mission to the DRC		
UN Action pillar of activity: Advocacy Knowledge building Support to UN system at country level	Projected Project Duration: 9 months		
Proposed project, if approved, would result in: New Project Continuation of previous funding Other (explain)	Total Project Budget: US \$ _79,324 Amount of MDTF funds requested: US \$ _79,324		
Request for extension of timeframe until March 31, 2011. The project is moving forward as expected, but due to delayed recruitment of the consultant and due to challenges related to travel and security related issues there is need to extend the project to ensure that the field work required for phase I field is carried out	Percentage of indirect support costs from MDTF contribution:		

¹ The term "project" is used for projects, programmes and joint programmes.



Projected Annual Disbursements:	2009	2010	2011	
	\$15,000	\$ 64,324	\$	
Projected Annual Commitments:	2009	2010	2011	7 1
Trojected Annual Commitments.	\$15,000	\$64,324	\$	

Narrative Summary

I. Overview

This project aims to develop a set of tools and approaches for engaging with parties to conflict, and calling on them to respect international law and to stop using rape and other forms of sexual violence as a weapon of warfare. The project has three phases:

Phase 1: UNICEF will engage a Consultant to conduct desk research to catalogue who (international, national and local actors) interacts with and influences parties to conflict and more particularly non-state armed groups. This will be followed by a compilation of examples of other areas or themes when interactions with non-state armed groups led either to a positive or negative outcome. This will examples from work to stop child recruitment or abductions, access issues, safe days for vaccinations, and HIV work. This desk research will also include an analysis of what is *known* about the motivations for utilizing sexual violence sexual violence in conflict. The consultant's work under phase one will also include one field mission to the DRC to work with technical research experts and non-state actors on the development of a methodology to collect information on motivations related to the use of sexual violence in conflict.

Phase 2: Field support to assist field actors in one or two locations to collect more in-depth information on motivations for sexual violence in conflict, through a variety of key informant interviews and other field research techniques. This information will then be used in collaboration with field actors to develop messages and approaches that can be used in discussions with parties to conflict that will help in the design of messages that can be used to stop the use of sexual violence in conflict. This will include development of and use of research methodologies to will help determine the motivations for the use of rape as a weapon of war.

Phase 3: Development of tool kit for "influencers" of parties to conflict on how to develop effective messaging and approaches to working with armed groups to persuade them to stop using sexual violence as a weapon of war. This phase will include the piloting of messages and approaches to use with non-state actors to prevent sexual violence in war.

This proposal focuses on phase I of this project and has the broad objective of broadening the understanding of how to influence the perpetrators of sexual violence to stop utilizing sexual violence as a tactic of war. This project will build on the manual that was produced by OCHA in January 2006: Humanitarian Negotiations with Armed Groups: A Manual for Practitioners, and the work that UNICEF is already doing on sexual violence in conflict. For example, in collaboration with members of Monitoring and Reporting Task Forces that have been set up in 14 conflict-affected countries to monitor and report on the six grave violations against children (including sexual violence) (Security Council Resolutions 1612/1882), UNICEF is already engaging non-state actors in the development of action plans



to stop the recruitment of children into fighting forces. In addition, this work will assist in building alliances with the Geneva Call² and the Center for Humanitarian Dialogue.³ Lastly, this proposal will also lay the foundation for phase II, which will include having the consultant make one field visit to DRC in collaboration with one-to-two technical research experts to work with field actors (UN, government and non-state actors, e.g. rebel groups, NGOs, faith based institutions) on the development of a methodology for the collection of more in-depth information on motivations for sexual violence in conflict.

The outcomes of phase I include:

- 1. An inventory of influencers of various parties to conflict.
- 2. A catalogue of current approaches and entry points (based on work done in other similar areas) for interaction with non state armed groups which are specifically relevant to sexual violence in armed conflict.
- 3. An analysis of what is *known* about the motivations for utilizing sexual violence sexual violence in conflict.
- 4. Defined research methodology for the collection of information on motivations for sexual violence in conflict and target countries identified phase II.

II. Proposal's compliance with UN Action's Strategic Framework

- a. This proposal falls under the Knowledge Building Pillar "Map examples of positive interactions with Non-State actors, with a view to developing preventive diplomacy strategies and guidance OCHA and UNICEF to lead, supported by UNIFEM and DPA."
- b. Explain how the proposal enhances UN system coordination and joint programming. Generating a common understanding of what works with regard to engaging non-state actors on the prevention of sexual violence in conflict will foster more effective intervention across the UN in conflict countries.
- c. Explain how the UN, governmental organizations, NGOs, and other key stakeholders will be engaged throughout implementation of the proposal. All of the work undertaken through this project will be done in coordination with the UN Action network and the GBV AoR Working group, which includes over 60 members (UN and local and international NGOs). These interactions will include group and one-on-one discussions and email exchanges with both UN Action focal points and GBV AoR members.
- d. Explain how the proposal would strengthen the capacity of national institutions to deal with conflict-related sexual violence. The outcomes of phase I of this project will lead to greater understanding of what the key entry points are for working with non-state actors in the prevention of sexual violence in conflict, and this information will eventually be used to assist national institutions to better understand what approaches may be most effective in terms of engaging non-state actors.

III. Success criteria and means of evaluating results

² Geneva Call is a neutral and impartial humanitarian organization dedicated to engaging armed non-State actors (NSAs) towards compliance with the norms of international humanitarian law (IHL) and human rights law (IHRL). The organization focuses on NSAs that operate outside effective State control.

³ The Center for Humanitarian Dialogue is an independent organization that attempts to improve the global response to armed conflict by mediating between warring parties. The ultimate goal of its work is to reduce the consequences of violence conflict, improve security, and contribute to peace building.



- a. Explain how the Participating UN Organisation(s) submitting the proposal have the institutional capacity to successfully achieve the proposed objectives. UNICEF and OCHA have country offices throughout the world, with a number of them being in countries currently affected by conflict. In addition, they also have individuals at the headquarters level who will monitor the progress of the project and be accessible to the individuals working on this project.
- b. Describe the overall management structure of this project. UNICEF and OCHA have dedicated staff at the headquarters level who will monitor the progress of the project, and who will be readily available to the consultants hired under this project.
- c. Explain how the proposal will be monitored and evaluated. UNICEF and OCHA will provide overall project guidance in coordination with the UN Action Focal Points and key members of the GBV AoR Working Group.

IV. Budget

- a. The budget includes the consultant fees, their travel to DRC with 2 technical research experts, and travel to Geneva to meet with members of Geneva Call and the Center for Humanitarian Dialogue. This work was not forecasted by UNICEF or OCHA, thus, the funds are not available by November/December 2009.
- b. The consultant will be based at UNICEF Headquarters and make at least one field mission to DRC.

Part C. Initial Review of Proposal (To be completed by the UN Action Secretariat)	
(a) Is the project explicitly linked to the UN Action Strategic Framework?	Yes No 🗌
(b) Is the project effective, coherent, and cost-efficient?	Yes 🔀 No 🗌
(c) Does it avoid duplication and significant overlap with the activities of other UN system entities?	Yes No 🗌
(d) Does it build on existing capacities, strengths and experience?	Yes 🛛 No 🗌
(e) Does it promote consultation, participation and partnerships and agree with the existing country coordination mechanism?	Yes No
(f) Is the Project Proposal Submission Form fully completed?	Yes 🛛 No 🗌
(g) Is the Budget in compliance with the standard format?	Yes 🛛 No 🗌
(h) Is the indirect support cost within the approved rate?	Yes 🛛 No 🗌
Part D: Decision of the Resource Management Committee (to be completed by the RMC Chairnerson)	

	Part D: Decision of the Resource Management Committee (to be completed by the RMC Chairperson)
5. Deci	sion of the Resource Management Committee
	Approved for a total budget of US \$79,324 (no cost extension)
	Approved with modification/condition
	Deferred/returned with comments for further consideration



Rejected	•
Comments/Justification: This is a mo-cost extension	to 31 March 2011
Chairperson of the Resource Management Comm	nittee
C. GARCIA -MORENO Name (Printed)	
Cyania Movenu E Signature	8 Nov. 2010.
	ative Agent Review e UNDP MDTF Office)
6. Action taken by the Executive Coordinator, Mu	ulti-Donor Trust Fund Office, UNDP
Project consistent with provisions of the Standard Administrative Arrangements with donors	e RMC Memorandum of Understanding and the s.
Bisrat Aklilu Executive Coordinator Multi-Donor Trust Fund Office, UNDP	
Signature	Date



ANNEX A. 3



MULTI-DONOR TRUST FUND FOR UN ACTION AGAINST SEXUAL VIOLENCE IN CONFLICT

FUND SIGNATURE PAGE

(Note: Please attach to the Project Proposal Submission Form)

Participating UN Organization: UNICEF	Focal Point of Participating UN Organization:		
•	Name: Mendy Marsh and Kate Burns		
and OCHA	Address: UNICEF New York, OCHA New York		
	Telephone: Marsh: 212 824 6313 Burns: 917 367 9002		
	Email: mmarsh@unicef.org; burns@un.org		
Project Number: (to be allocated by the	Project Duration: 6 months		
UNDP MDTF Office)			
, · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	Estimated Start Date: December 1, 2009		
Project Title: Strengthening prevention of	Project Location(s): New York and DRC		
sexual violence in conflict with parties to			
armed conflict			
Total Project Cost: US \$ 79,324			
MDTF: US \$ 79,324			
Other: US \$			
GRAND TOTAL: US \$79,324			
GRAND TOTAL: US \$79,324			
4	+ +		
Total Amount Approved: US\$	nen for a no-cost extension		
Total Amount Approved: US\$ Agreement for a no-cost extension to 31 March 2011			
/o Signature	Date Name/Title		
Participating UN	,		
Organisation:	12/NOV/2010 Monda NAKSIF		
00 - 1-	CiBV Specialist		
RMC Chairperson: Urnce Morce	12/NOV/2013 Mendy NAKSIH (1BV Specialist NOV. 2010 C. GARCIA - MORENO/ Coord. WHO		
	Coard, WHO		







MULTI-DONOR TRUST FUND FOR UN ACTION AGAINST SEXUAL VIOLENCE IN CONFLICT

PROGRAMME BUDGET FORM

PROGRAMME BUDGET*			
CATEGORY	AMOUNT		
1. Supplies, commodities, equipment and transport			
2. Personnel (staff, consultants and travel)	Consultant Fees: \$9,000 by 6 months: \$54,000 Travel for 3 people to DRC \$16,287 (Airfare DRC: \$3,500; terminal expenses \$129; DSA \$360 x five days = \$1,800: total \$5,429) Travel for meetings to Geneva (e.g. meetings with Geneva Call and the Center for Humanitarian Dialogue) x 2 persons: \$3,848 (Airfare: \$700; terminal expenses \$129; DSA \$365 x 3 days=\$1,095: Total \$1,924)		
3. Training of counterparts	1		
4. Contracts			
5. Other direct costs			
Total Programme Costs	\$74,135		
Indirect Support Costs**	7% to UNICEF: \$5,189		



TOTAL		\$79,324

* Based on the UNDG Harmonized Financial Reporting to Donors for Joint Programmes approved in 2006. Definition of the categories can be

found in the instruction which is available on www.undg.org.

** Indirect support cost should be in line with the rate or range specified in the Fund TOR (or Programme Document) and MOU and SAA for the particular MDTF.