Peacebuilding Fund Project Progress Report

PROJECT OVERVIEW

Thank you for taking the time to complete the PBF Progress report. For projects with more than one recipient, please consult among co-recipients prior to filling out the form to ensure collaboration on the responses. You can generate a print out of the blank form by clicking on the *print* icon on the top right corner of the page. If you have any questions or require technical assistance in filling out the form, please send an email to keshni.makoond@un.org

Click Next below to start

» Report Submission

Type of report *

(●) Semi-annual

(○) Annual

(○) Final

(○) Other

Date of submission of report *

2022-06-15

Name and Title of Person submitting the report *

Madina Diallo

Name and Title of Person who approved the report *

Simonetta Rossi

Have all fund recipients for this project contributed to the report? *

(●) yes

( ) no

Did PBF Secretariat review the report? *

If there is no PBF secretariat in country, please select "Not applicable". If there is a PBF secretariat, you should normally ensure that they have an opportunity to review.

(●) yes

( ) no

( ) Not Applicable

» Project Information and Geographical Scope

Is this a cross-border project? *

(●) yes   ( ) no

Please select the geographical region in which the project is implemented

( ) Asia and the Pacific   ( ) Central & Southern Africa   ( ) East Africa

( ) Europe and Central Asia   ( ) Global   ( ) Latin America and the Carribean

( ) Middle East and North Africa ( ) West Africa

Country of project implementation *

Other, please secify *

Project Title *

Write the 8 digit MPTFO number and Project Title exactly as it appears in the Project Document *

EXAMPLE: 00118938: Community-based prevention of violence and social cohesion using innovation for young people in displaced and host communities

Please select the geographical region(s) in which the project is implemented *

If the project you are looking for does not appear in the following question, please make sure that you have selected the correct regions. A limited number of cross border projects span multiple geographic regions. For example, a cross border project between Niger and Chad spans both West Africa and Central & Southern Africa

- ☐ Asia and the Pacific
- ☐ Central & Southern Africa
- ☐ East Africa
- ☐ Europe and Central Asia
- ☐ Global
- ☐ Latin America and the Carribean
- ☐ Middle East and North Africa
- ☑ West Africa

Please select the title of the project for which you are submitting the report *

- ○ 00130614/5/6: AILP : Appui aux Initiatives Locales de promotion de la Paix
- ○ 00114134/5: Appui aux initiatives transfrontalieres de dialogue communautaire avec les acteurs de la securite et de la justice pour la consolidation de la paix au Mali et au Niger
- ⦿ 00129231/2: Building cross border peace and strengthening sustainable livelihoods of cattle herders and crop farmers in Sierra Leone and Guinea
- ○ 00106947/8/9: Burkina Faso/Niger/Mali: contribution to the United Nations Strategy for the Sahel
- ○ 00128878/9: Consolider la Cohésion Sociale transfrontalière entre la Côte d'Ivoire et la Guinée pour une meilleure compréhension et anticipation des risques et le renforcement de la confiance et de la collaboration entre les acteurs locaux
- ○ 00119702/3: Cross border engagement between Cote d'Ivoire and Liberia to reinforce social cohesion and border security – Phase II
- ○ 00125153/4: Gestion des conflits et renforcement de la résilience agro-pastorale à la frontière Mauritano-Malienne
- ○ 00113700/1: Jeunes et paix: "Une approche transfrontalière entre le Mali et le Burkina-Faso"
- ○ 00113582/3: Prevenir les conflits communautaire et contribuer a la consolidation de la paix a travers le developpment d'un pastoralisme resilient dans la zone transfrontaliere de Diffa du Kanem (Niger/Tchad)
- ○ 00120376/7/8: Programme d'appui à la prévention des conflits et de l'extrémisme violent dans les zones frontalières du Bénin, du Burkina et du Togo
- ○ 00120162/4/5: Promotion d'une transhumance pacifique dans la région du Liptako-Gourma
- ○ 00129587/8: Renforcer la gouvernance des zones frontalières pour consolider la cohésion sociale et prévenir les conflits
- ○ 00129699/700: Supporting Cross-Border Cooperation for Increased Community Resilience and Social Cohesion in The Gambia and Senegal
- ○ Other, Specify

Write the 8 digit MPTFO numbers and Project Title exactly as it appears in the Project Document *

EXAMPLE: 00129699/700: Supporting Cross-Border Cooperation for Increased Community Resilience and Social Cohesion in The Gambia and Senegal

Please select the countries where this project is being implemented   *

- ☐ Benin
- ☐ Burkina Faso
- ☐ Cote D'Ivoire
- ☐ Gambia
- ☑ Guinea
- ☐ Guinea-Bissau
- ☐ Liberia
- ☐ Mali
- ☐ Mauritania
- ☐ Niger
- ☐ Nigeria
- ☐ Senegal
- ☑ Sierra Leone
- ☐ Togo
- ☐ Other, Specify

Other, Please specify   *

Project Start Date   *

2021-11-02

Project end Date   *

2023-11-02

Has this project received an extension?   *

- ○ YES, Cost Extension
- ○ YES, No Cost Extension
- ○ YES, Both Cost and No Cost extensions
- ◉ NO, No Extensions

Will this project be requesting an extension?   *

- ◯ YES, Cost Extension
- ◯ YES, No Cost Extension
- ◯ YES, Both Cost and No Cost extensions
- ● NO, No Extensions

Is funding disbursed either into a national or regional trust fund   *

- ◯ yes
- ● no

If yes, please select which   *

- ◯ National Trust Fund
- ◯ Regional Trust Fund

Recipients

Is the lead recipient a UN agency or a non UN entity?   *

- ● UN entity
- ◯ Non-UN Entity

Please select the lead recipient   *

- ◯ UNDP: United Nations Development Programme   ◯ IOM: International Organization for Migration
- ◯ UNICEF: United Nations Children's Fund
- ◯ OHCHR: Office of the United Nations High Commissioner for Human Rights
- ◯ UNWOMEN: United Nations Entity for Gender Equality and the Empowerment of Women
- ◯ UNHCR: United Nations High Commissioner for Refugees   ◯ UNFPA: United Nations Population Fund
- ◯ FAO: Food and Agriculture Organization   ● WFP: World Food Programme
- ◯ UNHABITAT: United Nations Human Settlements Programme
- ◯ UNESCO: United Nations Educational, Scientific and Cultural Organization
- ◯ UNEP: United Nations Environment Programme   ◯ ILO: International Labour Organization
- ◯ WHO: World Health Organization   ◯ PAHO/WHO
- ◯ UNCDF: United Nations Capital Development Fund   ◯ UNODC: United Nations Office on Drugs and Crime
- ◯ UNOPS: United Nations Office for Project Services
- ◯ UNIDO: United Nations Industrial Development Organization   ◯ ITC: International Trade Centre
- ◯ UNDPO   ◯ Other, Specify

Other, Please specify *

Are there other recipients for this project? *

- ◯ No other recipients
- ⦿ Yes, other UN recipients only
- ◯ Yes, other non-UN recipients only
- ◯ Yes, both UN and non-UN recipients

Please select other UN recipients recipients *

- ☐ UNDP: United Nations Development Programme
- ☑ IOM: International Organization for Migration
- ☐ UNICEF: United Nations Children's Fund
- ☐ OHCHR: Office of the United Nations High Commissioner for Human Rights
- ☐ UNWOMEN: United Nations Entity for Gender Equality and the Empowerment of Women
- ☐ UNHCR: United Nations High Commissioner for Refugees
- ☐ UNFPA: United Nations Population Fund
- ☐ FAO: Food and Agriculture Organization
- ☐ WFP: World Food Programme
- ☐ UNHABITAT: United Nations Human Settlements Programme
- ☐ UNESCO: United Nations Educational, Scientific and Cultural Organization
- ☐ UNEP: United Nations Environment Programme
- ☐ ILO: International Labour Organization
- ☐ WHO: World Health Organization
- ☐ PAHO/WHO
- ☐ UNCDF: United Nations Capital Development Fund
- ☐ UNODC: United Nations Office on Drugs and Crime
- ☐ UNOPS: United Nations Office for Project Services
- ☐ UNIDO: United Nations Industrial Development Organization
- ☐ ITC: International Trade Centre
- ☐ UN Department of Peace Operations
- ☐ Other, Specify

Other, Please specify *

Please select other non-UN recipients *

- [ ] Action Aid
- [ ] The African Centre for the Constructive Resolution of Disputes (ACCORD)
- [ ] Agence de Coopération et de Recherche pour le Développement (ACORD)
- [ ] American Friends Service Committee (AFSC)
- [ ] Avocats Sans Frontières
- [ ] Avocats Sans Frontières Belgium
- [ ] Avocats sans frontières Canada
- [ ] Christian Aid Ireland
- [ ] CARE International UK
- [ ] The Carter Center, Inc.
- [ ] Centre d'étude et de coopération internationale (CECI) - BF
- [ ] COIPRODEN
- [ ] Concern Worldwide
- [ ] CORDAID
- [ ] CORD Burundi
- [ ] DanChurchAid
- [ ] Fundacion Estudios Superior (FESU)
- [ ] Fund for Congolese Women
- [ ] Fundación Mi Sangre (FMS)
- [ ] Fundación Nacional para el Desarrollo de Honduras (FUNADEH)
- [ ] Fundación para la Libertad de Prensa (FLIP)
- [ ] HELVETAS Swiss Intercooperation
- [ ] Humanity & Inclusion (HI)
- [ ] Instituto Holandes para Democracia Multipartidaria (NIMD)
- [ ] International Alert
- [ ] Interpeace
- [ ] Kvinna till Kvinna Foundation
- [ ] Life and Peace Institute (LPI)
- [ ] (MDG-EISA) Institut Electoral pour une Démocratie Durable en Afrique (EISA), bureau de Madagascar
- [ ] Mercy Corps
- [ ] MSIS-TATAO
- [ ] Norwegian Refugee Council (NRC)
- [ ] ONG AZHAR
- [ ] OXFAM
- [ ] Peace Direct
- [ ] PNG UN Country Fund
- [ ] Red de Instituciones por los Derechos de la Niñez
- [ ] Sampan'Asa Momba ny Fampandrosoana (SAF/FJKM)
- [ ] Saferworld
- [ ] Search for Common Ground (SFCG)
- [ ] SismaMujer
- [ ] Tearfund
- [ ] Trocaire
- [ ] World Vision International
- [ ] World Vision Myanmar
- [ ] ZOA
- [ ] Other, Please specify

Other, Please specify *

_____

Financial Reporting

» Delivery by Recipient

Please enter the total amounts in US dollars allocated to each recipient organization

Please enter the original budget amount, amount transferred to date and estimated expenditure by recipient.

*Please make sure you enter the correct amount. All values should be entered in **US Dollars***

For cross-border projects, group the amounts by agency, even if different country offices are involved. You will have the opportunity to share a more detailed budget in the next section.

Recipients	Total Project Budget (in US $) *Please enter the total budget as is in the project document in US Dollars*	Transfers to date (in US $) *Please enter the total amount transferred to each recipient to date in US Dollars*	Expenditure to date (in US $) *Please enter the approximate amount spent to date in US dollars*	Implementation rate as a percentage of total budget *(calculated automatically)*
WFP: World Food Programme	* 2877137,53	* 2154976	* 304393,99	10.58%
	*	*	*	%
IOM: International Organization for Migration	* 1375199,04	* 1030024	* 324986,03	23.63%
	*	*	*	%

	*	*	* %
....	....	....	....
	*	*	* %
....	....	....	....
	*	*	* %
....	....	....	....
	*	*	* %
....	....	....	....
	*	*	* %
....	....	....	....
	*	*	* %
....	....	....	....
	*	*	* %
....	....	....	....
	*	*	* %
....	....	....	....
	*	*	* %
....	....	....	....
	*	*	* %
....	....	....	....
	*	*	* %
....	....	....	....
	*	*	* %
....	....	....	....

TOTAL	4252336.57	3185000	629380.02	**14.8 %**

*

The approximate implementation rate as percentage of total project budget based on the values entered in the above matrix is **14.8%**. Can you confirm that this is correct?

(●) Correct　　( ) Incorrect

*

If it is incorrect, please enter the approximate implementation rate as a %

» Gender-responsive Budgeting

*

Indicate what **percentage (%)** of the budget contributes to gender equality or women's empowerment (GEWE)?

40

*

The dollar amount of the budget contributing to Gender Equality and Women's Empowerment (GEWE) based on percentage entered above and total project budget is **US $ 1700934.63**. Can you confirm that this is correct?

(●) Correct　　( ) Incorrect

*

If it is incorrect, please enter the *budget amount* allocated to GEWE in US Dollars

*

Amount expended to date on efforts contributiong to gender equality or women's empowerment is **US $ 251752.01**. Is this correct?

(•) Correct   ( ) Incorrect

*

If it is incorrect, please enter the *expenditure to date* on GEWE in US dollars

*

ATTACH PROJECT EXCEL BUDGET SHOWING CURRENT APPROXIMATE EXPENDITURE.
The templates for the budget are available <u>here</u>

 PBF cross-border semi-annual financial report November 2021 - June 2022 FIN-13_31_51.pdf

Project Markers

Please select the Gender Marker Associated with this project   *

( ) Score 1 for projects that contribute in some way to gender equality, but not significantly (less than 30% of the total budget for GEWE)

(•) Score 2 for projects that have gender equality as a significant objective and allocate between 30 and 79% of the total project budget to GEWE

( ) Score 3 for projects that have gender equality as a principal objective and allocate at least 80% of the total project budget to Gender Equality and Women's Empowerment (GEWE)

Please select the Risk Marker Associated with this project   *

( ) Risk marker 0 = low risk to achieving outcomes

(•) Risk marker 1 = medium risk to achieving outcomes

( ) Risk marker 2 = high risk to achieving outcomes

Please select the PBF Focus Area associated with this project   *

- ◯ (1.1) Security Sector Reform
- ◯ (1.2) Rule of Law
- ◯ (1.3) Demobilisation, Disarmament and Reintegration
- ◯ (1.4) Political Dialogue
- ◯ (2.1) National reconciliation
- ◯ (2.2) Democratic Governance
- ⬤ (2.3) Conflict prevention/management
- ◯ (3.1) Employment
- ◯ (3.2) Equitable access to social services
- ◯ (4.1) Strengthening of essential national state capacity
- ◯ (4.2) Extension of state authority/Local Administration
- ◯ (4.3) Governance of peacebuilding resources (including PBF Secretariats)

Is the project part of one or more PBF priority windows?   *

Select all that apply

- ☐ Gender promotion initiative
- ☐ Youth promotion initiative
- ☐ Transition from UN or regional peacekeeping or special political missions
- ☑ Cross-border or regional project
- ☐ None

PART I: OVERALL PROJECT PROGRESS

NOTES FOR COMPLETING THE REPORT:

- Avoid acronyms and UN jargon, use general /common language.
- Report on what has been achieved in the reporting period, not what the project aims to do.
- Be as concrete as possible. Avoid theoretical, vague or conceptual discourse.
- Ensure the analysis and project progress assessment is gender and age sensitive.
- If relevant, please include any COVID-19 related considerations, adjustments and results and respond to section IV. **(This section is optional)**

*

Briefly outline the *status of the project* in terms of implementation cycle, including whether preliminary/preparatory activities have been completed (i.e. contracting of partners, staff recruitment, etc.)

Please limit your response to 1500 characters including spaces.

The following project preliminary activities were completed: recruitment of a Project Coordinator, partnership with Talking Drum Studio (TDS) Guinea and Sierra Leone, official launch of the project in both countries, set up of the technical and steering committees and conduct of project's baseline. Under outcome 1, TDS Guinea and Sierra Leone conducted a conflict analysis of the communities targeted with livelihood support. Under outcome 2, WFP Sierra Leone formalized partnership with Ministry of Agriculture (MOA) to implement resilience building activities. 10 communities were targeted to develop irrigation systems (70% completed) in Inland Valley Swamps (IVS). Participants received agricultural working tools, trainings on improved agronomic practices and 20 days cash-based transfer ($ 29,058 in total). 20 lead farmers and 20 community youth contractors(CYCs) were trained in improved agronomic practices. WFP Guinea partnered with two local CSOs to facilitate community participatory planning activities, resulting in 6 communities identified to implement resilience building activities. Under outcome 3, IOM Guinea and Sierra Leone conducted a comprehensive border and migration assessment to capture strategic transhumance related data and evaluate the capacity of border infrastructure. In both countries, a civil engineer company was contracted for the construction and rehabilitation of border posts. In Guinea, 60% of construction work is completed while Sierra Leone is at 20%.

*

Summarize *the main structural, institutional or societal level change* the project has contributed to. This is not anecdotal evidence or a list of individual outputs, but a description of progress made toward the main purpose of the project

FOR PROJECTS WITHIN SIX MONTHS OF COMPLETION ONLY; (1500 character limit)

N/A

PART II: RESULT PROGRESS BY PROJECT OUTCOME

Describe overall progress under each Outcome made during the reporting period (for June reports: January-June; for November reports: January-November; for final reports: full project duration).
Do not list individual activities. If the project is starting to make/has made a difference at the outcome level, provide specific evidence for the progress (quantitative and qualitative) and explain how it impacts the broader political and peacebuilding context.

- "On track" refers to the timely completion of outputs as indicated in the workplan.
- "On track with peacebuilding results" refers to higher-level changes in the conflict or peace factors that the project is meant to contribute to. These effects are more likely in mature projects than in newer ones.

How many OUTCOMES does this project have   *

1   2   3   4   5   6   7   8   more than 8.

Please write out the project outcomes as they are in the project results framework found in the project document

Outcome 1:   *

Borderline communities in Falaba district and Faranah prefecture have and use inclusive fora that promote peaceful co-existence and resolve conflict between cattle herders and crop farmers

Outcome 2:   *

Trust and economic collaboration strengthened within and between Falaba district and Faranah prefecture through climate smart livelihoods and herder and farmers cooperation

Outcome 3:   *

Sierra Leone and Guinea collect and use data to develop evidence-based cross-border policies that mitigate conflicts

Outcome 4:   *

Outcome 5:   *

Outcome 6:   *

Outcome 7:   *

Outcome 8:   *

Additional Outcomes   *

If the project has more than 8 outcomes, please enumerate the remaining outcomes here

Outcome 1:

Borderline communities in Falaba district and Faranah prefecture have and use inclusive fora that promote peaceful co-existence and resolve conflict between cattle herders and crop farmers

Rate the current status of the outcome progress   *

○ 1. Off Track   ◉ 2. On Track   ○ 3. On Track with evidence of peacebuilding results

*

Progress summary

Please limit your response to 3000 characters including spaces.

FAO Sierra Leone is currently providing technical and financial support to the Ministry of Agriculture to review and validate the Cattle Settlement Policy, with regular engagements implementing partners. In April, WFP, TDS and representatives of Falaba district (decentralized government and customary leaders) participated in a district level (Koinadugu) workshop on the identification of conflict hotspots. The results of the workshop will be incorporated in the review of the Cattle Settlement Policy at the regional and national level. In Guinea, discussions have been initiated with the Ministry of Agriculture and Livestock, who explained that the Pastoral Code was revised during a previous World Bank project in 2018 but never promulgated by the government. The Minister expressed his interest and commitment to reviving the promulgation process of the code. TDS will focus its media activities on the communication around this code to generate public interest. TDS Sierra Leone will adopt the same strategy hoping that this will create a dynamic for the different stakeholders to act promptly.

TDS (Sierra Leone and Guinea) deemed it appropriate to conduct a conflict analysis ("conflict scan") to best orientate their intervention. This analysis took place in May 2022 in communities in Faranah and Falaba targeted through outcome 2. This rapid and periodic analysis captures key peace and conflict determinants, dynamics and actors in a given geographical area. The conflict scan in Sierra Leone noted the following findings:

• The age-old invasion of cattle on farmlands and the destruction of fences by roaming cattle. Boundary/land disputes between Dembeleya Sinkunia and Kabeleya Chiefdoms.
• Lack of physical demarcation that separates Guinea from Sierra Leone especially along border communities.
• Alleged land grabbing by rich stakeholders with political influence
• Alleged political tension between the All People's Congress (APC) and Sierra Leone People's Party (SLPP) supporters is latent in all the communities which could spark up during elections
• Alleged bias mediation of causes related to cattle destruction of farmlands by local chiefs
• Limited farmland for Koidukura being encircled by Gberia Fatombu, Kalhereh, and Gberia Timbakor in Sierra Leone and Helmakono in Guinea. Hence Koindukura are considered strangers in what they claim is their own land.

TDS Guinea and Sierra Leone are also finalising plans to produce a radio jingle for broadcasting on either side of the border. Scripts have been produced while partnerships with the local radio stations were formalized.

Indicate any additional analysis on how Gender Equality and Women's Empowerment and/or Youth Inclusion and Responsiveness has been ensured under this Outcome

Please limit your response to 1500 characters including spaces.

TDS Guinea and Sierra Leone are and will continue to be attentive to gender and youth dynamics. Women and youth participation in the conflict scan stressed their lack of representation in conflict management mechanisms, often citing that they do not have the right to speak because of social constraints and stereotypes. In Guinea for instance, no female leader has received training on conflict management and mediation. In the following months, TDS will dedicate its efforts in better equipping women and youth to play a role in conflict prevention and management in their communities. This will be done through training and community engagement.

Outcome 2:

Trust and economic collaboration strengthened within and between Falaba district and Faranah prefecture through climate smart livelihoods and herder and farmers cooperation

Rate the current status of the outcome progress   *

◯ 1. Off Track   ⦿ 2. On Track   ◯ 3. On Track with evidence of peacebuilding results

                                                                                                                                                    *
Progress summary

Please limit your response to 3000 characters including spaces.

During the reporting period, participatory community planning activities were carried out in 15 villages in the sub-prefectures of Heremakonon, Songoyah and Banian (bordering Sierra Leone). This assessment culminated in the formulation of specific action plan for each village based on their main economic potentialities and priority needs. Six (6) communities were selected to develop irrigation systems in unused Inland Valley Swamps (IVS) to enable year-round cultivation with rice and vegetables. Through these processes, 1,658 project were identified, including 120 breeders, 50 ha of lowlands suitable for development assessed and 2 ha of degraded areas identified to be reforested as part of WFP Guinea's livelihood activities.

In partnership with the Ministry of Agriculture and community leaders, WFP Sierra Leone identified, assessed and validated 10 viable and perennial IVS totalling 50.1ha. Through developing irrigation systems in these unutilized but high potential lands and cultivating them with improved agricultural, this support will enhance long-term food security and increase incomes through linking farmers to market. 501 working participants (49% female & 51% male) were through a participatory and community-led process, prioritizing the selection of "high risk" youth and women involved in the ongoing conflict. These participants will develop the 50.1 hectares of IVS. After successfully preparing agricultural land and developing one-third of the irrigation systems, each participant received 20 days cash-based transfers $58 per person ($29.058 in total), serving to economically boost households and strengthen local markets during a time of economic downturn.10 CYCs and 20 lead farmers (10 female/10 male) received 5-days technical training on irrigation system development and maintenance, in addition to 3-days training in the Technical Package in Rice Production. Agricultural working tools were provided to the 10 supported farmer groups to conduct the work. Group working, that brings together members of both crop farmer and cattle herder households is strengthening community ties and social cohesion.

Indicate any additional analysis on how Gender Equality and Women's Empowerment and/or Youth Inclusion and Responsiveness has been ensured under this Outcome

Please limit your response to 1500 characters including spaces.

WFP's asset creation and livelihood strategy places great importance on gender equality and women's empowerment. From a total of 501 work participants in IVS development activities, 49% are young female participants. This creates more equity women and youth to be gainfully employed in agricultural activities, including tasks that are not usually undertaken by women, transforming perceptions and enhancing livelihood. Youths at higher risk were identified and selected to participate in asset creation activities, giving them an opportunity to build their knowledge and skills of improved agronomic practices. WFP Sierra Leone adopted its community youth contractor scheme, an initiative targeting energetic youths selected by their communities to be trained to technically oversee livelihood activities in their communities and serve as knowledge transfer channels. This approach increases community ownership and paves the way for long-term sustainability.

Outcome 3:

Sierra Leone and Guinea collect and use data to develop evidence-based cross-border policies that mitigate conflicts

Rate the current status of the outcome progress   *

○ 1. Off Track   ◉ 2. On Track   ○ 3. On Track with evidence of peacebuilding results

Progress summary *

Please limit your response to 3000 characters including spaces.

Assessments have been almost completed under this outcome, however data analysis related to transhumance flow counting is not yet finalized. IOM-Sierra Leone conducted an assessment entailing participatory transhumance mapping across seven cross border chiefdoms in Falaba district bordering with Faranah, in Guinea. Through this mapping more than forty (40) transhumance crossing points were identified, marking the first time that such comprehensive data has been documented in Falaba and Sierra Leone in general. A comprehensive map on transhumance corridors showing movement patterns has been developed and is currently undergoing final review. IOM Sierra Leone team selected, trained and deployed twenty-eight (28) enumerators to collect data focusing on transhumance (flow monitoring) movement patterns between Sierra Leone and Guinea (project location areas). IOM Guinea recruited and trained 10 enumerators on Transhumance Tracking Tool data collection methodologies, after which they were deployed in the field for a period of 40 days. Around 9,665 animals in total were observed at the counting point of the sub-prefectures of Songoyah, Heremankon and Sandenia in Guinea. 15 community agents were trained on alert tools and deployed in the field in the three sub-prefectures. Once the data collection exercise is finalised, the data will be analysed for early warning and shared, providing an important empirical tool that can be used to design responsive policies to mitigate conflict between crop farmers and cattle herders and to enhance border management. A comprehensive need assessment was conducted by IOM Sierra Leone in collaboration with the government of Sierra Leone at the project onset, targeting major crossing points in Falaba district. Through the assessment key points of entry were identified for construction or rehabilitation based on needs . A border post will be constructed in Koindukura (Sulima chiefdom) while the sites of Walia and Gbentu were selected for rehabilitation activities. IOM Guinea also conducted a needs assessment in collaboration with the Guinean government targeting two sub-prefectures, Songoya and Heremakono in Faranah. During the assessment, two points of entry were identified for construction. A civil engineer was recruited to assess technical needs and supervise construction works. In both countries, construction companies were contracted through a competitive bidding process. In Guinea, overall construction works is 60% completed and expected to be finalized before the end of June 2022 while Sierra Leone, is at 20% of work completion. Bilateral consultation activities will be implemented at a later stage of the intervention while data collection activities will guide strategic discussions in the next reporting period. A road map will be developed to consider local needs, challenges and opportunities linked to peaceful management of transhumance.

Indicate any additional analysis on how Gender Equality and Women's Empowerment and/or Youth Inclusion and Responsiveness has been ensured under this Outcome

Please limit your response to 1500 characters including spaces.

IOM Sierra Leone and Guinea seek to mainstream gender sensitivity and equality across all programming steps. Indeed, the construction plan for the border posts in Koindukura, Heremakono and Songoya takes into account gender-specific needs, such as designated restrooms for women and men. Women and youth have also been considered during the planning stages of the activities. For instance, during the selection of enumerators and participants in workshops and focus group discussions, specific attention was paid to ensure balanced representation. In addition, a data collection exercise was concluded to identify gender-specific needs within the cross-border communities and variables that could favour their empowerment. This exercise is useful to inform IOM Sierra Leone and Guinea planning and upcoming activities.

Outcome 4:

Rate the current status of the outcome progress   *

  ◯   1. Off Track   ◯   2. On Track   ◯   3. On Track with evidence of peacebuilding results

Progress summary   *

Please limit your response to 3000 characters including spaces.

Indicate any additional analysis on how Gender Equality and Women's Empowerment and/or Youth Inclusion and Responsiveness has been ensured under this Outcome

Please limit your response to 1500 characters including spaces.

Outcome 5:

Rate the current status of the outcome progress   *

  ◯   1. Off Track   ◯   2. On Track   ◯   3. On Track with evidence of peacebuilding results

Progress summary   *

Please limit your response to 3000 characters including spaces.

Indicate any additional analysis on how Gender Equality and Women's Empowerment and/or Youth Inclusion and Responsiveness has been ensured under this Outcome

Please limit your response to 1500 characters including spaces.

Outcome 6:

Rate the current status of the outcome progress   *

   ◯   1. Off Track   ◯   2. On Track   ◯   3. On Track with evidence of peacebuilding results

Progress summary   *

Please limit your response to 3000 characters including spaces.

Indicate any additional analysis on how Gender Equality and Women's Empowerment and/or Youth Inclusion and Responsiveness has been ensured under this Outcome

Please limit your response to 1500 characters including spaces.

Outcome 7:

Rate the current status of the outcome progress   *

   ◯   1. Off Track   ◯   2. On Track   ◯   3. On Track with evidence of peacebuilding results

Progress summary   *

Please limit your response to 3000 characters including spaces.

Indicate any additional analysis on how Gender Equality and Women's Empowerment and/or Youth Inclusion and Responsiveness has been ensured under this Outcome

Please limit your response to 1500 characters including spaces.

Outcome 8:

Rate the current status of the outcome progress   *

◯   1. Off Track   ◯   2. On Track   ◯   3. On Track with evidence of peacebuilding results

Progress summary   *

Please limit your response to 3000 characters including spaces.

Indicate any additional analysis on how Gender Equality and Women's Empowerment and/or Youth
Inclusion and Responsiveness has been ensured under this Outcome

Please limit your response to 1500 characters including spaces.

*

If the project has more than 8 outcomes, please use this text box to describe the *status* of progress
(on track with evidence of peacebuilding outcomes, on track or off track), as well as briefly describe
the progress and any analysis on how Gender Equality and Women's Empowerment and/or Youth
Inclusion and Responsiveness has been ensured under this Outcome

INDICATOR BASED PERFORMANCE ASSESSMENT

Using the Project Results Framework as per the approved project document or any amendments-
provide an update on the achievement of key indicators at the **outcome** level in the table below

- If an outcome has more than 3 indicators , select the 3 most relevant ones with most relevant
  progress to highlight.
- Where it has not been possible to collect data on indicators, state this and provide any
  explanation. Provide gender and age disaggregated data. (300 characters max per entry)

» Outcome 1: Borderline communities in Falaba district and Faranah prefecture have and use inclusive fora that promote peaceful co-existence and resolve conflict between cattle herders and crop farmers

Outcome 1	Performance Indicators	Indicator Baseline	End of Project Indicator Target	Indicator Milestone	Current Indicator progress	Reasons for Variance / Delay (if any)
1.1	Proportion of community members who believe that farmland and water resources are equitably allocated (sex- and age-disaggregated)	TBD by the baseline (results available in the next reporting period)	50%			Too early to determine.
1.2	Proportion of farmers/herders reporting increased satisfaction with District/Prefecture and Chiefdom-level mechanisms/structures	TBD by the baseline (results available in the next reporting period)				Too early to determine.
1.3	Proportion of natural resource disputes between farmers/herders	TBD by the baseline (results available in the next reporting period)				Too early to determine. This will be determined at the end of the project.

» Outcome 2: Trust and economic collaboration strengthened within and between Falaba district and Faranah prefecture through climate smart livelihoods and herder and farmers cooperation

Outcome 2	Performance Indicators	Indicator Baseline	End of Project Indicator Target	Indicator Milestone	Current Indicator progress	Reasons for Variance / Delay (if any)
2.1	**Proportion of community members who say their trust in groups they traditionally have been in conflict with has increased (sex- and age-disaggregated)**	**TBD by the baseline (results available in the next reporting period)**	**TBD**			**Too early to determine.**
2.2	**Proportion of community members reporting that representatives of their social group have input into local natural resource management (sex- and age-disaggregated)**	**TBD by the baseline (results available in the next reporting period)**	**TBD**			**Too early to determine.**
2.3	**Proportion of targeted households with improved food security**	**TBD by the baseline (results available in the next reporting period)**	**TBD**			**Too early to determine.**

» Outcome 3: Sierra Leone and Guinea collect and use data to develop evidence-based cross-border policies that mitigate conflicts

Outcome 3	Performance Indicators	Indicator Baseline	End of Project Indicator Target	Indicator Milestone	Current Indicator progress	Reasons for Variance / Delay (if any)
3.1	**Sierra Leone/Guinean governments to invest in conflict prone borderland areas to strengthen cross border management governance and counter alienation of borderland communities using evidence and data.**	**TBD by the baseline (results available in the next reporting period)**				**The baseline study started in May and the results will be available during the next reporting period.**
3.2	**Proportion of community members who believe that border agents are accountable and provide effective service. (sex and age-disaggregate**	**0**	**80%**			**The baseline study started in May and the results will be available during the next reporting period.**

| 3.3 | **Introduce an accountability mechanism with indicators allowing to measure the improvement of trust between the populations and the security authorities.** | **0** | **1** | | | **The baseline study started in May and the results will be available during the next reporting period.** |

» Outcome 4:

Outcome 4	Performance Indicators	Indicator Baseline	End of Project Indicator Target	Indicator Milestone	Current Indicator progress	Reasons for Variance / Delay (if any)
4.1						
4.2						
4.3						

» Outcome 5:

Outcome 5	Performance Indicators	Indicator Baseline	End of Project Indicator Target	Indicator Milestone	Current Indicator progress	Reasons for Variance / Delay (if any)
5.1						
5.2						
5.3						

» Outcome 6:

Outcome 6	Performance Indicators	Indicator Baseline	End of Project Indicator Target	Indicator Milestone	Current Indicator progress	Reasons for Variance / Delay (if any)
6.1						
6.2						
6.3						

» Outcome 7:

Outcome 7	Performance Indicators	Indicator Baseline	End of Project Indicator Target	Indicator Milestone	Current Indicator progress	Reasons for Variance / Delay (if any)
7.1						
7.2						
7.3						

» Outcome 8:

Outcome 8	Performance Indicators	Indicator Baseline	End of Project Indicator Target	Indicator Milestone	Current Indicator progress	Reasons for Variance / Delay (if any)
8.1						
8.2						
8.3						

If the project has more than 8 outcomes, use this space to describe progress on progress on indicators for the remaining outcomes *

PART III: Cross-Cutting Issues

Please indicate any significant project-related events anticipated in the next six months, i.e. national dialogues, youth congresses, film screenings, etc. *

Please limit your response to 3000 characters including spaces.

N/A

In a few sentences, explain whether the project has had a positive *human impact*. May include anecdotal stories about the project's positive effect on the people's lives. Include direct quotes where possible or weblinks to strategic communications pieces.

This is an optional question. You may leave it unanswered if not relevant(2000 character limit)

Saio Mansaray is from Lensenia village, one of the PBF cross-border project communities in Falaba District, Mongo Chiefdom. Saio is a widow with 5 children that are alive and another three that have passed. Saio Mansaray is one of the farmers that offered their IVS to the Lensenia FBO for the implementation of the PBF cross-border project. She has been actively involved in the project since its inception in February 2022. Faced with the challenge of cattle destroying their farms every season with little to no justice being done, Saio and other participants are very excited about the IVS work especially with the possibility of working three times in the year. WFP completed its first CBT in Lensenia, when asked how the cash impacted her livelihood she said, "I used half of the money to buy groundnut seed and the other half am going to pay for additional labour so I can make a much bigger farm this year." The IVS that she leased to the FBO has been fully developed and after fencing, it will be an invaluable asset for Saio and her children.

. WFP contracted an independent international consultant to conduct the project's baseline. The consultant worked in close collaboration with the project technical teams across Sierra Leone and Guinea to benefit from their specialised knowledge and ensure that data collected meets the needs of the project. The baseline relied on a mixed methods approach, setting quantitative baseline indicators and informing targets, as well as qualitatively examining the causes of conflict between herders and farmers, the presence and effectiveness of mechanisms put in place to prevent and address conflicts, the remaining challenges to promoting peace, etc. Quantitative surveys were administered to project beneficiaries by trained enumerators while key informant interviews and focus group discussions were carried out by the consultant. Data collection took place throughout the month of May 2022; the results will be available in the next reporting period.

You can also upload upto 3 files in various formats (picture files, powerpoint, pdf, video, etc..) to illustrate the human impact of the project

OPTIONAL

File 1

OPTIONAL

Saio Mansaray's picture-14_21_38.png

File 2

OPTIONAL

Click here to upload file. (< 10MB)

File 3
OPTIONAL

Click here to upload file. (< 10MB)

You can also add upto 3 links to online resources which illustrate the human impact of the project
OPTIONAL

Link 1
OPTIONAL

Link 2
OPTIONAL

Link 3
OPTIONAL

» Monitoring

Please list monitoring activities undertaken in the reporting period   *

Please limit your response to 1000 characters including spaces.

Implementation of project activities have been closely monitored by WFP and IOM teams, MAF and CSOs. WFP's dedicated Beneficiary Feedback Mechanism (BFM) also enables beneficiaries to provide feedback directly to WFP, thus empowering beneficiaries to report on project activities.

Project monitoring has been implemented through traditional monthly field-based monitoring by WFP and IOM and systematically documented in Back to Office Reports, daily monitoring of livelihood activities (outcome 2) by MOA technical staff and WFP's field-based officer. To enhance field monitoring of livelihood activities, WFP established a Whatsapp group comprised of WFP and MOA staff whereby photographs of project progress can be shared, challenges communicated and way forward established.

Do outcome indicators have baselines?   *

If only some of the outcome indicators have baselines, select 'yes'

(•) yes

( ) no

Please provide a brief description *

Please limit your response to 3000 characters including spaces.

WFP contracted an independent international consultant to conduct the project's baseline. The consultant worked in close collaboration with the project technical teams across Sierra Leone and Guinea to benefit from their specialised knowledge and ensure that data collected meets the needs of the project. The baseline relied on a mixed methods approach, setting quantitative baseline indicators and informing targets, as well as qualitatively examining the causes of conflict between herders and farmers, the presence and effectiveness of mechanisms put in place to prevent and address conflicts, the remaining challenges to promoting peace, etc. Quantitative surveys were administered to project beneficiaries by trained enumerators while key informant interviews and focus group discussions were carried out by the consultant. Data collection took place throughout the month of May 2022; the results will be available in the next reporting period.

Has the project launched perception surveys or other community-based data collection? *

- ⦿ yes
- ◯ no

Please provide a brief description *

Please limit your response to 3000 characters including spaces.

The project's baseline consisted in a quantitative survey that targeted direct beneficiaries from the project – 500 farmers in each country, and 200 herders in Sierra Leone and 100 herders from Guinea. The survey focused on developing baseline measures for outcome level indicators for each of the three outcomes, as well as helping to understand underlying conflict dynamics and how conflicts are prevented and addressed in project areas. The results will be available in the next reporting period.

» Evaluation

Has an evaluation been conducted during the reporting period? *

- ◯ yes
- ⦿ no

Evaluation budget (in USD): *

180,000

If project will end in next six months, describe the evaluation preparations

Please limit your response to 3000 characters including spaces.

N/A

» Catalytic Effect

Catalytic Effect (financial): Has the project led to additional funding from other sources? *

- ( ) yes
- (●) no

If yes, how many additional grants or donors has the project leveraged? *

Catalytic Effect (non-financial): Has the project enabled or created a larger or longer-term peacebuilding change to occur? *

- ( ) No catalytic effect
- ( ) Some catalytic effect
- ( ) Significant catalytic effect
- ( ) Very Significant catalytic effect
- ( ) Don't Know
- (●) Too early to tell

Please describe how the project has had a (non-financial) catalytic effect *

Please limit your response to 3000 characters including spaces.

PART IV: COVID-19

Please respond to these questions if the project underwent any monetary or non-monetary adjustments due to the COVID-19 pandemic.

This section is optional. You can leave it blank if not relevant

Monetary adjustments:

Please indicate the total amount in USD of adjustments due to COVID-19:

Non-monetary adjustments: Please indicate any adjustments to the project which did not have any financial implications:

Please limit your response to 3000 characters including spaces.

Please select all categories which describe the adjustments made to the project (and include details in general sections of this report):

- [ ] Reinforce crisis management capacities and communications

- [ ] Ensure inclusive and equitable response and recovery

- [ ] Strengthen inter-community social cohesion and border management

- [ ] Counter hate speech and stigmatization and address trauma

- [ ] Support the SG's call for a global ceasefire

- [ ] None

- [ ] Other (please describe):

Other, Please describe

If relevant, please share a COVID-19 success story of this project (i.e. how adjustments of this project made a difference and contributed to a positive response to the pandemic/prevented tensions or violence related to the pandemic etc.)
Please limit your response to 3000 characters including spaces.

Are there any other issues concerning project implementation (related to COVID-19 or not) that you want to share, including any capacity needs of the recipient organizations? (1500 character limit)
Please limit your response to 3000 characters including spaces.

Final Steps

- **Please save a pdf copy of the form by clicking on the *Printer* icon on the top right corner of the page.**
- **A dialogue box will appear: Please select the A4 size and portrait orientation.**
- **Click "prepare" and save the document as a PDF (if on first attempt, the generated page is not readable, close the pop up page and try again. If the problem persists, you can contact technical support at the email address below)**
- **Please upload the pdf version of the report as well as your financial report in excel format on the MPTF-O gateway.**

If you encounter any difficulty in filling the form or generating the print-out for MPTFO gateway, please contact Anupah Makoond keshni.makoond@un.org

Thank You. You have finished the report. Please Click on the SUBMIT button below. When the report is submitted, a confirmation note will appear on a yellow banner on top of the page. This can take a few seconds.