Peacebuilding Fund Project Progress Report

PROJECT OVERVIEW

Thank you for taking the time to complete the PBF Progress report. For projects with more than one recipient, please consult among co-recipients prior to filling out the form to ensure collaboration on the responses. You can generate a print out of the blank form by clicking on the *print* icon on the top right corner of the page. If you have any questions or require technical assistance in filling out the form, please send an email to keshni.makoond@un.org

Click Next below to start

» Report Submission

Type of report   *

(●) Semi-annual

(○) Annual

(○) Final

(○) Other

Date of submission of report   *

2022-06-15

Name and Title of Person submitting the report   *

Luisa Kieling (M&E Specialist), Guie-Aissatú N'Djai (PBF Coordinator)

Name and Title of Person who approved the report   *

NA

Have all fund recipients for this project contributed to the report?   *

(•) yes

( ) no

Did PBF Secretariat review the report?   *

If there is no PBF secretariat in country, please select "Not applicable". If there is a PBF secretariat, you should normally ensure that they have an opportunity to review.

( ) yes

( ) no

(•) Not Applicable

» Project Information and Geographical Scope

Is this a cross-border project?   *

( ) yes   (•) no

Please select the geographical region in which the project is implemented

○ Asia and the Pacific   ○ Central & Southern Africa   ○ East Africa

○ Europe and Central Asia   ○ Global   ○ Latin America and the Carribean

○ Middle East and North Africa   ● West Africa

Country of project implementation   *

○ Benin   ○ Burkina Faso   ○ Cote D'Ivoire

○ Gambia   ○ Guinea   ● Guinea-Bissau

○ Liberia   ○ Mali   ○ Mauritania

○ Niger   ○ Nigeria   ○ Senegal

○ Sierra Leone   ○ Togo   ○ Other, Specify

Other, please secify   *

Project Title   *

○ 00130107: Creating safe and empowering public spaces with women to mitigate climate-security risks and sustain peace in Guinea-Bissau

○ 00129698: Enhancing the human rights protection system in Guinea-Bissau

○ 00129743: Inclusive Peaceful Land Management in OIO, CACHEU and BIOMBO regions

○ 00125914: No landa Djuntu- Drawing the pathway together: new leadership for meaningful participation, peace and stability in Guinea Bissau

○ 00119912: Political Stabilization and Reform through Confidence Building and Inclusive Dialogue

● 00119443: Secretariat Project: Support to project coordination and monitoring of the United Nations Peacebuilding Fund (PBF) Projects in Guinea-Bissau

○ 00119444: Strengthening the justice and security sector response to drug trafficking and transnational organized crime to reduce insecurity in Guinea-Bissau

○ Other, Specify

Write the 8 digit MPTFO number and Project Title exactly as it appears in the Project Document   *

EXAMPLE: 00118938: Community-based prevention of violence and social cohesion using innovation for young people in displaced and host communities

Please select the geographical region(s) in which the project is implemented *

If the project you are looking for does not appear in the following question, please make sure that you have selected the correct regions. A limited number of cross border projects span multiple geographic regions. For example, a cross border project between Niger and Chad spans both West Africa and Central & Southern Africa

☐ Asia and the Pacific   ☐ Central & Southern Africa   ☐ East Africa

☐ Europe and Central Asia   ☐ Global   ☐ Latin America and the Carribean

☐ Middle East and North Africa   ☐ West Africa

Please select the title of the project for which you are submitting the report *

Write the 8 digit MPTFO numbers and Project Title exactly as it appears in the Project Document *

EXAMPLE: 00129699/700: Supporting Cross-Border Cooperation for Increased Community Resilience and Social Cohesion in The Gambia and Senegal

Please select the countries where this project is being implemented *

Other, Please specify *

Project Start Date *

2020-01-16

Project end Date *

2022-06-30

Has this project received an extension? *

○ YES, Cost Extension

⦿ YES, No Cost Extension

○ YES, Both Cost and No Cost extensions

○ NO, No Extensions

Will this project be requesting an extension? *

⦿ YES, Cost Extension

○ YES, No Cost Extension

○ YES, Both Cost and No Cost extensions

○ NO, No Extensions

Is funding disbursed either into a national or regional trust fund   *

  ◯ yes

  ⦿ no

If yes, please select which   *

  ◯ National Trust Fund

  ◯ Regional Trust Fund

Recipients

Is the lead recipient a UN agency or a non UN entity?   *

  ⦿ UN entity

  ◯ Non-UN Entity

Please select the lead recipient   *

  ⦿ UNDP: United Nations Development Programme   ◯ IOM: International Organization for Migration

  ◯ UNICEF: United Nations Children's Fund

  ◯ OHCHR: Office of the United Nations High Commissioner for Human Rights

  ◯ UNWOMEN: United Nations Entity for Gender Equality and the Empowerment of Women

  ◯ UNHCR: United Nations High Commissioner for Refugees   ◯ UNFPA: United Nations Population Fund

  ◯ FAO: Food and Agriculture Organization   ◯ WFP: World Food Programme

  ◯ UNHABITAT: United Nations Human Settlements Programme

  ◯ UNESCO: United Nations Educational, Scientific and Cultural Organization

  ◯ UNEP: United Nations Environment Programme   ◯ ILO: International Labour Organization

  ◯ WHO: World Health Organization   ◯ PAHO/WHO

  ◯ UNCDF: United Nations Capital Development Fund   ◯ UNODC: United Nations Office on Drugs and Crime

  ◯ UNOPS: United Nations Office for Project Services

  ◯ UNIDO: United Nations Industrial Development Organization   ◯ ITC: International Trade Centre

  ◯ UNDPO   ◯ Other, Specify

Other, Please specify   *

Are there other recipients for this project?   *

  ⦿ No other recipients

  ◯ Yes, other UN recipients only

  ◯ Yes, other non-UN recipients only

  ◯ Yes, both UN and non-UN recipients

Please select other UN recipients recipients   *

- ☐ UNDP: United Nations Development Programme   ☐ IOM: International Organization for Migration
- ☐ UNICEF: United Nations Children's Fund
- ☐ OHCHR: Office of the United Nations High Commissioner for Human Rights
- ☐ UNWOMEN: United Nations Entity for Gender Equality and the Empowerment of Women
- ☐ UNHCR: United Nations High Commissioner for Refugees   ☐ UNFPA: United Nations Population Fund
- ☐ FAO: Food and Agriculture Organization   ☐ WFP: World Food Programme
- ☐ UNHABITAT: United Nations Human Settlements Programme
- ☐ UNESCO: United Nations Educational, Scientific and Cultural Organization
- ☐ UNEP: United Nations Environment Programme   ☐ ILO: International Labour Organization
- ☐ WHO: World Health Organization   ☐ PAHO/WHO
- ☐ UNCDF: United Nations Capital Development Fund   ☐ UNODC: United Nations Office on Drugs and Crime
- ☐ UNOPS: United Nations Office for Project Services
- ☐ UNIDO: United Nations Industrial Development Organization   ☐ ITC: International Trade Centre
- ☐ UN Department of Peace Operations   ☐ Other, Specify

Other, Please specify   *

....

Please select other non-UN recipients *

☐ Action Aid   ☐ The African Centre for the Constructive Resolution of Disputes (ACCORD)

☐ Agence de Coopération et de Recherche pour le Développement (ACORD)

☐ American Friends Service Committee (AFSC)   ☐ Avocats Sans Frontières

☐ Avocats Sans Frontières Belgique   ☐ Avocats sans frontières Canada   ☐ Christian Aid Ireland

☐ CARE International UK   ☐ The Carter Center, Inc.

☐ Centre d'étude et de coopération internationale (CECI) - BF   ☐ COIPRODEN

☐ Concern Worldwide   ☐ CORDAID   ☐ CORD Burundi

☐ DanChurchAid   ☐ Fundacion Estudios Superior (FESU)   ☐ Fund for Congolese Women

☐ Fundación Mi Sangre (FMS)   ☐ Fundación Nacional para el Desarrollo de Honduras (FUNADEH)

☐ Fundación para la Libertad de Prensa (FLIP)   ☐ HELVETAS Swiss Intercooperation

☐ Humanity & Inclusion (HI)   ☐ Instituto Holandes para Democracia Multipartidaria (NIMD)

☐ International Alert   ☐ Interpeace   ☐ Kvinna till Kvinna Foundation

☐ Life and Peace Institute (LPI)

☐ (MDG-EISA) Institut Electoral pour une Démocratie Durable en Afrique (EISA), bureau de Madagascar

☐ Mercy Corps   ☐ MSIS-TATAO   ☐ Norwegian Refugee Council (NRC)

☐ ONG AZHAR   ☐ OXFAM   ☐ Peace Direct

☐ PNG UN Country Fund   ☐ Red de Instituciones por los Derechos de la Niñez

☐ Sampan'Asa Momba ny Fampandrosoana (SAF/FJKM)   ☐ Saferworld

☐ Search for Common Ground (SFCG)   ☐ SismaMujer   ☐ Tearfund

☐ Trocaire   ☐ World Vision International   ☐ World Vision Myanmar

☐ ZOA   ☐ Other, Please specify

Other, Please specify *

Financial Reporting

» Delivery by Recipient

Please enter the total amounts in US dollars allocated to each recipient organization

Please enter the original budget amount, amount transferred to date and estimated expenditure by recipient.

*Please make sure you enter the correct amount. All values should be entered in **US Dollars***

For cross-border projects, group the amounts by agency, even if different country offices are involved. You will have the opportunity to share a more detailed budget in the next section.

Recipients	Total Project Budget (in US $) *Please enter the total budget as is in the project document in US Dollars*	Transfers to date (in US $) *Please enter the total amount transferred to each recipient to date in US Dollars*	Expenditure to date (in US $) *Please enter the approximate amount spent to date in US dollars*	Implementati on rate as a percentage of total budget *(calculated automatically)*
UNDP: United Nations Developmen t Programme	* 799611.00	* 799611.00	* 704354.00	88.09%
	*	*	*	%
	*	*	*	%
	*	*	*	%
	*	*	*	%
	*	*	*	%
	*	*	*	%
	*	*	*	%
	*	*	*	%
	*	*	*	%

	*	*	* %
	*	*	* %
	*	*	* %
	*	*	* %
	*	*	* %
TOTAL	**799611**	**799611**	**704354**	**88.0 9%**

*
The approximate implementation rate as percentage of total project budget based on the values entered in the above matrix is **88.09%**. Can you confirm that this is correct?

🔘 Correct   ⚪ Incorrect

*
If it is incorrect, please enter the approximate implementation rate as a %

» Gender-responsive Budgeting

*
Indicate what **percentage (%)** of the budget contributes to gender equality or women's empowerment (GEWE)?

88

*
The dollar amount of the budget contributing to Gender Equality and Women's Empowerment (GEWE) based on percentage entered above and total project budget is **US $ 703657.68**. Can you confirm that this is correct?

🔘 Correct   ⚪ Incorrect

*

If it is incorrect, please enter the *budget amount* allocated to GEWE in US Dollars

*

Amount expended to date on efforts contributiong to gender equality or women's empowerment is **US $ 619831.52**. Is this correct?

(●) Correct   ( ) Incorrect

*

If it is incorrect, please enter the *expenditure to date* on GEWE in US dollars

*

ATTACH PROJECT EXCEL BUDGET SHOWING CURRENT APPROXIMATE EXPENDITURE.
The templates for the budget are available here

GNB PBF Secretariat Financial Report 2021 2022-23_9_32.xlsx   ⬇

Project Markers

*

Please select the Gender Marker Associated with this project

( ) Score 1 for projects that contribute in some way to gender equality, but not significantly (less than 30% of the total budget for GEWE)

(●) Score 2 for projects that have gender equality as a significant objective and allocate between 30 and 79% of the total project budget to GEWE

( ) Score 3 for projects that have gender equality as a principal objective and allocate at least 80% of the total project budget to Gender Equality and Women's Empowerment (GEWE)

*

Please select the Risk Marker Associated with this project

(●) Risk marker 0 = low risk to achieving outcomes

( ) Risk marker 1 = medium risk to achieving outcomes

( ) Risk marker 2 = high risk to achieving outcomes

Please select the PBF Focus Area associated with this project   *

- ◯ (1.1) Security Sector Reform
- ◯ (1.2) Rule of Law
- ◯ (1.3) Demobilisation, Disarmament and Reintegration
- ◯ (1.4) Political Dialogue
- ◯ (2.1) National reconciliation
- ◯ (2.2) Democratic Governance
- ◯ (2.3) Conflict prevention/management
- ◯ (3.1) Employment
- ◯ (3.2) Equitable access to social services
- ◯ (4.1) Strengthening of essential national state capacity
- ◯ (4.2) Extension of state authority/Local Administration
- ◉ (4.3) Governance of peacebuilding resources (including PBF Secretariats)

Is the project part of one or more PBF priority windows?   *

Select all that apply

- ☐ Gender promotion initiative
- ☐ Youth promotion initiative
- ☐ Transition from UN or regional peacekeeping or special political missions
- ☐ Cross-border or regional project
- ☑ None

PART I: OVERALL PROJECT PROGRESS

NOTES FOR COMPLETING THE REPORT:

- Avoid acronyms and UN jargon, use general /common language.
- Report on what has been achieved in the reporting period, not what the project aims to do.
- Be as concrete as possible. Avoid theoretical, vague or conceptual discourse.
- Ensure the analysis and project progress assessment is gender and age sensitive.
- If relevant, please include any COVID-19 related considerations, adjustments and results and respond to section IV. **(This section is optional)**

*

Briefly outline the *status of the project* in terms of implementation cycle, including whether preliminary/preparatory activities have been completed (i.e. contracting of partners, staff recruitment, etc.)

Please limit your response to 1500 characters including spaces.

The International Consultant hired in November 2021 for needs assessment and capacity building on peacebuilding project design conducted the needs assessment exercise in November 2021 and the capacity building activity in March 2022.

The International Consultant on M&E, onboard since February 2022, is providing support to the PBF Secretariat and all current active PBF funded projects in M&E and reporting requirements, finalizing the PBF portfolio evaluation synthesis 2018-2020, providing tailored technical assistance to PBF recipients on the development of M&E Plans, Baseline Studies, chairing periodic project meetings and coordinating the mid-year reporting process. Additionally, two (2) national consultants were hired: (1) to conduct the mapping exercise of the local stakeholder working in the peacebuilding field and (2) to provide 3 months of support for communication and visibility activities of the PBF Secretariat and PBF-funded project.

In April, the current coordinator informed the PBSO about her intention to resign. An agreement was reached, enabling her to continue to support the Secretariat from Dakar until the recruitment of the new coordinator. The Secretariat project will fund her monthly travel from and to Dakar. Based on institutional requirements, the coordinator will discuss and agree on the dates of her presence in Bissau with the RC and the PBSO. Meanwhile, her contract was extended for four months to the 30th of October 2022.

*

Summarize *the main structural, institutional or societal level change* the project has contributed to. This is not anecdotal evidence or a list of individual outputs, but a description of progress made toward the main purpose of the project

FOR PROJECTS WITHIN SIX MONTHS OF COMPLETION ONLY; (1500 character limit)

This is not a substantive project. However, the Secretariat has contributed and actively supported the reactivation of the Joint Steering Committee, a high-level structure that shall not only follow up on the PBF portfolio in the country but bring together the UN, the Government, and civil society and ensure accountability and national ownership.

PART II: RESULT PROGRESS BY PROJECT OUTCOME

Describe overall progress under each Outcome made during the reporting period (for June reports: January-June; for November reports: January-November; for final reports: full project duration). Do not list individual activities. If the project is starting to make/has made a difference at the outcome level, provide specific evidence for the progress (quantitative and qualitative) and explain how it impacts the broader political and peacebuilding context.

- "On track" refers to the timely completion of outputs as indicated in the workplan.
- "On track with peacebuilding results" refers to higher-level changes in the conflict or peace factors that the project is meant to contribute to. These effects are more likely in mature projects than in newer ones.

How many OUTCOMES does this project have   *

    1   2   3   4   5   6   7   8   more than 8.

Please write out the project outcomes as they are in the project results framework found in the project document

Outcome 1:   *

The effective development, implementations, monitoring, oversight of peacebulding projects and the overall strategic direction of the PBF portfolio in Guinea-Bissau is ensured.

Outcome 2:   *

The agencies implementing PBF funded projects are able to deliver on the implementation, monitoring and evaluation of effectively designed peacebuilding projects and effectively communicate the results of their interventions

Outcome 3:   *

Outcome 4:   *

Outcome 5:   *

Outcome 6:   *

Outcome 7:   *

Outcome 8:   *

Additional Outcomes   *

If the project has more than 8 outcomes, please enumerate the remaining outcomes here

Outcome 8:   *

Additional Outcomes

If the project has more than 8 outcomes, please enumerate the remaining outcomes here

Outcome 1:

The effective development, implementations, monitoring, oversight of peacebulding projects and the overall strategic direction of the PBF portfolio in Guinea-Bissau is ensured.

Rate the current status of the outcome progress   *

◯ 1. Off Track   ⬤ 2. On Track   ◯ 3. On Track with evidence of peacebuilding results

                                                                                                                 *
Progress summary

Please limit your response to 3000 characters including spaces.

The Secretariat has supporting the implementation of PBF funded projects through 2020-2022, ensuring the quality of project design and effective implementation, yielding positive results and better peacebuilding impacts. The PBF Portfolio in the country has increased from USD 4 million in 2020 to USD 10.5 million in 2023, expanding the portfolio from 2 to 6 active projects.

PBF projects have been effectively supported and monitored and there is better coordination between initiatives, leveraging opportunities for synergies and complementarities. To ensure that more sustainable and effective decisions meet the needs of citizens, including the most vulnerable, the Secretariat organized a National Consultation Process, in 2021, and trainings for capacity building on PBF project design and implementation for the UN, Government and CSOs during the stage of project design, to ensure that the Government and Civil Society also contribute and take part in shaping and planning peacebuilding interventions in the country. This has reflected in the 3 new projects that started implementation this year.

The secretariat also delivered on May an online session to all recipients on M&E practices and to support drafting progress reports. The session was attended by all recipient organizations. All 6 active project teams timely submitted their semiannual progress reports.

At a higher level, after the reactivation of the PBF Joint Steering Committee in June 2021, the Secretariat is keeping the PBF JSC abreast of all PBF developments, ensuring its members' recommendations concerning the new areas of investment informed by the PBSO are taken into consideration during identification of new projects ideas. This brought JSC members closer to the Secretariat, allowing further awareness of the PBF work and the current portfolio.

Under the PRF project identification process, the Secretariat reviewed the proposals and supported the agencies in refining 4 concept notes before submission to the RC and to PBSO:

- Phase II on Political Dialogue;

- Phase II on DTOC;

- Prevention of natural resources conflicts;

- Peaceful Governance of the Health sector.

All inception ideas of these projects were discussed and refined in "project clinics" organized by the Secretariat and facilitated by the consultant hired to reinforce the stakeholder capacity on peacebuilding project design and implementation. Each drafting team received guidance and support for the concept note finalization from the PBSO colleague, ensuring a greater quality of the documents presented and the skills transfer on the peacebuilding project design. The Secretariat also supported the 2022 GYPI process, information was shared with the UNCT. 4 Concept Notes were submitted by UNAFPs to the RC. Moreover, the secretariat reached out to CSOs to disseminate the GYPI: meeting with 23 national CSOs and providing technical support for the CSO drafting proposals to submit to PBSO (4 CSOs in total).

Indicate any additional analysis on how Gender Equality and Women's Empowerment and/or Youth Inclusion and Responsiveness has been ensured under this Outcome

Please limit your response to 1500 characters including spaces.

This is not a substantive project. However, the Secretariat frequently advise all current projects on implementation, on how to capitalize gender impacts, and the need to gather disaggregated data for project monitoring and evaluation purposes.

Outcome 2:

The agencies implementing PBF funded projects are able to deliver on the implementation, monitoring and evaluation of effectively designed peacebuilding projects and effectively communicate the results of their interventions

Rate the current status of the outcome progress *

○ 1. Off Track   ● 2. On Track   ○ 3. On Track with evidence of peacebuilding results

Progress summary *

Please limit your response to 3000 characters including spaces.

The international consultant provided tailored support to teams drafting project proposals. A detailed needs assessment took place in Nov-2021, with the UN, Government and CSOs, and capacity-building activities in Mar-2022, focused on peacebuilding project design. The consultancy aimed at not only better capacitating UN, CSOs, and Government in designing new PBF projects but also to plan and implement peacebuilding projects that address structural and systemic threats to sustainable peace at policy, implementation, and monitoring levels, securing more resources for the Country and ensuring institutional sustainability of result-oriented actions.

Following the analysis shared with PBSO in October 2021, based on evidence and lessons learned in the recent years of PBF-funded projects in the country, to improve the overall implementation, efficiency and coordination of the portfolio, the PBF Secretariat has been:

• Requiring (and supporting the development of) project management basic instruments (annual workplans and M&E plans) which should be developed with project teams in the first quarter of implementation;

• Organizing project monitoring meetings to allow greater synergy between project team members;

As a result, by today 5 out of 6 projects have developed M&E plans, and 3 are ensuring the collection of baseline information in the beginning of implementation, allowing the Secretariat to ensure greater monitoring and coordination among projects of the portfolio.

On communications and visibility, a National Communications & Visibility Specialist was hired to provide support to the PBF Secretariat and to assist PBF-funded projects in developing communication-related products, articulating and disseminating more and better information on their works, and achievement to the UNCT, projects' partners, and other stakeholders. For three consecutive months, the PBF Secretariat has been sharing the main achievements of the portfolio each month, increasing UNCT's knowledge of the PBF work and its impact on the peacebuilding landscape in the country.

The Secretariat was able to identify and profile the main CSOs working on the peacebuilding field in the country. The results will be transferred to a database and publicly shared, ensuring facilitating the knowledge and contact of the CSO with the project planning to implement or implementing PBF-funded project at the local level.

The secretariat visited four regions (Bafata, Gabu, Oio and Quinara) where PBF-funded activities are taking place to follow the work of the projects and collect feedback from the beneficiaries on the investment impact on their lives. All testimonies and physical investments of the PBF in the last two years were recorded, will be edited into short videos for each project, and disseminated.

Final Evaluations of projects were also supported by the Secretariat. Of the 3 projects ending by mid-2022, all of them submitted ToRs for evaluations and were revised by the PBF and PBSO.

Indicate any additional analysis on how Gender Equality and Women's Empowerment and/or Youth Inclusion and Responsiveness has been ensured under this Outcome

Please limit your response to 1500 characters including spaces.

Not a substantive project, but tailored technical assistance has included advising the projects on the need to use disaggregated data in all reports produced under the projects and how to compound gender impacts.

Outcome 3:

....

Rate the current status of the outcome progress   *

   ◯ 1. Off Track   ◯ 2. On Track   ◯ 3. On Track with evidence of peacebuilding results

Progress summary   *

Please limit your response to 3000 characters including spaces.

....

Indicate any additional analysis on how Gender Equality and Women's Empowerment and/or Youth Inclusion and Responsiveness has been ensured under this Outcome

Please limit your response to 1500 characters including spaces.

....

Outcome 4:

....

Rate the current status of the outcome progress   *

   ◯ 1. Off Track   ◯ 2. On Track   ◯ 3. On Track with evidence of peacebuilding results

Progress summary   *

Please limit your response to 3000 characters including spaces.

....

Indicate any additional analysis on how Gender Equality and Women's Empowerment and/or Youth Inclusion and Responsiveness has been ensured under this Outcome

Please limit your response to 1500 characters including spaces.

....

Outcome 5:

....

Rate the current status of the outcome progress   *

   ◯ 1. Off Track   ◯ 2. On Track   ◯ 3. On Track with evidence of peacebuilding results

Progress summary   *

Please limit your response to 3000 characters including spaces.

....

Indicate any additional analysis on how Gender Equality and Women's Empowerment and/or Youth Inclusion and Responsiveness has been ensured under this Outcome

Please limit your response to 1500 characters including spaces.

....

Outcome 6:

Rate the current status of the outcome progress *

○ 1. Off Track   ○ 2. On Track   ○ 3. On Track with evidence of peacebuilding results

Progress summary *

Please limit your response to 3000 characters including spaces.

Indicate any additional analysis on how Gender Equality and Women's Empowerment and/or Youth Inclusion and Responsiveness has been ensured under this Outcome

Please limit your response to 1500 characters including spaces.

Outcome 7:

Rate the current status of the outcome progress *

○ 1. Off Track   ○ 2. On Track   ○ 3. On Track with evidence of peacebuilding results

Progress summary *

Please limit your response to 3000 characters including spaces.

Indicate any additional analysis on how Gender Equality and Women's Empowerment and/or Youth Inclusion and Responsiveness has been ensured under this Outcome

Please limit your response to 1500 characters including spaces.

Outcome 8:

Rate the current status of the outcome progress *

○ 1. Off Track   ○ 2. On Track   ○ 3. On Track with evidence of peacebuilding results

Progress summary *

Please limit your response to 3000 characters including spaces.

Indicate any additional analysis on how Gender Equality and Women's Empowerment and/or Youth Inclusion and Responsiveness has been ensured under this Outcome

Please limit your response to 1500 characters including spaces.

*

If the project has more than 8 outcomes, please use this text box to describe the *status* of progress (on track with evidence of peacebuilding outcomes, on track or off track), as well as briefly describe the progress and any analysis on how Gender Equality and Women's Empowerment and/or Youth Inclusion and Responsiveness has been ensured under this Outcome

INDICATOR BASED PERFORMANCE ASSESSMENT

Using the Project Results Framework as per the approved project document or any amendments- provide an update on the achievement of key indicators at the **outcome** level in the table below

- If an outcome has more than 3 indicators , select the 3 most relevant ones with most relevant progress to highlight.
- Where it has not been possible to collect data on indicators, state this and provide any explanation. Provide gender and age disaggregated data. (300 characters max per entry)

» Outcome 1: The effective development, implementations, monitoring, oversight of peacebulding projects and the overall strategic direction of the PBF portfolio in Guinea-Bissau is ensured.

Outcome 1	Performance Indicators	Indicator Baseline	End of Project Indicator Target	Indicator Milestone	Current Indicator progress	Reasons for Variance / Delay (if any)
1.1	**Indicator 1.1 Evaluation of PBF Secretariat by UN Leadership, RUNOS, and national stakeholders**	**65% of respondents fully or partially satisfied**	**At least 75% of respondent fully or partially satisfied**	**Mid-year and Annual Reports include survey results**	**Within the UN, 88.8% rated the quality of technical assistance provided by the Secretariat as Excellent or Very Good, and 11.2% rated as Good (9 in respondents total)** **Within CSOs and the Government, 40% rated the quality of technical assistance provided by the Secretariat as Very Good, 40% rated as Good, 6.7% as fair and 13.3% as non-applicable (15 respondents in total).**	
1.2						
1.3						

» Outcome 2: The agencies implementing PBF funded projects are able to deliver on the implementation, monitoring and evaluation of effectively designed peacebuilding projects and effectively communicate the results of their interventions

Outcome 2	Performance Indicators	Indicator Baseline	End of Project Indicator Target	Indicator Milestone	Current Indicator progress	Reasons for Variance / Delay (if any)
2.1	**PBF progress toward implementation by RUNOS is On Track.**	**4%**	**70% of projects are On Track**		**50% of PBF funded projects are financially on track (CDTOC, No Ianda Djuntu, Inclusive Land Governance)**	**Some of the reasons are linked to delays in staff recruitment/turnover (Civic Spaces project, Human Rights project)**
2.2	**Indicator 2.2 Improved PBF project monitoring systems and portfolio level data.**	**All projects have M&E plans, but few have data collection instruments**	**New Projects have improved monitoring system with data collection instruments.**		**Five out of the current six projects have designed their M&E Plans, hence defining data collection instruments**	
2.3						

» Outcome 3:

Outcome 3	Performance Indicators	Indicator Baseline	End of Project Indicator Target	Indicator Milestone	Current Indicator progress	Reasons for Variance / Delay (if any)
....	....	....	....	....	....	....
3.1						
....	....	....	....	....	....	....
3.2						
....	....	....	....	....	....	....
3.3						
....	....	....	....	....	....	....

» Outcome 4:

Outcome 4	Performance Indicators	Indicator Baseline	End of Project Indicator Target	Indicator Milestone	Current Indicator progress	Reasons for Variance / Delay (if any)
....	....	....	....	....	....	....
4.1						
....	....	....	....	....	....	....
4.2						
....	....	....	....	....	....	....
4.3						
....	....	....	....	....	....	....

» Outcome 5:

Outcome 5	Performance Indicators	Indicator Baseline	End of Project Indicator Target	Indicator Milestone	Current Indicator progress	Reasons for Variance / Delay (if any)
5.1						
5.2						
5.3						

» Outcome 6:

Outcome 6	Performance Indicators	Indicator Baseline	End of Project Indicator Target	Indicator Milestone	Current Indicator progress	Reasons for Variance / Delay (if any)
6.1						
6.2						
6.3						

» Outcome 7:

Outcome 7	Performance Indicators	Indicator Baseline	End of Project Indicator Target	Indicator Milestone	Current Indicator progress	Reasons for Variance / Delay (if any)
....	....	....	....	....	....	....
7.1	....	....	....	....	....	....
7.2	....	....	....	....	....	....
7.3	....	....	....	....	....	....

» Outcome 8:

Outcome 8	Performance Indicators	Indicator Baseline	End of Project Indicator Target	Indicator Milestone	Current Indicator progress	Reasons for Variance / Delay (if any)
....	....	....	....	....	....	....
8.1	....	....	....	....	....	....
8.2	....	....	....	....	....	....
8.3	....	....	....	....	....	....

If the project has more than 8 outcomes, use this space to describe progress on progress on indicators for the remaining outcomes *

....

PART III: Cross-Cutting Issues

*

Please indicate any significant project-related events anticipated in the next six months, i.e. national dialogues, youth congresses, film screenings, etc.

Please limit your response to 3000 characters including spaces.

The Secretariat is supporting the discussions around the YPS and the development of a national YPS agenda. During the next months, the Youth Institute with the technical support of UNDP, UNFPA, Interpeace and Voz di Paz, will organize consultation session around the country to train and collect youth inputs on the development of a national policy instrument translating the Youth, Peace and Security agenda to a national level.

The project will also kick-start the set-up of a conflict-mapping system. It's planned to start the definition of specific types of conflict and actors which will be analyzed by the mapping system, by engaging with Governmental and the wider range of Civil Society organizations interested in the matter.

The project will also conduct public consultations on the project proposals to be submitted for PBF funding.

In a few sentences, explain whether the project has had a positive *human impact*. May include anecdotal stories about the project's positive effect on the people's lives. Include direct quotes where possible or weblinks to strategic communications pieces.

This is an optional question. You may leave it unanswered if not relevant(2000 character limit)

The Peacebuilding Fund Secretariat in Guinea-Bissau organized from 28 March to 1 April its first training on design and implementation of PBF projects for actors of civil society organizations, Government, and United Nations agencies, funds, and programmes in the country.

Over forty participants took part in this training and during five days discussed different subjects and presented proposals that may help in the future to design a better strategy for national ownership and inclusion in the programming cycles

Ana Muscuta Ture, from the United Nations Development Programme (UNDP) congratulated PBF for this initiative and said that the methodology used in this training called a lot to her and what she learned in the last five days will be useful for the future.

"The implementation of the peacebuilding project is very important for the country, and also important for all the agencies that are working in Guinea-Bissau, as well as for the government and civil society organizations, so this synergy, this sharing, this exchange of experiences and information will be very useful for the next results that are desirable for the consolidation of peace in Guinea-Bissau" stressed Ana Muscuta.

The general coordinator of the Coalition for the Defense of Children's Rights (CODEDIC) praised the initiative of the PBF and said that this exchange of experiences with national and international actors has changed his way of thinking and implementing projects.

"This training will allow civil society actors, as well as other entities that intervene in the field of peacebuilding, promotion and protection of human rights, in general, that intervene in the development process of the country to take into account certain elements linked to strategic planning, results component, target audience, and respect the procedures and strategies for absorption and implementation of programs at the time of conception of projects," said Al-Hadje Tanzigora

You can also upload upto 3 files in various formats (picture files, powerpoint, pdf, video, etc..) to illustrate the human impact of the project
OPTIONAL

File 1
OPTIONAL

WhatsApp Image 2022-06-15 at 20.34.48-23_18_42.jpeg

File 2
OPTIONAL

WhatsApp Image 2022-06-15 at 20.34.44-23_18_46.jpeg

File 3
OPTIONAL

WhatsApp Image 2022-06-15 at 20.34.46-23_18_50.jpeg   ⬇

You can also add upto 3 links to online resources which illustrate the human impact of the project
OPTIONAL

Link 1
OPTIONAL

Link 2
OPTIONAL

Link 3
OPTIONAL

» Monitoring

*

Please list monitoring activities undertaken in the reporting period

Please limit your response to 1000 characters including spaces.

1 monitoring activity was undertaken. The mission planned to visit 6 out of 8 administrative regions where PBF projects are being undertaken to follow-up the implementation and to register their progress and potential impact. However, due to the lack of the fuel in the country, the mission was only able to visit 4 regions, covering the 2 transitions and 1 GYPI (No Ianda djunto) projects activities. The mission could visit the construction of the police-model station in Gabú, the border outpost in Cambadju, and the community-based early-warning system to prevent child trafficking in Buba under CDTOC project. At Farim, Bafatá, Gabú, Buba, the team met the Youth Platform being supported by No Ianda djunto project; Bafatá, Gabú and Buba Leadership Academy polos, Rural Women in Cuntuboel,

*

Do outcome indicators have baselines?

If only some of the outcome indicators have baselines, select 'yes'

(●) yes

(○) no

*

Please provide a brief description

Please limit your response to 3000 characters including spaces.

online survey is designed and launched every 6 months to gather the perceptions of the main stakeholders in country regarding the work developed by the PBF Secretariat in Guinea-Bissau.

Has the project launched perception surveys or other community-based data collection? *

◉ yes

◯ no

Please provide a brief description *

Please limit your response to 3000 characters including spaces.

A brief online survey is designed and launched every 6 months to gather the perceptions of the main stakeholders in country regarding the work developed by the PBF Secretariat in Guinea-Bissau. Another survey was designed and applied before the capacity building on peacebuilding project design and implementation, to assess overall needs from UN, CSOs and Government.

» Evaluation

Has an evaluation been conducted during the reporting period? *

◯ yes

◉ no

Evaluation budget (in USD): *

0

If project will end in next six months, describe the evaluation preparations

Please limit your response to 3000 characters including spaces.

N\A

» Catalytic Effect

Catalytic Effect (financial): Has the project led to additional funding from other sources? *

◯ yes

◉ no

If yes, how many additional grants or donors has the project leveraged? *

Catalytic Effect (non-financial): Has the project enabled or created a larger or longer-term peacebuilding change to occur? *

◯ No catalytic effect

◯ Some catalytic effect

◯ Significant catalytic effect

◯ Very Significant catalytic effect

◯ Don't Know

◉ Too early to tell

Please describe how the project has had a (non-financial) catalytic effect *

Please limit your response to 3000 characters including spaces.

PART IV: COVID-19

Please respond to these questions if the project underwent any monetary or non-monetary adjustments due to the COVID-19 pandemic.

This section is optional. You can leave it blank if not relevant

Monetary adjustments:

Please indicate the total amount in USD of adjustments due to COVID-19:

Non-monetary adjustments: Please indicate any adjustments to the project which did not have any financial implications:

Please limit your response to 3000 characters including spaces.

Please select all categories which describe the adjustments made to the project (and include details in general sections of this report):

- ☐ Reinforce crisis management capacities and communications
- ☐ Ensure inclusive and equitable response and recovery
- ☐ Strengthen inter-community social cohesion and border management
- ☐ Counter hate speech and stigmatization and address trauma
- ☐ Support the SG's call for a global ceasefire
- ☐ None
- ☐ Other (please describe):

Other, Please describe

If relevant, please share a COVID-19 success story of this project (i.e. how adjustments of this project made a difference and contributed to a positive response to the pandemic/prevented tensions or violence related to the pandemic etc.)

Please limit your response to 3000 characters including spaces.

Are there any other issues concerning project implementation (related to COVID-19 or not) that you want to share, including any capacity needs of the recipient organizations? (1500 character limit)

Please limit your response to 3000 characters including spaces.

Final Steps

- **Please save a pdf copy of the form by clicking on the *Printer* icon on the top right corner of the page.**
- **A dialogue box will appear: Please select the A4 size and portrait orientation.**
- **Click "prepare" and save the document as a PDF (if on first attempt, the generated page is not readable, close the pop up page and try again. If the problem persists, you can contact technical support at the email address below)**
- **Please upload the pdf version of the report as well as your financial report in excel format on the MPTF-O gateway.**

If you encounter any difficulty in filling the form or generating the print-out for MPTFO gateway, please contact Anupah Makoond keshni.makoond@un.org

Thank You. You have finished the report. Please Click on the SUBMIT button below. When the report is submitted, a confirmation note will appear on a yellow banner on top of the page. This can take a few seconds.