

### ANNEX A.2

# MULTI-DONOR TRUST FUND FOR UN ACTION AGAINST SEXUAL VIOLENCE IN CONFLICT PROJECT PROPOSAL SUBMISSION FORM

Part A. Meeting Information (To be completed by the UN Action Secretariat)			
RMC Meeting No: UNA014	Project <sup>1</sup> No: UNA	.023	10.00
Date of Meeting: 28 October 2011	RMC members in attendance at meeting: Sarah Douglas, Natalie Mann, Veronica Birga, Kate Burns, Mendy Marsh, Gillian Holmes, Laura Martin		, Kate Burns,
Part B. (To be completed by the	Project Summary he Participating UN	Organization)	27
Date of Submission: 28 September 2011	Participating UN Organization(s): Office of the SRSG for sexual violence in conflict		in conflict
		<b>Organization receiv</b> te SRSG for sexual vi	
Focal Point of the Participating UN Organization(s): Name: Nancee Bright Address: OSRSG-SVC New York	Ū	sultant on sexual vio	
Telephone: 212-963 5713 Email: bright@un.org	and Misratah) and		Tripon, Benghazi
UN Action pillar of activity:  Advocacy  Knowledge building  Support to UN system at country level	Projected Project Duration: 8 weeks, including two weeks in New York pre and post mission, respectively		
Proposed project, if approved, would result in:  New Project Continuation of previous funding Other (explain)	Total Project Budget: US \$ 50,144.00 Amount of MDTF funds requested: US \$ 50,144.00		
	Percentage of ind contribution:	irect support costs f	rom MDTF
Projected Annual Disbursements:	2011 \$ 50,144.00	2012 \$	\$ 2013

 $<sup>^{\</sup>rm l}$  The term "project" is used for projects, programmes and joint programmes.



<b>Projected Annual Commitments:</b>	2011	2012	2013	
Trojected Amidai Commitments.	\$ 50,144.00	\$	\$	

# **Application Instructions for Narrative Summary**

The questions below are for guidance in developing the narrative under each sub-heading. Applicants should address these questions to the extent possible.

#### I. Overview

- a. Provide a brief overview of the project, including overall goals and objectives, key strategies, expected results, and intended beneficiaries.
- b. Specify the geographic location(s) of the proposal and/or countries addressed.

## II. Proposal's compliance with UN Action's Strategic Framework

- a. What pillar does the proposal fall under? (support to UN system action at country level, advocacy, or knowledge-building)
- b. Explain how the proposal enhances UN system coordination and joint programming.
- c. Explain how the UN, governmental organizations, NGOs, and other key stakeholders will be engaged throughout implementation of the proposal.
- d. Explain how the proposal would strengthen the capacity of national institutions to deal with conflict-related sexual violence.

# III. Success criteria and means of evaluating results

- a. Explain how the Participating UN Organisation(s) submitting the proposal have the institutional capacity to successfully achieve the proposed objectives.
- b. Describe the overall management structure of this project.
- c. Explain how the proposal will be monitored and evaluated.

#### IV. Budget

a. Describe other attempts to apply for funding for this particular proposal.

#### **Narrative Summary**

# V. Overview

The Office of the Special Representative of the Secretary-General on Sexual Violence in Conflict (OSRSG-SVC) and broader UN system have been receiving allegations of sexual violence reportedly committed by parties during the ongoing conflict in Libya. These reports, from a variety of partners, including UN agencies, non-governmental organisations, as well as findings of the Commission of Inquiry, point to incidence of conflict-related sexual violence. However, these sources recognise the challenges in collecting evidence of sexual violence, including a victim's reluctance to disclose information due to trauma and the stigma associated with sexual violence. Reports also raise concerns about alleged sexual violence against people in detention centres in South Libya by security forces and



against migrant workers during flight from the conflict by rebel forces.

The Chief Prosecutor of the International Criminal Court, Moreno-Ocampo, has stated that there is an ongoing investigation to determine whether there was a policy which resulted in widespread or systematic rapes and sexual violence by both parties to the conflict. The Commission on Inquiry on Libya concluded in its report to the Human Rights Council that sufficient information was received to justify further investigation to ascertain the extent of sexual violence, including whether cases were linked to incitement by the command of either side<sup>2</sup>. Media reports highlight that rape could have been used as a tactic of the conflict.

With a view to ascertaining the actual scope and scale of conflict-related sexual violence in Libya, OSRSG-SVC will deploy a consultant supported by the UN Support Mission in Libya (UNSMIL), and by UN Action Against Sexual Violence in Conflict. The consultant will investigate, gather and analyse information on conflict-related sexual violence in Libya. The total assignment will be eight (8 weeks). The assessment mission in Libya will take place over a six week period from 7 November to 16 December 2011. The consultant will be required to be in New York for one week prior to deployment (31 October to 4 November) and another week upon return from the field (19 December to 23 December).

The purpose of the consultancy is to: (i) Investigate and gather information in Libya and border areas on conflict-related sexual violence by all parties to the conflict in Libya; (ii) Seek information on and assess the character and patterns of sexual violence, both before and during the conflict, and assess if sexual violence is being used as a tactic of war in the conflict; and (iii) Gather information on sexual violence against people in detention centres, as well as migrants fleeing the conflict, including in refugee camps.

The consultant will travel to the conflict-affected areas in Libya, both the east and west, and includes Tripoli, Benghazi and Misrata among others to be determined; as well as displaced camps either within Libya or in transit points or refugee camps outside Libya (in particular, the Tunisian border); and detention centres in South Libya.

## VI. Proposal's compliance with UN Action's Strategic Framework

a) What pillar does the proposal fall under?

The proposal falls under Pillar B: advocacy for action. The Special Representative as the chair of the UN Action Network will use the findings of this assessment to inform her advocacy with Members of the Security Council and General Assembly to galvanise political support to address and respond to conflict-related sexual violence. The consultancy will also seek to support the work of the Commission of Inquiry for Libya, Libya Panel of Experts and the ICC by sharing its findings to promote concerted action to combat impunity for sexual violence crimes. This will also inform forward decisions around the implementation of the monitoring, analysis and reporting arrangements in Libya under SCR 1960.

b) Explain how the proposal enhances UN system coordination and joint programming.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> A/HRC/17/44, 1 June 2011



The consultant will work closely with UNSMIL Chief Human Rights Advisor, and in liaison with the protection cluster and GBV sub-cluster in Libya, as well as the broader UN Action Network pre and post deployment. The findings will also be shared with the network to inform their response and action.

c) Explain how the UN, governmental organizations, NGOs, and other key stakeholders will be engaged throughout implementation of the proposal.

As per the terms of reference for this consultancy, the consultant will meet with Government officials of Libya; UN entities on the ground, in particular UNSMIL, UNFPA, UNHCR, UNICEF, WHO and OCHA; other UN officials as appropriate; the Protection Cluster, and the GBV sub-cluster; members of the civil society and non-governmental organisations providing services to victims of sexual violence; and other interlocutors, including members of the diplomatic community and a range of journalists covering the conflict.

d) Explain how the proposal would strengthen the capacity of national institutions to deal with conflict-related sexual violence.

The SRSG will engage with the political leadership of the Government of Libya and the UN (in particular UNSMIL) to ensure that national institutions in the areas of rule of law, security sector reform and justice take into account sexual violence.



#### VII. Success criteria and means of evaluating results

a) Explain how the Participating UN Organisation(s) submitting the proposal have the institutional capacity to successfully achieve the proposed objectives.

In addition to the support from the OSRSG-SVC, the consultant will be supported by the UN Support Mission in Libya (UNSMIL). The mission will provide the institutional support and facilitate the consultant's engagements with the relevant interlocutors in the field.

b) Describe the overall management structure of this project.

The consultant will report to the OSRSG-SVC, through the Chief of Staff.

c) Explain how the proposal will be monitored and evaluated.

The consultant will deliver a preliminary report and a final confidential report responding to the key tasks outlined in the terms of reference for the assignment; and will participate in debriefing sessions as required by OSRSG-SVC upon return.

#### VIII. Budget

Describe other attempts to apply for funding for this particular proposal. NA

Part C. Initial Review of Proposal (To be completed by the UN Action Secretariat)		
(a) Is the project explicitly linked to the UN Action Strategic Framework?	Yes ⊠ No □	
(b) Is the project effective, coherent, and cost-efficient?	Yes 🛛 No 🗌	
(c) Does it avoid duplication and significant overlap with the activities of other UN system entities?	Yes ⊠ No □	
(d) Does it build on existing capacities, strengths and experience?	Yes No 🗌	
(e) Does it promote consultation, participation and partnerships and agree with the existing country coordination mechanism?	Yes No 🗌	
(f) Is the Project Proposal Submission Form fully completed?	Yes 🛛 No 🗌	
(g) Is the Budget in compliance with the standard format?	Yes ⊠ No □	
(h) Is the indirect support cost within the approved rate?	Yes ⊠ No □	
Part D: Decision of the Resource Management Committee (to be completed by the RMC Chairperson)		



5. Decision of the Resource Management Committee  Approved for a total budget of USS 50, 144  Approved with modification/condition  Deferred/returned with comments for further consideration  Rejected		
Comments/Justification:		
	/2	
Chairperson of the Resource Management Committee	20	
Mendy Marsh on behalf of Kate Burns Name (Printed)		
Name (Printed)		
Mazylo	Dct 28, 291	
Signature	Date	
Part E: Administrative A	gent Review	
(To be completed by the UNDP MDTF Office)		
6. Action taken by the Executive Coordinator, Multi-Donor Trust Fund Office, UNDP		
Project consistent with provisions of the RMC Standard Administrative Arrangements with donors.	Memorandum of Understanding and the	
Bisrat Aklilu Executive Coordinator Multi-Donor Trust Fund Office, UNDP		
	8	
Signature	Date	



ANNEX A. 3

# MULTI-DONOR TRUST FUND FOR UN ACTION AGAINST SEXUAL VIOLENCE IN CONFLICT FUND SIGNATURE PAGE

(Note: Please attach to the Project Proposal Submission Form)

	ganization(s): Office of iolence in conflict/DPKO	Focal Point of Participating UN Organization receiving funds: Name: Natacha Malaszek Address: Executive Office DPKO Telephone: 917-367-3036 Email: malaszek@un.org	
Project Number: (to UNDP MDTF Office)		Project Duration: 8 weeks, including two weeks in New York pre and post mission, respectively  Estimated Start Date: 31 October 2011	
Project Title: Consul Libya	tant on sexual violence for	Project Location(s): Libya (including Tripoli. Benghazi and Misratah) and border areas.	
Total Project Cost: UMDTF: US \$ 50,144.  Other: US \$  GRAND TOTAL: U  Total Amount Appro	00 S \$ 50,144.00	44	
Focal Point of Participating UN Organization receiving funds:	Name/Title Nancee Bright Chief of Staff, Office of t SRSG for sexual violenc		Signature
RMC Chairperson:		of Oct 28, 2011	Alfred Ha



ANNEX A.8

# MULTI-DONOR TRUST FUND FOR UN ACTION AGAINST SEXUAL VIOLENCE IN CONFLICT PROGRAMME<sup>3</sup> BUDGET FORM

PROGRAMME BUDGET*		
CATEGORY	AMOUNT	
1. Supplies, commodities, equipment and transport		
2. Personnel (staff, consultants and travel)	US\$ 46,864.00	
3. Training of counterparts		
4. Contracts		
5. Other direct costs		
Total Programme Costs	US\$ 46,864.00	
Indirect Support Costs**	US\$ 3,280.00	
TOTAL	US\$ 50,144.00	

<sup>\*</sup> Based on the UNDG Harmonized Financial Reporting to Donors for Joint Programmes approved in 2006. Definition of the categories can be found in the instruction which is available on <a href="https://www.undg.org">www.undg.org</a>.

<sup>\*\*</sup> Indirect support cost should be in line with the rate or range specified in the Fund TOR (or Programme Document) and MOU and SAA for the particular MDTF.

 $<sup>^{3}</sup>$  The term "programme" is used for projects, programmes and joint programmes.