MULTI-DONOR TRUST FUND FOR UN ACTION AGAINST SEXUAL VIOLENCE IN CONFLICT PROJECT PROPOSAL SUBMISSION FORM

	Meeting Informate by the UN Action			
RMC Meeting No: 005	Project ¹ No: 011			
Date of Meeting: 8 March 2010	RMC members in attendance at meeting: Maha Muna (Acting Chairperson); Anne-Kristen Treiber (UNIFEM); Joanina Karugaba (UNHCR); Claudia Garcia Moreno (WHO), submitted written comments.			
(To be completed by	 Project Summar the Participating U 			
Date of Submission: 3 March 2010	Participating UN Organization(s): Department of Peacekeeping Operations (DPKO) Participating UN Organization receiving funds: Office of the Special Representative of the Secretary-General on Sexual Violence in Conflict			
Focal Point of the Participating UN Organization(s): Name: Alain Le Roy Title: Under-Secretary-General, DPKO	Project Title: Implementation of Operative Paragraph 4 of Security Council Resolution 1888			
DC-1, Room 1526 New York, NY 10017 Tel: +1.212.963.8079 e-mail: aleroy@un.org	Project Location(s): New York			
UN Action pillar of activity: X Advocacy Knowledge building X Support to UN system at country-level	Projected Proje 4 Months: 1 Mar	ect Duration: rch to 30 June 2010		
Proposed project, if approved, would result in: X New Project Continuation of previous funding Other (explain)	Total Project Budget: US \$2,357,422 (estimated budget for 1 year) Amount of MDTF funds requested: US \$ 637,602 Percentage of indirect support costs from MDTF contribution: US \$41,712 (7%)			
Projected Annual Disbursements:	2010 \$ 637,602	\$ 2011	\$ 2012	
Projected Annual Commitments:	2010	\$ 2011	\$ 2012	

¹ The term "project" is used for projects, programmes and joint programmes.



Part C. Initial Review of Proposal (To be completed by the UN Action Secretariat)	
(a) Is the project explicitly linked to the UN Action Strategic Framework?	Yes X No
(b) Is the project effective, coherent, and cost-efficient?	Yes X No 🗌
(c) Does it avoid duplication and significant overlap with the activities of other UN system entities?	Yes X No 🗌
(d) Does it build on existing capacities, strengths and experience?	Yes X No
(e) Does it promote consultation, participation and partnerships and agree with the existing country coordination mechanism?	Yes X No 🗌
(f) Is the Project Proposal Submission Form fully completed?	Yes X No 🗌
(g) Is the Budget in compliance with the standard format?	Yes X No 🗌
(h) Is the indirect support cost within the approved rate?	Yes X No
Part D: Decision of the Resource Management Committee (to be completed by the RMC Chairperson)	
5. Decision of the Resource Management Committee Approved for a total budget of US\$ Approved with modification/condition for a total budget of US\$637,602 Deferred/returned with comments for further consideration Rejected	,
Comments/Justification: Project and budget is in line with decisions made at the Steering Committee meeting designated approval for use of up to 25% of available MDTF balances to support the cuntil such time that regular funds could be made available. Balance in the MDTF was of the project review, so proposal was less than the \$1mm threshold. Unused balance MDTF. (This funding supplements the already approved UN Action secretariat staffing	office of the SRSG \$4mm at the time will be returned to
 Approval is subject to the following conditions: Annex A.8 is revised to reflect budgetary categories set out in the UNDG ex Harmonized Financial Reporting to Donors in Joint Programming; Unforeseen costs not budgeted for in this proposal, in regards to the Office of 30 June 2010, will be paid for by the Department of Peacekeeping Operations. 	
In addition there was a suggestion that the Terms of Reference developed by the Te Staff/Senior Policy Advisor within the Office of the SRSG are circulated to the UN A consultative purposes in order to compliment efforts undertaken by the network thus far	Action network for
Relationship of the SRSG office and UN Action network was clarified during discussipelled out further by SRSG herself.	ssions and will be



Chairperson of the Resource Management Com	mittee

Name:	
Maha Muna (UNFPA)	
Acting Chairperson	10 March 2010
Signature	Date
	rative Agent Review the UNDP MDTF Office)
6. Action taken by the Executive Coordinator, M	ulti-Donor Trust Fund Office, UNDP e RMC Memorandum of Understanding and t
6. Action taken by the Executive Coordinator, M Project consistent with provisions of the	ulti-Donor Trust Fund Office, UNDP e RMC Memorandum of Understanding and t



ANNEX A. 3

MULTI-DONOR TRUST FUND FOR UN ACTION AGAINST SEXUAL VIOLENCE IN CONFLICT FUND SIGNATURE PAGE

(Note: Please attach to the Project Proposal Submission Form)

Participating UN On Department of Peac (DPKO)	3 ()	Focal Point of Participating UN Organization receiving funds: Name: Jun Yamazaki Title: Assistant Secretary-General, Controller Address: DC-2, Room 520, New York, NY 10017 Telephone: 917-367-9949 Email: yamazaki@un.org			
Project Number: (to UNDP MDTF Office		Project Duration: 4 Estimated Start Date			
Project Title:		Project Location(s):			
Implementation of Operative Paragraph 4 of Security Council Resolution 1888		New York			
Total Project Cost:	US \$ 2,357,422 (estimated)	oudget for 1 year)			
MDTF: US \$ 637,60 Other: US \$ GRAND TOTAL: U					
Total Amount Appr	oved: US \$				
Focal Point of Participating UN Organization receiving funds:	Name/Title Alain Le Roy Under-Secretary-Genera Peacekeeping	Date 25 February 1 for 2010	Signature A- L-7		
RMC Chairperson:	Maha El-Muna (U Actina Chairperson	10 March 2010	J. E. Mor		

MULTI-DONOR TRUST FUND FOR UN ACTION AGAINST SEXUAL VIOLENCE IN CONFLICT PROGRAMME¹ BUDGET FORM

PROGRAMME BUDGET*			
CATEGORY	AMOUNT		
1. Supplies, commodities, equipment and transport	\$ 43,280		
2. Personnel (staff, consultants and travel)	\$ 390,600		
3. Training of counterparts	\$ 25,000		
4. Contracts	\$ 10,800		
5. Other direct costs	\$ 126,210		
Total Programme Costs	\$ 595,890		
Indirect Support Costs**	\$ 41,712		
TOTAL	\$ 637,602		

^{*} Based on the UNDG Harmonized Financial Reporting to Donors for Joint Programmes approved in 2006. Definition of the categories can be found in the instruction which is available on www.undg.org.

^{**} Indirect support cost should be in line with the rate or range specified in the Fund TOR (or Programme Document) and MOU and SAA for the particular MDTF.

 $^{^{1}\,}$ The term "programme" is used for projects, programmes and joint programmes.



OSRSG for Sexual Violence in Conflict Funding for 4 months

Personnel costs			Monthly	First	Next
No.	Level		Rate	4 months	8 months
1	USG	Special Representative	35,000	140,000	280,000
1	D-1	Senior Policy Officer	24,900	99,600	199,200
1	P-4	Programme Officer	18,500		148,000
1	P-4	Programme Officer	18,500		148,000
1	P-3	Coordination Officer	15,300	-	122,400
1	P-3	Communications/Outreach Officer	15,300	61,200	122,400
1	GS	Personal Assistant to the USG	8,100	32,400	64,800
1	GS	Administrative Assistant (EO)	8,100	32,400	64,800
8				365,600	1,149,600
Consultants				-	24,000
Travel of staff				25,000	50,000
Meetings/confere	ences			25,000	50,000
Rental of premise (\$14,300 per staff		; no provision for EO support required)		57,200	42,900
Alterations/impro	vement	s			
USG (\$72,500 per	staff; or	ne-time cost)		24,170	48,330
D-1 (\$44,500 per s				14,800	29,700
support)	er statt; o	one-time cost; no provision for EO		27,700	55,300
				66,670	133,330



Office furniture

USG (\$31,700 per staff; one-time cost)	9,850	21,850
D-1 (\$9,500 per staff; one-time cost) (\$7,500 per staff; one-time cost; no provision for EO support	3,170	6,330
required)	12,500	25,000
	25,520	53,180
Rental of photocopier (\$790 per month)	3,160	6,320
Commercial communications (\$1,400 per new staff per year)	2,340	7,500
Communications equipment	4,000	4,800
IT equipment		
Desktop (\$1,300/computer	5,200	5,200
Network printer	3,000	-
Digital sender	2,400	-
	10,600	5,200
IT maintenance (SLA A; \$2,160 per user per year)	10,800	6,480
Sub-total	595,890	1,719,820
Programme support costs (7%)	41,712	
Total	637,602	1,719,820



Narrative Summary:

Background:

By its resolution 1888 adopted on 30 September 2009, the Security Council requested the Secretary-General to "appoint a Special Representative to provide coherent and strategic leadership, to work effectively to strengthen existing United Nations coordination mechanisms, and to engage in advocacy efforts, inter alia with governments, including military and judicial representatives, as well as with all parties to armed conflict and civil society, in order to address, at both headquarters and country level, sexual violence in armed conflict, while promoting cooperation and coordination of efforts among all relevant stakeholders, primarily through the inter-agency initiative "United Nations Action Against Sexual Violence in Conflict."

On 31 January 2010, at the 14th African Union Summit in Addis Ababa, the Secretary-General announced his intention to appoint Ms. Margot Wallstrom as his Special Representative on Sexual Violence in Conflict. Ms. Wallstrom is expected to:

- Provide coherent and strategic leadership on the issue of sexual violence in conflict and post-conflict situations, in particular in countries with UN peacekeeping operations, raising global and national awareness, and catalyzing and mobilizing actions to prevent and respond to sexual violence;
- Serve as an independent advocate to address the issue vis-à-vis a range of interlocutors, most notably with civilian and military leaders, parties to armed conflict, force commanders and troop and police contributing countries;
- Strengthen existing UN coordination mechanisms, and promote cooperation and coordination of efforts among all relevant stakeholders, primarily through UN Action against Sexual Violence in Conflict.

SCR 1888 further requests the Secretary-General to deploy a team of experts to situations of particular concern to assist national authorities to strengthen the rule of law and combat impunity. The SRSG is expected to liaise closely with the team of experts to implement the measures called for in SCR 1820.

The SRSG is expected to take up her functions on 1 March 2010.

Goals and objectives:

This project is intended to support on an interim basis, the efforts of the Secretary-General to implement Security Council resolutions 1820 and 1888, principally through the immediate establishment and staffing of the Office of the Special Representative of the Secretary-General (OSRSG) on Sexual Violence in Conflict. It is intended to ensure that the SRSG can begin to implement her mandate as derived from SCR 1820 and 1888 and the commitments of the Secretary-General as contained in his Report to the Council on implementation of SCR 1820 (S/2009/362). The project will support the operations of OSRSG over a four-month period (March-June 2010) pending allocation of funding from the regular budget of the United Nations by the General Assembly for longer-term support to the OSRSG.

Key strategies, expected results and intended beneficiaries:



On behalf of the Secretary-General, the SRSG is expected to assume primary responsibility for follow-up to the provisions of SCR 1820 and 1888. The SRSG will work to reinforce internal UN efforts from Headquarters to the field, and from planning to implementation of mandates, to prevent and respond to sexual violence. The SRSG will in partnership assist States in their primary responsibility to prevent sexual violence, protect individuals, combat impunity and provide redress to victims. This project is intended to avoid delays in making the SRSG and her Office operational pending longer-term more permanent arrangements by the General Assembly. The efforts of the SRSG will contribute to achieving the overall objectives in the UN Action Strategic, most importantly raising global, regional and national awareness of sexual crimes in conflict and post conflict situations and catalysing actions to eradicate these violations of human rights and will serve as a resource to reinforce UN country-level efforts, including in the context of peacekeeping operations.

Core expected results are more coherent, comprehensive and effective UN processes on sexual violence; and greater commitment and efforts on the part of States to prevent and respond to sexual violence in conflict and post-conflict situations, with particular focus on combating impunity, providing protection and redress to individuals at risk or having suffered sexual violence.

The intended beneficiaries are first and foremost individuals at risk or victims of sexual violence. The SRSG and her Office will work with inter-governmental partners such as the Security Council, United Nations partners at Headquarters and the field (utilising existing resources such as the UN Action against Sexual Violence in Conflict Network), Governments, civil society and other actors.

Geographic location(s) of the proposal and/or countries addressed:

The Office of the Special Representative of the Secretary-General will be based at UN Headquarters in New York. However, in accordance with Resolutions 1820 (2008) and 1888 (2009), the Special Representative will act as a roving ambassador, undertaking high-level advocacy and diplomatic interventions with civilian and military leaders and the parties to armed conflict. She is expected to undertake her first country mission to the DRC in April 2010. The SRSG and her staff will undertake country missions to conflict and post-conflict situations on the Council's agenda, to regional organisations and donor countries, and will attend meetings and conferences of relevance to the exercise of the SRSG's mandate.

Proposal's compliance with UN Action's Strategic Framework:

This project falls under two main pillars of the UN Action Strategic Framework: (1) Support to UN System efforts at country-level; and (2) advocacy. With regards to the former, the Special Representative will assume responsibility for coordinating UN system-wide follow-up to the resolutions and commitments in the Secretary-General's report, and he/she will report directly to the Secretary-General.

Enhancing UN system coordination and joint programming:

It is widely recognised that the UN System is carrying out numerous activities on sexual and gender-based violence across all of its main work areas of peace and security; human rights; humanitarian affairs and development. However, in order to maximise results, coordination of efforts needs to be improved with a



view to avoiding duplication, ensuring complementary and comprehensive responses, and keeping the focus on achieving real benefits for the intended beneficiaries, i.e., from prevention to protection and empowerment, and prosecution of violators. In order to implement the parts of her mandate aimed at strengthening UN efforts to prevent and respond to sexual violence, the Special Representative will need to work in close cooperation with UN system partners in the Secretariat and across agencies, funds and programmes. In this regard, the Special Representative will also assume responsibility for chairing UN Action against Sexual Violence in Conflict, in consultation with its Steering Committee. In the field, the Special Representative will advocate and coordinate on issues within her mandate with heads of integrated missions, exercising her functions in close consultation with, and in a manner complementary to and supportive of, the authority of the head of mission and senior leadership in the performance of their duties and delivery of mandates of peacekeeping operations and UN country teams.

Engaging the UN, governmental organizations, NGOs, and other key stakeholders in establishing the strategic priorities of the OSRSG:

The SRSG will consult with various stakeholders to agree on the main strategies and working methods of the OSRSG. She will meet with Security Council Members, UN officials and bodies, Government representatives and civil society actors, experts and institutions, troop and police contributing countries amongst others. She is expected to be consulted should other SRSGs, the Emergency Relief Coordinator, the High Commissioner for Human Rights, the Special Rapporteur on Violence against Women, and the Chairperson(s) of UN Action decide to provide additional briefings and documentation on sexual violence in armed conflict to the Security Council.

Strengthening the capacity of national institutions to deal with conflict-related sexual violence:

The SRSG and her Office will provide advice on the issues; determine - in consultation with partners - the most appropriate responses and strategies in this regard; harness resources to support national efforts; and assist with monitoring and reporting, including on obstacles and progress in preventing and responding to sexual violence in armed conflicts and their aftermath.

Success criteria and means of evaluating results:

This project will be measured against the following criteria for the interim four month period:

- Effective establishment of the OSRSG; and
- Foundation laid to support the full-scale operations of the OSRSG, including activities and partnerships.

Institutional capacity of the Participating Organisation to successfully achieve the proposed objectives:

DPKO has been closely engaged in follow-up to SCR 1820 which was adopted in June 2008. Per instructions from the EOSG, DPKO led the drafting of the Secretary-General's Report to the Security Council on implementation of SCR 1820, on behalf of the UN System, and was active in the process leading up to the adoption of the follow-up resolution 1888, on 30 September 2009. DPKO is providing support to the SRSG both substantively and administratively. Its Executive Office, which also supports



the OSRSG-CAAC and PBSO, will provide administrative support to the establishment and smooth functioning of the OSRSG on Sexual Violence in Conflict.

Project implementation will be monitored, evaluated and reported on, including to the Security Council as per SCR 1820 and 1888. Concrete indicators will include staffing of the OSRSG, mission travel, physical location, and activities until the end of the project.

Budget:

The budget for this proposal is attached. A formal proposal will be submitted to the General Assembly for funding of the OSRSG from the regular budget. The Fifth Committee meets in May, and it is anticipated that a decision will be taken only at the end of May or in early June. Thus, MDTF bridging funds are sought from 1 March to 30 June 2010.